

2015 BUDGET LEGISLATION FISCAL NOTE

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
Legislative Branch	Paddy Wigren / 386-1381	

Legislation Title:

A RESOLUTION adopting Statements of Legislative Intent (SLIs) for the 2015 Adopted Budget, 2016 Endorsed Budget and the 2015-2020 Adopted Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

Summary of the Legislation:

This Legislation formally adopts the Statements of Legislative Intent (SLIs) that the City Council approved during its review of the Mayor’s 2015-2016 Proposed Budget and 2015-2020 Proposed Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The SLIs reflect the Council’s policy intent as it applies to the 2015 Adopted Budget, 2016 Endorsed Budget and the 2015-2020 Adopted CIP.

Background:

See Above.

Please check one of the following:

This legislation does not have any financial implications.

NOTE: This legislation, in and of itself, does not have any financial implications. The City Council expects that the analyses requested through this legislation and the responses requested from the Executive will require significant city staff time and resources for which no specific or additional appropriation was made in the 2015 Adopted or 2016 Endorsed Budgets.

This legislation has financial implications.

Other Implications:

a) **Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?**

This legislation, in and of itself, does not have any financial implications. The City Council expects that the analyses requested through this legislation and the responses requested from the Executive will require significant city staff time and resources for which no specific or additional appropriation was made in the 2015 Adopted or 2016 Endorsed Budgets.

b) **What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?**

None.

c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

Yes. This legislation requires the cooperation of the Mayor’s Office, the City Budget Office and many other City departments, which are already aware of their responsibilities.

d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?

There are no alternatives to this legislation.

e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

No.

f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No.

g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

No.

h) Other Issues:

List attachments to the fiscal note below:

None.