

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact/Phone:	CBO Contact/Phone:
Parks and Recreation	David Graves/684-7048	Selena Elmer/256-5972

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

1. **Legislation Title:** A RESOLUTION approving the design for improvements to Victor Steinbrueck Park funded through the 2008 Parks and Green Spaces Levy.

2. **Summary and background of the Legislation:** The 2008 Parks and Green Spaces Levy identified \$1.6 million for improvements at Victor Steinbrueck Park. The 2008 Parks and Green Spaces Levy also required City Council to determine whether the project is needed after they evaluated how well the removal of the automated public toilet, installation and operations of surveillance cameras, and increased policing addressed public safety issues in and around the park. The City Council, in Resolution 31558, determined the project was needed and requested DPR complete a design process with broad public outreach.

DPR completed the design process with robust public engagement and input and is submitting the design to City Council for approval. The language of the levy states that “[t]he Department of Parks and Recreation will conduct a project design process with broad public outreach and submit a project design to the Council for its review and approval prior to appropriation of levy funds for construction.” The design meets the stated goals of: improving sight lines into the park, renovating seating, renovating the former children's play area, improving and expanding lighting, and upgrading the landscaping.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

- a. Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ___ Yes ___X___ No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- a. Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? ___ Yes ___X___ No

- b. Does the legislation have other financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?
No.

- c. Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?
No.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**
The Seattle Police Department will have an easier time monitoring the park with improved lighting and sight lines.
- b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**
No.
- c. Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?**
No.
- d. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**
No.
- e. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**
Yes, included as Summary Attachment 1
- f. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?**
Victor Steinbrueck Park is traditionally enjoyed by vulnerable and historically disadvantaged communities. The improvements will allow these communities to continue to enjoy this park with a greater sense of safety.
- g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s).**
N/A

List attachments/exhibits below:

Summary Attachment 1 - Victor Steinbrueck Park Design