

**Attachment A**  
2018 Statements of Legislative Intent (SLI)  
By Council Committee

**Section 1: Summary List of SLIs**

#	SLI	Title	Due	Page
<b>CIVIL RIGHTS, UTILITIES, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND ARTS COMMITTEE</b>				
1	13-1-A-1	SPU's Employee to Manager Ratio	Quarterly	3
2	30-1-A-2	Healthy Foods Here Implementation	5/30/18	4
3	71-1-A-1	Report by Arts on creating a cultural space management PDA	8/1/18	5
4	140-2-A-3	SDCI and OED report on outreach to affected communities regarding Seattle's URM building policy	3/31/18	6
5	170-2-A-1	Report by OED on financial tools for Legacy Businesses	3/30/18	7
6	303-1-A-2	LAW, SMC, and SOCR report on bail reform	5/31/18 6/30/18	8
7	402-2-A-1	Report by the Executive on reentry recommendations	6/30/18	10
<b>FINANCE AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE</b>				
8	79-2-B-1	P-Patch program report by DON	8/31/18	13
9	183-1-A-1	Review of DON fees for new construction projects to improve cost recovery	6/1/18	15
10	336-1-A-2	Requesting FAS review the costs of all regulatory fees and report to the Council on the level of General Subfund subsidy involved in each one	6/29/18	16
11	356-1-A-2	Restructuring the Judgment and Claims Subfund	4/30/18	17
12	401-1-A-1	Report by CBO on options for the use of revenues from the Income Tax on High-Income Residents	3/1/18	18
<b>GENDER EQUITY, SAFE COMMUNITIES, NEW AMERICANS, AND EDUCATION</b>				
13	26-1-A-1	SPD Records Management System monthly capital project oversight reports	Monthly	19
14	163-1-A-1	Report on including K-12 education programs funded by the Sweetened Beverage Tax in future Families and Education Levy	3/30/18	20
15	164-1-B-1	Public sector child care program study	8/31/18	21
16	195-1-A-1	SFD and SPD report on building first responder partnerships with social and health service providers or other resources	3/31/18	22
17	202-1-A-3	SPD Report on Overtime Policy Implementation	4/30/18	23
18	203-1-A-2	SPD Overtime Over-Expenditure Workgroup	7/31/18	24
19	204-1-B-3	SPD smartphone business case	6/15/18	26
20	206-1-B-1	SPD Training Report	3/30/18 7/20/18	27
21	207-1-A-2	Report on SPD enforcement of vehicle noise and cruising on Alki	3/16/18	29
22	213-1-B-1	Creation of Georgetown Public Safety Task Force	6/1/18	31
23	270-1-C-1	Report regarding how the Executive plans to implement Resolution 31775, strengthening public safety by affirming the City's support for immigrant and refugee witnesses, survivors, and victims of crime	6/29/18	33
<b>GOVERNANCE, EQUITY AND TECHNOLOGY</b>				
24	287-1-A-1	Surveillance Impact Report for Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)	5/30/18	35
<b>HOUSING, HEALTH, ENERGY, AND WORKERS' RIGHTS COMMITTEE</b>				
25	230-1-A-1	Bond Funding for Additional Affordable Housing	3/31/18	36
26	261-1-A-1	Feasibility study for siting a safe consumption site in Seattle	2/28/18	37
27	400-1-A-1	Develop Recommendations on Worker Retention Protections	5/30/18	38
<b>HUMAN SERVICES, EQUITABLE DEVELOPMENT, AND RENTER RIGHTS COMMITTEE</b>				
28	231-1-A-1	Operational plan for Homelessness Emergency Response program moved to HSD from FAS	4/6/18	40

#	SLI	Title	Due	Page
29	242-1-A-2	FAS reporting on Navigation Team pursuant to City Auditor reporting plan recommendations	Multiple See SLI	41
30	260-1-A-1	LEAD expansion	6/30/18	43
31	281-1-B-2	Report by HSD and SDHR on disparities between internal and contracted human service provider wages	8/1/18	44
<b>PLANNING, LAND USE, AND ZONING COMMITTEE</b>				
32	134-1-A-2	Report by OPCD on work to support Seattle School District facility planning	8/1/18	45
33	135-1-A-1	OPCD report on community planning prioritization	4/1/18	46
<b>SUSTAINABILITY AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE</b>				
34	31-1-B-2	SDOT and OSE food access pilot	4/30/18	47
35	55-1-A-2	Speed and Reliability recommendations for the South Lake Union and First Hill streetcar lines	3/30/18	48
36	56-1-A-1	Downtown Alley Congestion Relief	7/1/18	49
37	57-1-A-1	Transportation Management Plan monitoring and enforcement fee proposal	3/31/18	50
38	58-1-A-1	Automated enforcement of block-the-box and transit-only lane violations	5/2/18	51
39	59-1-A-1	Report on Bridge Safety Analysis study	2/23/18	52
40	60-1-A-1	Transportation Network Company adoption of Autonomous Vehicle technology	6/30/18	53
41	61-1-A-1	SDOT CIP Transparency	5/1/18	54
42	62-1-A-1	Implementation of Move Seattle BRT Corridors	7/1/18	55
<b>BUDGET COMMITTEE</b>				
43	296-1-A-1	Create and outline an inclusive role of Boards and Commissions in the City Council Budget Review Process	8/1/18	56

**CIVIL RIGHTS, UTILITIES, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND ARTS COMMITTEE**

<b>1.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	13-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	SPU’s Employee to Manager Ratio
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Public Utilities
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Brian Goodnight
<b>Due Dates:</b>	3/30/18   6/29/18   9/28/18   12/28/18	

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

Council requests that Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) provide quarterly written reports in 2018 to the Civil Rights, Utilities, Economic Development and Arts (CRUEDA) Committee on measures and policies that address recommendations from the 2009 State Auditor's report analyzing SPU's managerial practices. According to the report, SPU's employee to manager ratio was 7.7 whereas the national standard for organizations of similar size was 10 to 15 employees per manager.

Council requests that SPU submit a written report to the Chair of the CRUEDA Committee (or its successor) and the Council Central Staff Director at the end of each calendar quarter in 2018. The report should describe steps taken to address or affect the employee-to-manager ratio across all SPU lines of business and describe any other measures that implement recommendations or address findings included in the 2009 State Auditor report.

<b>2.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	30-1-A-2
	<b>Title:</b>	Healthy Foods Here Implementation
	<b>Department:</b>	Office of Sustainability and the Environment (OSE) & the Office of Economic Development (OED)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	
	<b>Due Date:</b>	5/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

Council requests that the Office of Sustainability and the Environment (OSE), in collaboration with the Office of Economic Development, submit a written report to the Chair of the Civil Rights, Utilities, Economic Development and Arts Committee (or successor committee) addressing OSE's recommended forward-looking strategies and practices (a) to improve healthy food access in Seattle's "food deserts" and (b) achieve the programmatic goals established in the Office of Economic Development and King County Public Health joint pilot project known as Healthy Foods Here.

Recommendations from King County Public Health's *Healthy Foods Here: Recommendations for Future Programming* report included:

- improve local inventories of healthy fruits and vegetable, including culturally significant staples;
- increase consumer demand for healthy foods;
- increase the number of stores participating in state and federal low-income food programs; and
- develop and foster new relationships between storeowners and healthy food distributors.

Overlap with investments recommended by the Sweetened Beverage Tax Advisory Board or other OSE programs such as Fresh Bucks, should be identified as well as any pro forma programmatic data regarding potential costs for new services or outreach. A written report shall be submitted to the Chair of the CRUEDA Committee and Central Staff Director no later than May 30, 2018.

<b>3.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	71-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Report by Arts on Creating a Cultural Space Management PDA
	<b>Department:</b>	The Office of Arts and Culture (ARTS)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Asha Venkataraman
	<b>Due Date:</b>	8/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) directs the Office of Arts and Culture (Arts) to submit to Council a report on the results of its feasibility study and racial equity toolkit regarding the creation of a cultural space management public development authority (PDA).

The report should include a discussion of whether development of the PDA is feasible, the results of the racial equity toolkit process, and if feasible, an implementation plan for creation of the PDA.

<b>4.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	140-2-A-3
	<b>Title:</b>	SCDI and OED report on outreach to affected communities regarding Seattle’s URM building policy
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (SDCI) and the Office of Economic Development (OED)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Budget Committee
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Eric McConaghy
	<b>Due Date:</b>	3/31/18

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) requests that the Seattle Department of Construction Inspections (SDCI) in cooperation with the Office of Economic Development (OED) deliver a written report to both the Planning, Land Use and Zoning Committee and the Civil Rights, Utilities, Economic Development and Arts Committee, or their successor committees, regarding the City's outreach to communities likely to be affected by the adoption of new policies regarding unreinforced masonry (URM) buildings. One, or both, of these Council committees may request a briefing on this matter after receiving and reviewing the report.

A complete report satisfying this SLI will include, at least, specifications for how the City will reach out in multiple languages and describe assistance with non-structural mitigation along with outreach. Non-structural mitigation for earthquake hazards involves increasing the resilience of residents, organizations, and businesses to earthquake hazards in ways that do not involve structural changes to buildings such as anchoring shelves and cabinets, strapping water heaters, securing hazardous materials, and backing up critical information.

