

APPENDIX B. ANALYSIS OF DATA FROM LANDLORD/OWNER SURVEY

Survey Analyses for City of Seattle

UW Seattle Rental Housing Market Study

Contents

R's largest property in terms of units	10
Overall	10
By R's tenure as a landlord	11
By number of zip codes R has units in	12
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	13
By parking for R's most common unit	14
R's tenure as a landlord	15
Overall	15
By R's largest property in terms of units	16
By number of zip codes R has units in	17
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	18
By parking for R's most common unit	19
R's total number of rental housing buildings	20
Overall	20
By R's largest property in terms of units	21
By R's tenure as a landlord	22
By number of zip codes R has units in	23
By parking for R's most common unit	24
Number of zip codes R has units in	25
Overall	25
By R's largest property in terms of units	26
By R's tenure as a landlord	27
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	28
By parking for R's most common unit	29
Parking for R's most common unit	30
Overall	30
By R's largest property in terms of units	31
By R's tenure as a landlord	32
By number of zip codes R has units in	33
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	34
What is R's race/ethnicity	35
Overall	35
By R's largest property in terms of units	36
By R's tenure as a landlord	38
By number of zip codes R has units in	40
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	41
By parking for R's most common unit	43
What is R's gender?	45
Overall	45
By R's largest property in terms of units	46
By R's tenure as a landlord	47
By number of zip codes R has units in	48
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	49
By parking for R's most common unit	50

Is R currently a property owner or manager?	51
Overall	51
By R's largest property in terms of units	52
By R's tenure as a landlord	53
By number of zip codes R has units in	54
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	55
By parking for R's most common unit	56
Is R a Seattle rental property owner, property manager or both?	57
Overall	57
By R's largest property in terms of units	58
By R's tenure as a landlord	59
By number of zip codes R has units in	60
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	61
By parking for R's most common unit	62
Financial role of rental unit	63
Overall	63
By R's largest property in terms of units	64
By R's tenure as a landlord	65
By number of zip codes R has units in	66
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	67
By parking for R's most common unit	68
About how many miles does R live from Seattle?	69
Overall	69
By R's largest property in terms of units	70
By R's tenure as a landlord	71
By number of zip codes R has units in	72
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	73
By parking for R's most common unit	74
Does R live in one of their Seattle buildings' rental units?	75
Overall	75
By R's largest property in terms of units	76
By R's tenure as a landlord	77
By number of zip codes R has units in	78
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	79
By parking for R's most common unit	80
N rental units R owns/manages	81
Overall	81
By R's largest property in terms of units	82
By R's tenure as a landlord	83
By number of zip codes R has units in	84
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	85
By parking for R's most common unit	86
R's estimated average total household income	87
Overall	87
By R's largest property in terms of units	88
By R's tenure as a landlord	89
By number of zip codes R has units in	90
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	91
By parking for R's most common unit	92
How does R advertise vacant rental units?	93
Overall	93
By R's largest property in terms of units	94

By R's tenure as a landlord	96
By number of zip codes R has units in	98
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	100
By parking for R's most common unit	102
Does R use Craigslist to advertise vacant rental units?	104
Overall	104
By R's largest property in terms of units	105
By R's tenure as a landlord	106
By number of zip codes R has units in	107
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	108
By parking for R's most common unit	109
What does R think City of Seattle ordinances should target?	110
Overall	110
By R's largest property in terms of units	111
By R's tenure as a landlord	113
By number of zip codes R has units in	115
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	117
By parking for R's most common unit	119
R thinks Seattle city officials take landlords' perspectives into consideration when making policy?	121
Overall	121
By R's largest property in terms of units	122
By R's tenure as a landlord	123
By number of zip codes R has units in	124
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	125
By parking for R's most common unit	126
Has R ever rented to one or more Seattle tenants paying rent with a housing voucher?	127
Overall	127
By R's largest property in terms of units	128
By R's tenure as a landlord	129
By number of zip codes R has units in	130
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	131
By parking for R's most common unit	132
How many units of R's are currently occupied by voucher households?	133
Overall	133
By R's largest property in terms of units	134
By R's tenure as a landlord	136
By number of zip codes R has units in	138
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	140
By parking for R's most common unit	142
Has R ever rented to a Seattle tenant who requested disability accommodations or requested to make disability-related modifications to a unit?	144
Overall	144
By R's largest property in terms of units	145
By R's tenure as a landlord	146
By number of zip codes R has units in	147
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	148
By parking for R's most common unit	149
Has R ever rented to a tenant in Seattle who R knew had a criminal record at the time of their rental application?	150
Overall	150
By R's largest property in terms of units	151
By R's tenure as a landlord	152
By number of zip codes R has units in	153

By R's total number of rental housing buildings	154
By parking for R's most common unit	155
What size unit is most common among rental unit R owns/manages?	156
Overall	156
By R's largest property in terms of units	157
By R's tenure as a landlord	158
By number of zip codes R has units in	159
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	160
By parking for R's most common unit	161
What is the average monthly rent charged in the City of Seattle for the selected modal unit size?	162
Overall - Studio	162
By R's largest property in terms of units - Studio	163
By R's tenure as a studiolord - Studio	164
By number of zip codes R has units in - Studio	165
By R's total number of rental housing buildings - Studio	166
By parking for R's most common unit - Studio	167
Overall - 1 Bedroom	168
By R's largest property in terms of units - 1 Bedroom	169
By R's tenure as a studiolord - 1 Bedroom	171
By number of zip codes R has units in - 1 Bedroom	173
By R's total number of rental housing buildings - 1 Bedroom	175
By parking for R's most common unit - 1 Bedroom	177
Overall - 2 Bedroom	179
By R's largest property in terms of units - 2 Bedroom	180
By R's tenure as a studiolord - 2 Bedroom	182
By number of zip codes R has units in - 2 Bedroom	184
By R's total number of rental housing buildings - 2 Bedroom	186
By parking for R's most common unit - 2 Bedroom	188
Overall - 3+ Bedroom	190
By R's largest property in terms of units - 3+ Bedroom	191
By R's tenure as a studiolord - 3+ Bedroom	193
By number of zip codes R has units in - 3+ Bedroom	195
By R's total number of rental housing buildings - 3+ Bedroom	197
By parking for R's most common unit - 3+ Bedroom	199
What application fee does R charge for his/her typical unit?	201
Overall	201
By R's largest property in terms of units	202
By R's tenure as a landlord	203
By number of zip codes R has units in	204
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	205
By parking for R's most common unit	206
What parking fee does R charge for his/her typical unit?	207
Overall	207
By R's largest property in terms of units	208
By R's tenure as a landlord	210
By number of zip codes R has units in	212
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	214
By parking for R's most common unit	216
When did R last have a tenant move out of one of his/her units?	218
Overall	218
By R's largest property in terms of units	219
By R's tenure as a landlord	220
By number of zip codes R has units in	221

