

## SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE\*

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Contact Person/Phone:</b>	<b>Executive Contact/Phone:</b>
Seattle Public Utilities	Jonathan Frodge/4-8479	Aaron Blumenthal/3-2656

*\* Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

### **1. BILL SUMMARY**

**Legislation Title:** AN ORDINANCE relating to grant funds from non-City sources; authorizing the Director of Seattle Public Utilities to accept specified grants and execute related agreements for and on behalf of the City; amending Ordinance 124927, which adopted the 2016 Budget, to increase appropriations to Seattle Public Utilities.

**Summary and background of the Legislation:** This legislation funds two grants for \$320,000 and \$240,000, which were awarded to SPU to expand and implement a SPU program to locate and eliminate sources of human sewage in surface waters inside of Seattle and in additional watersheds that drain to Seattle or are potential annexation areas to the City. A current SPU pilot project using autonomous battery powered dataloggers recording temperature and conductivity at 1-minute intervals, 24 hr/day has been successful in increasing the probability of conventional Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination (IDDE) methodology to locate and eliminate sources of human sewage and reduce exposure risk to the public in streams and swimming beaches. This program benefits from cooperation and coordination with the King County Department of Natural Resources.

### **2. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

  **X**   This legislation has direct financial implications.

<b>Budget program(s) affected:</b>				
<b>Estimated \$ Appropriation change:</b>	<b>General Fund \$</b>		<b>Other \$</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
			<b>\$260,000</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>
<b>Estimated \$ Revenue change:</b>	<b>Revenue to General Fund</b>		<b>Revenue to Other Funds</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
			<b>\$260,000</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>
<b>Positions affected:</b>	<b>No. of Positions</b>		<b>Total FTE Change</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Other departments affected:</b>				

#### **3.a. Appropriations**

  **x**   This legislation adds, changes, or deletes appropriations.

<b>Fund Name and number</b>	<b>Dept</b>	<b>Budget Control Level Name/##</b>	<b>2016 Appropriation Change</b>	<b>2017 Estimated Appropriation Change</b>
Drainage & Wastewater Fund 44010	SPU	Other Operating Budget / N400B	\$260,000	\$300,000
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>\$260,000</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>

Appropriations Notes:

**3.b. Revenues/Reimbursements**

  x   This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

**Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:**

<b>Fund Name and Number</b>	<b>Dept</b>	<b>Revenue Source</b>	<b>2016 Revenue</b>	<b>2017 Estimated Revenue</b>
Drainage & Wastewater Fund 44010	SPU	King County Wastewater Treatment Division	\$260,000	\$300,000
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>\$260,000</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes: These revenues are a combination of two grants received from King County, and are awarded for a two-year period beginning upon receipt of appropriately signed documents.

**4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS**

- a) **Does the legislation have indirect or long-term financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above?**  
No.
- b) **Is there financial cost or other impacts of not implementing the legislation?**  
If the City does not implement the legislation, SPU will not receive the revenues from the King County Wastewater Treatment Division but would also not do this work that is directed at reducing human source bacteria in Seattle surface waters and waters adjacent to or draining into Seattle. The work covered by these grants will be in watersheds that are currently listed on the State 303(d) Water Quality Limited List for fecal coliform and pose a public health risk. Not implementing this legislation increases the possibility that the Washington State Department of Ecology imposes a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), which could require more costly solutions.
- c) **Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**  
No.

**d) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**

No.

**e) Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?**

No.

**f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**

No.

**g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**

No.

**h) Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?**

A current focus area of this work is in the Littlebrook Tributary to Thornton Creek. This area of the city has some of the highest immigrant and non-English speaking population in Seattle.

**i) If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the long-term and measurable goals of the program? Please describe how this legislation would help achieve the program's desired goals.**

NA

**j) Other Issues:**

The project will be conducted with both scientific, field and laboratory support from King County. Several of the watersheds scheduled for deployment are outside of the city limits, but are either in watersheds that drain into the City or in potential annexation areas (White Center). King County has supported this project with sampling and microbiological analysis and has indicated that they will continue this support.

**List attachments/exhibits below:**