

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact/Phone:	CBO Contact/Phone:
Seattle Parks and Recreation	Amy Williams/684-4135	Anna Hurst/733-9137

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE relating to Seattle Parks and Recreation (SPR); authorizing an administrative amendment to Ordinance 115859, which established the Beach Maintenance Trust Fund as a subsidiary fund of the Shoreline Park Improvement Fund (SPIF) (33110), which fund was established by Ordinance 115496 as part of the settlement agreement with the Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle (“Metro”) for the construction of the West Point and Alki secondary sewage projects, and which expired in January 2011.

Summary and background of the Legislation: In January 1991, the City entered into a mitigation agreement with, at the time, the Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle (now King County Metro) for the construction of West Point (Discovery Park) and Alki sewage treatment plants. Per Ordinance 115496, the city established the Shoreline Park Improvement Fund (SPIF) to receive and spend mitigation funds which was set to expire 20 years later, or by January 2011 (Section 2 of the Shoreline Improvement Fund Agreement).

The principal use of the SPIF Fund was to enhance public use of, access to, and access along bodies of water. It was to be used for projects that compensate for the impact of the sewage plants by replacing, enhancing, or providing substitute resources for environments. Within that category of use, the first priority was for the acquisition, construction, expansion, and rehabilitation of saltwater beaches. Acquisitions and improvements that provide or increase public access to bodies of fresh water was also eligible. Proceeds in the SPIF fund (33110) have been fully spent down.

In September 1991, as part of the settlement agreement, the City also created a permanent Beach Maintenance Trust Fund (70200) and appropriated \$2 Million to it from the Shoreline Park Improvement Fund (SPIF) (Ordinance 115859). The mitigation fund balance was to remain at \$2 Million; with the intent interest earned on this principal would be used for beach restoration projects throughout the city.

The Beach Maintenance Trust Fund has been fully appropriated to various projects in accordance with the allowable uses of the funds as described below. However, at the time, Ordinance 115859 which established the Beach Maintenance Trust Fund in 1991 was not simultaneously amended to remove the language stating the fund was to remain as a permanent trust fund. Therefore, this administrative amendment to Section 3 of Ordinance 115859 is needed to eliminate the restriction in accordance with the expiration of the SPIF Settlement Agreement.

- As part of the 2019 supplemental budget process, Ordinance 125895 appropriated \$325,000 to support a shoreline acquisition at NE 130th St.

- As part of the 2020 supplement budget process Ordinance 126210 appropriated \$250,000 to support the Be'er Sheva Park Improvement project.
- As part of the 2021 budget process, Ordinance 126237 appropriated \$1.52M in remaining funds to the Waterfront Piers Rehabilitation project.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? Yes No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? Yes No

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?

The legislation reflects a technical change to an outdated ordinance and does not have financial impacts.

Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?

If this legislation is not implemented, the City may be at risk of audit findings on the Beach Maintenance Trust Fund.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

This legislation was developed in partnership with the Finance and Administrative Services Department's Citywide Accounting Division.

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

No, a public hearing is not required.

c. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

A notice is not required.

d. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

No, this legislation does not affect a piece of property.

e. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?

This legislation is administrative in nature and does not have RSJI implications.

f. Climate Change Implications

1. Emissions: Is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way?

This legislation is administrative in nature and does not have climate change implications.

2. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.

This legislation is administrative in nature and does not have climate change implications

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)?

This legislation does not include a new initiative or programmatic expansion.

List attachments/exhibits below:

None