By R's total number of rental housing buildings	222
By parking for R's most common unit	223
Where did this tenant move to?	224
Overall	224
By R's largest property in terms of units	225
By R's tenure as a landlord	226
By number of zip codes R has units in	228
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	229
By parking for R's most common unit	230
In the past year, has R raised rent on a Seattle unit?	231
Overall	231
By R's largest property in terms of units	232
By R's tenure as a landlord	234
By number of zip codes R has units in	235
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	236
By parking for R's most common unit	237
R raised rent due to increased property taxes	238
Overall	238
By R's largest property in terms of units	239
By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord	240
By number of zip codes R has units in	241
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	242
By parking for R's most common unit	243
R raised rent due to increased repair costs	244
Overall	244
By R's largest property in terms of units	245
By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord	246
By number of zip codes R has units in	247
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	248
By parking for R's most common unit	249
R raised rent due to recently purchasing the property	250
Overall	250
By R's largest property in terms of units	251
By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord	252
By number of zip codes R has units in	253
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	254
R raised rent due to changes in local housing market	255
Overall	255
By R's largest property in terms of units	256
By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord	257
By number of zip codes R has units in	258
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	259
By parking for R's most common unit	260
R raised rent due to new City regulations	261
Overall	261
By R's largest property in terms of units	262
By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord	263
By number of zip codes R has units in	264
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	265
By parking for R's most common unit	266
N reasons R raised rent	267

Overall	267
By R's largest property in terms of units	268
By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord	270
By number of zip codes R has units in	272
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	274
By parking for R's most common unit	276
How strongly do you agree that you decide who to rent to based on standard criteria?	278
Overall	278
By R's largest property in terms of units	279
By R's tenure as a landlord	280
By number of zip codes R has units in	281
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	282
By parking for R's most common unit	283
How strongly do you agree that you make flexible leasing decisions that allow you to rent to those who may not qualify?	284
Overall	284
By R's largest property in terms of units	285
By R's tenure as a landlord	286
By number of zip codes R has units in	287
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	288
By parking for R's most common unit	289
How effective is the limit on move-in fees/security deposits?	290
Overall	290
By R's largest property in terms of units	291
By R's tenure as a landlord	293
By number of zip codes R has units in	295
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	296
By parking for R's most common unit	298
How strongly do you agree that the limit on move-in fees/deposits creates an unreasonable burden for landlords?	299
Overall	299
By R's largest property in terms of units	300
By R's tenure as a landlord	301
By number of zip codes R has units in	302
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	303
By parking for R's most common unit	304
How effective is First in Time?	305
Overall	305
By R's largest property in terms of units	306
By R's tenure as a landlord	308
By number of zip codes R has units in	310
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	311
By parking for R's most common unit	313
How strongly do you agree that FiT has reduced your ability to use judgment in deciding who to rent to?	314
Overall	314
By R's largest property in terms of units	315
By R's tenure as a landlord	316
By number of zip codes R has units in	317
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	318
By parking for R's most common unit	319
How strongly do you agree that FiT has reduced your ability to rent to those with few resources?	320
Overall	320
By R's largest property in terms of units	321
By R's tenure as a landlord	322

By number of zip codes R has units in	323
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	324
By parking for R's most common unit	325
How strongly do you agree that FiT creates an unreasonable burden for landlords?	326
Overall	326
By R's largest property in terms of units	327
By R's tenure as a landlord	329
By number of zip codes R has units in	330
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	331
By parking for R's most common unit	333
How effective is the criminal records ordinance?	334
Overall	334
By R's largest property in terms of units	335
By R's tenure as a landlord	336
By number of zip codes R has units in	337
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	338
By parking for R's most common unit	339
How strongly do you agree that the criminal records ordinance has reduced your ability to use judgment in deciding who to rent to?	340
Overall	340
By R's largest property in terms of units	341
By R's tenure as a landlord	343
By number of zip codes R has units in	345
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	346
By parking for R's most common unit	348
How strongly do you agree that criminal records ordinance creates an unreasonable burden for landlords?	349
Overall	349
By R's largest property in terms of units	350
By R's tenure as a landlord	352
By number of zip codes R has units in	354
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	355
By parking for R's most common unit	357
How strongly do you agree that the criminal records ordinance will jeopardize the safety of other residents?	358
Overall	358
By R's largest property in terms of units	359
By R's tenure as a landlord	361
By number of zip codes R has units in	363
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	364
By parking for R's most common unit	366
Have the rental ordinances in the survey led you to adopt more strict rental requirements for applicants?	367
Overall	367
By R's largest property in terms of units	368
By R's tenure as a landlord	369
By number of zip codes R has units in	370
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	371
By parking for R's most common unit	372
Limits on move-in fee/security deposits led to stricter rental requirements	373
Overall	373
By R's largest property in terms of units	374
By R's tenure as a landlord	375
By number of zip codes R has units in	376
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	377
By parking for R's most common unit	378

Payment plans for move-in fee/security deposits led to stricter rental requirements	379
Overall	379
By R's largest property in terms of units	380
By R's tenure as a landlord	381
By number of zip codes R has units in	382
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	383
By parking for R's most common unit	384
First in Time led to stricter rental requirements	385
Overall	385
By R's largest property in terms of units	386
By R's tenure as a landlord	387
By number of zip codes R has units in	388
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	389
By parking for R's most common unit	390
Expanded source-of-income protections led to stricter rental requirements	391
Overall	391
By R's largest property in terms of units	392
By R's tenure as a landlord	393
By number of zip codes R has units in	394
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	395
By parking for R's most common unit	396
Criminal record protections led to stricter rental requirements	397
Overall	397
By R's largest property in terms of units	398
By R's tenure as a landlord	399
By number of zip codes R has units in	400
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	401
By parking for R's most common unit	402
N reasons R reported for making rental requirements stricter	403
Overall	403
By R's largest property in terms of units	404
By R's tenure as a landlord	405
By number of zip codes R has units in	406
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	407
By parking for R's most common unit	408
Have you sold or do you intend to sell property because of the ordinances?	409
Overall	409
By R's largest property in terms of units	410
By R's tenure as a landlord	411
By number of zip codes R has units in	412
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	413
By parking for R's most common unit	414
Limits on move-in fee/security deposits led/will lead R to sell rental property	415
Overall	415
By R's largest property in terms of units	416
By R's tenure as a landlord	417
By number of zip codes R has units in	418
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	419
By parking for R's most common unit	420
Payment plans for move-in fee/security deposits led/will lead R to sell rental property	421
Overall	421
By R's largest property in terms of units	422


