



SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL

Public Safety and Human Services Committee

Agenda

Tuesday, May 9, 2023

9:30 AM

Council Chamber, City Hall
600 4th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Lisa Herbold, Chair
Andrew J. Lewis, Vice-Chair
Teresa Mosqueda, Member
Sara Nelson, Member
Alex Pedersen, Member

Chair Info: 206-684-8801; Lisa.Herbold@seattle.gov

[Watch Council Meetings Live](#) [View Past Council Meetings](#)

Council Chamber Listen Line: 206-684-8566

For accessibility information and for accommodation requests, please call 206-684-8888 (TTY Relay 7-1-1), email CouncilAgenda@Seattle.gov, or visit <http://seattle.gov/cityclerk/accommodations>.



SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL
Public Safety and Human Services Committee
Agenda
May 9, 2023 - 9:30 AM

Meeting Location:

Council Chamber, City Hall, 600 4th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104

Committee Website:

<http://www.seattle.gov/council/committees/public-safety-and-human-services>

This meeting also constitutes a meeting of the City Council, provided that the meeting shall be conducted as a committee meeting under the Council Rules and Procedures, and Council action shall be limited to committee business.

Members of the public may register for remote or in-person Public Comment to address the Council. Details on how to provide Public Comment are listed below:

Remote Public Comment - Register online to speak during the Public Comment period at the meeting at <http://www.seattle.gov/council/committees/public-comment>. Online registration to speak will begin two hours before the meeting start time, and registration will end at the conclusion of the Public Comment period during the meeting. Speakers must be registered in order to be recognized by the Chair.

In-Person Public Comment - Register to speak on the Public Comment sign-up sheet located inside Council Chambers at least 15 minutes prior to the meeting start time. Registration will end at the conclusion of the Public Comment period during the meeting. Speakers must be registered in order to be recognized by the Chair.

Submit written comments to Councilmember Herbold at lisa.herbold@seattle.gov

Please Note: Times listed are estimated

A. Call To Order

B. Approval of the Agenda

C. Public Comment

D. Items of Business

1. [Appt 02509](#) **Appointment of Anthony Gaedcke as member, Community Police Commission, for a term to December 31, 2025.**

Attachments: [Appointment Packet](#)

Briefing, Discussion and Possible Vote (5 minutes)

Presenter: Joel Merkel, Co-Chair, Community Police Commission

2. [CB 120560](#) **AN ORDINANCE relating to appropriations for the Human Services Department; amending Ordinance 126725, which adopted the 2023 Budget; lifting a proviso; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.**

Supporting Documents: [Summary and Fiscal Note](#)

[Presentation](#)

[Central Staff Memo](#)

Briefing, Discussion and Possible Vote (15 minutes)

Presenter: Anne Lee, Interim Deputy Director, Human Services Department

3. **LEAD/Co-LEAD Contract Update**

Supporting Documents: [Presentation](#)

Briefing and Discussion (30 minutes)

Presenters: Tara Moss, Co-Executive Director, Purpose Dignity Action; Chris Klaeyesen, Human Services Department; Ann Gorman, Council Central Staff

4. **Draft App-Based Workers Deactivation Rights Ordinance**

Supporting Documents: [Draft Ordinance](#)
[Presentation](#)

Briefing and Discussion (45 minutes)

Presenters: Jasmine Marwaha and Karina Bull, Council Central Staff

E. Adjournment



Legislation Text

File #: Appt 02509, **Version:** 1

Appointment of Anthony Gaedcke as member, Community Police Commission, for a term to December 31, 2025.

The Appointment Packet is provided as an attachment.



City of Seattle Boards & Commissions Notice of Appointment

Appointee Name: <i>Anthony Gaedcke</i>		
Board/Commission Name: <i>Community Police Commission</i>		Position Title: <i>Member</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appointment OR <input type="checkbox"/> Reappointment		Council Confirmation required? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Appointing Authority: <input type="checkbox"/> Council <input type="checkbox"/> Mayor <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <i>Community Police Commission</i>	Date Appointed: <i>3/22/2023</i>	Term of Position: * <i>1/1/2023</i> to <i>12/31/2025</i> <i>Serving remaining term of a vacant position</i>
Residential Neighborhood:	Zip Code: <i>98296</i>	Contact Phone No.:
Background: <i>Anthony Gaedcke is a lieutenant with the Seattle Police Department. He has been with the Seattle Police Department since 2000, previously as a detective/officer and then a sergeant. He is a Board Member and the Vice President of SPMA. Previously he was a uniformed patrol officer with Farmington Hills Police Department and Garden City Police Department in Michigan.</i>		
Authorizing Signature (original signature): 	Appointing Signatory: <i>Joel C. Merkel</i> <i>Community Police Commission Co-Chair</i>	
Authorizing Signature (original signature): 	Appointing Signatory: <i>Reverend Patricia Hunter</i> <i>Community Police Commission Co-Chair</i>	

*Term begin and end date is fixed and tied to the position and not appointment date.

Lieutenant Anthony Gaedcke

Years of Experience: 29 years (Lieutenant, Sergeant, Detective, Officer)

Professional Experience

Seattle Police Department

2000 – Present

Lieutenant, 10/2017 to Present

- Crime Scene Investigations Commander
- Firearms Training Unit Commander
- Force Review Unit Lieutenant/Acting Captain
- Canine Unit Commander
- West Precinct Administrative Lieutenant

Sergeant, 07/2013 to 10/2017

- Special Weapons and Tactics
- North Precinct Detective Unit
- North Precinct Patrol, 1st Watch

Detective/Officer, 07/2000 to 07/2013

- Detective, Audit and Policy Unit
- Detective, West Precinct Detective Unit
- Officer, West Precinct Neighborhood Corrections Initiative (NCI) Team
- Officer, West Precinct Community Police Team
- Officer, West Precinct King Beats Bicycle Unit
- Officer, North and West Precinct Uniformed Patrol

Additional Duties

Seattle Police Management Association (SPMA) Board Member/Vice President, 03/2022 to Present

Emergency Operations Center (EOC) Department Representative, 03/2022 to Present

Farmington Hills Police Department, Farmington Hills, MI

07/1997 – 07/2000

Uniformed Patrol Officer

Garden City Police Department, Garden City, MI

04/1994 – 07/1997

Uniformed Patrol Officer

Community Police Commission

21 Members: Pursuant to 125315, all members subject to City Council confirmation, 3

- 7 City Council-appointed
- 7 Mayor-appointed
- 7 Other Appointing Authority-appointed (specify):

Roster:

*D	**G	RD	Position No.	Position Title	Name	Term Begin Date	Term End Date	Term #	Appointed By
	F		1.	Member	Lars Erickson	1/1/23	12/31/25	1	Mayor
			2.	Member	Patricia L. Hunter	1/1/21	12/31/23	1	City Council
			3.	Public Defense	Adrien Leavitt	1/1/21	12/31/23	1	CPC
2	F		4.	Member	Suzette Dickerson	1/1/21	12/31/23	2	Mayor
			5.	Member	Vacant	1/1/21	12/31/23		City Council
			6.	Civil Liberties	Lynne Wilson	1/1/21	12/31/23	1	CPC
	F		7.	Member	Raven Nicole Tyler	1/1/22	12/31/24	1	Mayor
			8.	Member	Mary Ruffin	1/1/22	12/31/24	1	City Council
4	M		9.	Member	Vacant	1/1/20	12/31/22		CPC
2	F		10.	Member	Harriett Walden	1/1/19	12/31/21	3	Mayor
			11.	Member	Joel Merkel	1/1/22	12/31/24	1	City Council
7	M		12.	Member	Amante (Monty) B. Vizcaya	1/1/22	12/31/24	1	CPC
9	F		13.	Member	Vacant	1/1/22	12/31/24		Mayor
			14.	Member	Le'Jayah Washington	1/1/22	12/31/24	2	City Council
2	M		15.	SPOG	Mark Mullens	1/1/23	12/31/25	3	CPC
			16.	Member	Vacant	1/1/20	12/31/22		Mayor
3	NB	3	17.	Member	Alina Santillan	1/1/23	12/31/25	2	City Council
			18.	SPMA	Anthony Gaedcke	1/1/23	12/31/25	1	CPC
			19.	Member	Jeremy Wood	1/1/22	12/31/23	1	Mayor
			20.	Member	Tascha R. Johnson	1/1/23	12/31/25	2	City Council
2	F		21.	Member	Erica Newman	1/1/23	12/31/25	2	CPC

SELF-IDENTIFIED DIVERSITY CHART

					(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Male	Female	Transgender	NB/ O/ U	Asian	Black/ African American	Hispanic/ Latino	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Other	Caucasian/ Non- Hispanic	Pacific Islander	Middle Eastern	Multiracial
Mayor		5				2		2					2
Council	1	1	1			2	1						1
Other	4	2			1	2			1	1	1		
Total	5	9	1		1	6	1	2	1	1	1		3

Key:

***D** List the corresponding *Diversity Chart* number (1 through 9)

****G** List *gender*, **M**= Male, **F**= Female, **T**= Transgender, **NB**= Non-Binary **O**= Other **U**= Unknown

RD Residential Council District number 1 through 7 or N/A

Diversity information is self-identified and is voluntary.



Legislation Text

File #: CB 120560, **Version:** 1

CITY OF SEATTLE

ORDINANCE _____

COUNCIL BILL _____

AN ORDINANCE relating to appropriations for the Human Services Department; amending Ordinance 126725, which adopted the 2023 Budget; lifting a proviso; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.

WHEREAS, The City of Seattle's 2023 Adopted Budget included a proviso on funds in the Human Services Department related to therapeutic services for women who have experienced sexual exploitation on Aurora Avenue N.; and

WHEREAS, the proviso in Council Budget Action HSD-021-A-002 specifies that, "Of the appropriation in the 2023 budget for the Human Services Department, \$200,000 is appropriated solely for therapeutic services including behavioral health, advocacy, and medical wrap-around services for women who have experienced sexual exploitation on Aurora Avenue N. and may be spent for no other purpose. Council has identified the 'Safe, Healthy, Empowered' (S.H.E.) Clinic for this funding and requests that the Human Services Department Director waive the requirements of Seattle Municipal Code subsection 3.20.050.B, as authorized by subsection 3.20.050.C. Furthermore, none of the money so appropriated may be spent until authorized by future ordinance. Council anticipates that such authority will not be granted until the Human Services Department announces the recipients of the funding awarded through the 2022 gender based violence survivor services request for proposals."; and

WHEREAS, the Human Services Department will contract these funds to the Safe, Healthy, Empowered (S.H.E.) Clinic to meet the conditions outlined in Council Budget Action HSD-021-A-002 and the Mayor and Council now wish to lift the proviso; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The restrictions imposed by the following budget proviso, which limits spending on the following item, are removed because the conditions set forth in the proviso have been satisfied and they are no longer restrictions for any purpose, including those set forth in subsection 1(b) of Ordinance 126725:

Item	Department	2023 CBA	Proviso	Budget Summary Level
1.1	Human Services Department	HSD-021-A-002	"Of the appropriation in the 2023 budget for the Human Services Department, \$200,000 is appropriated solely for therapeutic services including behavioral health, advocacy, and medical wrap-around services for women who have experienced sexual exploitation on Aurora Avenue N. and may be spent for no other purpose. Council has identified the 'Safe, Healthy, Empowered' (S.H.E.) Clinic for this funding and requests that the Human Services Department Director waive the requirements of Seattle Municipal Code subsection 3.20.050.B, as authorized by subsection 3.20.050.C. Furthermore, none of the money so appropriated may be spent until authorized by future ordinance. Council anticipates that such authority will not be granted until the Human Services Department announces the recipients of the funding awarded through the 2022 gender based violence survivor services request for proposals."	HSD - BO-HS-H4000 - Supporting Safe Communities

Section 2. Any act consistent with the authority of this ordinance taken after its passage and prior to its effective date is ratified and confirmed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force 30 days after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Seattle Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the _____ day of _____, 2023, and signed by
me in open session in authentication of its passage this _____ day of _____, 2023.

President _____ of the City Council

Approved / returned unsigned / vetoed this _____ day of _____, 2023.

Bruce A. Harrell, Mayor

Filed by me this _____ day of _____, 2023.

Elizabeth M. Adkisson, Interim City Clerk

(Seal)

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact:	CBO Contact:
Human Services Department	Anne Lee	Ramandeep Kaur

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE relating to appropriations for the Human Services Department; amending Ordinance 126725, which adopted the 2023 Budget; lifting a proviso; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.