Background

Unreinforced masonry (URM) buildings are typically brick structures that were built without steel reinforcement or sufficient structural connections between the building’s walls and other structural elements. They are located throughout Seattle, notably in some of the City's oldest neighborhoods and commercial and industrial centers. A seismic retrofit can significantly reduce a URM building’s risk of collapse in the event of an earthquake. Collapsed buildings can endanger the lives of the building’s occupants and nearby pedestrians, block public rights-of-way for emergency response, and delay overall recovery from the earthquake.

Experts agree that the likelihood of a damaging earthquake that would shake Seattle is significant. SDCI is considering a mandate for all URM buildings to undergo a seismic retrofit. Outreach related to that requirement, particularly in areas with concentrations of URM buildings, such as historic districts, will be necessary to successfully implement the mandate. There are more than 1,100 URM structures within the city limits that are at risk of earthquake damage. The City's URM Policy Committee reports that the cost to retrofit all the at-risk URM structures to the recommended standard could cost up to \$1 billion. In October 2017, The City's URM Policy Committee briefed the Council on their recommendations. Based on the recommendations, the Executive is expected to work with Council in 2018 to develop new policy and implementing regulations to address the safety of URM buildings. Structural damage to URM buildings from an earthquake and the retrofitting of URM buildings both have potential for the displacement of low income people and/or communities of color. This SLI opens the dialogue between the Legislative and the Executive about how to assist those most vulnerable to the negative effects of a URM mandate while increasing the safety and resilience of Seattle.

<b>5.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	170-2-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Report by OED on Financial Tools for Legacy Businesses
	<b>Department:</b>	Office of Economic Development (OED)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Traci Ratzliff
	<b>Due Date:</b>	3/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

Council requests that the Office of Economic Development (OED), in consultation with Law, prepares a report that: (1) describes the limitations the City faces in providing financial assistance to legacy businesses; (2) evaluates and make recommendations on opportunities to partner with other organizations, like the Rainier Valley Community Development Fund, to provide financial support to legacy businesses; and (3) investigate and make recommendations on potential alternate sources of revenue that could be used for legacy business support.

OED shall present the report to the Chair of the Civil Rights, Utilities, Economic Development and Arts Committee.

<b>6.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	303-1-A-2
	<b>Title:</b>	LAW, SMC, and SOCR Report on Bail Reform
	<b>Department:</b>	City Attorney's Office (LAW), Seattle Municipal Court (SMC), Seattle Office for Civil Rights (SOCR)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Asha Venkataraman
	<b>Due Date:</b>	Part I: 5/31/18   Part II: 6/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) asks the Seattle Office for Civil Rights (SOCR) to work with the City Attorney's Office (LAW), Seattle Municipal Court (SMC), and the King County Department of Public Defense to provide a two-part joint report to the Chair of the Civil Rights, Utilities, Economic Development & Arts (CRUEDA) committee and the Council Central Staff Director regarding whether and how the City will reform its bail practices, including but not limited to use of an actuarial tool, use of text-message reminders, and the use of appearance bonds. Part I of the report is due by May 31, 2018 and Part II of the report is due June 30, 2018.

The reports should also be provided to the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council (CJCC) for its consideration and response, at the time they are provided to the CRUEDA committee. In 2016, SMC convened the CJCC as a forum for stakeholders in Seattle's criminal justice system to share information, collaborate, and work across silos to improve the criminal justice system. Participants have included members from the Mayor's Office, SMC judges, the City Attorney, Council, the Seattle Police Department, the King County Department of Public Defense, the Office for Civil Rights, and the Human Services Department. Discussions focused on increasing diversion opportunities, offering more pre-trial services, and improving reentry opportunities, among other topics. CJCC partnered with the Council on State Government's Criminal Justice Institute to research and assess some of these topics, which included research on bail reform.

A 2013 Arnold Foundation study funded by the City on pre-trial criminal justice practices showed that more than 60 percent of inmates across the country are being held in detention while their cases move through the court system. In Seattle, research from an SMC study showed that 31 percent of individuals in custody who were charged with misdemeanors in 2014 remained in jail while waiting for their next court date because they were unable to come up with cash for bail. The ACLU issued a 2016 position paper entitled "No Money, No Freedom", detailing the two-tiered monetary based justice system created by cash bail. It stated that "[j]udges in Washington often impose bail at an amount much higher than many people can afford to pay, and without consideration of individual financial circumstances and resources....This two-tiered approach denies justice to individuals, undermines the fairness of the court system, and imposes unacceptably high costs on the accused, their families, and our communities." Though the original purpose of bail was a deposit to ensure a person would show up to their court date, it has "become an excessive financial burden, one so great that it prevents the accused from getting out of jail while his or her case is pending."

Jurisdictions such as the District of Columbia and the federal court system have all but eliminated cash bail, instead using a system to identify the small percentage of defendants who pose the greatest



likelihood of danger to the community and detain only those individuals. King County Juvenile Detention uses a detention risk assessment instrument (DRAI) rather than bail to look at a wide range of criteria and provide a composite score determining risk. Depending on the score, the DRAI recommends release on personal recognizance, electronic monitoring, or detention, and a judge can use these recommendations to determine release. Several other jurisdictions including Phoenix, AZ, Chicago, IL, and Charlotte, NC use a public safety assessment (PSA) to look at factors determining whether a person is likely to be a flight risk, reoffend, or violently reoffend to determine whether to detain a person.

Promising alternative pre-trial release strategies can provide more consistency in the pre-trial release process and could ameliorate the impacts of cash bail. This SLI requests an examination of how these or similar tools used in jurisdictions not solely using cash bail could be used at SMC and the racial equity outcomes associated with each strategy or tool. Part I of the report should include:

- A survey of pretrial release strategies and tools used instead of or in addition to cash bail, including a review of any evaluations done on those strategies and tools; and
- Best practices associated with such a strategy or tool.
- How the group working on the SLI will develop racial equity outcomes to shape the recommendations in Part II of the report.

Part II of the report should include:

- Whether LAW and SMC plan to implement bail reform, including use of alternative strategies or tools, and if so, a timeline for reforms;
- A recommended process for community engagement before and throughout implementation of bail reform;
- Estimated savings in jail and court costs;
- An analysis of unintended consequences that should be addressed before implementation;
- Any necessary legislative, regulatory, or rule changes needed to implement bail reform; and
- A description of racial equity outcomes that can be measured and evaluated after any new pre-trial release reforms are implemented.

<b>7.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	402-2-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Report by the Executive on reentry recommendations
	<b>Department:</b>	City Budget Office (CBO), Seattle Municipal Court (SMC), Office of Economic Development (OED), Seattle Department of Human Resources (SDHR)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Asha Venkataraman
	<b>Due Date:</b>	6/30/18

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) requests a report from the Executive addressing preliminary recommendations from the Reentry Task Force, convened by the Seattle Office for Civil Rights. Specifically, this SLI requests reports from:

(1) The City Budget Office (CBO) to explore how the City's contracts with King County and Snohomish County to provide jail services for Seattle Municipal Court (SMC) defendants facing misdemeanor charges can be managed by (A) those with lived experience of incarceration and/or with experience serving vulnerable populations; or (B) a department with experience overseeing contracts serving vulnerable populations;

(2) The Office of Economic Development (OED) and the Seattle Department of Human Resources (SDHR), analyzing how the City can increase employment opportunities within the City, for non-City employers, and through awarding of City contracts; and

(3) The appropriate departments, as identified and coordinated by the Mayor's Office, regarding how to support currently and formerly incarcerated individuals (A) with reentry navigators and (B) from indigenous communities.

The Executive and the departments responsible for reporting back to the Civil Rights, Utilities, Economic Development and Arts Committee should seek input from the Reentry Task Force before finalizing any reports or presenting to the committee.

**Jail Contracts**

Management of the jail contracts by CBO, an office whose responsibilities primarily center around fiscal policy, financial planning, and budget-related functions, can give the appearance that the City is prioritizing financial efficiencies over ensuring that individuals that the City incarcerates are given sufficient support. Jails and prisons house vulnerable populations: In Washington State, of those individuals booked into jail, 58 percent have mental health needs and 61 percent have substance abuse needs. At SMC, of those booked into jail, 90 percent are considered indigent, and an estimated 50 percent are homeless. In addition, there are significant differences between the Snohomish County Jail and the King County Jail that can have an impact on successful reentry.

The report should analyze how other departments or groups could manage these contracts, considering the following:

- What is the scope of services the contracts currently fund?
- Are there qualitative outcomes associated with the contracts? If so, who is qualified to evaluate that these outcomes are being met?

- Are the contracts subject to results based accountability measures?
- How has the City used these contracts to ensure humane treatment of incarcerated individuals and that basic human needs are met?
- What characteristics of a department or group does CBO deem essential to be able to manage jail contracts?
- What are the current costs associated with contract administration?

The report should also identify any contracts that the City holds, or has held, which are managed by a body other than an office or department (such as a commission), the reason for the decision that a non-departmental entity should hold the contract, and the scope and outcomes of those contracts.

### **Employment Opportunities**

The report should:

- Analyze how to increase the City's workforce to include a greater percentage of formerly incarcerated individuals through a formalized career pathway to professional, mid-income positions;
- Explore how City departments can work with the Department of Corrections (DOC) and community-led organizations to support active recruitment of individuals exiting DOC and jails to the City;
- Consider how the City can incentivize the private sector to hire formerly incarcerated individuals; and
- Examine granting contracts to formerly incarcerated individuals through all relevant City Request for Proposal processes.