By R's tenure as a landlord	423
By number of zip codes R has units in	424
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	425
By parking for R's most common unit	426
First in Time led/will lead R to sell rental property	427
Overall	427
By R's largest property in terms of units	428
By R's tenure as a landlord	429
By number of zip codes R has units in	430
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	431
By parking for R's most common unit	432
Expanded source-of-income protections led/will lead R to sell rental property	433
Overall	433
By R's largest property in terms of units	434
By R's tenure as a landlord	435
By number of zip codes R has units in	436
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	437
By parking for R's most common unit	438
Criminal record protections led/will lead R to sell rental property	439
Overall	439
By R's largest property in terms of units	440
By R's tenure as a landlord	441
By number of zip codes R has units in	442
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	443
By parking for R's most common unit	444
N reasons R reported for why they sold/will sell a rental property	445
Overall	445
By R's largest property in terms of units	446
By R's tenure as a landlord	447
By number of zip codes R has units in	448
By R's total number of rental housing buildings	449
By parking for R's most common unit	450

R's largest property in terms of units

Overall

size	n	Percent
1 unit	2070	59.47
2-4 units	737	21.17
5-19 units	380	10.92
20+ units	294	8.45
	3481	100.00

Table 1: Frequency Table



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 1: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
1 unit	223	718	1108
2-4 units	41	161	531
5-19 units	9	66	300
20+ units	24	102	164
	297	1047	2103

Table 2: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
1 unit	75.08	68.58	52.69
2-4 units	13.80	15.38	25.25
5-19 units	3.03	6.30	14.27
20+ units	8.08	9.74	7.80
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 3: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	145.9234
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 4: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 2: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
1 unit	304	1766
2-4 units	261	476
5-19 units	168	212
20+ units	127	167
	860	2621


Table 5: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
1 unit	35.35	67.38
2-4 units	30.35	18.16
5-19 units	19.53	8.09
20+ units	14.77	6.37
	100.00	100.00

Table 6: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	288.9063
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 7: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 3: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
1 unit	1451	591	28
2-4 units	301	374	62
5-19 units	123	172	85
20+ units	101	102	91
	1976	1239	266


Table 8: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
1 unit	73.43	47.70	10.53
2-4 units	15.23	30.19	23.31
5-19 units	6.22	13.88	31.95
20+ units	5.11	8.23	34.21
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 9: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	674.6574
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 10: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 4: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
1 unit	364	229	1250
2-4 units	184	111	425
5-19 units	57	132	183
20+ units	68	131	85
	673	603	1943

Table 11: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
1 unit	54.09	37.98	64.33
2-4 units	27.34	18.41	21.87
5-19 units	8.47	21.89	9.42
20+ units	10.10	21.72	4.37
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 12: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	296.7609
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 13: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 5: Stacked Bar Chart

R's tenure as a landlord

Overall

tenure	n	Percent
Two years or less	365	8.39
3-9 years	1302	29.91
10+ years	2686	61.70
	4353	100.00

Table 14: Frequency Table




Figure 6: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Two years or less	223	41	9	24
3-9 years	718	161	66	102
10+ years	1108	531	300	164
	2049	733	375	290


Table 15: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Two years or less	10.88	5.59	2.40	8.28
3-9 years	35.04	21.96	17.60	35.17
10+ years	54.08	72.44	80.00	56.55
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 16: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	145.9234
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 17: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 7: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Two years or less	37	328
3-9 years	222	1080
10+ years	794	1892
	1053	3300

Table 18: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Two years or less	3.51	9.94
3-9 years	21.08	32.73
10+ years	75.40	57.33
	100.00	100.00

Table 19: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	117.7416
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 20: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 8: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Two years or less	289	64	11
3-9 years	908	339	52
10+ years	1349	1096	238
	2546	1499	301

Table 21: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Two years or less	11.35	4.27	3.65
3-9 years	35.66	22.62	17.28
10+ years	52.99	73.12	79.07
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 22: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	213.7348
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 23: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 9: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Two years or less	59	54	221
3-9 years	259	191	757
10+ years	522	499	1445
	840	744	2423

Table 24: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Two years or less	7.02	7.26	9.12
3-9 years	30.83	25.67	31.24
10+ years	62.14	67.07	59.64
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 25: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	15.7774
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0033

Table 26: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 10: Stacked Bar Chart

R's total number of rental housing buildings

Overall

totBldgs	n	Percent
1 Building	2573	58.60
2-5 Buildings	1514	34.48
6+ Buildings	304	6.92
	4391	100.00

Table 27: Frequency Table



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 11: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
1 Building	1451	301	123	101
2-5 Buildings	591	374	172	102
6+ Buildings	28	62	85	91
	2070	737	380	294


Table 28: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
1 Building	70.10	40.84	32.37	34.35
2-5 Buildings	28.55	50.75	45.26	34.69
6+ Buildings	1.35	8.41	22.37	30.95
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 29: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	674.6574
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 30: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 12: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
1 Building	289	908	1349
2-5 Buildings	64	339	1096
6+ Buildings	11	52	238
	364	1299	2683

Table 31: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
1 Building	79.40	69.90	50.28
2-5 Buildings	17.58	26.10	40.85
6+ Buildings	3.02	4.00	8.87
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 32: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	213.7348
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 33: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 13: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
1 Building	50	2523
2-5 Buildings	798	716
6+ Buildings	213	91
	1061	3330


Table 34: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
1 Building	4.71	75.77
2-5 Buildings	75.21	21.50
6+ Buildings	20.08	2.73
	100.00	100.00

Table 35: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	1716.0171
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 36: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 14: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
1 Building	499	349	1442
2-5 Buildings	293	290	875
6+ Buildings	53	111	126
	845	750	2443

Table 37: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
1 Building	59.05	46.53	59.03
2-5 Buildings	34.67	38.67	35.82
6+ Buildings	6.27	14.80	5.16
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 38: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	94.2430
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 39: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 15: Stacked Bar Chart