Summary and Background of the Legislation: This legislation lifts a budget proviso placed on funds in Human Services Department related to therapeutic services for women who have experienced sexual exploitation on Aurora Avenue N. (HSD-021-A-002). The 2023 Adopted Budget includes \$200,000 to support these efforts. Council adopted a proviso on these funds which states:

“Of the appropriation in the 2023 budget for the Human Services Department, \$200,000 is appropriated solely for therapeutic services including behavioral health, advocacy, and medical wrap-around services for women who have experienced sexual exploitation on Aurora Avenue N. and may be spent for no other purpose. Council has identified the 'Safe, Healthy, Empowered' (S.H.E.) Clinic for this funding and requests that the Human Services Department Director waive the requirements of Seattle Municipal Code subsection 3.20.050.B, as authorized by subsection 3.20.050.C. Furthermore, none of the money so appropriated may be spent until authorized by future ordinance. Council anticipates that such authority will not be granted until the Human Services Department announces the recipients of the funding awarded through the 2022 gender based violence survivor services request for proposals.”

These funds will be awarded by HSD to the S.H.E. Clinic per this Council Budget Action.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ___ Yes X No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? ___ Yes X No

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?
No.

Are there financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?

No.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

No.

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

No.

c. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No.

d. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

No.

e. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?

People of color, youth and young adults, LGBTQ+ individuals, immigrants and refugees, and those made vulnerable through socioeconomic barriers, homelessness, and disability are disproportionately affected by human trafficking and sexual exploitation.

f. Climate Change Implications

1. Emissions: Is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way?

No.

2. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.

No.

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)?

The community-led organization, in partnership with the Human Services Department, will develop the program goals and outcomes to measure increased access for therapeutic services for women who have experienced sexual exploitation on Aurora Avenue N.

Supporting Safe Communities Proviso Lift ORD

Anne Lee, Interim Deputy Director, Human Services Department

Public Safety and Human Services Committee

May 9, 2023



Agenda

- Legislation Overview
- Spending Plan
- Questions

Legislation Overview

- This legislation lifts a proviso included in the 2023 Adopted Budget on funds in the Human Services Department:

CBA HSD-021-A-002 specifies that, “Of the appropriation in the 2023 budget for the Human Services Department, \$200,000 is appropriated solely for therapeutic services including behavioral health, advocacy, and medical wrap-around services for women who have experienced sexual exploitation on Aurora Avenue N. and may be spent for no other purpose. Council has identified the 'Safe, Healthy, Empowered' (S.H.E.) Clinic for this funding...”

Legislation Overview (continued)

"Furthermore, none of the money so appropriated may be spent until authorized by future ordinance. Council anticipates that such authority will not be granted until the Human Services Department announces the recipients of the funding awarded through the 2022 gender-based violence survivor services request for proposals."

- Awards for the **Gender-Based Violence Survivor Services RFP** were announced in December 2022.

Spending Plan

- Contract with Safe Health Empowered (S.H.E.) Clinic which meets the conditions outlined in the Council Budget Action.
- S.H.E. Clinic is a program of Aurora Commons and in partnership with Harborview providing clinical services to women on Aurora Ave.

Spending Plan (continued)

If this legislation passes, funds will be contracted with Aurora Commons to support the S.H.E. Clinic, a regular mobile health unit for women on Aurora Avenue facing homelessness, drug dependence, violence, and commercial sexual exploitation. The S.H.E. Clinic provides:

- Low barrier drop-in space
- Multidisciplinary health care
- Behavioral health services, including mental health care, substance use counseling, and life skills.
- On-site community advocates that provide crisis intervention, support and connection to medical and therapeutic resources, and emotional support and information about DV/SA/CSE resources.

QUESTIONS?



May 4, 2023

MEMORANDUM

To: Public Safety and Human Services Committee
From: Asha Venkataraman, Analyst
Subject: CB 120560: HSD proviso lift

On May 9, 2023, the Public Health and Human Services Committee will discuss and possibly vote on [Council Bill \(CB\) 120560](#), which would lift a proviso on funds in the 2023 Adopted Budget related to therapeutic services for women who have experienced sexual exploitation. This memo provides background, describes CB 120560, and outlines next steps.

Background

The 2023 Adopted Budget includes [CBA-HSD-021-A-002](#), sponsored by Councilmember Juarez, which appropriated \$200,000 of one-time funding to the Human Services Department (HSD) and imposed a proviso. The proviso: (1) restricts expenditure of the funds to therapeutic services including behavioral health, advocacy, and medical wrap-around services for women who have experienced sexual exploitation on Aurora Avenue N, and specifically identified the “Safe, Healthy, Empowered” (S.H.E.) clinic as a recipient; and (2) requires a future ordinance to lift the proviso and authorize spending. The Council anticipated that a proviso lift ordinance would not be considered until HSD announced to whom it was awarding funds in response to its 2022 request for proposals (RFP) for gender-based violence survivor services. HSD announced its awards in December 2022.

CB 120560

This legislation would lift the proviso placed on the \$200,000 and allow HSD to award the funds to the S.H.E. Clinic. The S.H.E. Clinic is a program partnership between Aurora Commons and Harborview Medical Center. It opened in 2018 to provide healthcare services to survivors of commercial sexual exploitation, domestic violence, and sexual assault in a context that recognizes that the services needed are caused by homelessness, poverty, drug dependence, and violent victimization, but that these same factors can serve as barriers to access.

Next Steps

If the committee votes in favor of passing this legislation, CB 120560 will be in front of the Council for a vote on May 16, 2023.

cc: Esther Handy, Director
Aly Pennucci, Deputy Director
Greg Doss, Lead Analyst



Legislation Text

File #: Inf 2266, **Version:** 1

LEAD/Co-LEAD Contract Update

LEAD/Co-LEAD Contract Update

Chris Klaeyesen, Strategic Advisor, Human Services Department
Tara Moss, Co-Executive Director for Programs, Purpose. Dignity. Action.

Public Safety & Human Services Committee
May 9, 2023

Human Services Department



Agenda

- Introduction
- LEAD & Co-LEAD Program Models
- Performance Metrics
- Program Budget
- Questions



Introduction

- The Human Services Department's (HSD) mission is to connect people with resources and solutions during times of need so we can all live, learn, work and take part in strong, healthy communities.
- HSD's six impact areas are:
 - Preparing Youth for Success
 - Supporting Affordability and Livability
 - Addressing Homelessness
 - Promoting Public Health
 - Supporting Safe Communities
 - Promoting Healthy Aging



Seattle
Human Services
Equity • Support • Community



Introduction

- Let Everyone Advance with Dignity (LEAD) is a post-arrest/pre-booking program allowing law enforcement and community members to redirect people engaged in low-level offenses to community-based services instead of jail and prosecution.
- Co-LEAD provides temporary lodging and intensive case management to LEAD-eligible individuals who are living unsheltered.



Introduction

- LEAD has grown increasingly complex over time. HSD began funding LEAD in 2014 at \$830,000, and the 2023 LEAD contract now stands at nearly \$16.6 million.
- Co-LEAD launched in 2020 and expanded the larger model of care. HSD amended its 2022 LEAD contract to integrate this program into the City's portfolio.
- HSD and Purpose. Dignity. Action's primary goals for the 2023 contract were to
 - Bring clarity to both the LEAD and Co-LEAD program models;
 - Improve performance metrics to better elucidate program activities and outcomes; and
 - Provide separate budgets to understand the costs of each program pathway.

LEAD & Co-LEAD Program Models

- HSD and PDA executed a single contract to reinforce that LEAD and Co-LEAD operate under an umbrella mission of addressing and reducing public safety concerns in Seattle.
- Despite this shared mission, LEAD and Co-LEAD provide different services.
- DA23-1492 provides separate scopes to clarify their unique program models and how they each aim to achieve that mission.



LEAD & Co-LEAD Program Models

Program Component	LEAD	Co-LEAD
Client Eligibility	Individuals who commit, or are at high risk of committing, law violations related to behavioral health challenges and/or income instability	Individuals who meet LEAD criteria <u>AND</u> are unsheltered with high community impact
Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outreach-based care • Case management and resource navigation • Flex funding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case management • Emergency lodging • Housing navigation and legal services • Aftercare services
Service Providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • REACH • PDA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PDA • We Deliver Care (WDC) • Reblx

LEAD & Co-LEAD Referral Pathways

LEAD

- Law enforcement referrals via Arrest Diversion and Social Contact pathways
- Community referrals with emphasis on focus impact areas:
 - Ballard
 - University District
 - Chinatown/International District
 - Rainier Valley
 - SW Delridge
 - Upper 3rd Ave.
 - E Precinct High Priority Individuals
 - Aurora
 - SoDO BIA Priority individuals

LEAD & Co-LEAD Referral Pathways

Co-LEAD

- LEAD pathway – unsheltered LEAD-eligible individuals from focus impact areas (i.e., Third Ave Project)
- Encampment/Public Safety response (i.e., SW Michigan and 1st Ave S encampment resolution)



Performance Metrics

Ongoing Metrics from 2022 Contract	New Metrics for 2023 Contract
<p>Monthly Reporting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Client enrollments in LEAD or Co-LEAD services; • # of individuals engaged in systems navigation; • # of individuals referred by referral pathway; • # of active clients connected to behavioral health programs and substance use treatment programs; • Client progression; • Average LEAD caseload; • Operational Workgroup or Case Conferencing Meetings; • # of meetings to educate community about LEAD <p>Quarterly Reporting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hold 3 Policy Coordinating Group Meetings 	<p>Monthly Reporting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of individuals referred by focus impact geographic areas; • # of active clients connected to legal services; • # of active clients establishing lawful income source; • # of substantive meetings with case managers; • # of clients with substantive meetings in the last 30 days; • Co-LEAD occupancy rate; • Co-LEAD average length of stay <p>Quarterly Reporting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of clients achieving at least 1 goal; • # of clients achieving goals by goal category; • # of Co-LEAD exits to permanent housing, temporary housing, and homelessness

Program Budget and Staffing

- Total Contract Budget for LEAD & Co-LEAD is \$14,494,732
 - HSD is holding \$2 million for Co-LEAD lease costs
- Of total contract, there are separate allocations for each program:
 - LEAD - \$9,897,522
 - Co-LEAD - \$4,597,210
- Contract funds roughly 63 and 21 staff for LEAD and Co-LEAD, respectively.

Program Budget

Funder	2023 Amount
City of Seattle	\$9,897,522
Recovery Navigator Program	\$1,741,009
MIDD	\$1,347,391
Trueblood	\$145,352
H.R.2617 - Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023	\$1,500,000
Total Project	\$14,631,273

The table to the left identifies total 2023 funding for the LEAD program from all funders.

Program Budget

Funder	2023 Amount
City of Seattle	\$4,597,210
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	\$5,087,666 ¹
H.R.2617 - Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023	\$2,673,098
Total Project	\$12,358,075

The table to the left identifies total 2023 funding for the Co-LEAD program from all funders.

¹Includes a projected half-year KCRHA contribution of \$2,543,883

Questions?





Legislation Text

File #: Inf 2267, **Version:** 1

Draft App-Based Workers Deactivation Rights Ordinance

CITY OF SEATTLE

ORDINANCE _____

COUNCIL BILL _____

..title

AN ORDINANCE relating to app-based worker labor standards; establishing labor standards on deactivation protections for app-based workers working in Seattle; amending Section 3.02.125 of the Seattle Municipal Code; and adding a new Chapter 8.40 to the Seattle Municipal Code.

..body

WHEREAS, the Washington Constitution provides in Article XI, Section 11 that “[a]ny county, city, town or township may make and enforce within its limits all such local police, sanitary and other regulations as are not in conflict with general laws”; NOW,

THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council (“Council”) finds and declares that:

A. App-based work is a growing source of income for workers in Seattle and across the country.

B. In the exercise of The City of Seattle’s police powers, the City is granted authority to pass regulations designed to protect and promote public health, safety, and welfare.

C. This ordinance protects and promotes public health, safety, and welfare by establishing protections against unwarranted deactivations for app-based workers.

D. Many Seattle workers, including app-based workers, cannot fully participate in the community’s dynamic civic life or pursue its myriad educational, cultural, and recreational opportunities because they struggle to meet their households’ most basic needs, suffering job insecurity and economic instability.