### **Reentry Navigators**

Individuals returning from incarceration and living with criminal history face significant barriers to accessing housing, employment, education, healthcare, and reconnecting to their communities. The earlier that incarcerated individuals can start planning their reentry back into their community, the more successful they can be in regaining stability. The presence of "Reentry Navigators," individuals providing assistance in navigating multiple complex, interrelated systems to individuals both currently incarcerated and preparing for release and individuals already released from incarceration can be crucial to reentry success. In addition, navigation is most successful when the navigators share similar backgrounds with the individuals they are supporting and are system-engaged. Currently, organizations that often provide this type of navigation service are not owned by or do not employ formerly incarcerated individuals.

The Executive's report should:

- Of the organizations or government programs providing reentry services in any jail or prison where currently or formerly incarcerated individuals are coming to King County or Seattle upon release, including services provided at Snohomish County jail, identify those that are owned by or employ formerly incarcerated individuals to provide reentry navigation services;
- Provide an analysis of how many reentry navigators would be necessary to serve all the currently or formerly incarcerated individuals who are coming to King County or Seattle upon release;

- Provide the total cost and cost per navigator of providing services to all the currently or formerly incarcerated individuals who are coming to King County or Seattle upon release.

### **Indigenous Communities**

The Native American population in prisons and jails is growing increasingly disproportionate to their presence in the general population. Native Americans are incarcerated at a rate 38 percent higher than the national average rate of incarceration. In Seattle, Native Americans/Alaska Natives are seven times more likely to experience homelessness, more than any other racial group. In many conversations regarding race and the criminal justice, Native Americans are often left out. Targeted strategies to address the disproportionality are needed.

The Executive's report should include the items listed below, with the involvement of persons with lived experience of incarceration and who are part of the Native American community:

- Develop strategies to ensure that that City investments in reentry programs will be culturally appropriate and effective for the Native American populations;
- Identify organizations or government programs that are rooted in the Native American community that are providing or qualified to provide reentry services to the Native American population; and
- What percentage of the City's future investment in reentry programs should be targeted towards organizations rooted in the Native American community to ensure Native Americans who are currently or formerly incarcerated and coming to King County or Seattle upon release are adequately supported in reentry.

**FINANCE AND NEIGHBORHOODS COMMITTEE**

<b>8.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	79-2-B-1
	<b>Title:</b>	P-Patch program report by DON
	<b>Department:</b>	Department of Neighborhoods (DON)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Harris-Talley, Bagshaw, Juarez
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Lish Whitson
<b>Due Date:</b>	8/31/18 (Due date contingent upon report including alternatives describing various options for managing the program and applying an RSJI Equity Lens. If the contingencies cannot be accommodated, report due 8/1/18)	

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) requests that the Department of Neighborhoods (DON) prepare a comprehensive analysis and report on the P-Patch Community Gardening Program that focuses on operations and maintenance of the City’s 90 P-Patch community gardens and 3,055 plots.

DON is requested to conduct a thorough analysis which includes a program, staffing, and financial assessment of the P-Patch Program. The scope of this assessment will evaluate (1) existing short and long-term program and staff goals; (2) program placement either in a City Department and/or with an external entity; (3) current programming gaps and opportunities in community gardening in Seattle; (4) mechanisms to improve the P-patch customer experience while addressing City goals including equity and inclusion; (5) mechanisms to reduce duplication of work among City departments and community groups; (6) staffing adjustments to necessary to meet proposed goals; and (7) capacity and ability to implement program changes.

In preparing a report and recommendation for improved operations and maintenance of the P-Patch gardens, DON is asked to evaluate a number of considerations and do a sensitivity analysis of options:

- **Cost of Services:** Provide a detailed accounting of annual operations and maintenance costs for P-Patches as well as an assessment of facility capital costs for maintenance and rehabilitation, including donated time and materials by community members and other supporters. Council also requests that DON identify any efficiencies that can be achieved through innovative programmatic approaches, including raising fees, leveraging partnerships, addressing the interest list, and examination of transferring program.
- **Level/Standard of Care:** Provide the Council with information on what level/standard of care and maintenance DON is currently providing to the P-Patch gardens directly and through other department contributions. Council requests that DON work with partner agencies and other users to determine desired levels/standards of care and maintenance.

- **Sensitivity of Options:** Provide an analysis of how different operation options may impact use of the P-Patch gardens. DON is requested to conduct a survey of current users to provide useful information on options under consideration and their potential effect on the garden use and general program use. The use of a Racial Equity Toolkit should be conducted to assist and guide this analysis.
- **Public Outreach/Engagement Plan and Process:** DON is requested to work closely with internal and external partners in developing a menu of recommended best practices for this program. The report should also include examination of comparable programs, learning from experiences of other cities for effective administration and operations.

<b>9.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	183-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Review of DON fees for new construction projects to improve cost recovery
	<b>Department:</b>	Department of Neighborhoods (DON)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Budget Committee
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Lish Whitson
	<b>Due Date:</b>	6/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) requests that the Department of Neighborhoods (DON) prepare a report on opportunities to recover costs through regulatory fees. In 2017, Ordinance 125429 made two changes that increased the role of DON in the review of new construction projects:

- The City's Landmark Preservation and Special Review District boards were granted authority to recommend departures from Land Use Code development standards. This will streamline the development review process for projects subject to review by these boards, by removing requirements that they receive approval from both the Design Review boards and the historic review boards. The Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections' (SDCI's) Design Review program charges significantly higher fees for their review than DON. DON should work with the City Budget Office (CBO), SDCI and the Law Department and report on whether their fees should be adjusted for new construction projects in Landmark Preservation and Special Review districts.
- DON will also be involved in a new role in the Design Review process. The Department will work with applicants to shape design review outreach plans. DON should work with CBO, SDCI and the Law Department to determine whether they can charge fees for this assistance, and if so, at what level those fees should be set.

DON is requested to report on both issues, any other fees that the Department recommends charging for their services, and any legal, operational or policy constraints on charging such fees.

<b>10.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	336-1-A-2
	<b>Title:</b>	Requesting FAS review the costs of all regulatory fees and report to the Council on the level of General Subfund subsidy involved in each one
	<b>Department:</b>	Finance and Administrative Services (FAS)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Tony Kilduff
	<b>Due Date:</b>	6/29/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent requests that the Department of Finance and Administrative Services review all the regulatory fees it administers and report to the Council on the level of General Subfund subsidy involved in each.



<b>11.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	356-1-A-2
	<b>Title:</b>	Restructuring the Judgment and Claims Subfund
	<b>Department:</b>	City Budget Office (CBO)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Alan Lee
	<b>Due Date:</b>	4/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent requires the City Budget Office to engage in a comprehensive review of current policies governing the Judgment and Claims Subfund and provide the Affordable Housing, Neighborhoods and Finance Committee and the Council Central Staff Director a report on its findings. The report shall address possible changes to the fund's structure, including options for a new methodology to forecast future budgets, and develop and implement new policies and procedures, including oversight measures, to provide for monitoring and reporting on expenses throughout the year. The review and report shall involve a collaboration of, at a minimum, the Department of Finance and Administrative Services, the City Budget Office, the Law Department, and City Council Central Staff.

<b>12.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	401-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Report by CBO on options for the use of revenues form the income tax on high-income residents
	<b>Department:</b>	City Budget Office (CBO)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Erik Sund
	<b>Due Date:</b>	3/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Council requests that the City Budget Office (CBO) develop options for the use of future tax receipts from the City's Income Tax on High-Income Earners, established under [Ordinance 125339](#) in July of 2017. The options identified should be consistent with the provisions of [Seattle Municipal Code Section 5.65.010](#), which limits the use of receipts from the tax to the following purposes:

- Lowering the property tax burden and the impact of other regressive taxes, including the Business & Occupation tax;
- Addressing the homelessness crisis;
- Providing affordable housing, education, and transit;
- Replacing federal funding that might be lost or responding to changes in federal government policy;
- Creating green jobs and meeting carbon emissions reduction goals; and
- Administration of the tax itself.

Specific examples of options to be examined could include eliminating or reducing dedicated property tax levies and replacing the lost revenue with funds raised by the income tax. Examples of potential upcoming levy renewals include the Families and Education Levy and the Pre-School Levy.

The Council requests that CBO submit a written report by March 1, 2018 to the Chair of the Affordable Housing, Neighborhoods and Finance Committee, or its successor committee, and the Staff Director of the Council Central Staff describing the options identified.

**GENDER EQUITY, SAFE COMMUNITIES, NEW AMERICANS, AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE**

<b>13.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	26-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	SPD Records Management System monthly capital project oversight reports
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Police Department (SPD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	González, Bagshaw, Harris-Talley, Juarez, O’Brien
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Amy Tsai
<b>Due Date:</b>	Monthly Reports beginning 4/30/18	

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Seattle Police Department (SPD) Records Management System (RMS) is SPD's system of record for police reports. The City approved a new RMS in the 2017-2018 budget for total project funding of \$3.2M in 2017-2018. Since then, the cost estimate has increased by 50 percent from \$3.2M to \$5.1M, with a final project cost estimate still pending.

Areas of concern:

- **Scope:** The project is in the planning stage and has not completed comparing business requirements to vendor capabilities, and as a result there is not yet a final project cost estimate and data migration strategy.
- **Schedule:** In the Fall 2016 budget process, the project was expected to be complete by October 1, 2017, which after further planning has since been extended to the third or fourth quarter of 2018.
- **Budget:** Originally \$3.2 million, the total project cost estimate is now \$5.1 million. The budget includes, among other things, \$407,000 for project management quality assurance, which is on the high end of estimation guidelines for projects of this size and complexity.