Number of zip codes R has units in

Overall

multZips	n	Percent
Multiple Zipcodes	1061	23.86
One Zipcode	3385	76.14
	4446	100.00

Table 40: Frequency Table




Figure 16: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Multiple Zipcodes	304	261	168	127
One Zipcode	1766	476	212	167
	2070	737	380	294


Table 41: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Multiple Zipcodes	14.69	35.41	44.21	43.20
One Zipcode	85.31	64.59	55.79	56.80
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 42: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	288.9063
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 43: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 17: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Multiple Zipcodes	37	222	794
One Zipcode	328	1080	1892
	365	1302	2686

Table 44: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Multiple Zipcodes	10.14	17.05	29.56
One Zipcode	89.86	82.95	70.44
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 45: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	117.7416
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 46: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 18: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Multiple Zipcodes	50	798	213
One Zipcode	2523	716	91
	2573	1514	304

Table 47: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Multiple Zipcodes	1.94	52.71	70.07
One Zipcode	98.06	47.29	29.93
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 48: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	1716.0171
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 49: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 19: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Multiple Zipcodes	203	266	573
One Zipcode	646	484	1873
	849	750	2446

Table 50: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Multiple Zipcodes	23.91	35.47	23.43
One Zipcode	76.09	64.53	76.57
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 51: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	45.4361
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 52: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 20: Stacked Bar Chart

Parking for R's most common unit

Overall

parking	n	Percent
No off-street	849	20.99
Off-street w/ fee	750	18.54
Off-street w/o fee	2446	60.47
	4045	100.00

Table 53: Frequency Table




Figure 21: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
No off-street	364	184	57	68
Off-street w/ fee	229	111	132	131
Off-street w/o fee	1250	425	183	85
	1843	720	372	284


Table 54: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
No off-street	19.75	25.56	15.32	23.94
Off-street w/ fee	12.43	15.42	35.48	46.13
Off-street w/o fee	67.82	59.03	49.19	29.93
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 55: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	296.7609
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 56: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 22: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
No off-street	59	259	522
Off-street w/ fee	54	191	499
Off-street w/o fee	221	757	1445
	334	1207	2466

Table 57: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
No off-street	17.66	21.46	21.17
Off-street w/ fee	16.17	15.82	20.24
Off-street w/o fee	66.17	62.72	58.60
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 58: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	15.7774
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0033

Table 59: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 23: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
No off-street	203	646
Off-street w/ fee	266	484
Off-street w/o fee	573	1873
	1042	3003

Table 60: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
No off-street	19.48	21.51
Off-street w/ fee	25.53	16.12
Off-street w/o fee	54.99	62.37
	100.00	100.00

Table 61: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	45.4361
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 62: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 24: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
No off-street	499	293	53
Off-street w/ fee	349	290	111
Off-street w/o fee	1442	875	126
	2290	1458	290

Table 63: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
No off-street	21.79	20.10	18.28
Off-street w/ fee	15.24	19.89	38.28
Off-street w/o fee	62.97	60.01	43.45
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 64: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	94.2430
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 65: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 25: Stacked Bar Chart

What is R's race/ethnicity

Overall

race	n	Percent
Asian	340	9.67
Black	59	1.68
Latinx	99	2.81
Multi-racial	123	3.50
Native American/Pacific Islander	26	0.74
White	2870	81.60
	3517	100.00

Table 66: Frequency Table



R's race/ethnicity

Figure 26: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Asian	161	48	26	31
Black	28	15	1	4
Latinx	50	14	3	7
Multi-racial	64	24	6	9
Native American/Pacific Islander	16	1	4	1
White	1339	487	259	181
	1658	589	299	233


Table 67: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Asian	9.71	8.15	8.70	13.30
Black	1.69	2.55	0.33	1.72
Latinx	3.02	2.38	1.00	3.00
Multi-racial	3.86	4.07	2.01	3.86
Native American/Pacific Islander	0.97	0.17	1.34	0.43
White	80.76	82.68	86.62	77.68
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 68: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	24.0002
Parameter:	15.0000
p-value:	0.0651

Table 69: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 27: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Asian	46	126	165
Black	6	18	34
Latinx	8	43	47
Multi-racial	14	41	67
Native American/Pacific Islander	3	10	13
White	229	824	1796
	306	1062	2122


Table 70: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Asian	15.03	11.86	7.78
Black	1.96	1.69	1.60
Latinx	2.61	4.05	2.21
Multi-racial	4.58	3.86	3.16
Native American/Pacific Islander	0.98	0.94	0.61
White	74.84	77.59	84.64
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 71: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	40.6366
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 72: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's tenure as a landlord

Figure 28: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Asian	89	251
Black	17	42
Latinx	17	82
Multi-racial	29	94
Native American/Pacific Islander	6	20
White	682	2188
	840	2677


Table 73: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Asian	10.60	9.38
Black	2.02	1.57
Latinx	2.02	3.06
Multi-racial	3.45	3.51
Native American/Pacific Islander	0.71	0.75
White	81.19	81.73
	100.00	100.00

Table 74: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	4.2638
Parameter:	5.0000
p-value:	0.5121

Table 75: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 29: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Asian	197	112	29
Black	23	29	7
Latinx	69	29	1
Multi-racial	74	43	6
Native American/Pacific Islander	18	6	2
White	1714	960	191
	2095	1179	236


Table 76: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Asian	9.40	9.50	12.29
Black	1.10	2.46	2.97
Latinx	3.29	2.46	0.42
Multi-racial	3.53	3.65	2.54
Native American/Pacific Islander	0.86	0.51	0.85
White	81.81	81.42	80.93
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 77: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	21.7013
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0167

Table 78: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 30: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Asian	89	53	164
Black	11	9	37
Latinx	22	16	55
Multi-racial	20	17	83
Native American/Pacific Islander	5	8	10
White	570	508	1603
	717	611	1952


Table 79: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Asian	12.41	8.67	8.40
Black	1.53	1.47	1.90
Latinx	3.07	2.62	2.82
Multi-racial	2.79	2.78	4.25
Native American/Pacific Islander	0.70	1.31	0.51
White	79.50	83.14	82.12
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 80: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	19.8072
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0311

Table 81: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit

Figure 31: Stacked Bar Chart

What is R's gender?