1 E. Minimum labor standards benefit employers and hiring entities by improving worker
2 performance, reducing worker turnover, and thereby improving productivity and the quality of
3 the services provided by workers, including app-based workers.

4 F. Network companies typically manage large pools of app-based workers by relying on
5 algorithmic management systems, which allow app-based workers to be “assigned, optimized,
6 and evaluated through algorithms and tracked data.”¹

7 G. While algorithmic management may bring certain benefits to network companies,
8 these innovations also generate significant challenges for app-based workers, including
9 information asymmetries and extreme power imbalances between workers and network
10 companies.²

11 H. App-based workers often do not have the information they need to know about how
12 they will be evaluated. Algorithms that dictate core aspects of app-based workers’ relationship
13 with a network company can change unexpectedly, leading to arbitrary evaluations and
14 unwarranted deactivations.³

15 I. App-based workers are subject to network company policies that unilaterally deactivate
16 workers for a variety of reasons without consistent access to a fair process for such deactivations,
17 nor do the workers have access to responsive network company personnel with the power to
18 correct unwarranted deactivations by in-person meetings or telephone.

¹ Lee, Min Kyung, Kusbit, Daniel; Metsky, Evan; and Dabbish, Laura. “Working with Machines: The Impact of Algorithmic and Data-Driven Management on Human Workers.” *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, April 18, 2015, pp. 1603-1612, <https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702548>.

² Mateescu, Alexandra, and Nguyen, Aiha. “Explainer: Algorithmic Management in the Workplace.” *Data & Society*, February 2019, https://datasociety.net/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/DS_Algorithmic_Management_Explainer.pdf.

³ FTC Policy Statement on Enforcement Related to Gig Work, September 2022, https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/ftc_gov/pdf/Matter%20No.%20P227600%20Gig%20Policy%20Statement.pdf.

1 J. App-based workers face potential deactivation for reasons including but not limited to:
2 rejecting too many orders; being unavailable on certain days or times; cancelling offers with
3 cause; being delayed in fulfilling orders; receiving low ratings from consumers; or algorithmic
4 errors.

5 K. Network companies do not consistently apply clear performance expectations or
6 policies for deactivations, and often deactivate app-based workers without explanation or
7 warning.⁴

8 L. App-based workers report being deactivated for low customer ratings, despite the fact
9 that extensive social science research finds that consumer-sourced rating systems are highly
10 likely to be influenced by bias on the basis of factors such as race or ethnicity. App-based
11 workers also report deactivation based on customer harassment and false reports from
12 customers.⁵

13 M. Many network companies do not have processes to substantively reconsider a
14 deactivation based on a case-by-case human review, and have little incentive to put those
15 processes in place.⁶

16 N. A review of network company hiring policies shows that most network companies
17 perform recurring background checks on app-based workers as a condition of continued service.

⁴ Figueroa, Maria, Gualpa, Ligia; Wolf, Andrew; Tsitouras, Glendy; and Hernández; Hildalyn Colón. *Essential but Unprotected: App-based Food Couriers in New York City*, 2021, pp. 31-32, available at: <https://search.issueab.org/resource/essential-but-unprotected-app-basedfood-couriers-in-new-york-city.html>.

⁵ National Employment Law Project, *App-Based Workers Speak: Studies Reveal Anxiety, Frustration, and a Desire for Good Jobs*, October 2021, p. 12, and footnote 23 on p. 18, <https://s27147.pcdn.co/wp-content/uploads/App-Based-Workers-Speak-Oct-2021-1.pdf>. See also Figueroa et al., *Essential but Unprotected: App-based Food Couriers in New York City*, pp. 31-32.

⁶ See, e.g., Soper, Spencer. “Fired by Bot at Amazon: ‘It’s You Against the Machine’.” *Bloomberg*, June 28, 2021. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/features/2021-06-28/fired-by-bot-amazon-turns-to-machine-managers-and-workers-are-losing-out>. See also O’Brien, Sara Ashley. “Instacart shoppers demand answers over alleged wrongfully deactivated accounts.” *CNN Business*, April 30, 2021. <https://www.cnn.com/2021/04/30/tech/instacart-deactivations/index.html>. ”

1 Network companies do not provide clear guidance on background check criteria, methods for
2 evaluating the relationship of criminal history record information to the performance of app-
3 based service, procedures for correcting background check information, or procedures for
4 appealing deactivations based on background check information.

5 O. Unclear and/or inconsistently applied background check policies exacerbate the
6 difficulties app-based workers with criminal history records face when trying to secure or
7 maintain work opportunities.

8 P. The high prevalence of background checks with errors, mismatched identities, and
9 incomplete information, due to scant oversight of background check information provided to the
10 private market, compounds these difficulties.⁷

11 Q. Studies estimate that 50 to 80 percent of FBI criminal records are inaccurate. A
12 common problem is that law enforcement agencies fail to update arrest or charge records with
13 information about the outcome of a case. About a third of felony arrests never lead to a
14 conviction, another third lead to conviction of a different (usually lesser) offense, and other
15 convictions are overturned on appeal, expunged, or sealed.⁸

16 R. The flexibility to determine hours of availability and which offers to accept, reject, or
17 cancel with cause allows workers to make informed decisions on how and when to earn their
18 income without fear of deactivation.

⁷ Lageson, Sarah Esther. “How Criminal Background Checks Lead to Discrimination Against Millions of Americans.” *The Washington Post*, July 10, 2020, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2020/07/10/personal-data-industry-is-complicit-bad-policing-it-must-be-held-accountable>.

⁸ Wells, Martin; Cornwell, Erin York; Barrington, Linda; Bigler, Esta; Enayati, Hassan; and Vilhuber, Lars. “Criminal Record Inaccuracies and the Impact of a Record Education Intervention on Employment-Related Outcomes.” *U.S. Department of Labor*, January 2, 2020, https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OASP/evaluation/pdf/LRE_WellsFinalProjectReport_December2020.pdf.

1 S. App-based workers who perform services in Seattle are not typically limited to work in
2 the geographic boundaries of Seattle, and often accept offers to perform services in other
3 jurisdictions.

4 T. Access to the records substantiating a network company’s decision to deactivate an
5 app-based worker, and access to records of the services performed in Seattle by that app-based
6 worker, are critical for an app-based worker to meaningfully challenge their deactivation and
7 attempt to get reinstated as soon as possible.

8 U. Establishing a reasonable standard for the deactivations of app-based workers as well
9 as the ability to challenge unwarranted deactivations will help ensure that thousands of app-
10 based workers who provide vital services in Seattle will be able to enjoy a measure of job
11 security.

12 V. App-based workers who have protection against unwarranted deactivation will be
13 more likely to remain in their positions over time. Such experienced app-based workers will
14 improve the safety and reliability of the app-based services provided to Seattle customers.

15 W. Minimum labor and compensation standards, including the right to challenge
16 unwarranted deactivations, promote the general welfare, health, and prosperity of Seattle by
17 ensuring that app-based workers have stable incomes and can better support and care for their
18 families and fully participate in Seattle’s civic, cultural, and economic life.

19 X. The regulation of app-based workers better ensures that such workers can perform
20 their services in a safe and reliable manner and thereby promotes the welfare of the people and is
21 thus a fundamental governmental function.

22 Section 2. A new Chapter 8.40 is added to the Seattle Municipal Code as follows:

23 **Chapter 8.40 APP-BASED WORKER RIGHT TO ACCESS WORK**

1 **8.40.010 Short title**

2 This Chapter 8.40 shall constitute the “App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance” and
3 may be cited as such.

4 **8.40.020 Definitions**

5 For purposes of this Chapter 8.40:

6 “Accept” means an initial communication from an app-based worker to a network
7 company that the app-based worker intends to perform services in furtherance of an offer,
8 including but not limited to indicating acceptance through the worker platform.

9 “Adverse action” means reducing compensation; garnishing tips or gratuities; temporarily
10 or permanently denying or limiting access to work, incentives, or bonuses; offering less desirable
11 work; terminating; deactivating; threatening; penalizing; retaliating; engaging in unfair
12 immigration-related practices; filing a false report with a government agency; or discriminating
13 against any person for any reason prohibited by Section 8.40.120. “Adverse action” for an app-
14 based worker may involve any aspect of the app-based worker’s work, including compensation,
15 work hours, volume, and frequency of offers made available, desirability and compensation rates
16 of offers made available, responsibilities, or other material change in the terms and conditions of
17 work or in the ability of an app-based worker to perform work. “Adverse action” also includes
18 any action by the network company or a person acting on the network company’s behalf that
19 would dissuade a reasonable person from exercising any right afforded by this Chapter 8.40.

20 “Agency” means the Office of Labor Standards and any division therein.

21 “Aggrieved party” means an app-based worker or other person who suffers tangible or
22 intangible harm due to a network company’s or other person’s violation of this Chapter 8.40.

1 “App-based service” means any service in an offer facilitated or presented to an app-
2 based worker by a network company or participation by an app-based worker in any training
3 program required by a network company.

4 “App-based worker” means a person who has entered into an agreement with a network
5 company governing the terms and conditions of use of the network company’s worker platform
6 or a person affiliated with and accepting offers to perform services for compensation via a
7 network company’s worker platform. For purposes of this Chapter 8.40, at any time, but not
8 limited to, when an app-based worker is logged into the network company’s worker platform, the
9 worker is considered an app-based worker.

10 “Application dispatch” means technology that allows customers to directly request
11 dispatch of app-based workers for provision of services and/or allows app-based workers or
12 network companies to accept offers to perform services for compensation and payments for
13 services via the internet using interfaces, including but not limited to website, smartphone, and
14 tablet applications.

15 “Background check” means a request or attempt to obtain, directly or through an agent,
16 a person’s conviction record or criminal history record information from the Washington State
17 Patrol or any other source that compiles and maintains such records or information.

18 “Cancellation with cause” has the same meaning as defined in Section 8.37.020.

19 “City” means The City of Seattle.

20 “Compensation” means the total amount of payment owed to an app-based worker by
21 reason of performing work facilitated or presented by the network company, including but not
22 limited to network company payments, bonuses, incentives, and tips earned from customers.

23 “Consumer report” has the same meaning as defined in RCW 19.182.010 as amended.

1 “Conviction record” and “criminal history record information” are meant to be
2 consistent with chapter 10.97 RCW as amended, and mean information regarding a final
3 criminal adjudication or other criminal disposition adverse to the subject, including a verdict of
4 guilty, a finding of guilty, or a plea of guilty or nolo contendere. A criminal conviction record
5 does not include any prior conviction that has been the subject of an expungement, vacation of
6 conviction, sealing of the court file, pardon, annulment, certificate of rehabilitation, or other
7 equivalent procedure based on a finding of the rehabilitation of the person convicted, or a prior
8 conviction that has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure
9 based on a finding of innocence. It does include convictions for offenses for which the
10 defendant received a deferred or suspended sentence, unless the adverse disposition has been
11 vacated or expunged.

12 “Criminal history record information” is meant to be consistent with chapter 10.97 RCW
13 as amended.

14 “Customer” means a paying customer and/or recipient of an online order.

15 “Deactivation” means the blocking of an app-based worker’s access to the worker
16 platform, changing an app-based worker’s status from eligible to accept offers to perform
17 services to ineligible, or other material restriction in access to the worker platform that is effected
18 by a network company.

19 “Director” means the Director of the Office of Labor Standards or the Director’s
20 designee.

21 “Discrimination,” “discriminate,” and/or “discriminatory act” have the same meaning as
22 defined in Section 14.04.030.

1 “Driver record” means an abstract of a person's driving record as described in RCW
2 46.52.130 as amended.

3 “Egregious misconduct” means an abhorrent or wrong action or behavior by an
4 individual app-based worker that: (1) endangers the physical safety of the customer or a third
5 person or (2) intentionally causes economic harm to the customer, a third person, or the network
6 company. “Egregious misconduct” includes conduct that occurs outside of an app-based
7 worker’s provision of app-based services if the network company can prove by clear and
8 convincing evidence that the conduct directly relates to the app-based worker’s fitness to provide
9 app-based services. “Egregious misconduct” includes but is not limited to the following conduct
10 in connection with an app-based worker’s provision of app-based services: assault, sexual
11 assault, sexual harassment, communicating with a minor for immoral purposes, sexual conduct
12 as defined in RCW 7.105.010 as amended, unlawful harassment as defined in RCW 7.105.010 as
13 amended, unlawful imprisonment as defined in RCW 9A.40.040 as amended, solicitation of any
14 sexual act, theft, fraud, robbery, burglary, prostitution, reckless driving, or driving under the
15 influence of alcohol or drugs; and failing to maintain a valid state driver’s license. The Director
16 may issue rules further defining what constitutes economic harm or egregious misconduct.