This Statement of Legislative Intent requests that the Seattle Department of Information Technology submit a monthly electronic report to the Chair of the committee responsible for public safety matters and the Council Central Staff Director by no later than the last day of each month, beginning on April 30, 2018 and ending when the RMS project is deployed. Each monthly report should include the following: (1) a description of the current scope, schedule, and budget for the RMS project, (2) identification of and explanation for any variation in scope, schedule or budget from the 2017-2022 Adopted CIP Budget or the latest monthly report, whichever is most recent, and (3) an analysis of whether the project is on track for scope, schedule and budget, including but not limited to detailing activities accomplished and expenditures to date compared to planned expectations.

<b>14.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	163-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Report on including K-12 education programs funded by the Sweetened Beverage Tax in future Families and Education Levy
	<b>Department:</b>	Executive
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Juarez, Bagshaw, Harris-Talley, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Brian Goodnight
	<b>Due Date:</b>	3/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

In Spring 2018, the Council will begin consideration of a new Families and Education Levy (Levy) for placement on a 2018 ballot. The Council will determine what programs should be supported with Levy funding.

The 2018 Proposed Budget would fund three K-12 education programs with proceeds from the Sweetened Beverage Tax (SBT): Culturally Specific Summer Learning, Innovation High School, and Summer Melt.

The new Levy may be a more appropriate funding source for these programs, and Council requests that the Executive submit a report on how the K-12 education programs proposed to be funded by SBT proceeds could be funded by the new Levy. This report should be submitted no later than March 30, 2018, and it may be submitted alongside other reports or materials provided to the Council in preparation for consideration of the new Levy legislation.

<b>15.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	164-1-B-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Public sector child care program study
	<b>Department:</b>	Executive
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Johnson, Bagshaw, Herbold, O'Brien
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Brian Goodnight
	<b>Due Date:</b>	8/31/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

In February 2017, the Council adopted Resolution 31732 recognizing the University District Urban Design Framework and identifying next steps in implementing a common vision for the University District. The resolution committed the City to exploring opportunities to create a multi-employer/employee child care voucher fund in the University District.

After consultation with stakeholders, the scope of this work was refined to study a public sector child care program citywide.

The Executive is requested to perform a study of strategies to implement a public sector child care program. The Executive is further requested to convene an ad hoc Child Care Advisory Group to help craft the scope of work and to participate in the selection of a consultant to perform the study.

The cost of the public sector child care study will be split between the Legislative Department and the Mayor's Office, and will be funded with existing appropriations contained in the 2018 Proposed Budget.

<b>16.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	195-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	SFD and SPD report on building first responder partnerships with social and health service providers or other resources
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Fire Department (SFD), Seattle Police Department (SPD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Harris-Talley, Johnson, Juarez, O'Brien, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Amy Tsai
	<b>Due Date:</b>	3/31/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

By March 31, 2018, the Seattle Fire Department (SFD) and Seattle Police Department (SPD) are requested to submit a joint report to the Chair of the Gender Equity, Safe Communities, and New Americans Committee and the Council Central Staff Director on approaches utilized by the fire and police departments to connect 9-1-1 callers with social and health services or other resources, for callers who are experiencing mental or behavioral health issues or who are reporting domestic violence or sexual assault. This may include assistance at the point of contact with dispatch, assistance provided on the street, or referrals that occur at the conclusion of a call response. The report should include the following:

- An inventory of existing approaches used by the police or fire department, including a description of the nature of the activity, the names of any involved community partner or other resource utilized, an indication of the size and scope of the activity (such as number of departmental staff engaged in the activity), and amount and source of funding (to the extent that funding information is available);
- An examination of approaches used successfully by other jurisdictions, locally or nationally;
- An analysis of whether models used by other jurisdictions could be applied effectively to SPD or SFD; and
- Recommendations for forming partnerships with non-profit and community-based counseling and crisis services for call response.

Examples of existing approaches include the King County mobile crisis team, crisis call center, or the SFD low acuity alarm program.

The purpose of this report is to inform a dialogue on multi-modal service delivery options that help callers achieve better immediate and long-term outcomes, and that gives first responders the tools to respond to callers with appropriate resources.

<b>17.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	202-1-A-3
	<b>Title:</b>	SPD Report on Overtime Policy Implementation
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Police Department (SPD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Harris-Talley, González, Herbold, O'Brien, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Amy Tsai
	<b>Due Date:</b>	4/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

By April 30, 2018, the Seattle Police Department (SPD) is requested to provide a report to the Chair of the Gender Equity, Safe Communities, and New Americans Committee and the Council Central Staff Director on its implementation of SPD's overtime policies.

The report should include the following:

- A crosswalk of the provisions of SPD's overtime policy (SPM 4.020) that respond to the Office of City Auditor's 2016 SPD Overtime Controls audit;
- A description of the actions taken by SPD management or personnel to implement each provision of the overtime policy and where those required actions are documented;
- A description of whether and how the department is ensuring compliance with each provision of its overtime policy;
- An estimate of the onetime and ongoing monetary impacts each action from Item #2 has had, and is projected to have when fully implemented, in reducing overtime expenditures; and
- Identification of any areas of SPD policy where there is additional work planned or ongoing to modify the policy to address the overtime audit's recommendations.

For example, under SPM 4.020, it is the responsibility of all supervisors to ensure that staff operates in a manner that minimizes the need for overtime; the report should detail what actions supervisors are taking to comply with that provision, where in SPD's policies and procedures those actions are defined, how compliance is documented, and how management is monitoring for compliance.

<b>18.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	203-1-A-2
	<b>Title:</b>	SPD Overtime Overexpenditure Workgroup
	<b>Department:</b>	Interdepartmental Team – MO, CBO, SPD, OPA, OIG, Council Staff, Central Staff
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Harris-Talley, González, Herbold, O'Brien, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Amy Tsai
	<b>Due Date:</b>	2/23/18 (Convene IDT)   7/31/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Council has been interested for some time in imposing greater fiscal oversight and controls upon the Seattle Police Department's (SPD's) overtime budget and management control systems. In June 2016, in response to overtime concerns expressed in the Gender Equity, Safe Communities, and New Americans Committee, the Council adopted, and the Mayor subsequently signed, Resolution 31675, requesting regular briefings from the Seattle Police Department (SPD) on progress made in responding to a 2016 City Auditor audit of SPD overtime.

While SPD's response to the audit recommendations indicates improved overtime controls, it remains to be seen what impact such actions will have on SPD's overtime budget. Furthermore, further understanding of the factors underlying SPD's chronic overtime overexpenditures is needed in order for the Council to make informed policy choices about overtime in future budgetary actions, whether in departmental supplemental requests or in the fall budget adoption process.

By February 23, 2018, the Executive is requested to convene an interdepartmental team (IDT) comprised of representatives from the Mayor's Office, City Budget Office, Seattle Police Department (SPD), Office of Police Accountability (OPA), Office of Inspector General for Public Safety (OIG), Council staff for the Chair of the committee responsible for public safety matters, and Council central staff, with the City Auditor and Community Police Commission (CPC) serving in an advisory capacity.

The purpose of the IDT is to examine the root causes of SPD's historical overtime overexpenditures and propose solutions. By July 31, 2018, the IDT is requested to submit to the Chair of the Gender Equity, Safe Communities, and New Americans Committee and the Council Central Staff Director a report that does the following:

- Comprehensively describe SPD's overtime policies and practices in relation to the findings and recommendations in the 2016 Office of City Auditor report on SPD overtime controls;
- Identify the sources and root causes of the historical gap between SPD budgeted and actual overtime spending (overexpenditure gap) that accounts for factors such as service level needs, staffing levels, population growth, any shifts in systemic practices, and historical events, and that seeks to distinguish between legitimate overtime needs and unnecessary overtime;
- Evaluate best practices in overtime across the country that may inform SPD's systems; and
- Issue recommendations on (a) the most impactful strategies to reduce the overexpenditure gap and (b) strategic approaches to overtime budgeting and budget requests (supplemental and fall



budgets) that will give Council a meaningful opportunity to review and approve or disapprove of anticipated overtime expenditures.

The work of the group shall be informed by, among other things, data gathered by the City Auditor during the 2016 SPD overtime audit. The work shall include an examination of appropriate levels of staffing for activities that incur overtime, such as planned demonstrations. In generating recommendations, the work shall consider public safety and also take into account the culture of accountability and maintaining of public trust that is at the heart of the work of the police oversight entities.

The advice of the CPC may include an examination of how SPD overtime policies and practices can be structured to increase community confidence in the Department, and helping to ensure that the determination of what constitutes appropriate overtime is informed by community relationships and sustainable dialogue with Seattle's diverse communities that promotes constitutional and bias-free policing.

It is recognized that the City is currently under a consent decree subject to the jurisdiction of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington, and that Consent Decree sets forth duties of the CPC. If the Court indicates that the review function set forth for the CPC in this green sheet is inconsistent with the terms of the Consent Decree, the terms of this green sheet shall be null and void with respect to the specific aspects that are in conflict.

<b>19.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	204-1-B-3
	<b>Title:</b>	SPD Smartphone Business Case
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Police Department (SPD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Bagshaw, González, Harrell, Johnson, Juarez
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Amy Tsai
	<b>Due Date:</b>	6/15/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Seattle Police Department (SPD) is requested to submit, in consultation with the Seattle Information Technology Department, a report to the Chair of the Gender Equity, Safe Communities, and New Americans Committee and the Council Central Staff Director by June 15, 2018, that analyzes issues and costs for equipping all patrol officers with smartphones and makes recommendations.