Overall

Q95	n	Percent
Female	1713	45.94
Male	2016	54.06
	3729	100.00

Table 82: Frequency Table




Figure 32: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Female	840	275	125	118
Male	917	351	190	135
	1757	626	315	253


Table 83: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Female	47.81	43.93	39.68	46.64
Male	52.19	56.07	60.32	53.36
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 84: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	8.4962
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0368

Table 85: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 33: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Female	161	561	976
Male	157	561	1281
	318	1122	2257

Table 86: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Female	50.63	50.00	43.24
Male	49.37	50.00	56.76
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 87: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	16.8717
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0002

Table 88: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 34: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Female	380	1333
Male	521	1495
	901	2828

Table 89: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Female	42.18	47.14
Male	57.82	52.86
	100.00	100.00

Table 90: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	6.5717
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0104

Table 91: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 35: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Female	1059	544	107
Male	1155	717	139
	2214	1261	246


Table 92: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Female	47.83	43.14	43.50
Male	52.17	56.86	56.50
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 93: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	7.7618
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0206

Table 94: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 36: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Female	333	272	965
Male	411	379	1109
	744	651	2074

Table 95: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Female	44.76	41.78	46.53
Male	55.24	58.22	53.47
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 96: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	4.6013
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.1002

Table 97: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 37: Stacked Bar Chart

Is R currently a property owner or manager?

Overall

Q114	n	Percent
Yes	4260	97.55
No	107	2.45
	4367	100.00

Table 98: Frequency Table



Is R currently a property owner or manager?

Figure 38: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	1992	724	369	289
No	57	9	9	3
	2049	733	378	292


Table 99: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	97.22	98.77	97.62	98.97
No	2.78	1.23	2.38	1.03
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 100: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	8.0963
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0441

Table 101: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 39: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	347	1261	2605
No	17	28	59
	364	1289	2664

Table 102: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	95.33	97.83	97.79
No	4.67	2.17	2.21
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 103: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	8.6522
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0132

Table 104: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 40: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	1044	3216
No	12	95
	1056	3311


Table 105: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	98.86	97.13
No	1.14	2.87
	100.00	100.00

Table 106: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	9.3467
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0022

Table 107: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 41: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	2470	1477	298
No	77	25	4
	2547	1502	302


Table 108: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	96.98	98.34	98.68
No	3.02	1.66	1.32
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 109: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	9.0259
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0110

Table 110: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 42: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	822	734	2359
No	21	9	62
	843	743	2421


Table 111: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	97.51	98.79	97.44
No	2.49	1.21	2.56
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 112: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	4.7974
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0908

Table 113: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit


Figure 43: Stacked Bar Chart

Is R a Seattle rental property owner, property manager or both?

Overall

Q2	n	Percent
Property Owner	1736	40.98
Property Manager	200	4.72
Both	2300	54.30
	4236	100.00

Table 114: Frequency Table



Is R a Seattle rental property owner, property manager or both?

Figure 44: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Property Owner	919	235	107	82
Property Manager	42	32	31	80
Both	1019	451	228	125
	1980	718	366	287


Table 115: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Property Owner	46.41	32.73	29.23	28.57
Property Manager	2.12	4.46	8.47	27.87
Both	51.46	62.81	62.30	43.55
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 116: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	381.0598
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 117: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 45: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Property Owner	161	542	1016
Property Manager	32	83	84
Both	152	627	1494
	345	1252	2594

Table 118: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Property Owner	46.67	43.29	39.17
Property Manager	9.28	6.63	3.24
Both	44.06	50.08	57.59
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 119: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	59.0766
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 120: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 46: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Property Owner	302	1434
Property Manager	76	124
Both	659	1641
	1037	3199

Table 121: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Property Owner	29.12	44.83
Property Manager	7.33	3.88
Both	63.55	51.30
	100.00	100.00

Table 122: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	88.5481
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 123: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 47: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Property Owner	1183	494	51
Property Manager	63	69	68
Both	1213	906	174
	2459	1469	293

Table 124: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Property Owner	48.11	33.63	17.41
Property Manager	2.56	4.70	23.21
Both	49.33	61.67	59.39
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 125: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	352.5160
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 126: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 48: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Property Owner	331	254	958
Property Manager	43	76	77
Both	445	399	1313
	819	729	2348

Table 127: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Property Owner	40.42	34.84	40.80
Property Manager	5.25	10.43	3.28
Both	54.33	54.73	55.92
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 128: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	62.0620
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 129: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 49: Stacked Bar Chart

Financial role of rental unit

Overall

finrole	n	Percent
Multiple	1047	25.85
Primary only	515	12.71
Retirement only	1130	27.89
Supplementary only	1359	33.55
	4051	100.00

Table 130: Frequency Table



Financial role of rental unit

Figure 50: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Multiple	481	219	98	43
Primary only	107	100	102	109
Retirement only	569	181	74	38
Supplementary only	734	204	81	70
	1891	704	355	260


Table 131: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Multiple	25.44	31.11	27.61	16.54
Primary only	5.66	14.20	28.73	41.92
Retirement only	30.09	25.71	20.85	14.62
Supplementary only	38.82	28.98	22.82	26.92
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 132: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	392.0562
Parameter:	9.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 133: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 51: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Multiple	60	263	717
Primary only	26	104	380
Retirement only	74	350	691
Supplementary only	159	465	719
	319	1182	2507

Table 134: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Multiple	18.81	22.25	28.60
Primary only	8.15	8.80	15.16
Retirement only	23.20	29.61	27.56
Supplementary only	49.84	39.34	28.68
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 135: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	109.2297
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 136: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 52: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Multiple	326	721
Primary only	234	281
Retirement only	216	914
Supplementary only	247	1112
	1023	3028


Table 137: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Multiple	31.87	23.81
Primary only	22.87	9.28
Retirement only	21.11	30.18
Supplementary only	24.14	36.72
	100.00	100.00

Table 138: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	188.9725
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 139: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 53: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Multiple	535	447	64
Primary only	150	227	138
Retirement only	755	344	27
Supplementary only	888	412	54
	2328	1430	283


Table 140: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Multiple	22.98	31.26	22.61
Primary only	6.44	15.87	48.76
Retirement only	32.43	24.06	9.54
Supplementary only	38.14	28.81	19.08
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 141: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	497.2117
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 142: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 54: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Multiple	195	154	622
Primary only	105	162	222
Retirement only	186	173	652
Supplementary only	297	201	765
	783	690	2261

Table 143: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Multiple	24.90	22.32	27.51
Primary only	13.41	23.48	9.82
Retirement only	23.75	25.07	28.84
Supplementary only	37.93	29.13	33.83
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 144: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	96.5368
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 145: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit


Figure 55: Stacked Bar Chart

About how many miles does R live from Seattle?

Overall

dist_from_seattle	n	Percent
0 miles from Seattle	2368	55.68
1-50 miles from Seattle	1227	28.85
Over 50 miles from Seattle	658	15.47
	4253	100.00

Table 146: Frequency Table



About how many miles does R live from Seattle?

Figure 56: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0 miles from Seattle	1047	483	204	171
1-50 miles from Seattle	557	185	135	91
Over 50 miles from Seattle	386	55	30	27
	1990	723	369	289


Table 147: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0 miles from Seattle	52.61	66.80	55.28	59.17
1-50 miles from Seattle	27.99	25.59	36.59	31.49
Over 50 miles from Seattle	19.40	7.61	8.13	9.34
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 148: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	101.6505
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 149: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 57: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0 miles from Seattle	186	655	1507
1-50 miles from Seattle	93	354	765
Over 50 miles from Seattle	68	250	330
	347	1259	2602

Table 150: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0 miles from Seattle	53.60	52.03	57.92
1-50 miles from Seattle	26.80	28.12	29.40
Over 50 miles from Seattle	19.60	19.86	12.68
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 151: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	39.3027
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 152: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 58: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0 miles from Seattle	688	1680
1-50 miles from Seattle	300	927
Over 50 miles from Seattle	55	603
	1043	3210


Table 153: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0 miles from Seattle	65.96	52.34
1-50 miles from Seattle	28.76	28.88
Over 50 miles from Seattle	5.27	18.79
	100.00	100.00

Table 154: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	119.1533
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 155: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 59: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0 miles from Seattle	1214	965	185
1-50 miles from Seattle	724	396	104
Over 50 miles from Seattle	528	115	9
	2466	1476	298


Table 156: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0 miles from Seattle	49.23	65.38	62.08
1-50 miles from Seattle	29.36	26.83	34.90
Over 50 miles from Seattle	21.41	7.79	3.02
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 157: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	194.7948
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 158: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 60: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0 miles from Seattle	475	441	1291
1-50 miles from Seattle	218	210	692
Over 50 miles from Seattle	129	83	372
	822	734	2355

Table 159: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0 miles from Seattle	57.79	60.08	54.82
1-50 miles from Seattle	26.52	28.61	29.38
Over 50 miles from Seattle	15.69	11.31	15.80
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 160: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	12.7828
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0124

Table 161: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 61: Stacked Bar Chart


Does R live in one of their Seattle buildings' rental units?

** NB: Sub-sample of landlords who live in Seattle **

Overall

Q5	n	Percent
Yes-all of the time	428	18.10
Yes-some of the time	105	4.44
No	1832	77.46
	2365	100.00

Table 162: Frequency Table



Does R live in one of their Seattle buildings' rental units?

Figure 62: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes-all of the time	147	115	34	44
Yes-some of the time	28	27	10	13
No	872	340	160	114
	1047	482	204	171


Table 163: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes-all of the time	14.04	23.86	16.67	25.73
Yes-some of the time	2.67	5.60	4.90	7.60
No	83.29	70.54	78.43	66.67
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 164: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	47.6564
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 165: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 63: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes-all of the time	42	102	283
Yes-some of the time	7	30	66
No	137	523	1158
	186	655	1507

Table 166: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes-all of the time	22.58	15.57	18.78
Yes-some of the time	3.76	4.58	4.38
No	73.66	79.85	76.84
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 167: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	5.8515
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.2105

Table 168: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 64: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes-all of the time	119	309
Yes-some of the time	54	51
No	515	1317
	688	1677

Table 169: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes-all of the time	17.30	18.43
Yes-some of the time	7.85	3.04
No	74.85	78.53
	100.00	100.00

Table 170: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	26.5943
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 171: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 65: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes-all of the time	197	196	35
Yes-some of the time	23	72	9
No	994	696	141
	1214	964	185

Table 172: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes-all of the time	16.23	20.33	18.92
Yes-some of the time	1.89	7.47	4.86
No	81.88	72.20	76.22
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 173: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	49.6422
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 174: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 66: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes-all of the time	142	88	171
Yes-some of the time	22	32	45
No	311	321	1074
	475	441	1290

Table 175: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes-all of the time	29.89	19.95	13.26
Yes-some of the time	4.63	7.26	3.49
No	65.47	72.79	83.26
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 176: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	79.9244
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 177: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 67: Stacked Bar Chart

N rental units R owns/manages

Overall

totUnits	n	Percent
1 unit	1961	47.36
2-4 units	1171	28.28
5-19 units	598	14.44
20-49 units	178	4.30
50+ units	233	5.63
	4141	100.00

Table 178: Frequency Table




Figure 68: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
1 unit	1397	77	27	69
2-4 units	459	417	11	26
5-19 units	47	196	214	8
20-49 units	4	15	76	49
50+ units	18	7	38	136
	1925	712	366	288


Table 179: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
1 unit	72.57	10.81	7.38	23.96
2-4 units	23.84	58.57	3.01	9.03
5-19 units	2.44	27.53	58.47	2.78
20-49 units	0.21	2.11	20.77	17.01
50+ units	0.94	0.98	10.38	47.22
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 180: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	3141.9245
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 181: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 69: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
1 unit	261	785	911
2-4 units	48	289	830
5-19 units	15	91	490
20-49 units	5	26	147
50+ units	14	56	144
	343	1247	2522

Table 182: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
1 unit	76.09	62.95	36.12
2-4 units	13.99	23.18	32.91
5-19 units	4.37	7.30	19.43
20-49 units	1.46	2.09	5.83
50+ units	4.08	4.49	5.71
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 183: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	393.8256
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 184: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 70: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
1 unit	8	1953
2-4 units	462	709
5-19 units	328	270
20-49 units	107	71
50+ units	125	108
	1030	3111

Table 185: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
1 unit	0.78	62.78
2-4 units	44.85	22.79
5-19 units	31.84	8.68
20-49 units	10.39	2.28
50+ units	12.14	3.47
	100.00	100.00

Table 186: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	1270.4400
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 187: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 71: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
1 unit	1826	126	3
2-4 units	370	786	14
5-19 units	118	390	90
20-49 units	27	87	64
50+ units	39	60	126
	2380	1449	297

Table 188: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
1 unit	76.72	8.70	1.01
2-4 units	15.55	54.24	4.71
5-19 units	4.96	26.92	30.30
20-49 units	1.13	6.00	21.55
50+ units	1.64	4.14	42.42
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 189: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	3000.7842
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 190: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 72: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
1 unit	369	215	1128
2-4 units	248	176	717
5-19 units	109	132	347
20-49 units	30	83	60
50+ units	42	121	50
	798	727	2302

Table 191: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
1 unit	46.24	29.57	49.00
2-4 units	31.08	24.21	31.15
5-19 units	13.66	18.16	15.07
20-49 units	3.76	11.42	2.61
50+ units	5.26	16.64	2.17
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 192: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	366.1435
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 193: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit


Figure 73: Stacked Bar Chart

R's estimated average total household income

Overall

Q21	n	Percent
Less than \$25,000	197	5.08
\$25,000-50,000	880	22.71
\$50,000-75,000	1186	30.61
\$75,000-150,000	1307	33.73
More than \$150,000	305	7.87
	3875	100.00

Table 194: Frequency Table



R's estimated average total household income

Figure 74: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$25,000	94	27	16	22
\$25,000-50,000	292	183	139	70
\$50,000-75,000	482	251	128	86
\$75,000-150,000	743	188	49	77
More than \$150,000	217	17	7	17
	1828	666	339	272


Table 195: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$25,000	5.14	4.05	4.72	8.09
\$25,000-50,000	15.97	27.48	41.00	25.74
\$50,000-75,000	26.37	37.69	37.76	31.62
\$75,000-150,000	40.65	28.23	14.45	28.31
More than \$150,000	11.87	2.55	2.06	6.25
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 196: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	272.8760
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 197: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 75: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$25,000	13	57	124
\$25,000-50,000	40	166	666
\$50,000-75,000	79	300	795
\$75,000-150,000	149	485	665
More than \$150,000	46	152	105
	327	1160	2355

Table 198: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$25,000	3.98	4.91	5.27
\$25,000-50,000	12.23	14.31	28.28
\$50,000-75,000	24.16	25.86	33.76
\$75,000-150,000	45.57	41.81	28.24
More than \$150,000	14.07	13.10	4.46
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 199: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	253.6340
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 200: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 76: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$25,000	34	163
\$25,000-50,000	264	616
\$50,000-75,000	346	840
\$75,000-150,000	288	1019
More than \$150,000	42	263
	974	2901


Table 201: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$25,000	3.49	5.62
\$25,000-50,000	27.10	21.23
\$50,000-75,000	35.52	28.96
\$75,000-150,000	29.57	35.13
More than \$150,000	4.31	9.07
	100.00	100.00

Table 202: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	55.4501
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 203: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 77: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$25,000	125	58	14
\$25,000-50,000	423	364	91
\$50,000-75,000	625	458	102
\$75,000-150,000	837	409	60
More than \$150,000	235	60	10
	2245	1349	277


Table 204: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$25,000	5.57	4.30	5.05
\$25,000-50,000	18.84	26.98	32.85
\$50,000-75,000	27.84	33.95	36.82
\$75,000-150,000	37.28	30.32	21.66
More than \$150,000	10.47	4.45	3.61
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 205: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	125.6058
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 206: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 78: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$25,000	53	24	93
\$25,000-50,000	202	177	443
\$50,000-75,000	205	226	674
\$75,000-150,000	240	208	770
More than \$150,000	59	38	195
	759	673	2175

Table 207: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$25,000	6.98	3.57	4.28
\$25,000-50,000	26.61	26.30	20.37
\$50,000-75,000	27.01	33.58	30.99
\$75,000-150,000	31.62	30.91	35.40
More than \$150,000	7.77	5.65	8.97
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 208: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	41.9247
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 209: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 79: Stacked Bar Chart

How does R advertise vacant rental units?

Overall

advert	n	Percent
CL + word of mouth	1221	29.27
Craigslist only	848	20.33
CL + other online	573	13.73
CL + print/phys	256	6.14
Word of mouth only	592	14.19
Other only	426	10.21
Other online only	170	4.07
Print/Phys only	86	2.06
	4172	100.00

Table 210: Frequency Table



How does R advertise vacant rental units?

Figure 80: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
CL + word of mouth	474	251	143	124
Craigslist only	397	161	65	28
CL + other online	273	90	51	56
CL + print/phys	89	52	39	28
Word of mouth only	346	85	35	16
Other only	230	51	14	21
Other online only	99	16	6	7
Print/Phys only	44	9	10	3
	1952	715	363	283

Table 211: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
CL + word of mouth	24.28	35.10	39.39	43.82
Craigslist only	20.34	22.52	17.91	9.89
CL + other online	13.99	12.59	14.05	19.79
CL + print/phys	4.56	7.27	10.74	9.89
Word of mouth only	17.73	11.89	9.64	5.65
Other only	11.78	7.13	3.86	7.42
Other online only	5.07	2.24	1.65	2.47
Print/Phys only	2.25	1.26	2.75	1.06
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 212: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	199.1917
Parameter:	21.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 213: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 81: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
CL + word of mouth	81	319	808
Craigslist only	39	238	567
CL + other online	63	218	289
CL + print/phys	16	47	189
Word of mouth only	46	165	374
Other only	58	151	212
Other online only	29	84	57
Print/Phys only	6	10	68
	338	1232	2564

Table 214: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
CL + word of mouth	23.96	25.89	31.51
Craigslist only	11.54	19.32	22.11
CL + other online	18.64	17.69	11.27
CL + print/phys	4.73	3.81	7.37
Word of mouth only	13.61	13.39	14.59
Other only	17.16	12.26	8.27
Other online only	8.58	6.82	2.22
Print/Phys only	1.78	0.81	2.65
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 215: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	185.5274
Parameter:	14.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 216: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 82: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
CL + word of mouth	397	824
Craigslist only	189	659
CL + other online	185	388
CL + print/phys	103	153
Word of mouth only	74	518
Other only	48	378
Other online only	33	137
Print/Phys only	9	77
	1038	3134

Table 217: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
CL + word of mouth	38.25	26.29
Craigslist only	18.21	21.03
CL + other online	17.82	12.38
CL + print/phys	9.92	4.88
Word of mouth only	7.13	16.53
Other only	4.62	12.06
Other online only	3.18	4.37
Print/Phys only	0.87	2.46
	100.00	100.00