17 “Extraordinary circumstances” means circumstances beyond the network company's
18 control that will materially influence the determination of whether a deactivation was warranted.
19 Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, a pending criminal
20 investigation.

21 “Franchise” has the same meaning as defined in RCW 19.100.010 as amended.

22 “Front pay” means the compensation an app-based worker would earn or would have
23 earned if reinstated to their former position.

1 “Hearing Examiner” means the official appointed by the City Council and designated as
2 the Hearing Examiner under Chapter 3.02 or that person’s designee (e.g., Deputy Hearing
3 Examiner or Hearing Examiner Pro Tem).

4 “Incentive” means a sum of money paid to an app-based worker in addition to the
5 guaranteed minimum network company payment for an offer, upon completion of specific tasks
6 presented by the network companies, including but not limited to completing performance of a
7 certain number of offers, completing performance of a certain number of consecutive offers,
8 completing performance of an offer subject to a price multiplier or variable pricing policy,
9 making oneself available to accept offers in a particular geographic location during a specified
10 period of time, or recruiting new app-based workers.

11 “Network company” means an organization, whether a corporation, partnership, sole
12 proprietor, or other form, operating in Seattle, that uses an online-enabled application or
13 platform, such as an application dispatch system, to connect customers with app-based workers,
14 present offers to app-based workers through a worker platform, and/or facilitate the provision of
15 services for compensation by app-based workers.

16 1. The term “network company” includes any such entity or person acting directly
17 or indirectly in the interest of a network company in relation to the app-based worker.

18 2. The term “network company” excludes:

19 a. An entity offering services that enable individuals to schedule
20 appointments with and/or process payments to users, when the entity neither engages in
21 additional intermediation of the relationships between parties to such transactions nor engages in
22 any oversight of service provision;

1 b. An entity operating digital advertising and/or messaging platforms,
2 when the entity neither engages in intermediation of the payments or relationships between
3 parties to resulting transactions nor engages in any oversight of service provision;

4 c. An entity that meets the definition of “transportation network company”
5 as defined by RCW 46.04.652 as amended; or

6 d. An entity that meets the definition of “for-hire vehicle company” or
7 “taxicab association” as defined in Section 6.310.110.

8 A company that meets the definition of network company in this Section 8.40.020 and
9 does not fall within any of the exclusions contained in this Section 8.40.020 is subject to this
10 Chapter 8.40. Network companies include marketplace network companies, as defined by
11 Section 8.37.020.

12 “Offer” means one or more online orders presented to an app-based worker as one
13 opportunity to perform services for compensation that the app-based worker may accept or
14 reject.

15 1. An opportunity to perform services for compensation includes but is not limited
16 to an opportunity described via a worker platform as a shift, a period of time to be spent engaged
17 in service provision, a continuous period of time in which the app-based worker must make
18 themselves available to perform services, or any other continuous period of time when the worker
19 is not completely relieved of the duty to perform the service(s), and such a period of time shall
20 be considered as one offer.

21 2. The term “offer” includes pre-scheduled offers and on-demand offers.

22 “Online order” means an order for services that is placed through an online-enabled
23 application or platform, such as an application dispatch system, and that is facilitated by a

1 network company or presented by a network company for its own benefit. The Director may
2 issue rules further defining the definition of “online order” and the types of transactions excluded
3 from this definition. The term “online order” does not include the following transactions:

4 1. Sale or rental of products or real estate;

5 2. Payment in exchange for a service subject to professional licensure that has been listed
6 by the Director pursuant to Section 8.37.020;

7 3. Payment in exchange for services wholly provided digitally;

8 4. Payment in exchange for creative services or works;

9 5. Transportation network company (TNC) dispatched trips. For purposes of this Section
10 8.40.020, “TNC dispatched trips” means the provision of transportation by a driver for a
11 passenger through the use of a transportation network company’s application dispatch system;
12 and

13 6. Transportation provided by taxicabs or for-hire vehicles, as defined in Chapter 6.310.

14 “Operating in Seattle” means, with respect to a network company, facilitating or
15 presenting offers to provide services for compensation using an online-enabled application or
16 platform, such as an application dispatch system, to any app-based worker, where such services
17 are performed in Seattle.

18 “Paying customer” means a person or entity placing an online order via a network
19 company’s online-enabled application or platform.

20 “Perform services in Seattle” means activities, conducted by an app-based worker in
21 furtherance of an offer, that occur in whole or in part within Seattle.

1 1. The term “perform services in Seattle” includes any time spent on a
2 commercial stop in Seattle that is related to the provision of delivery or other services associated
3 with an offer.

4 2. The term “perform services in Seattle” does not include stopping for refueling,
5 stopping for a personal meal or errands, or time spent in Seattle solely for the purpose of
6 travelling through Seattle from a point of origin outside Seattle to a destination outside Seattle
7 with no commercial stops in Seattle.

8 “Rate of inflation” means 100 percent of the annual average growth rate of the bi-
9 monthly Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue Area Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and
10 Clerical Workers, termed CPI-W, for the 12-month period ending in August; provided that the
11 percentage increase shall not be less than zero.

12 “Respondent” means the network company or any person who is alleged or found to have
13 committed a violation of this Chapter 8.40.

14 “Successor” means any person to whom a network company quitting, selling out,
15 exchanging, or disposing of a business sells or otherwise conveys in bulk and not in the ordinary
16 course of the network company’s business, a major part of the property, whether real or personal,
17 tangible or intangible, of the network company’s business. For purposes of this definition,
18 “person” means an individual, receiver, administrator, executor, assignee, trustee in bankruptcy,
19 trust, estate, firm, corporation, business trust, partnership, limited liability partnership, company,
20 joint stock company, limited liability company, association, joint venture, or any other legal or
21 commercial entity.

1 “Tips” means a verifiable sum to be presented by a customer as a gift or gratuity in
2 recognition of some service performed for the customer by the app-based worker receiving the
3 tip.

4 “Traffic infraction” means a violation of state law or administrative regulation, or local
5 law, ordinance, regulation, or resolution, relating to traffic including parking, standing, stopping,
6 and pedestrian offenses, which is not classified as a criminal offense, consistent with RCW
7 46.63.020 as amended. A “traffic infraction” includes any offense committed in another
8 jurisdiction that includes the elements of any offense designated as a traffic infraction consistent
9 with RCW 46.63.020 as amended.

10 “Unwarranted deactivation” means a deactivation that does not comply with Section
11 8.40.050.

12 “Worker platform” means the worker-facing application dispatch system software or any
13 online-enabled application service, website, or system, used by an app-based worker, that
14 enables the arrangement of services for compensation.

15 “Written” or “in writing” means a printed or printable communication in physical or
16 electronic format including a communication that is transmitted through email, text message, or a
17 computer system, or is otherwise sent or maintained electronically, including via the worker
18 platform.

19 **8.40.030 App-based worker coverage**

20 A. For the purpose of this Chapter 8.40, except for Section 8.40.100, covered app-based
21 workers are limited to those for whom, during the previous 180 days, at least ten percent of their
22 completed offers, or offers cancelled with cause, involved performing services in Seattle for a
23 covered network company.

1 B. For the purpose of Section 8.40.100, an app-based worker is covered by Section
2 8.40.100 if the app-based worker performs services in Seattle facilitated or presented by a
3 network company covered by this Chapter 8.40.

4 C. An app-based worker who is a covered employee under Chapter 14.20 for a covered
5 network company, or a covered employee under Chapter 14.20 for a customer of an online order,
6 is not a covered app-based worker under this Chapter 8.40.

7 **8.40.040 Network company coverage**

8 A. For the purposes of this Chapter 8.40, covered network companies are limited to those
9 that facilitate work performed by 250 or more app-based workers worldwide regardless of where
10 those workers perform work, including but not limited to chains, integrated enterprises, or
11 franchises associated with a franchise or network of franchises that facilitate work performed by
12 250 or more app-based workers worldwide in aggregate.

13 B. To determine the number of app-based workers performing work for the current
14 calendar year:

15 1. The calculation is based upon the average number per calendar week of app-
16 based workers who worked for compensation during the preceding calendar year for any and all
17 weeks during which at least one app-based worker worked for compensation. For network
18 companies that did not have any app-based workers during the preceding calendar year, the
19 number of app-based workers counted for the current calendar year is calculated based upon the
20 average number per calendar week of app-based workers who worked for compensation during
21 the first 90 calendar days of the current year in which the network company engaged in business.

22 2. All app-based workers who worked for compensation shall be counted,
23 including but not limited to:

- 1 a. App-based workers who are not covered by this Chapter 8.40;
- 2 b. App-based workers who worked in Seattle; and
- 3 c. App-based workers who worked outside Seattle.

4 C. Separate entities that form an integrated enterprise shall be considered a single
5 network company under this Chapter 8.40. Separate entities will be considered an integrated
6 enterprise and a single network company under this Chapter 8.40 where a separate entity controls
7 the operation of another entity. The factors to consider in making this assessment include but are
8 not limited to:

- 9 1. Degree of interrelation between the operations of multiple entities;
- 10 2. Degree to which the entities share common management;
- 11 3. Centralized control of labor relations;
- 12 4. Degree of common ownership or financial control over the entities; and
- 13 5. Use of a common brand, trade, business, or operating name.

14 **8.40.050 Deactivation requirements**

15 A. A network company shall adopt the following measures prior to deactivating an app-
16 based worker, except as provided in subsection 8.40.050.C:

17 1. Fair notice of deactivation policy. A network company must inform the app-
18 based worker of the network company's policies for which a violation may result in deactivation.
19 The network company's deactivation policy must be specific enough for an app-based worker to
20 understand what constitutes a violation and how to avoid violating the policy. The deactivation
21 policy must be available to the app-based worker in English and any language that the network
22 company knows or has reason to know is the primary language of the app-based worker. The
23 deactivation policy must be accessible to the app-based worker at least three years after

1 deactivation. The Director may issue rules governing the form and description of the deactivation
2 policy, the manner of its distribution, and required languages for its translation.

3 2. Reasonable policy. The policy or policies that may lead to a deactivation must
4 be reasonable and be reasonably related to the network company's safe and efficient operations.
5 Examples of policies that are not reasonably related to the network company's safe and efficient
6 operations include, but are not limited to:

7 a. Any rule or policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-
8 based worker's availability to work or number of hours worked, consistent with subsection
9 8.37.080.A.1;

10 b. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based
11 worker's acceptance or rejection of any individual offer, any types of offers, or any number or
12 proportion of offers, consistent with subsection 8.37.080.A.2;

13 c. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based
14 worker's cancellation of an offer with cause, consistent with subsection 8.37.080.C;

15 d. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based
16 worker contacting the network company;

17 e. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on a quantitative
18 metric derived from aggregate customer ratings of an app-based worker's performance;

19 f. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on statements by an
20 app-based worker regarding compensation and/or working conditions made to customers, other
21 app-based workers, network companies, the media, public officials, and/or the public;

1 g. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based
2 worker asserting their legal rights, whether in court or via procedures provided by any local,
3 state, or federal agency; and

4 h. Any policy that would deactivate a worker based on the results of a
5 background check, consumer report, driver record, or record of traffic infractions, except in cases
6 of egregious misconduct or where permitted or required by other applicable law.

7 3. Investigation. A network company must conduct a fair and objective
8 investigation prior to deactivating an app-based worker. The investigation must be sufficiently
9 thorough to justify the deactivation and demonstrate an unbiased and neutral view of facts
10 collected.

11 4. Confirmation of violation. The network company must demonstrate by a
12 preponderance of the evidence that the alleged violation of the network company’s policy or rule
13 occurred.

14 5. Consistent application. The network company must apply the rule or policy,
15 and penalty for violations, in a consistent manner.

16 6. Proportionate penalty. The penalty of deactivation must be reasonably related
17 to the offense, and account for mitigating circumstances, such as the app-based worker’s past
18 work history with the network company.

19 B. Deactivation of an app-based worker will be considered unwarranted if the action is
20 intended to or results in “discrimination” or a discriminatory act.

21 C. Subject to the provisions of this Section 8.40.050 and rules issued by the Director, a
22 network company may immediately deactivate an app-based worker if such action is required to

1 comply with any applicable court order or local, state, or federal laws or regulations or where an
2 app-based worker has engaged in egregious misconduct.