The report should include, but need not be limited to, the following information:

- Issue identification and analysis of the policy pros and cons of deployment;
- Cost of deployment;
- Technical hurdles;
- A description of lessons learned from deployment of the mobile devices that were issued to SPD members of the team of City departments and service providers providing outreach on service options to those living in encampments throughout the City;
- Recommendations that should include an option with a proposed plan for staged roll-out of the devices; and
- Options for funding the project within existing SPD resources or through the reprioritization of other information technology investments.

<b>20.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	206-1-B-1
	<b>Title:</b>	SPD Training Report
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Police Department (SPD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O'Brien, Harris-Talley, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Amy Tsai
<b>Due Date:</b>	Part I: 3/30/18   Part II: 7/20/18	

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent requests a two-part report from the Seattle Police Department (SPD) on trainings for sworn officers. Trainings include subjects such as, but not limited to, crisis intervention, cultural competency, implicit bias, racial equity, weapons training, counter-terrorism, and law enforcement or military tactics.

Part One of the report should include a list of each training that SPD sworn officers have participated in (or sent at least one officer to) over the past five years and identify known future planned trainings. For each training, the list should include the following information, including for planned trainings, to the extent the information is available:

- Name and location of the training;
- Entity conducting the training;
- Purpose and brief description;
- Year(s) offered;
- Audience (e.g., patrol officers, specialty units, command staff);
- Approximate number of participants by year;
- Number of hours to complete training;
- Any online link to training materials or training information;
- Any training fees (e.g., registration fee, consultant cost) and average travel cost per officer if applicable; and
- Source of funding (e.g., internal funding, grants, sponsorships).

Part Two of the report should (1) describe how training has evolved over time, particularly in light of changes related to the federal consent decree, (2) make recommendations on ways in which training can best support building a culture of accountability to community, and (3) describe how such recommendations might be incorporated into SPD's training plan.

The reports shall be submitted to the Chair of the Gender Equity, Safe Communities, and New Americans Committee and Council Central Staff Director by March 30, 2018, for Part One and by July 20, 2018, for Part Two.

SPD has seen an increasing amount of training required over time, such as from changes in state law and the federal consent decree. An officer's training guides how that officer approaches and performs his or her job. Knowing the areas of training and resources expended on these efforts will help inform conversations about how our officers are being trained to perform their duties and interact with the public in ways that build community trust.

<b>21.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	207-1-A-2
	<b>Title:</b>	Report on SPD enforcement of vehicle noise and cruising on Alki
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Police Department
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Amy Tsai
	<b>Due Date:</b>	3/16/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

By March 16, 2018, the Seattle Police Department (SPD) is requested to submit a report to the Councilmember representing Council District 1, the Chair of the Gender Equity, Safe Communities, and New Americans Committee, and Council Central Staff Director on SPD’s enforcement policies and practices with respect to vehicle noise and cruising in the Alki neighborhood during the warm-weather months.

Specifically, the report should include:

1. A review of historical enforcement challenges, including:
  - a. A brief summary of SPD’s history of enforcement activities under Seattle Municipal Code (SMC) Chapter 25.08 with respect to vehicle noise (including but not limited to SMC 25.08.450 regarding modified mufflers, SMC 25.08.515 regarding sound systems, and SMC 25.08.460 regarding tire noise), and SPD’s exercising of its authority under the anti-cruising law under SMC Chapter 11.69; and
  - b. Identification of technological factors and municipal code or state law provisions that affect SPD’s ability to enforce Chapter 25.08 with regard to motor vehicles;
2. A progress report of current SPD strategies, including for each problem-solving strategy identified in the Alki Micro Community Policing Plan (MCP) to address "Crowd behavior and noise levels during the summer months," identification of actions taken, results, and future plans for the strategy;
3. Identification of potential solutions, including but not limited to:
  - a. For motor vehicle noise enforcement, consideration of strategies used by the Washington State Patrol, King County, and other jurisdictions and, where successful, an analysis of whether the strategies could be used by the City of Seattle;
  - b. Identification of and consideration of emerging technological approaches to vehicle noise enforcement; and
  - c. For anti-cruising enforcement, an outline of a potential approaches for use of SMC Chapter 11.69 as part of Alki summer emphasis patrols or by traffic enforcement officers; and
4. Exploration of how approaches to noise enforcement can be applied to other neighborhoods such as Fautleroy, adjacent to the ferry dock, or the Downtown/Belltown corridor.

As a beachside neighborhood and regional destination, the Alki neighborhood and nearby areas face unique public safety and health challenges, from Beach Drive to the West Seattle Bridge. Residents, community groups, and visitors from elsewhere have expressed concern about the public health and safety impacts of a growing number of motor vehicle-related issues and behaviors.

The Alki MCPP has identified crowd behavior and noise levels during the summer months as a community priority. The Alki Public Safety and Health Survey developed by Councilmember Herbold and neighborhood residents likewise found that noise from modified vehicle exhaust systems and vehicle sound systems were significant areas of concern, along with cruising. The Fauntleroy neighborhood near the ferry dock also experiences unusually heavy early-morning vehicle noise; and Belltown faces similar problems of loud vehicle noise. SPD's Alki MCPP strategies for crowd behavior and noise include involving the Southwest Precinct and SPD as a whole in strengthening and improving existing Seattle noise ordinances and its enforcement. This Statement of Legislative Intent further advances the conversation.

Nothing in this report should be construed as requesting a legal opinion.

<b>22.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	213-1-B-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Creation of Georgetown Public Safety Taskforce
	<b>Department:</b>	Executive
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Harrell, González, Harris-Talley, O'Brien
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Amy Tsai
	<b>Due Date:</b>	6/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Council requests that the Executive convene a Public Safety Task Force of Georgetown residents to formulate and report to Council recommendations regarding the public safety and vitality of that neighborhood. It is the Council’s understanding that the written report of the Special Task Force would, as a general matter, accomplish the following:

- Identify strategies for a new model of neighborhood policing, which will build on the micro-policing plans and community policing plans initiated by Police Chief Katherine O’Toole. The strategies should be replicable in other neighborhoods throughout the City, while flexible enough to reflect the unique situations or dynamics of other diverse neighborhoods; and
- Identify strategies for a culturally and linguistically responsive data-driven approach to improving the City’s relations to and effectiveness with the Georgetown neighborhood, which will also inform the City’s engagement with all other neighborhoods.

By January 31, 2018, a list of potential Public Safety Task Force participants should be identified by the Gender Equity, Safe Communities, and New Americans Committee and subsequently be provided to the Executive for consideration. The participants could include, for example, youth and adult residents of Georgetown, community-based organizations serving the Georgetown neighborhood or with expertise in the quality of life and public safety issues facing Georgetown residents, the Seattle Police Department, the Department of Neighborhoods, and representatives from the Council and Mayor’s Office.

By June 1, 2018, the Executive should provide the Council with a written report that identifies innovative strategies that address the following areas:

- Public safety and policing issues that will focus on:
  - a. Challenges for public safety services associated with Georgetown’s unique geographic characteristics, including distance from the Southeast Precinct and its impacts on police visibility, proactive policing, and 911 service responsiveness;
  - b. Strategies to increase police visibility and positive engagement with the neighborhood and community;
  - c. Strategies to engage youth in this neighborhood population; and

- d. Strategies for applying data-driven approaches to improving public safety that is culturally and linguistically responsive to Georgetown residents.
- Development of neighborhood vitality metrics that include:
    - a. Identification of baseline data around crime and social, health, and housing services in the neighborhood;
    - b. Developing baseline data and metrics for economic development;
    - c. Strategies for addressing deficiencies, if any, in availability of neighborhood vitality metrics, such as baseline data around crime, social, health, housing services, and economic development;
    - d. Community resiliency investments, whether programmatic, capital, or staffing; and
    - e. Strategies to improve the City’s responsiveness to neighborhood concerns in a culturally and linguistically responsive way.

#### Background

Georgetown is a neighborhood geographically bounded on the north by the mainlines of the BNSF Railway and Union Pacific Railroad, beyond which is the Industrial District; on the west by the Duwamish River, across which is South Park; on the east by Interstate 5, beyond which is Beacon Hill; and on the south by Boeing Field.

The close proximity to the industrial district is a feature that defines some of the community issues and challenges facing Georgetown. An EPA-funded study in 2013 has shown that residents in Georgetown and the 98108 ZIP code are more susceptible to the negative environmental effects caused by the proximity to the industrial district.

Georgetown has about 1,280 residents. The neighborhood includes 16 percent Latinos; 11 percent Asian-Pacific Islanders; and 65 percent White/Caucasian. In comparison, the racial makeup of Seattle is 6 percent Latino, 9.3 percent Asian-Pacific Islander, and 67 percent Caucasian.



<b>23.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	270-1-C-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Report regarding how the Executive plans to implement Resolution 31775, strengthening public safety by affirming the City's support for immigrant and refugee witnesses, survivors, and victims of crime
	<b>Department:</b>	Executive
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	González, Harris-Talley, Johnson
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Asha Venkataraman
	<b>Due Date:</b>	6/29/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

Background

Resolution 31775 was adopted by Council October 2, 2017. It recognizes that effective law enforcement needs the participation and cooperation of crime victims and witnesses and that immigrants and refugees may fear and be reluctant to contact law enforcement, particularly in the current political climate. The Resolution requested the Executive work with community based organizations and immigrant and refugee communities: (1) to build knowledge, capacity, and trust for immigrant victims, survivors, and witnesses to feel safer in reporting crimes and to provide information on potential protections, (2) to identify concrete strategies to improve language access practices for these communities, and (3) to gain a qualitative sense of whether there has been a chilling effect and reduced reporting of crime by immigrant and refugee communities. Under that Resolution, the Executive is to report to Council by April 30, 2018.

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) requests the Human Services Department (HSD), with support from Office of Immigrant Refugee Affairs (OIRA), to determine in a brief memo copied to the Chair of the Gender Equity, Safe Communities, and New Americans Committee and the Council Central Staff Director:

- A baseline of qualitative data about the effect of federal anti-immigrant initiatives and policies on the reporting of crime;
- Community-informed identification of:
  - Existing and future strategies to improve language access practices, knowledge, and capacity to best serve no-English proficiency or limited-English proficiency victims, survivors, and witnesses of violent crimes;
  - Mapping of relationships and roles for various stakeholders including law enforcement agencies, advocates, and community-based organizations; and
  - Gaps that currently exist to support immigrant survivors and witnesses or victims to crime;
- How to continue convening community-based organizations and immigrant and refugee communities on an ongoing basis to ensure the City is continuing to respond to emerging needs related to the issues identified in Resolution 31775; and

Patrick Wigen

Att A – 2018 Statements of Legislative Intent by Committee

D1

- Recommendations to fight any chilling effect reducing the reporting of crime and to encourage reporting of crime by immigrant and refugee communities, including a strategy to work with community-based organizations and other stakeholders to respond to emerging needs.

**GOVERNANCE, EQUITY, AND TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE**

<b>24.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	287-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Privacy Impact Report for Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)
	<b>Department:</b>	Human Services Department (HSD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Alan Lee
	<b>Due Date:</b>	5/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Human Services Department is requested to submit a report to the Council on the Department's use of the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). The report should include a completed Privacy Impact Assessment as well as the following:

- (1) A description of how the potential impact of HMIS deployment on civil rights and liberties and potential disparate impacts on communities of color and other marginalized communities have been taken into account, with a mitigation plan; and
- (2) A description of the fiscal impact of deploying HMIS, including ongoing operating costs such as maintenance, licensing, personnel, legal compliance, use auditing, data retention, and security costs; any cost savings that are achieved through the use of the technology; and the fiscal impact to service providers who are required to utilize the technology.

In completing the Privacy Impact Assessment, the Human Services Department should conduct community engagement; the Executive and Council will work together to identify the components of an effective community engagement process.

People experiencing homelessness are disproportionately from communities and groups that are marginalized by institutions and policies, including in areas like surveillance. Completion of the Privacy Impact Assessment for HMIS will help keep personally identifiable information that is used to improve service for people experiencing homelessness from being used for other unrelated purposes. The report should be filed with City Clerk and submitted to the Chair of the Governance, Equity, and Technology Committee and Council Central Staff Director by May 30, 2018.

**HOUSING, HEALTH, ENERGY, AND WORKERS' RIGHTS COMMITTEE**

<b>25.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b> 230-1-A-1 <b>Title:</b> Bond Funding for Additional Affordable Housing <b>Department:</b> Executive <b>Sponsor(s):</b> O'Brien, Herbold <b>Staff Analyst:</b> Dan Eder, Traci Ratzliff <b>Due Date:</b> 3/31/18
------------	---

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Council plans to issue up to \$50 million in bonds in 2019 to fund additional affordable housing projects for which there were inadequate City Housing funds. The bonds will be repaid with proceeds from the Employee Hours Tax. The bond funds would be used to supplement Housing Levy funds and Incentive Zoning and Mandatory Affordable Housing fees.

The Council requests that the Executive submit a report by January 31, 2018 that includes a list of projects and funding requests made as part of the City's 2017 Notice of Funding Availability process and other Request for Proposals processes that were not able to be funded with existing City resources and that the Executive recommends the City fund in 2018 or beyond.

<b>26.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	261-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Feasibility Study for Siting a Safe Consumption Site in Seattle
	<b>Department:</b>	Human Services Department (HSD) and Finance and Administrative Services (FAS)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Johnson, Bagshaw, González, Harris-Talley, Juarez
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Alan Lee
	<b>Due Date:</b>	2/28/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) requires the Human Services Department and Finance and Administrative Services to provide a feasibility study for siting a safe consumption site in Seattle as recommended by the Heroin and Prescription Opiate Addiction Task Force. The study will address the following areas of concern:

- I. Description of community engagement process regarding siting, including time frames;
- II. Estimates for capital costs and initial costs outside of capital costs and operation; and
- III. Narrative describing division of or shared responsibilities for items I and II among the City and King County in siting a safe consumption site; and
- IV. Narrative describing division of or shared responsibilities among the City and King County in operating a safe consumption site.

This report is to be delivered to the Chair of the Housing, Health, Energy and Workers’ Rights Committee and the Council Central Staff Director by February 28, 2018.

<b>27.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	400-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Develop Recommendations on Worker Retention Protections
	<b>Department:</b>	Executive
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Juarez, Bagshaw, González, Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Patricia Lee
	<b>Due Date:</b>	5/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) states Council’s intent to provide worker retention protections and requests the Executive to provide the City Council with recommendations and options for how they could be added to the:

- City’s contracts for goods and services, and
- public benefit agreements executed when the City makes a significant monetary investment in a project or piece of property that is being privately developed or re-developed.

Background

The City, in exercising its authority to contract for services, has also sought to advance social equity goals. Some examples include SMC 20.45.020 which prohibits contractors on City contracts from discriminating in the provision of employee benefits between an employee with a domestic partner and an employee with a spouse, and SMC 20.46 which requires that the City contract with business partners who consistently engage in fair and responsible business practices.

The City also negotiates a public benefit agreement with a private developer to provide benefits, beyond what is required legally, when the City makes a significant investment in the private development of a facility or capital project.

Requested Information

The Council intends to provide worker retention protections so that workers will, to the extent possible, be rehired when a business is either: (a) temporarily closed, for example during a remodel; or (b) permanently closed and replaced by a successor business.

Council requests the Executive develop recommendations on how worker retention protections can be added to the City’s contract requirements and public benefit agreements. Since these are two separate areas, Council asks that the Executive address these areas separately but both sets of recommendations should address:

- Whether uniform worker retention protection policies, guidelines and contract language should be developed and used in all City contracts and public benefit agreements.
- Whether worker retention protection policies, guidelines and contract language should be developed separately by City departments and tailored to their particular types of services.

- Whether worker protection benefits should extend to subcontracts of City contracts and if so, whether there should be uniform language used Citywide or developed separately by City departments.
- How the City will monitor and enforce worker retention protections.
- Whether certain types of contracts or public benefit agreements should be excluded and why. For example, the recommendations may be that worker retention benefits apply only if the City contract is above a certain amount; the City investment in a private development is above a certain amount; or that the successor business is similar to or requires the same labor force as the previous business.
- Whether there are other scenarios or types of City interests that should trigger worker retention protections.

**HUMAN SERVICES, EQUITABLE DEVELOPMENT, AND RENTER RIGHTS COMMITTEE**

<b>28.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	231-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Operational plan for Homelessness Emergency Response program moved to HSD from FAS
	<b>Department:</b>	Human Services Department (HSD) and Finance and Administrative Services (FAS)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	González
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Alan Lee
	<b>Due Date:</b>	4/6/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/20/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Human Services Department, in consultation with the Department of Finance and Administrative Services (FAS) is requested to submit a report on how it will operate the City's Homelessness Emergency Response program, which is being transitioned to HSD from FAS beginning July 1, 2018, in accordance with GS 233-1-B-1.

The joint-report from HSD should provide the following information:

- A revised plan for the Homelessness Emergency Response program under HSD, including details for:
  - Management of inter-departmental coordination;
  - Ensuring compliance with FAS Encampment Rule 17-01;
  - Providing weekly reports to Council pursuant to budget action GS 240-1-C-2; and
  - Complying with reporting for the Navigation Team, as established by Statement of Legislative Intent 242-1-A-2;
- Changes to staff duties organized by position;
- Revised organizational chart for HSD's Homelessness Strategy and Investment Division; and
- A revised organizational chart for the Homelessness Emergency Response program.

The report should be submitted to the Chair of the Human Services, Equitable Development and Renters' Rights Committee and Council Central Staff Director by April 6, 2018.



<b>29.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	242-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	FAS reporting on Navigation Team pursuant to City Auditor reporting plan recommendations
	<b>Department:</b>	Finance and Administrative Services (FAS) and Human Services Department (HSD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Alan Lee
	<b>Due Date:</b>	Multiple deadlines through 9/30/18 (See body of SLI for detail)

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Department of Finance and Administrative Services (FAS) is directed to report on Navigation Team activities, following the checkpoints on areas of inquiry as described in the 2018 "Reporting Plan for Navigation Team" by the Office of the City Auditor and as outlined in Table 1 below. The Human Services Department (HSD) is directed to assume these reporting responsibilities on July 1, 2018, after the Homelessness Emergency Response program has transitioned from FAS to HSD pursuant to GS 233-1-B-1-2018.

The reporting plan involves multiple checkpoints through the first three quarters of 2018 concerning three areas of inquiry regarding the Navigation Team, including its staff composition, its strategic approach, and financial and performance data. Deadlines for 2018 reporting checkpoints are at various points from January 1 through September 30. All reporting under this plan shall be submitted to the Chair of the Human Services, Equitable Development and Renters' Rights Committee and Council Central Staff Director.

