### **SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE\***

| Department:              | Dept. Contact: | CBO Contact:   |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Seattle Public Utilities | Rachel Xu      | Akshay Iyengar |

#### 1. BILL SUMMARY

**Legislation Title:** AN ORDINANCE relating to Seattle Public Utilities; declaring certain real property rights at the Foy Pump Station property (500 NE 145<sup>th</sup> St) as being surplus to the City's municipal utility needs; authorizing the sale of 451 square feet of King County Parcel 756870-0780 and 460 square feet of King County Parcel 756870-0775, and granting 1,201 square feet and 453 square feet on the same respective parcels for 3-year term temporary construction easements to the City of Shoreline for the purposes of the 145<sup>th</sup> Street and I-5 Interchange Project; directing the proceeds therefrom to Seattle Public Utilities' Water Fund; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.

## **Summary and Background of the Legislation:**

The City of Shoreline's SR523 N/NE 145<sup>th</sup> St & Interstate-5 Interchange Improvement project includes construction of a new roundabout on NE 145<sup>th</sup> St on the east side of Interstate-5 in coordination with the new light rail station in the area. Construction of the roundabout will require the permanent use of a small part of SPU's Foy Pump Station property and additional areas for temporary construction use.

The legislation would authorize the GM/CEO of Seattle Public Utilities to sell this property and to grant three temporary construction easements. These property rights transfers would not negatively affect SPU water operations. The size and dimensions of the property being sold preclude any other uses.

| 2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM  |                 |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?   | YesX_ No        |  |  |  |
| 3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS  |                 |  |  |  |
| Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget?   | Yes <u>X</u> No |  |  |  |
| Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs? SPU would receive \$211,100 as payment for the property sale and the temporary construction easements. |                 |  |  |  |

Are there financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation? If the City of Shoreline is not able to acquire the property and construction easements, it will be extremely difficult to complete its interchange project with resulting impacts to the

functioning of the regional mass transit system at this key transit hub.

<sup>\*</sup> Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.

#### 3.b. Revenues/Reimbursements

X This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

## **Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from This Legislation:**

| Fund Name<br>and Number | Dept | Revenue Source    | 2023<br>Revenue |  |
|-------------------------|------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| 43000 Water Fund        | SPU  | City of Shoreline | \$211,100       |  |
|                         |      | TOTAL             | \$211,100       |  |

#### 4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department? No
- b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation? Yes.
- c. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation? No
- d. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

Yes, a map is attached.

- e. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public? None
- f. Climate Change Implications
  - 1. Emissions: Is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way?

The ordinance would support expanded regional mass transit service and reduce carbon emissions.

2. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.

This ordinance would support regional mass transit service and reduce carbon emissions.

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)? NA

# **Summary Attachments:**

Summary Exhibit 1 – Vicinity Map