3 1. In the case of allegations of egregious misconduct, the network company may
4 deactivate the app-based worker before completing an investigation. The investigation shall not
5 take longer than ten days except in the case of extraordinary circumstances. If the investigation is
6 delayed due to extraordinary circumstances, the network company must provide the app-based
7 worker with written notice that the investigation is delayed, the reason(s) for the delay, and the
8 date on which the completion of the investigation is anticipated.

9 **8.40.060 Right to challenge deactivation**

10 A. A network company shall not subject an app-based worker to unwarranted
11 deactivation.

12 B. An app-based worker shall have a right to challenge the worker's deactivation through
13 an internal deactivation challenge procedure established by the network company.

14 1. A network company shall create an internal deactivation challenge procedure
15 that shall be available to the app-based worker immediately upon notice of their deactivation and
16 up to 90 days after the app-based worker's receipt of notice.

17 2. The internal deactivation challenge procedure must be available to the app-
18 based worker in a format that is readily accessible to the app-based worker, in English and any
19 language that the network company knows or has reason to know is the primary language of the
20 app-based worker. The policy describing the deactivation challenge procedure shall be available
21 to the app-based worker at least three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules
22 governing the form and content of the policy describing the deactivation challenge procedure, the
23 manner of its distribution, and required languages for its translation.

1 3. A network company shall review and respond to an app-based worker's
2 challenge to deactivation within 14 days of receiving a challenge.

3 4. A network company's response to a worker's challenge to deactivation must
4 include a written statement certified by an individual at the network company with authority to
5 reinstate the app-based worker. The written statement must include one of the following:

6 a. Evidentiary substantiation of the deactivation and substantive responses
7 to questions or claims made by the app-based worker in challenging the deactivation;

8 b. Any extraordinary circumstances necessitating a delayed timeline for
9 response, and an anticipated date for a response either substantiating the deactivation or
10 reinstating the app-based worker; or

11 c. A determination that the worker did not violate the network company's
12 deactivation policy and therefore must be reinstated on the platform.

13 C. In addition to pursuing an internal challenge to deactivation pursuant to subsection
14 8.40.060.B, an app-based worker shall have a right to file a complaint with the Agency or bring a
15 civil action for violations of the requirements of this Chapter 8.40 upon receiving the network
16 company's initial response to the internal challenge, or 14 days after initiating a challenge,
17 whichever comes earlier. An app-based worker may pursue all avenues of relief available
18 thereafter within three years of the alleged violation, or as tolled pursuant to subsection
19 8.40.150.C.

20 D. An app-based worker shall have a right to challenge their deactivation and pursue all
21 avenues of relief available to them regardless of the geographic location of the incidents leading
22 to the network company's decision to deactivate the app-based worker.

1 **8.40.070 Notice of deactivation**

2 A. Except as provided under subsection 8.40.070.C, a network company shall provide an
3 app-based worker with notice of deactivation 14 days in advance of the deactivation, as well as
4 upon the effective date of deactivation. The notice of deactivation shall include a written
5 statement of the following:

6 1. The reasons for deactivation; including the network company’s policy that was
7 violated, pursuant to Section 8.40.050, and the specific incident or pattern of incidents that
8 violated the deactivation policy;

9 2. The effective date of deactivation;

10 3. Any and all records relied upon to substantiate deactivation, pursuant to Section
11 8.40.080;

12 4. The length of the deactivation;

13 5. A description of the steps an app-based worker can take to remedy the
14 deactivation;

15 6. The app-based worker’s right to challenge such deactivation under this Chapter
16 8.40;

17 7. The network company’s process for challenging a deactivation, pursuant to
18 subsection 8.40.060.B, including the available methods of contact for an app-based worker to
19 initiate a challenge; and

20 8. Any other items pursuant to Director’s Rules.

21 B. The network company shall provide notice of deactivation in a form and manner
22 designated by the Agency. The Agency may create and distribute a model notice of deactivation
23 in English and other languages as provided by rules issued by the Director. However, network

1 companies are responsible for providing app-based workers with the notice of deactivation
2 required by this subsection 8.40.070, regardless of whether the Agency has created and
3 distributed a model notice of deactivation.

4 C. For deactivations involving egregious misconduct, pursuant to subsection 8.40.050.C,
5 the network company shall provide an app-based worker with the notice of deactivation no later
6 than the effective date of deactivation.

7 **8.40.080 Access to records substantiating deactivation**

8 A. Upon notice of deactivation, a network company shall provide an app-based worker
9 with the records relied upon by the network company to substantiate deactivation, unless
10 contrary to local, state, or federal law. These records shall include but not be limited to the date,
11 time, and location of all incidents supporting the deactivation decision, a copy of the evidence
12 the network company considered in the deactivation decision, and a certified statement from an
13 individual at the network company with authority to reinstate the app-based worker, attesting that
14 these are true and accurate records to the individual's knowledge.

15 B. If further records substantiating a deactivation come into the network company's
16 possession after the app-based worker is deactivated, such records shall be provided to the app-
17 based worker as soon as practicable and no later than 14 days from the date of the network
18 company's receipt.

19 C. If an app-based worker challenges a deactivation pursuant to subsection 8.40.060.B,
20 all records of that challenge and any responses must be provided to the worker within 14 days of
21 each submittal or response.

22 D. If the records substantiating deactivation involve information related to a customer or
23 a third party and the network company reasonably believes that information could compromise

1 the customer or third party's safety, the network company may take measures to anonymize
2 information related to that customer or third party. The Director may issue rules regarding the
3 measures taken to anonymize information related to a customer or third party.

4 E. Network companies shall establish an accessible system for app-based workers to
5 access their receipts and/or payment disclosures for each offer performed or cancelled, pursuant
6 to subsection 8.37.070.B and Section 14.34.060. Network companies shall make this system
7 available to the app-based worker via smartphone application or online web portal. This
8 accessible system shall be available to an app-based worker at least three years after
9 deactivation.

10 E. Network companies shall retain the records required by this Section 8.40.080 for a
11 period of three years.

12 F. If a network company fails to disclose adequate records to the app-based worker as
13 required under this Section 8.40.080, there shall be a presumption, rebuttable by clear and
14 convincing evidence, that the network company violated this Chapter 8.40 for the relevant
15 periods and for each app-based worker for whom records were not disclosed in a timely manner.
16 This presumption is substantive and necessary to effectuate the other rights provided in this
17 Chapter 8.40.

18 **8.40.090 Affirmative production of records**

19 A. A network company shall affirmatively transmit to the Agency such records as
20 required by rules issued by the Director, on at least a quarterly basis or as documents are updated
21 by the network company. The Director shall have the authority to require such aggregated or
22 disaggregated records deemed necessary, appropriate, or convenient to administer, evaluate, and
23 enforce the provisions of this Chapter 8.40. The Director may issue rules requiring that

1 aggregated records be produced as a distribution at defined percentiles. The Director may issue
2 data production rules of general applicability as well as rules specific to on-demand network
3 companies, as defined in Section 8.37.020.

4 1. Records for production may include:

5 a. Records regarding the number of deactivations initiated by a network
6 company;

7 b. Records regarding the reasons for deactivation most commonly referred
8 to, such as the rule or policy violated by the app-based worker;

9 c. The number of app-based workers challenging their deactivation and the
10 forum in which they are pursuing a challenge;

11 d. The number of app-based workers reinstated after deactivation, length
12 of deactivation prior to reinstatement, and length of service prior to deactivation;

13 e. The network company's deactivation policy;f. The network company's
14 internal deactivation challenge procedure, pursuant to Section 8.40.060, including the available
15 methods of contact for an app-based worker to initiate a challenge; and

16 g. Any other records that the Director determines are material and
17 necessary to effectuate the purposes of this Chapter 8.40.

18 2. The Director shall issue rules governing the submission format, security, and
19 privacy protocols relating to the submission of network company records, to the extent permitted
20 by law.

21 **8.40.100 Notice of rights**

22 A. Network companies shall provide each app-based worker with a written notice of
23 rights established by this Chapter 8.40. The Agency may create and distribute a model notice of

1 rights in English and other languages. If the Agency creates a model notice of rights, network
2 companies shall affirmatively provide such notice. However, network companies are responsible
3 for providing app-based workers with the notice of rights required by this Section 8.40.100, in a
4 form and manner sufficient to inform app-based workers of their rights under this Chapter 8.40,
5 regardless of whether the Agency has created and distributed a model notice of rights.

6 B. The notice of rights shall provide information on:

7 1. The right to challenge an unwarranted deactivation through a network
8 company's internal deactivation challenge procedure and/or through other avenues pursuant to
9 Section 8.40.060, subject to coverage eligibility under subsection 8.40.030.A;

10 2. The policy describing the deactivation challenge procedure pursuant to
11 subsection 8.40.060.B;

12 3. The right to 14 days' notice of an impending deactivation, except in the case of
13 egregious misconduct;

14 4. The right to access to any and all records relied upon by the network company
15 to substantiate deactivation;

16 5. The right to be protected from retaliation for exercising in good faith the rights
17 protected by this Chapter 8.40; and

18 6. The right to file a complaint with the Agency consistent with Section 8.40.130
19 or bring a civil action for violation of the requirements of this Chapter 8.40.

20 C. Network companies shall provide the notice of rights required by subsection
21 8.40.100.B in an electronic format that is readily accessible to the app-based worker. The notice
22 of rights shall be made available to the app-based worker via smartphone application, email, or
23 online web portal, in English and any language that the network company knows or has reason to

1 know is the primary language of the app-based worker. The Director may issue rules governing
2 the form and content of the notice of rights, the manner of its distribution, and required
3 languages for its translation.

4 D. Network companies shall establish an accessible system for app-based workers to
5 understand their eligibility to challenge a deactivation, pursuant to subsection 8.40.030.A.
6 Network companies shall make this system available to the app-based worker via smartphone
7 application or online web portal. This system shall be available to an app-based worker, at least
8 three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules defining reasonable criteria or
9 requirements for this system to ensure that app-based workers have sufficient information to
10 understand when they are covered by the entirety of Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to
11 notice of coverage by this Chapter 8.40, the number of offers completed or cancellations in the
12 previous 180 days, the number of completed offers or cancellations that involved performing
13 services in Seattle in the previous 180 days, the overall percentage of completed offers that
14 involved performing services in Seattle in the previous 180 days, and the app-based worker's
15 receipts and/or payment disclosures for each offer performed or cancelled in the previous 180
16 days, pursuant to subsection 8.37.070.B and Section 14.34.060.

17 **8.40.110 Network company records**

18 A. Network companies shall retain records that document compliance with this Chapter
19 8.40 for each app-based worker, including, at a minimum, a compliance file for each
20 deactivation. The Director may issue rules governing the format of the records needed to
21 constitute compliance of this Section 8.40.110. The Director may also issue rules governing the
22 form, format, and content of the compliance file for each deactivation. This compliance file may
23 include:

1 1. The deactivation notice provided to the app-based worker, pursuant to Section
2 8.40.070;

3 2. Date of completion of investigation;

4 3. Whether the deactivation involved egregious misconduct and, if so, the
5 egregious misconduct at issue;

6 4. Whether the deactivation investigation includes extraordinary circumstances,
7 pursuant to subsection 8.40.050.B.1 and, if so, the extraordinary circumstances at issue;

8 5. Number of offers completed in the 180 days prior to deactivation notice;

9 6 Number of completed offers that involved performing services in Seattle in the
10 180 days prior to deactivation notice;

11 7. Date of deactivation challenge according to the network company's internal
12 deactivation challenge procedure;

13 8. All responses to an app-based worker regarding a deactivation challenge,
14 pursuant to subsections 8.40.060.B and 8.40.080.C; and

15 9. Any other records pursuant to Director's Rules.

16 B. Network companies shall retain the records required by subsection 8.40.110.A for a
17 period of three years.

18 C. If a network company fails to retain adequate records required under subsection
19 8.40.110.A, there shall be a presumption, rebuttable by clear and convincing evidence, that the
20 network company violated this Chapter 8.40 for the relevant periods and for each app-based
21 worker for whom records were not retained. This presumption is substantive and necessary to
22 effectuate the rights provided in this Chapter 8.40.

1 **8.40.120 Retaliation prohibited**

2 A. No network company or any other person acting on behalf the network company shall
3 interfere with, restrain, deny, or the attempt to deny the exercise of any right protected under this
4 Chapter 8.40.

5 B. No network company or any other person shall take any adverse action against any
6 person because the person has exercised in good faith the rights protected under this Chapter
7 8.40. Such rights include, but are not limited to, the right to make inquiries about the rights
8 protected under this Chapter 8.40; the right to inform others about their rights under this Chapter
9 8.40; the right to inform the person’s network company, the person’s legal counsel, a union or
10 similar organization, or any other person about an alleged violation of this Chapter 8.40; the right
11 to file an oral or written complaint with the Agency or bring a civil action for an alleged
12 violation of this Chapter 8.40; the right to cooperate with the Agency in its investigations of this
13 Chapter 8.40; the right to testify in a proceeding under or related to this Chapter 8.40; the right to
14 refuse to participate in an activity that would result in a violation of city, state, or federal law;
15 and the right to oppose any policy, practice, or act that is unlawful under this Chapter 8.40.

16 C. No network company or any other person shall communicate to a person exercising
17 rights protected in this Section 8.40.120, directly or indirectly, the willingness to inform a
18 government worker that the person is not lawfully in the United States, or to report, or to make
19 an implied or express assertion of a willingness to report, suspected citizenship or immigration
20 status of an app-based worker or family member of an app-based worker to a federal, state, or
21 local agency because the app-based worker has exercised a right under this Chapter 8.40.

22 D. It shall be a rebuttable presumption of retaliation if a network company or any other
23 person takes an adverse action against a person within 90 days of the person’s exercise of rights

1 protected in this Section 8.40.120. The network company may rebut the presumption with clear
2 and convincing evidence that the adverse action was taken for a permissible purpose.

3 E. Proof of retaliation under this Section 8.40.120 shall be sufficient upon a showing that
4 a network company or any other person has taken an adverse action against a person and the
5 person's exercise of rights protected in this Section 8.40.120 was a motivating factor in the
6 adverse action, unless the network company can prove that the action would have been taken in
7 the absence of such protected activity.

8 F. The protections afforded under this Section 8.40.120 shall apply to any person who
9 mistakenly but in good faith alleges violations of this Chapter 8.40.

10 G. A complaint or other communication by any person triggers the protections of this
11 Section 8.40.120 regardless of whether the complaint or communication is in writing or makes
12 explicit reference to this Chapter 8.40.

13 **8.40.125 Rulemaking authority**

14 Except as provided in subsection 8.40.130.B, the Director is authorized to administer and
15 enforce this Chapter 8.40. The Director is authorized to promulgate, revise, or rescind rules
16 and regulations deemed necessary, appropriate, or convenient to administer, evaluate, and
17 enforce the provisions of this Chapter 8.40 pursuant to Chapter 3.02, providing affected
18 entities with due process of law and in conformity with the intent and purpose of this Chapter
19 8.40. Any rules promulgated by the Director shall have the force and effect of law and may be
20 relied on by network companies, app-based workers, and other parties to determine their rights
21 and responsibilities under this Chapter 8.40.

1 **8.40.130 Enforcement power and duties**

2 A. Except as provided in subsection 8.40.130.B, on or after January 1, 2025, the Agency
3 shall have the power to administer and enforce this Chapter 8.40 and shall have such powers and
4 duties in the performance of these functions as are defined in this Chapter 8.40 and otherwise
5 necessary and proper in the performance of the same and provided for by law.

6 B. The Agency shall not have the power to enforce subsections 8.40.050.A.3,
7 8.40.050.A.4, 8.40.050.A.5, 8.40.050.A.6, or 8.40.050.B. This subsection 8.40.130.B does not
8 limit the ability of an app-based worker to seek other avenues of relief for violations of those
9 subsections.

10 **8.40.140 Violation**

11 The failure of any respondent to comply with any requirement imposed on the respondent under
12 this Chapter 8.40 is a violation.

13 **8.40.150 Investigation**

14 A. Except as provided in subsection 8.40.130.B, the Agency shall have the power to
15 investigate any violations of this Chapter 8.40 by any respondent. The Agency may prioritize
16 investigations of workforces that are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 8.40. The Agency
17 may initiate an investigation pursuant to Director’s Rules, including but not limited to situations
18 when the Director has reason to believe that a violation has occurred or will occur, or when
19 circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of network companies or
20 businesses because either the workforce contains significant numbers of app-based workers who
21 are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 8.40, or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer
22 information regarding such violations. An investigation may also be initiated through the receipt
23 by the Agency of a report or complaint filed by an app-based worker, or any other person.

1 B. An app-based worker or other person may report to the Agency any suspected
2 violation of this Chapter 8.40. The Agency shall encourage reporting pursuant to this Section
3 8.40.150 by taking the following measures:

4 1. The Agency shall keep confidential, to the maximum extent permitted by
5 applicable laws, the name and other identifying information of the app-based worker or person
6 reporting the violation. However, with the authorization of such person, the Agency may disclose
7 the name of the app-based worker or other person and identifying information as necessary to
8 enforce this Chapter 8.40 or for other appropriate purposes.

9 2. The Agency may require the network company to post or otherwise notify other
10 app-based workers working for the network company that the Agency is conducting an
11 investigation. The network company shall provide the notice of investigation in a form, place,
12 and manner designated by the Agency. The Agency shall create the notice of investigation in
13 English and other languages.

14 3. The Agency may certify the eligibility of eligible persons for “U” Visas under
15 the provisions of 8 U.S.C. § 1184(p) and 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U). This certification is subject
16 to applicable federal law and regulations, and Director’s Rules.

17 C. The Agency’s investigation shall commence within three years of the alleged
18 violation. To the extent permitted by law, the applicable statute of limitations for civil actions is
19 tolled during any investigation under this Chapter 8.40 and any administrative enforcement
20 proceeding under this Chapter 8.40 based upon the same facts. For purposes of this Chapter 8.40:

21 1. The Agency’s investigation begins on the earlier date of when the Agency
22 receives a complaint from a person under this Chapter 8.40, or when the Agency provides notice
23 to the respondent that an investigation has commenced under this Chapter 8.40.

1 2. The Agency’s investigation ends when the Agency issues a final order
2 concluding the matter and any appeals have been exhausted; the time to file any appeal has
3 expired; or the Agency notifies the respondent in writing that the investigation has been
4 otherwise resolved.

5 D. The Agency’s investigation shall be conducted in an objective and impartial manner.

6 E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under RCW
7 5.50.050 as amended to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring a network
8 company to produce the records required by Section 8.40.080 or 8.40.110, or for the attendance
9 and testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents required to be retained under
10 Section 8.40.080 or 8.40.110, or any other document relevant to the issue of whether any app-
11 based worker or group of app-based workers received the information or other benefits required
12 by this Chapter 8.40, and/or to whether a network company has violated any provision of this
13 Chapter 8.40. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as
14 practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that: a
15 violation has occurred; a complaint has been filed with the Agency; or circumstances show that
16 violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains
17 significant numbers of app-based workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 8.40,
18 the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations, or the Agency has
19 gathered preliminary information indicating that a violation may have occurred.

20 F. A network company that fails to comply with the terms of any subpoena issued under
21 subsection 8.40.150.E in an investigation by the Agency under this Chapter 8.40 before the
22 issuance of a Director’s Order issued pursuant to subsection 8.40.160.C may not use such

1 records in any appeal to challenge the correctness of any determination by the Agency of
2 liability, damages owed, or penalties assessed.

3 G. In addition to other remedies, the Director may refer any subpoena issued under
4 subsection 8.40.150.E to the City Attorney to seek a court order to enforce any subpoena.

5 H. Where the Director has reason to believe that a violation has occurred, the Director
6 may order any appropriate temporary or interim relief to mitigate the violation or maintain the
7 status quo pending completion of a full investigation or hearing, including but not limited to a
8 deposit of funds or bond sufficient to satisfy a good faith estimate of compensation, interest,
9 damages, and penalties due. A respondent may appeal any such order in accordance with Section
10 8.40.180.

11 **8.40.160 Findings of fact and determination**

12 A. Except when there is an agreed-upon settlement, the Director shall issue a written
13 determination with findings of fact resulting from the investigation and statement of whether a
14 violation of this Chapter 8.40 has or has not occurred based on a preponderance of the evidence
15 before the Director.

16 B. If the Director determines that there is no violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director
17 shall issue a “Determination of No Violation” with notice of an app-based worker’s or other
18 person’s right to appeal the decision, pursuant to Director’s Rules.

19 C. If the Director determines that a violation of this Chapter 8.40 has occurred, the
20 Director shall issue a “Director’s Order” that shall include a notice of violation identifying the
21 violation or violations.

22 1. The Director’s Order shall state with specificity the amounts due under this
23 Chapter 8.40 for each violation, including payment of unpaid compensation, liquidated damages,

1 civil penalties, penalties payable to aggrieved parties, fines, and interest pursuant to Section
2 8.40.170.

3 2. The Director’s Order may specify that civil penalties and fines due to the
4 Agency can be mitigated for respondent’s timely payment of remedy due to an aggrieved party
5 pursuant to subsection 8.40.170.A.4.

6 3. The Director’s Order may specify that civil penalties and fines are due to the
7 aggrieved party rather than due to the Agency.

8 4. The Director’s Order may direct the respondent to take such corrective action
9 as is necessary to comply with the requirements of this Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to
10 monitored compliance for a reasonable time period.

11 5. The Director’s Order shall include notice of the respondent’s right to appeal the
12 decision pursuant to Section 8.40.180.

13 **8.40.167 Navigation program**

14 A. The Agency may establish a navigation program that provides intake, information,
15 outreach, and/or education relating to the provisions and procedures of this Chapter 8.40. The
16 range of information provided by the navigation program may include, but is not limited to:

17 1. General court information, such as:

18 a. Information on court procedures for filing civil actions in a court of
19 competent jurisdiction; and

20 b. Information on obtaining translation and interpretation services;

21 2. General arbitration information, such as:

22 a. Information on arbitration procedures for filing arbitration claims; and

23 b. Information on obtaining translation and interpretation services;

1 3. A list of organizations that can be used to identify attorneys;

2 4. Organizations providing outreach and education, and/or legal assistance, to
3 app-based workers;

4 5. Information about classifying workers as employees or independent
5 contractors; and

6 6. As determined by the Director, additional information related to the provisions
7 of this Chapter 8.40, other workplace protections, or other resources for resolving workplace
8 issues.

9 B. The navigation program shall not include legal advice from the Agency. However, if
10 the Agency provides information to an app-based worker about a community organization
11 through the navigation program, the community organization is not precluded from providing
12 legal advice.

13 **8.40.170 Remedies**

14 A. The payment of unpaid compensation, liquidated damages of up to twice the amount
15 of unpaid compensation, civil penalties, penalties payable to aggrieved parties, fines, and interest
16 provided under this Chapter 8.40 is cumulative and is not intended to be exclusive of any other
17 available remedies, penalties, fines, and procedures.

18 1. The amounts of all civil penalties, penalties payable to aggrieved parties, and
19 fines contained in this Section 8.40.170 shall be increased annually to reflect the rate of inflation
20 and calculated to the nearest cent on January 1 of each year thereafter. The Agency shall
21 determine the amounts and file a schedule of such amounts with the City Clerk.

22 2. If a violation is ongoing when the Agency receives a complaint or opens an
23 investigation, the Director may order payment of unpaid compensation plus interest that accrues

1 after receipt of the complaint or after the investigation opens and before the date of the Director's
2 Order.

3 3. Interest shall accrue from the date the unpaid compensation was first due at 12
4 percent annum, or the maximum rate permitted under RCW 19.52.020 as amended.

5 4. If there is a remedy due to an aggrieved party, the Director may waive part or
6 all civil penalties and fines due to the Agency based on timely payment of the full remedy due to
7 the aggrieved party.

8 a. The Director may waive the total amount of civil penalties and fines due
9 to the Agency if the Director determines that the respondent paid the full remedy due to the
10 aggrieved party within ten days of service of the Director's Order.

11 b. The Director may waive half the amount of civil penalties and fines due
12 to the Agency if the Director determines that the respondent paid the full remedy due to the
13 aggrieved party within 15 days of service of the Director's Order.

14 c. The Director shall not waive any amount of civil penalties and fines due
15 to the Agency if the Director determines that the respondent has not paid the full remedy due to
16 the aggrieved party after 15 days of service of the Director's Order.

17 5. When determining the amount of liquidated damages, civil penalties, penalties
18 payable to aggrieved parties, and fines due under this Section 8.40.170 for a settlement
19 agreement or Director's Order, including but not limited to the mitigation of civil penalties and
20 fines due to the Agency for timely payment of remedy due to an aggrieved party under
21 subsection 8.40.170.A.4, the Director may consider:

22 a. The total amount of unpaid compensation, liquidated damages,
23 penalties, fines, and interest due;

- 1 b. The nature and persistence of the violations;
- 2 c. The extent of the respondent’s culpability;
- 3 d. The substantive or technical nature of the violations;
- 4 e. The size, revenue, and human resources capacity of the respondent;
- 5 f. The circumstances of each situation;
- 6 g. The amount of penalties in similar situations; and
- 7 h. Pursuant to rules that the Director may issue, other factors that are
- 8 material and necessary to effectuate the terms of this Chapter 8.40.

9 B. A respondent found to be in violation of this Chapter 8.40 shall be liable for full
10 payment of unpaid compensation due plus interest in favor of the aggrieved party for the period
11 of deactivation under the terms of this Chapter 8.40, and other equitable relief.

12 1. If the precise amount of unpaid compensation cannot be determined due to a
13 respondent’s failure to produce records or if a respondent produces records in a manner or form
14 which makes timely determination of the amount of unpaid compensation impracticable, the
15 Director may:

16 a. Determine unpaid compensation as a matter of just and reasonable
17 inference, including the use of representative evidence such as testimony or other evidence from
18 representative employees or other aggrieved parties establishing violations for a class of
19 employees or aggrieved parties; or

20 b. Assess a daily amount for unpaid compensation plus interest in favor of
21 the aggrieved party in a minimum amount of at least the equivalent of payment for eight hours of
22 work at the “hourly minimum wage” rate for Schedule 1 employers under Chapter 14.19.

1 2. For a first violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director may assess liquidated
2 damages in an additional amount of up to twice the unpaid compensation.

3 3. For subsequent violations of this Chapter 8.40, the Director shall assess an
4 amount of liquidated damages in an additional amount of twice the unpaid compensation.

5 4. For purposes of establishing a first and subsequent violation for this Section
6 8.40.170, the violation must have occurred within ten years of the settlement agreement or
7 Director's Order.

8 C. A respondent found to be in violation of this Chapter 8.40 for retaliation under Section
9 8.40.120 shall be subject to any appropriate relief at law or equity including, but not limited to,
10 reinstatement of the aggrieved party, front pay in lieu of reinstatement with full payment of
11 unpaid compensation plus interest in favor of the aggrieved party under the terms of this Chapter
12 8.40, and liquidated damages in an additional amount of up to twice the unpaid compensation.
13 The Director also shall order the imposition of a penalty payable to the aggrieved party of up to
14 \$6,230.88.

15 D. The Director is authorized to assess civil penalties for a violation of this Chapter 8.40
16 and may specify that civil penalties are due to the aggrieved party rather than due to the Agency.

17 1. For a first violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director may assess a civil penalty
18 of up to \$622.85 per aggrieved party.

19 2. For a second violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director shall assess a civil
20 penalty of up to \$1,245.71 per aggrieved party, or an amount equal to ten percent of the total
21 amount of unpaid compensation, whichever is greater.

1 3. For a third or any subsequent violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director shall
 2 assess a civil penalty of up to \$6,230.88 per aggrieved party, or an amount equal to ten percent of
 3 the total amount of unpaid compensation, whichever is greater.

4 4. For purposes of this subsection 8.40.170.D, a violation is a second, third, or
 5 subsequent violation if the respondent has been a party to one, two, or more than two settlement
 6 agreements, respectively, stipulating that a violation has occurred; and/or one, two, or more than
 7 two Director’s Orders, respectively, have issued against the respondent in the ten years preceding
 8 the date of the violation; otherwise, it is a first violation.

9 E. The Director is authorized to assess fines for a violation of this Chapter 8.40 and may
 10 specify that fines are due to the aggrieved party rather than due to the Agency. The Director is
 11 authorized to assess fines as follows:

Violation	Fine
Failure to comply with deactivation requirements under Section 8.40.050	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide app-based worker with an internal deactivation challenge procedure under Section 8.40.060	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide app-based worker with a notice of deactivation under Section 8.40.070	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide app-based worker with records relied upon by the network company to substantiate the deactivation under Section 8.40.080	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide certified statement attesting to records provided to substantiate deactivation under Section 8.40.080	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide written notice of rights under Section 8.40.100	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to retain network company records for three years under subsections 8.40.110.B	\$622.85 per missing record
Failure to comply with prohibitions against retaliation for exercising rights protected under Section 8.40.120	\$1,254.71 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide notice of investigation to app-based workers under subsection 8.40.150.B.2	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to post or distribute public notice of failure to comply with final order under subsection 8.40.210.A.1	\$622.85 per aggrieved party

1 The maximum amount that may be imposed in fines in a one-year period for each type of
2 violation listed above is \$6,230.88 per aggrieved party unless a fine for retaliation is issued, in
3 which case the maximum amount that may be imposed for each app-based worker in a one-year
4 period is \$24,922.26.

5 F. A respondent that willfully hinders, prevents, impedes, or interferes with the Director
6 or Hearing Examiner in the performance of their duties under this Chapter 8.40 shall be subject
7 to a civil penalty of not less than \$1,245.71 and not more than \$6,230.88.

8 G. In addition to the unpaid compensation, penalties, fines, liquidated damages, and
9 interest, the Agency may assess against the respondent in favor of the City the reasonable costs
10 incurred in enforcing this Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to reasonable investigation
11 costs and attorneys' fees. The Director may issue rules on the amounts and contributing factors
12 for assessing reasonable investigation costs and is strongly encouraged to assess such costs in
13 favor of the City to support the Agency's implementation of this Chapter 8.40.

14 H. A respondent that is the subject of a settlement agreement stipulating that a violation
15 shall count for debarment, or a final order for which all appeal rights have been exhausted, shall
16 not be permitted to bid, or have a bid considered, on any City contract until such amounts due
17 under the final order have been paid in full to the Director. If the respondent is the subject of a
18 final order two times or more within a five-year period, the network company shall not be
19 allowed to bid on any City contract for two years. This subsection 8.40.170.H shall be construed
20 to provide grounds for debarment separate from, and in addition to, those contained in Chapter
21 20.70 and shall not be governed by that chapter; provided, that nothing in this subsection
22 8.40.170.H shall be construed to limit the application of Chapter 20.70. The Director shall notify

1 the Director of Finance and Administrative Services of all respondents subject to debarment
2 under this subsection 8.40.170.H.

3 **8.40.180 Appeal period and failure to respond**

4 A. An app-based worker or other person who claims an injury as a result of an alleged
5 violation of this Chapter 8.40 may appeal the Determination of No Violation, pursuant to
6 Director's Rules.

7 B. A respondent may appeal the Director's Order, including all remedies issued pursuant
8 to Section 8.40.170, by requesting a contested hearing before the Hearing Examiner in writing
9 within 15 days of service of the Director's Order. If a respondent fails to appeal the Director's
10 Order within 15 days of service, the Director's Order shall be final. If the last day of the appeal
11 period so computed is a Saturday, Sunday, or federal or City holiday, the appeal period shall run
12 until 5 p.m. on the next business day.

13 **8.40.190 Appeal procedure and failure to appear**

14 A. Contested hearings shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures for hearing
15 contested cases contained in Section 3.02.090 and the rules adopted by the Hearing Examiner for
16 hearing contested cases. The hearing shall be conducted de novo and the Director shall have the
17 burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation or violations occurred.
18 Upon establishing such proof, the remedies and penalties imposed by the Director shall be
19 upheld unless it is shown that the Director abused discretion. Failure to appear for a contested
20 hearing shall result in an order being entered finding that the respondent committed the violation
21 stated in the Director's Order. For good cause shown and upon terms the Hearing Examiner
22 deems just, the Hearing Examiner may set aside an order entered upon a failure to appear.

1 B. In all contested cases, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order affirming, modifying,
2 or reversing the Director's Order.

3 **8.40.200 Appeal from Hearing Examiner order**

4 A. The respondent may obtain judicial review of the decision of the Hearing Examiner by
5 applying for a Writ of Review in the King County Superior Court within 30 days from the date
6 of the decision in accordance with the procedure set forth in chapter 7.16 RCW as amended,
7 other applicable law, and court rules.

8 B. The decision of the Hearing Examiner shall be final and conclusive unless review is
9 sought in compliance with this Section 8.40.200.

10 **8.40.210 Failure to comply with final order**

11 A. If a respondent fails to comply within 30 days of service of any settlement agreement
12 with the Agency, or with any final order issued by the Director or the Hearing Examiner for which
13 all appeal rights have been exhausted, the Agency may pursue, but is not limited to, the following
14 measures to secure compliance:

15 1. The Director may require the respondent to post or distribute public notice of
16 the respondent's failure to comply in a form and manner determined by the Agency.

17 2. The Director may refer the matter to a collection agency. The cost to the City
18 for the collection services will be assessed as costs, at the rate agreed to between the City and the
19 collection agency, and added to the amounts due.

20 3. The Director may refer the matter to the City Attorney for the filing of a civil
21 action in a court of competent jurisdiction to enforce such order or to collect amounts due. In the
22 alternative, the Director may seek to enforce a settlement agreement, Director's Order or a final
23 order of the Hearing Examiner under Section 8.40.190.

1 4. The Director may request that the City’s Department of Finance and
2 Administrative Services deny, suspend, refuse to renew, or revoke any business license held or
3 requested by the network company or person until such time as the network company complies
4 with the remedy as defined in the settlement agreement or final order. The City’s Department of
5 Finance and Administrative Services shall have the authority to deny, refuse to renew, or revoke
6 any business license in accordance with this subsection 8.40.210.A.4.

7 B. No respondent that is the subject of a final order issued under this Chapter 8.40 shall
8 quit business, sell out, exchange, convey, or otherwise dispose of the respondent’s business or
9 stock of goods without first notifying the Agency and without first notifying the respondent’s
10 successor of the amounts owed under the final order at least three business days before such
11 transaction. At the time the respondent quits business, or sells out, exchanges, or otherwise
12 disposes of the respondent’s business or stock of goods, the full amount of the remedy, as
13 defined in a final order issued by the Director or the Hearing Examiner, shall become
14 immediately due and payable. If the amount due under the final order is not paid by respondent
15 within ten days from the date of such sale, exchange, conveyance, or disposal, the successor shall
16 become liable for the payment of the amount due; provided, that the successor has actual
17 knowledge of the order and the amounts due or has prompt, reasonable, and effective means of
18 accessing and verifying the fact and amount of the order and the amounts due. The successor
19 shall withhold from the purchase price a sum sufficient to pay the amount of the full remedy.
20 When the successor makes such payment, that payment shall be deemed a payment upon the
21 purchase price in the amount paid, and if such payment is greater in amount than the purchase
22 price the amount of the difference shall become a debt due such successor from the network
23 company.

1 **8.40.220 Debt owed The City of Seattle**

2 A. All monetary amounts due under the Director’s Order shall be a debt owed to the City
3 and may be collected in the same manner as any other debt in like amount, which remedy shall
4 be in addition to all other existing remedies; provided, that amounts collected by the City for
5 unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, penalties payable to aggrieved parties, or front pay
6 shall be held in trust by the City for the aggrieved party and, once collected by the City, shall be
7 paid by the City to the aggrieved party.

8 B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director’s Order to the Hearing Examiner within the
9 time period set forth in subsection 8.40.180.B, the Director’s Order shall be final, and the
10 Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court, or any court of competent jurisdiction, to
11 enforce the Director’s Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the
12 respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief
13 contained in the order are due. The Director’s Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a
14 violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any
15 certifications or declarations authorized under RCW 5.50.050 as amended containing evidence
16 that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in
17 default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director’s Order to the Hearing Examiner
18 within the time period set forth in subsection 8.40.180.B, and therefore has failed to exhaust the
19 respondent’s administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary
20 foundation.

21 C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner
22 within the time period set forth in subsection 8.40.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner
23 shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director’s

1 Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of
2 the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence
3 that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary
4 foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under RCW 5.50.050 as amended
5 containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof,
6 and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in
7 accordance with subsection 8.40.200.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary
8 foundation.