**Table 1: Navigation Team 2018 reporting checkpoints**

<b>Area of Inquiry</b>	<b>Reporting Element</b>	<b>Due by</b>
<b>Team Composition</b>	Analysis of Navigation Team engagement rate	Q1 2018
	Organizational staffing assessment	Q2 or Q3 2018
	Trauma-Informed Care self-assessment	Q1 2018
	Evaluation of Navigation Team training	Q1 2018
<b>Strategic Approach</b>	Assessment of opportunities for early-outreach intervention	Q1 or Q2 2018
	Assessment of opportunities for greater coordination with King County	Q1 or Q2 2018
<b>Strategic Approach (continued)</b>	Assessment of strategies to prevent trash accumulation	Q2 2018
	Assessment of opportunities for prioritizing hygiene	Q2 or Q3 2018
<b>Data and Evaluation</b>	Report on 2017 baseline data – “Results and Outcomes”	Q1 2018
	Report on 2017 expenditures	Q1 2018
	Report on 2017 racial equity impacts	Q1 2018
	Report on 2017 measures of system performance	Q2 2018
	Evaluation plan	Q2 2018
	Plan for unsheltered individuals to be meaningfully involved in Navigation Team evaluation	Q2 2018

<b>30.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	260-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) Expansion
	<b>Department:</b>	LEAD Policy Coordinating Group
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Juarez, Bagshaw, Harris-Talley, Johnson, O'Brien, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Alan Lee
	<b>Due Date:</b>	6/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The City of Seattle members of the LEAD Policy Coordinating Group are requested to ask the LEAD Policy Coordinating Group to propose a plan for LEAD expansion to scale city-wide by the end of 2019 that would be delivered to the Chair of the Human Services, Equitable Development and Renters' Rights Committee and Council Central Staff Director by June 30, 2018. The plan must address the issues of geographic expansion and participation criteria expansion by providing the following:

1. Demographics of persons eligible for LEAD by precinct.
2. Suggestions for expanding LEAD eligibility criteria and geography, accounting for demographics of newly qualified persons by precinct.
3. Cost estimates for any LEAD expansion scenario examined; and
4. Recommendations for evaluating the effectiveness of the expansion.

<b>31.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	281-1-B-2
	<b>Title:</b>	Report by HSD and SDHR on disparities between internal and contracted human service provider wages
	<b>Department:</b>	Human Services Department (HSD) and Seattle Department of Human Resources (SDHR)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O'Brien, Bagshaw, Harris-Talley, Johnson, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Alan Lee
	<b>Due Date:</b>	8/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) directs the Human Services Department (HSD) and the Seattle Department of Human Resources (SDHR) to submit to the Chair of the Housing, Health, Energy and Workers' Rights Committee and the Council Central Staff Director by August 1, 2018, a report regarding wage disparities. HSD should work with SDHR to find the data necessary to inform a report regarding how HSD's Requests for Proposal (RFP) will address disparities in wages between two sets of workers: (1) direct human services providers internal to HSD and (2) direct human service providers funded by social service contracts administered by HSD. The Council anticipates that HSD will request whatever information necessary from providers to complete the report.

In addition to explaining how the RFP process will address wage disparities, the report should include:

- The entire wage data set for each type of worker;
- A comparison of the average, median, and mode wages for each type of worker, including:
  - How the average, median, and mode wages for each type of worker compare to the current market rates for human service providers;
  - How the average, median, and mode wages for each type of worker compare to the standard used to qualify for the City's utility Discount program (70 percent of the state's median income);
- Where there are wage differences between types of workers, a description of the numbers of individuals served in each type of worker's caseload and the types of populations reflected in that caseload; and
- For programs receiving Federal, State, or County funding in addition to City funding, a comparison of the contracted wages funded by each source, including differences in hourly rates and benefits provided, and which types of government funding include inflation increases.

**PLANNING, LAND USE, AND ZONING COMMITTEE**

<b>32.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	134-1-A-2
	<b>Title:</b>	Report by OPCD on work to support Seattle School District facility planning
	<b>Department:</b>	Office of Planning and Community Development (OPCD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O'Brien, Bagshaw, Johnson
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Lish Whitson
<b>Due Date:</b>	8/1/18	

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) requests that the Office of Planning and Community Development (OPCD) report on work to support the Seattle School District's (SSD's) school facility planning needs.

Background

Seattle 2035, Seattle's Comprehensive Plan, states the City's intent to "(p)artner with Seattle Public Schools to plan for expected growth in student population, explore opportunities to reduce the costs of developing new schools, encourage the siting of new school facilities in or near urban centers and villages, and make it easy for students and families to walk and bike to school." (CF 5.3)

In [Ordinance 124919](#), establishing OPCD, this direction was captured by adding the following as one of the functions of the Office:

5. In coordination with the Department of Education and Early Learning and in partnership with the Seattle School District No. 1, OPCD will develop planning strategies that support the District's public school facility needs for anticipated student population consistent with adopted comprehensive plan policies and growth forecasts.

Council requests that OPCD report on these strategies and how school facility needs are being considered in planning projects.

<b>33.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	135-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	OPCD Report on Community Planning Prioritization
	<b>Department:</b>	Office of Planning and Community Development (OPCD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Herbold
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Lish Whitson
	<b>Due Date:</b>	4/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislation Intent (SLI) requests that the Office of Planning and Community Development (OPCD) report on approaches to community planning, including discussion of how, where and when they will undertake planning activities. Seattle 2035, the City of Seattle's Comprehensive Plan, has as a goal that the City will "work with a broad range of community members to plan for future homes, jobs, recreation, transportation options and gathering places in their community" (CI G2). The plan identifies a number of criteria that will be considered when allocating City resources for community planning. In 2017 and 2018, OPCD is completing a number of community planning projects, including plans and design guidelines for the University District, Uptown and 23rd Avenue.

In the 2018 work plan sent to Council with the budget, OPCD has identified two FTE (\$322,000) to begin new community planning projects starting in mid-2018. The Council is considering adding another position to start in mid-2018 to support this work (see Green Sheet 131-1-B-1). Depending on the level of effort required to complete the citywide Mandatory Housing Affordability legislation, additional resources may become available in mid-2018.

Before OPCD begins any new community planning projects, the Council requests a briefing at the Planning, Land Use and Zoning Committee and a report on (1) how the City will prioritize areas for community planning; (2) which areas OPCD intends to begin working with in 2018 as resources are made available; (3) how OPCD intends to approach planning with Seattle's communities; and (4) how OPCD will approach working with each community that it will work with in 2018.

**SUSTAINABILITY AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

<b>34.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	31-1-B-2
	<b>Title:</b>	SDOT and OSE Food Access Pilot
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) and Office of Sustainability and Environment (OSE)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Johnson, Bagshaw, Harris-Talley, O'Brien, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Calvin Chow, Tony Kilduff
	<b>Due Date:</b>	4/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) requests that the Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT), in coordination with the Office of Sustainability and the Environment (OSE), report back to Council regarding how it would implement a transportation voucher pilot program to provide residents living in low-income housing located in food deserts (for example, Brettler Family Place in Magnuson Park) with free ride share vouchers to get to and from locations providing healthy food options, like grocery stores accepting Fresh Bucks and farmers markets. A major barrier to accessing healthy food and participation in the Fresh Bucks program is a user's ability to get to and from grocery stores and local farmers markets.

The report should include:

- How "food desert" is defined;
- An estimate of how much funding is needed to implement a pilot program;
- The number of individuals and families residing in food deserts;
- The cost per individual or family for the program;
- How long the pilot program would last;
- The outcomes used to evaluate the pilot program; and
- An evaluation of whether this is an effective strategy to provide low-income residents with food.

<b>35.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	55-1-A-2
	<b>Title:</b>	Speed and Reliability recommendations for the South Lake Union and First Hill streetcar lines
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O'Brien, Bagshaw, González, Johnson, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Calvin Chow
	<b>Due Date:</b>	3/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

With the construction of the Center City Streetcar, SDOT will connect the existing South Lake Union and First Hill streetcar lines into a streetcar network. The Center City Streetcar segment will be constructed largely in right-of-way dedicated for transit operations. The existing South Lake Union and First Hill streetcar lines were designed and constructed in right-of-way with mixed-traffic.

The Council requests that SDOT provide a report on recommendations to improve speed and reliability on the existing South Lake Union and First Hill streetcar lines. The report should consider a variety of improvements, including signals, signage, striping, right-of-way management, enforcement, dedicated right-of-way for transit, and potential reconstruction of trackway segments. The report should provide estimates for implementation costs and the anticipated transit benefits for each recommendation.



<b>36.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	56-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Downtown Alley Congestion Relief
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) and Office of Planning and Community Development (OPCD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Bagshaw, Johnson, O’Brien
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Calvin Chow
	<b>Due Date:</b>	7/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

Council requests that the Seattle Department of Transportation, in collaboration with the Office of Planning and Community Development, Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections, Seattle Public Utilities and Seattle Police Department, identify and report on tools to reduce alley congestion in the Downtown core.

Alley congestion refers to site conditions and vehicle use that limit the ability of alleys to function for circulation and access to adjoining properties. Strategies to consider should include, but are not limited to, education, enforcement and design of the built environment.

<b>37.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	57-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Transportation Management Plan monitoring and enforcement fee proposal
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O'Brien, Johnson, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Calvin Chow
	<b>Due Date:</b>	3/31/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

This Statement of Legislative Intent would request that the Executive develop draft legislation for Council consideration to implement a new fee to support monitoring and compliance of Transportation Management Plans (TMPs).

