SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact/Phone:	CBO Contact/Phone:		
SDOT	Bill LaBorde/4-0102	Lisa Gaccione / 5-5339		

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: A RESOLUTION approving the Seattle Pedestrian Master Plan 2018-2022 Implementation Plan and Progress Report.

Summary and background of the Legislation: Resolution 31743 approving the PMP directed the Seattle Department of Transportation ("SDOT") to present to Council an annual Implementation Plan describing the SDOT's anticipated PMP implementation, including prioritized capital investments over the next five years along with status of progress on previous implementation efforts and PMP performance measures, as well as cost and funding information, all developed with input from the Pedestrian Advisory Board. Council requested that the first Implementation Plan be presented to Council by December 1, 2017 and anticipated Council adoption of this first plan by resolution.

1	CADIT	AT	IMPROV	TICK ATTON		DD	CD	A 73 /
<i>Z</i> .	CAPIL	\mathbf{AL}	IMPROV		I	PKC	JGK	\mathbf{AWI}

a.	Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?	Yes x No
	If yes, please fill out the table below and attach a new (if creating a project) or marked-up (if amending) C	IP Page to the Council Bill.
	Please include the spending plan as part of the attached CIP Page. If no, please delete the table.	

Project Name:	Project I.D.:	Project Location:	Start Date:	End Date:	Total Project Cost Through 2022:

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? Yes x No If there are no changes to appropriations, revenues, or positions, please delete the table below.

Budget program(s) affected:					
	Genera	l Fund \$	Other \$		
Appropriation change (\$):	2018	2019	2018	2019	
Estimated Revenue change (\$): Positions affected:	Revenue to (General Fund	Revenue to Other Funds		
	2018 2019		2018	2019	
	No. of F	Positions	Total FTE Change		
	2018	2019	2018	2019	

^{*} Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.

b. Does the legislation have other financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?

If so, describe the nature of the impacts. This could include increased operating and maintenance costs, for example. Many of the projects and programmatic improvements resulting from the Pedestrian Master Plan and prioritized over the next five years in this Implementation Plan are funded through the 9-year Levy to Move Seattle and are incorporated in the current and proposed budgets and Capital Improvement Plan. Additional appropriations will likely be included in future budget processes and will be necessary to complete Plan implementation

c. Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?

Estimate the costs to the City of not implementing the legislation, including estimated costs to maintain or expand an existing facility or the cost avoidance due to replacement of an existing facility, potential conflicts with regulatory requirements, or other potential costs or consequences.

Not directly

If there are no changes to appropriations, revenues, or positions, please delete sections 3.d., 3.e., and 3.f. and answer the questions in Section 4.

3.d. Appropriations

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes appropriations.

If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Revenues/Reimbursements.

Fund Name and number	Dept	Budget Control Level Name/#*	2018 Appropriation Change	2019 Estimated Appropriation Change
TOTAL				

^{*}See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

This table should reflect appropriations that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the project/programs associated with this ordinance had, or will have, appropriations in other legislation please provide details in the Appropriation Notes section below. If the appropriation is not completely supported by revenue/reimbursements listed below, please identify the funding source (e.g. available fund balance) to cover this appropriation in the notes section. Also indicate if the legislation changes appropriations one-time, ongoing, or both.

Is this change one-time or ongoing?

Please explain any complicated scenarios – e.g. three-year funding agreement but not permanent ongoing.

Appropriations Notes:

3.e. Revenues/Reimbursements

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Positions,

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:

Fund Name and Number	Dept	Revenue Source	2018 Revenue	2019 Estimated Revenue
TOTAL				

This table should reflect revenues/reimbursements that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the issues/projects associated with this ordinance/resolution have revenues or reimbursements that were, or will be, received because of previous or future legislation or budget actions, please provide details in the Notes section below. Do the revenue sources have match requirements? If so, what are they?

Is this change one-time or ongoing?

Please explain any complicated scenarios – e.g. three-year funding agreement but not permanent ongoing.

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

3.f. Positions

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes positions.

If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Other Implications.

Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, or Abrogated through this Legislation, Including FTE Impact:

Position # for Existing Positions	Position Title & Department*	Fund Name & #	Program & BCL	PT/FT	2018 Positions	2018 FTE	Does it sunset? (If yes, explain below in Position Notes)
TOTAL							

^{*} List each position separately

This table should only reflect the actual number of positions created by this legislation. In the event that positions have been, or will be, created as a result of previous or future legislation or budget actions, please provide details in the Notes section below.

Position Notes:

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department? If so, please list the affected department(s) and the nature of the impact (financial, operational, etc.). Not directly

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

If yes, what public hearing(s) have been held to date, and/or what public hearing(s) are planned/required in the future? No

c. Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?

If yes, please describe the measures taken to comply with RCW 64.06.080.

No

d. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

For example, legislation related to sale of surplus property, condemnation, or certain capital projects with private partners may require publication of notice. If you aren't sure, please check with your lawyer. If publication of notice is required, describe any steps taken to comply with that requirement.

No

e. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

If yes, and if a map or other visual representation of the property is not already included as an exhibit or attachment to the legislation itself, then you must include a map and/or other visual representation of the property and its location as an attachment to the fiscal note. Place a note on the map attached to the fiscal note that indicates the map is intended for illustrative or informational purposes only and is not intended to modify anything in the legislation.

No

f. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?

If yes, please explain how this legislation may impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities. Using the racial equity toolkit is one way to help determine the legislation's impact on certain communities.

The PMP itself is organized around four main goals, one being equity: Make Seattle a more walkable and accessible city for all through equity in public engagement, service delivery, accessibility, and capital investments. Equity is also one of the primary criteria used to prioritize areas in the Implementation Plan for future pedestrian improvements and annual investments. Pedestrian improvements are particularly important in historically underrepresented communities whose residents are often more dependent on walking and transit for mobility.

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s).

This answer should highlight measurable outputs and outcomes.

The PMP includes six performance measures intended to assess progress made on achieving the goals of the plan, which guide the Implementation Plan's priorities. These include:

- Number of pedestrian fatalities and serious injuries;
- Rate of crashes involving pedestrians;
- Percent of sidewalks within the Priority Investment Network completed;
- Travel mode share:
- Pedestrian activity (number of pedestrians at select count locations); and
- Children walking or biking to or from school.

List attachments/exhibits below: