SPU Customer Review Panel: Strategic Business Plan Update

Presentation to Civil Rights, Utilities, Economic Development and Arts Committee March 31, 2017

Customer Review Panel Chair Noel Miller Vice-Chair Laura Lippman

Panel role per Resolution 31694:

- Gain a working knowledge of SPU
- Review plan assumptions, policy directions, alternatives
- Work with Council and Mayor staff to understand elected official issues and concerns
- Provide comments on the plan concurrent with plan delivery
- Help with customer engagement

9 members; 4 returning from original panel

We have been meeting 2X a month, 3 hours each meeting, since September.

Today we want to share some of the ideas and facts we've heard so far that are important to us

Value of the Plan

External:

- Rate predictability for the customer
- Transparency of Utility plans
- Commitment to quality, stable services

Internal:

- Attention to efficiencies, maintaining the rate path
- Ability for SPU to plan over time
- Plan is guiding daily work and longer-term planning
- External and Internal: Engaging Council, Mayor, staff and customers in developing plan

Issues of general concern to Panel

- How can SPU keep rates affordable to all?
- What progress is SPU making on upgrading the 80-100 yearold pipe systems that are the backbone of our water and sewer systems?
- How should SPU balance new regulatory requirements against other commitments to provide service and maintain facilities?
- Efficiencies— has the Utility been able to deliver efficiencies at the level committed, and if not, why not? What's realistic to expect going forward?

Issues...

- What are the impacts of City-imposed operating requirements?
- Pass through of King County's West Point Treatment plant repair costs?
- Rate structure—mostly variable-- doesn't match cost structure – mostly fixed. Does current growth create an opportunity for course correction?
- Are there other revenue sources that can be considered to mitigate rate increases to SPU's customers?

Some observations

- In the current plan (2015-2020), the rate path for the next three years averages 5.0% increase each year. This is significantly higher than the average rate for the each of the first three years of the plan (4.1%)
- There are at least two significant "adds" to SPU's costs in the next 6 years (2018-2023), **not in the current plan**, projected costs of which add an estimated **0.8**% to the overall rate path:
 - Move Seattle (projects not funded by the levy)
 - Ship Canal CSO Project

Move Seattle: additional utility replacement projects are necessary



The Ship Canal CSO project: accelerated schedule as compared to 2014 strategic plan assumptions



• In the last 2 years the system had an average of 3.8 sewer overflows per every 100 miles of pipe — the federal regulatory limit is 4 outflows. If the Utility does not meet this standard, it could be required to build additional facilities to address the need — expected to be much more expensive than

the current Sanitary Sewer Overflow project approach.



 Piloted CSO system improvements –both green and grey --are turning out to cost more to maintain than anticipated



 Major operations facilities are in bad shape and well beyond their useful life





 Sizing and need for combined sewer overflow (CSO) and drainage projects is growing due to climate change—more intense rainfall



Cost facts

- SPU's 2017 budget totals over \$1 billion
- The average residential customer of SPU will pay SPU a total of \$2,158.56 in 2017, including \$334.12 in Utility taxes
 - The total cost is up 29.7% since 2012
- For the average renter (apartment), the annual bill is \$1,283.11

Next Steps

- Recommend added Action Items, Savings and Efficiencies to the Plan with a resulting rate path for 2018 - 2023
- Prepare a letter to the Mayor and City Council providing comments
- Provide a final briefing to the CRUEDA Committee

Our thanks to:

Utility leadership and staff, Council staff rep, and CBO rep—and to the Committee for taking time to hear about the Business Plan update