The City has limited resources to monitor and enforce compliance of TMPs as required by SEPA and other Land Use Code requirements. The Seattle Department of Transportation provides technical assistance and monitoring for buildings that have TMP requirements, while the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections is responsible for legal enforcement of non-complying TMPs. A new fee related to TMPs could provide additional resources to meet monitoring and enforcement obligations.

As part of the draft legislation, Council requests that SDCI include a statement on the process and commitment to enforce non-complying TMPs, pursuant to SMC 23.90.018.

There are approximately 200 buildings that currently have TMP requirements; TMPs may also be imposed as part of the permitting process on future developments.

<b>38.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	58-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Automated enforcement of block-the-box and transit-only lane violations
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) and Seattle Police Department (SPD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O'Brien, Bagshaw, Johnson
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Calvin Chow
	<b>Due Date:</b>	5/2/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

Council requests that the Department of Transportation, in conjunction with Seattle Police Department, report on the potential for using automated enforcement to reduce "block-the-box" incidents and transit lane violations. The report should address any technological, legislative, and budgetary needs to implement automated enforcement strategies.

Background

"Block-the-box" is a term used to describe when vehicles fail to properly clear an intersection. When vehicles block-the-box, other vehicles are unable to make their intended maneuver, which in turn can exacerbate congestion and delay. There are an increasing number of block-the-box incidents at intersections in and around downtown Seattle during peak commute hours. Block-the-box incidents can occur near fire stations or hospitals (impacting emergency services), and they can interfere with transit service along high-frequency transit corridors.

Use of transit-only lanes by non-transit vehicles is also increasing, affecting the speed and reliability of transit service. Traditional traffic enforcement can further impact transit service when vehicles are stopped and cited in the transit-only lane.

<b>39.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	59-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Report on Bridge Safety Analysis Study
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O'Brien, Bagshaw, Johnson
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Calvin Chow
	<b>Due Date:</b>	2/23/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 8- 1-Absent	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) is conducting a citywide Bridge Safety Analysis as part of the Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement Program funded by the Move Seattle Levy. This analysis will identify the bridges that are most in need of improvements for bicyclists and pedestrians. This information will provide guidance on the type of improvements that should be considered in the rehabilitation/replacement of the 10 bridges identified as part of the Move Seattle Levy-funded program, as well as inform the City on the additional funding needs to make improvements on bridges that are not part of this program.

Council requests that SDOT report provide a report on the results of the Bridge Safety Analysis. The report should include:

- An implementation plan for improvements identified in the Bridge Safety Analysis.
- Detailed cost assessments for the improvements identified in the Bridge Safety Analysis.
- SDOT's proposed prioritization for bridge improvements.

<b>40.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	60-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Transportation Network Company adoption of Autonomous Vehicle Technology
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT), Finance and Administrative Services (FAS), Office of Sustainability and Environment (OSE), Office of Labor Standards (OLS), and Seattle Police Department (SPD)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O’Brien, Bagshaw, Johnson
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Calvin Chow
	<b>Due Date:</b>	6/30/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

Vehicle manufacturers and technology companies have invested \$80 billion to rapidly test and deploy commercially feasible fully Automated Vehicle (AV) technologies. It is only a matter of time before Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) like Lyft, Uber, and Reach Now Ride begin utilizing and even solely relying on AVs to serve their customers. In recent months, Governor Inslee signed Executive Order 17-02 (Autonomous Vehicle Testing & Technology); and the US Senate Commerce Committee passed the AV START Act, making the inevitable emergence of highly automated vehicles, and particularly AV TNC fleets, closer to operating on Seattle streets.

The Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) recently released its New Mobility Playbook to anticipate and shape disruptive changes to the transportation system. This strategic document suggests that the City could play a role in how such technology is deployed such that shared AVs could reduce household transportation costs, carbon emissions, congestion, and traffic injuries and fatalities. At the same time, rapid adoption of AVs by TNCs without thoughtful policy parameters could lead to more single-occupant vehicle trips and empty vehicles looking for riders, causing more congestion, greater energy consumption, impacts on transit use, exacerbated inequities (particularly in communities of color), and loss of employment by the tens of thousands of TNC, taxi, and for-hire drivers who make their home in Seattle and surrounding communities.

Council requests that SDOT, in conjunction with Finance and Administration Services, the Office of Sustainability and Environment, the Office of Labor Standards, and Seattle Police Department, report to Council on a policy analysis, strategy, and legislative proposal to regulate automated TNC fleet services and address the impacts and inequities raised above while also maximizing the benefits of increased adoption of AV technologies. The report should identify:

- Policy levers to be exercised to meet our mobility, climate, and equity goals;
- Whether the City should develop separate regulations for TNCs that operate AV fleet services or to update the existing TNC Ordinance;
- Whether to regulate the service, vehicle, and provider rather than the driver;
- Whether to regulate individual AV owners’ ability to operate their own TNC platforms; and
- Policy responses to other secondary impacts of AV TNCs, including labor and Race and Social Justice impacts.

<b>41.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	61-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	SDOT CIP Transparency
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O'Brien, Bagshaw, Johnson
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Calvin Chow
	<b>Due Date:</b>	5/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

Council requests that the Executive provide a report identifying options for improving the transparency of the Seattle Department of Transportation's (SDOT) CIP program. The report should consider reorganizing Budget Control Levels/Budget Summary Levels, adding CIP Programs to summarize SDOT CIP projects by different classes of infrastructure, or additional summary information in the CIP narrative. Such options could include classifying investments by mode, or by other characteristics that would help the general public understand capital spending in the CIP. The report should consider how such transparency improvements can be made in coordination with the accounting system upgrade to Summit version 9.2.

The report should also consider ways to highlight the specific projects included in programmatic CIP projects, such as the Arterial Asphalt & Concrete Program Phase II (TC367740); this could include additional summary information for select projects in the introductory CIP narrative, or in the project descriptions of individual CIP project pages.

The report should identify recommendations that could be implemented during the development and approval of the 2019-2024 Capital Improvement Plan.

<b>42.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	62-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Implementation of Move Seattle BRT Corridors
	<b>Department:</b>	Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT)
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	O'Brien, Bagshaw, Johnson
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Calvin Chow
	<b>Due Date:</b>	7/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

The Move Seattle levy anticipates leveraging significant grant and partnership contributions for the seven bus rapid transit (BRT Corridor) projects identified in the levy. The total assumed grant and external partnership contributions for these seven projects is \$211 million, which is about 65 percent of the overall estimated project costs. The 2018 Proposed Budget advances design on five of these projects, including Madison BRT, Roosevelt RapidRide, Delridge RapidRide, Rainier RapidRide, and Market/45<sup>th</sup> RapidRide.

Given the uncertainty with federal transportation funding under the current administration, Council requests that the Seattle Department of Transportation provide a report on federal funding opportunities and present options for delivering the seven BRT Corridor projects. Options should include revising project delivery schedules, reducing scope across projects, or prioritizing corridors for available funding. Options should consider transit integration needs, such as the opening of Sound Transit light rail stations and King County Metro restructuring.

**BUDGET COMMITTEE**

<b>43.</b>	<b>SLI Number:</b>	296-1-A-1
	<b>Title:</b>	Create and outline an inclusive role of Boards and Commissions in the City Council Budget Review Process
	<b>Department:</b>	Legislative Department
	<b>Sponsor(s):</b>	Harris-Talley, Harrell, O'Brien, Sawant
	<b>Staff Analyst:</b>	Kirstan Arestad, Erik Sund
	<b>Due Date:</b>	8/1/18

**Budget Committee Vote:**

Date	Result	SB	KH	LG	BH	LH	RJ	DJ	MO	KS
11/15/2017	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

**Statement of Legislative Intent:**

In adopting the 2018 Budget it is the intent of the City Council that the Central Staff Director and the Central Staff Budget Coordinator, with input from selected boards & commissions, evaluate the Council's annual budget process. The goal of the review is to include the boards & commissions in the Council's budget deliberation process to better: draw on their subject matter expertise; better understand the communities' perspectives and how they may be impacted by budget changes; and formalize communication between the boards & commissions and the City Council.

In addition to the written report due by August 1, 2018, this work could also be included in the City Council's 2018 Work Plan.

The report should, as a general matter:

- Identify specific strategies for how the boards & commissions will be incorporated into the budget process;
- Identify specific time periods in which boards & commissions will be given the opportunity to communicate their priorities to the Council;
- Update the Central Staff's fall *Budget Review Process Calendar* to include boards & commissions involvement; and
- Identify specific ways community issues and concerns could be brought into the budget deliberation process through the boards & commissions.

Background

Seventy-one boards & commissions, most of whose members are appointed by the Council and the Mayor, work on issues that touch all City departments, including human services, land use, transportation, civil rights, and more. These boards have expertise on issues important to their respective communities.



Boards & commissions that should be prioritized for inclusion in the process include:

- Community Involvement Commission
- Community Police Commission
- Labor Standards Advisory Commission
- Mayor’s Council on African American Elders
- Seattle Chinatown/International District PDA
- Seattle Commission for People with Disabilities
- Seattle Human Rights Commission
- Seattle Immigrant and Refugee Commission
- Seattle Indian Services Commissions
- Seattle-King County Advisory Council on Aging & Disability Services
- Seattle LGBTQ Commission
- Seattle Renters’ Commission
- Seattle Women’s Commission
- Seattle Youth Commission