9 D. In considering matters brought under subsections 8.40.220.B and 8.40.220.C, the
10 Seattle Municipal Court may include within its judgment all terms, conditions, and remedies
11 contained in the Director's Order or the order of the Hearing Examiner, whichever is applicable,
12 that are consistent with the provisions of this Chapter 8.40.

13 **8.40.230 Private right of action**

14 A. Any person or class of persons that suffers an injury as a result of a violation of this
15 Chapter 8.40, or is the subject of prohibited retaliation under Section 8.40.120, may bring a civil
16 action in a court of competent jurisdiction against the network company or other person violating
17 this Chapter 8.40 and, upon prevailing, may be awarded reasonable attorney fees and costs and
18 such legal or equitable relief as may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, without
19 limitation: the payment of any unpaid compensation plus interest due to the person; liquidated
20 damages in an additional amount of up to twice the unpaid compensation; a penalty payable to
21 the aggrieved party of up to \$6,230.88 if the aggrieved party was subject to prohibited
22 retaliation; and other civil penalties and fines payable to any aggrieved party, consistent with

1 Section 8.40.170. Interest shall accrue from the date the unpaid compensation was first due at 12
2 percent per annum, or the maximum rate permitted under RCW 19.52.020 as amended.

3 B. For purposes of this Section 8.40.230, “person” includes any entity a member of which
4 has suffered an injury or retaliation, or any other individual or entity acting on behalf of an
5 aggrieved party that has suffered an injury or retaliation.

6 C. For purposes of determining membership within a class of persons entitled to bring an
7 action under this Section 8.40.230, two or more app-based workers are similarly situated if they:

8 1. Performed services in Seattle for the same network company or network
9 companies, whether concurrently or otherwise, at some point during the applicable statute of
10 limitations period;

11 2. Allege one or more violations that raise similar questions as to liability; and

12 3. Seek similar forms of relief.

13 D. For purposes of subsection 8.40.230.C, app-based workers shall not be considered
14 dissimilar solely because:

15 1. The app-based workers’ claims seek damages that differ in amount; or

16 2. The job titles of or other means of classifying the app-based workers differ in
17 ways that are unrelated to their claims.

18 E. An order issued by a court may include a requirement for a network company to
19 submit a compliance report to the court and/or to the Agency.

20 **8.40.233 Waiver**

21 Any waiver by an individual of any provisions of this Chapter 8.40 shall be deemed contrary to
22 public policy and shall be void and unenforceable.

1 **8.40.235 Encouragement of more generous policies**

2 A. Nothing in this Chapter 8.40 shall be construed to discourage or prohibit a network
3 company from the adoption or retention of minimum standards for deactivation policies for app-
4 based workers that are more generous than the minimum standards required by this Chapter 8.40.

5 B. Nothing in this Chapter 8.40 shall be construed as diminishing the obligation of the
6 network company to comply with any contract or other agreement providing more generous
7 minimum standards for deactivation policies for app-based workers than required by this
8 Chapter 8.40.

9 **8.40.240 Other legal requirements—Effect on other laws**

10 A. The provisions of this Chapter 8.40:

11 1. Supplement and do not diminish or replace any other basis of liability or
12 requirement established by statute or common law;

13 2. Shall not be construed to preempt, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of
14 any other law, regulation, requirement, policy, or standard for minimum deactivation
15 requirements, or other protections to app-based workers; and

16 3. Shall not be interpreted or applied so as to create any power or duty in conflict
17 with federal or state law.

18 B. This Chapter 8.40 shall not be construed to preclude any person aggrieved from
19 seeking judicial review of any final administrative decision or order made under this Chapter
20 8.40 affecting such person. Nothing in this Section 8.40.240 shall be construed as restricting the
21 right of an app-based worker or other person to pursue any other remedies at law or equity for
22 violation of the app-based worker's rights.

1 C. A network company’s failure to comply with the provisions of this Chapter 8.40 shall
2 not render any contract between the network company and an app-based worker void or
3 voidable.

4 D. No provision of this Chapter 8.40 shall be construed as providing a determination
5 about the legal classification of any individual as an employee or independent contractor.

6 **8.40.250 Severability**

7 The provisions of this Chapter 8.40 are declared to be separate and severable. If any clause,
8 sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, subsection, or portion of this Chapter 8.40, or the
9 application thereof to any network company, app-based worker, person, or circumstance, is held
10 to be invalid, it shall not affect the validity of the remainder of this Chapter 8.40, or the validity
11 of its application to other persons or circumstances.

12 Section 3. Section 3.02.125 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance
13 126788, is amended as follows:

14 **3.02.125 Hearing Examiner filing fees**

15 A. The filing fee for a case before the City Hearing Examiner is \$85, with the following
16 exceptions:

Basis for Case	Fee in dollars

All-Gender Restroom Notice of Violation (Section 14.07.040)	No fee
<u>App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance (Chapter 8.40)</u>	<u>No fee</u>
App-Based Worker Minimum Payment Ordinance (Chapter 8.37)	No fee

17 ***

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

Section 4. If Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 8.40 is amended to authorize enforcement of additional provisions beyond what is provided in this ordinance, the City Council intends to provide an accompanying appropriation to enable the Office of Labor Standards to enforce and implement the additional provisions.

Section 5. Section 2 of this ordinance shall take effect on June 1, 2024.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force 30 days after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Seattle Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the _____ day of _____, 2023,
and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this _____ day of _____, 2023.

President _____ of the City Council

Approved / returned unsigned / vetoed this ____ day of _____, 2023.

Bruce A. Harrell, Mayor

Filed by me this _____ day of _____, 2023.

1

2

Elizabeth M. Adkisson, Interim City Clerk

3 (Seal)

DRAFT



App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance – Draft Legislation

JASMINE MARWAHA, LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

PUBLIC SAFETY AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE

MAY 9, 2023

Presentation Outline

- Background
- Policy Goals
- Current Draft Legislation
- Questions
- Next Steps

Background

- Management by algorithm
- Workers facing deactivation for reasons such as:
 - Rejecting too many orders
 - Being unavailable on certain days or times
 - Delays outside of their control
 - Changing performance expectations
 - Unknown reasons
- Lack of substantive response from companies when workers try to challenge deactivations

Policy Goals




Policy Goals

- Create more stability and job security for app-based workers
- Create a balance between the efficiencies of algorithmic management and the needs of Seattle workers

Policy Summary

The App-Based Workers Deactivations Rights Ordinance would require network companies to base deactivations on reasonable policies and provide app-based workers notice, records, and human review of all deactivations.



Coverage

Network Companies

- All network companies with 250 or more app-based workers

App-Based Workers

- Except for notice of rights, coverage would be limited to workers who, in the previous 180 days, have had at least ten percent of their completed offers, or offers cancelled with cause, involve performing services in Seattle
- Companies must send a notice of rights to any worker who has performed services in Seattle. Notice of rights includes an accessible system for app-based workers to understand their eligibility to challenge a deactivation

Requirements for Deactivation: Policies

- **Fair notice of deactivation policy:** A network company must inform the app-based worker of the network company's policies for which a violation may result in deactivation.
- **Reasonable rule or policy:** The rule or policy that may lead to a deactivation must be reasonable and be reasonably related to the network company's safe and efficient operations.
 - Listed examples of unreasonable policies.

Unreasonable Rule or Policy

A Network Company would **not** be able to deactivate an app-based worker based on:

Consistent
with
ABWMP

- Availability to work or number of hours worked
- Acceptance or rejection of any offer, any types of offers, or any number or proportion of offers
- Cancelling of an offer with cause
- Contacting the network company
- Quantitative metrics derived from aggregate customer ratings
- Statements by a worker regarding compensation and/or working conditions
- Asserting legal rights, whether in court or via government processes/procedures
- A background check, consumer report, driver record, or record of traffic infractions, except in cases of egregious misconduct or where permitted or required by other applicable law

Requirements Upon Deactivation

- **Investigation:** A network company must conduct a fair and objective investigation prior to deactivating an app-based worker, except in the case of egregious misconduct.
- **Confirmation of violation:** The network company must demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the alleged violation of the network company's policy or rule occurred.
- **Consistent application** of rule or policy and subsequent penalties
- **Proportionality:** Deactivation must be reasonably related to offense, consider mitigating circumstances.
- Cannot intend or result in discrimination or discriminatory act.

Implications of Egregious Misconduct

1. Don't need to complete investigation before deactivating a worker
 - Investigation must be completed within 10 days unless extraordinary circumstances beyond company's control
2. Can deactivate immediately without needing to provide 14 days' notice
3. Can deactivate based on the results of a background check that reveals egregious misconduct.
 - If the network company can prove by clear and convincing evidence that the conduct directly relates to the app-based worker's fitness to provide app-based services.

Egregious Misconduct Definition

- “Egregious misconduct” means an abhorrent or wrong action or behavior by an individual app-based worker that:
 1. Endangers the physical safety of the customer or a third person; or
 2. Intentionally causes economic harm to the customer, a third person, or the network company.
- Egregious misconduct includes conduct that occurs outside of an app-based worker’s provision of app-based services if the network company can prove by clear and convincing evidence that the conduct directly relates to the app-based worker’s fitness to provide app-based services.
- The Director may issue rules further defining what constitutes economic harm or egregious misconduct

Egregious Misconduct Examples

- Assault
- Sexual assault
- Sexual harassment
- Communicating with a minor for immoral purposes
- Sexual conduct as defined in state law
- Unlawful harassment as defined in state law
- Unlawful imprisonment as defined in state law
- Solicitation of any sexual act
- Theft
- Fraud
- Robbery
- Burglary
- Prostitution
- Reckless driving
- Driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs
- Failing to maintain a valid state driver's license

Notice of Deactivation

- App-based workers get 14-days' notice of their deactivation, except when egregious misconduct
- The notice of deactivation must include, at a minimum:
 - The reasons for deactivation
 - The effective date of deactivation
 - The [records](#) relied upon to substantiate deactivation
 - The length of the deactivation
 - The steps an app-based worker can take to remedy the deactivation
 - The app-based worker's right to challenge such deactivation
 - The network company's [process for challenging a deactivation](#), including contact information to initiate a challenge

Right to Challenge Deactivations

- An app-based worker shall have a right to challenge unwarranted deactivation through an internal deactivation challenge procedure
- A response to a challenge must be provided within 14 days, certified by an individual at the network company with authority to reinstate the app-based worker
 - Confirming deactivation
 - Reversing deactivation
 - Need more time due to extraordinary circumstances (and estimated date of next response)
- An app-based worker shall have a right to file a complaint with OLS or pursue their private right of action after receiving the network company's initial response, or 14 days after initiating a challenge, whichever comes earlier.

Access to Records

- Records relied upon by the network company to substantiate deactivation
- Certified statement from an individual with authority to reverse the deactivation, attesting that these are true and accurate records to the individual's knowledge
- If new evidence comes to the network company's possession, they must provide records to the app-based worker within 14 days
- Presumption of violation if company fails to disclose records required in this section on time, rebuttable by clear and convincing evidence
- Network companies must establish an accessible system for app-based workers to access their receipts and/or payment disclosures for each offer performed or cancelled

Access to Records: Privacy

- If the records substantiating deactivation involve information related to a customer or a third party and the network company reasonably believes that information could compromise the customer or third party's safety, the network company may take measures to anonymize information related to that customer or third party.
- The Director may issue rules regarding the measures taken to anonymize information related to a customer or third party.


Enforcement

- Proposed effective date: June 1, 2024
- *Agency enforcement* date: January 1, 2025
 - Agency will enforce all procedural requirements and facial policies, but not substantive review of investigations. Agency will not enforce subsections:
 - 8.40.050.A.3: Investigation
 - 8.40.050.A.4: Confirmation of violation
 - 8.40.050.A.5: Consistent application of rule or policy
 - 8.40.050.A.6: Proportionality
 - 8.40.050.B: Discrimination
- App-based worker may pursue private right of action outside of Agency enforcement


Agency Enforcement

- Enforcing Facial Policy Requirements
 - Fair notice of deactivation policy
 - Reasonable rule or policy
 - Listed unreasonable policies
- Enforcing Procedural Requirements
 - Notice of Deactivation
 - Right to Challenge Deactivation
 - Access to Records

Summary and Timeline



Summary and Timeline: Egregious Misconduct



Policy Questions (Issue ID Preview)

- Coverage
- Reasonable policies
- Egregious Misconduct
- Privacy concerns
- Enforcement

Next Steps

- Introduction of Legislation
- Committee Meeting with Issue ID

Questions?