Table 218: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	193.2956
Parameter:	7.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 219: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 83: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
CL + word of mouth	588	523	110
Craigslist only	521	288	37
CL + other online	300	214	59
CL + print/phys	107	105	43
Word of mouth only	409	161	21
Other only	327	86	11
Other online only	110	52	8
Print/Phys only	56	28	2
	2418	1457	291

Table 220: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
CL + word of mouth	24.32	35.90	37.80
Craigslist only	21.55	19.77	12.71
CL + other online	12.41	14.69	20.27
CL + print/phys	4.43	7.21	14.78
Word of mouth only	16.91	11.05	7.22
Other only	13.52	5.90	3.78
Other online only	4.55	3.57	2.75
Print/Phys only	2.32	1.92	0.69
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 221: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	226.7532
Parameter:	14.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 222: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 84: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
CL + word of mouth	245	257	672
Craigslist only	164	130	483
CL + other online	97	111	337
CL + print/phys	44	68	130
Word of mouth only	138	73	325
Other only	82	46	233
Other online only	29	19	106
Print/Phys only	16	15	41
	815	719	2327

Table 223: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
CL + word of mouth	30.06	35.74	28.88
Craigslist only	20.12	18.08	20.76
CL + other online	11.90	15.44	14.48
CL + print/phys	5.40	9.46	5.59
Word of mouth only	16.93	10.15	13.97
Other only	10.06	6.40	10.01
Other online only	3.56	2.64	4.56
Print/Phys only	1.96	2.09	1.76
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 224: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	55.6233
Parameter:	14.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 225: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 85: Stacked Bar Chart

Does R use Craigslist to advertise vacant rental units?

Overall

advert_cl	n	Percent
Advertises on Craigslist	2898	69.46
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	1274	30.54
	4172	100.00

Table 226: Frequency Table




Figure 86: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Advertises on Craigslist	1233	554	298	236
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	719	161	65	47
	1952	715	363	283


Table 227: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Advertises on Craigslist	63.17	77.48	82.09	83.39
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	36.83	22.52	17.91	16.61
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 228: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	112.0436
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 229: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 87: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Advertises on Craigslist	199	822	1853
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	139	410	711
	338	1232	2564

Table 230: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Advertises on Craigslist	58.88	66.72	72.27
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	41.12	33.28	27.73
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 231: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	31.7791
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 232: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 88: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Advertises on Craigslist	874	2024
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	164	1110
	1038	3134


Table 233: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Advertises on Craigslist	84.20	64.58
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	15.80	35.42
	100.00	100.00

Table 234: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	140.5580
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 235: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 89: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Advertises on Craigslist	1516	1130	249
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	902	327	42
	2418	1457	291


Table 236: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Advertises on Craigslist	62.70	77.56	85.57
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	37.30	22.44	14.43
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 237: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	132.8331
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 238: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 90: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Advertises on Craigslist	550	566	1622
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	265	153	705
	815	719	2327


Table 239: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Advertises on Craigslist	67.48	78.72	69.70
Does not Advertise on Craigslist	32.52	21.28	30.30
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 240: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	27.5434
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 241: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit


Figure 91: Stacked Bar Chart

What does R think City of Seattle ordinances should target?

Overall

futord	n	Percent
Inc. affordable rental supply	48	1.13
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	18	0.42
Inc. overall rental supply	11	0.26
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	9	0.21
Easier lease termination	8	0.19
Multiple	388	9.11
None	3776	88.68
	4258	100.00

Table 242: Frequency Table



What does R think City of Seattle ordinances should target?

Figure 92: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Inc. affordable rental supply	25	6	2	8
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	9	1	1	2
Inc. overall rental supply	7	1	1	1
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	5	4	0	0
Easier lease termination	3	3	0	0
Multiple	219	55	14	21
None	1723	654	351	257
	1991	724	369	289

Table 243: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Inc. affordable rental supply	1.26	0.83	0.54	2.77
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	0.45	0.14	0.27	0.69
Inc. overall rental supply	0.35	0.14	0.27	0.35
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	0.25	0.55	0.00	0.00
Easier lease termination	0.15	0.41	0.00	0.00
Multiple	11.00	7.60	3.79	7.27
None	86.54	90.33	95.12	88.93
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 244: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	43.5887
Parameter:	18.0000
p-value:	0.0007

Table 245: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 93: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Inc. affordable rental supply	5	20	23
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	2	10	6
Inc. overall rental supply	0	5	6
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	0	5	4
Easier lease termination	1	4	3
Multiple	60	154	172
None	278	1062	2391
	346	1260	2605


Table 246: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Inc. affordable rental supply	1.45	1.59	0.88
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	0.58	0.79	0.23
Inc. overall rental supply	0.00	0.40	0.23
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	0.00	0.40	0.15
Easier lease termination	0.29	0.32	0.12
Multiple	17.34	12.22	6.60
None	80.35	84.29	91.79
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 247: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	82.6043
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 248: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's tenure as a landlord

Figure 94: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Inc. affordable rental supply	11	37
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	2	16
Inc. overall rental supply	1	10
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	2	7
Easier lease termination	3	5
Multiple	51	337
None	974	2802
	1044	3214

Table 249: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Inc. affordable rental supply	1.05	1.15
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	0.19	0.50
Inc. overall rental supply	0.10	0.31
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	0.19	0.22
Easier lease termination	0.29	0.16
Multiple	4.89	10.49
None	93.30	87.18
	100.00	100.00

Table 250: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	34.4285
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 251: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 95: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Inc. affordable rental supply	34	11	3
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	11	7	0
Inc. overall rental supply	8	2	1
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	4	4	1
Easier lease termination	5	2	1
Multiple	288	93	7
None	2118	1358	285
	2468	1477	298

Table 252: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Inc. affordable rental supply	1.38	0.74	1.01
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	0.45	0.47	0.00
Inc. overall rental supply	0.32	0.14	0.34
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	0.16	0.27	0.34
Easier lease termination	0.20	0.14	0.34
Multiple	11.67	6.30	2.35
None	85.82	91.94	95.64
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 253: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	58.4038
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 254: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 96: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Inc. affordable rental supply	10	15	18
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	2	2	12
Inc. overall rental supply	0	2	8
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	1	1	4
Easier lease termination	1	1	5
Multiple	101	51	212
None	706	662	2099
	821	734	2358


Table 255: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Inc. affordable rental supply	1.22	2.04	0.76
Reduce risk of providing affordable rentals	0.24	0.27	0.51
Inc. overall rental supply	0.00	0.27	0.34
Inc. affordable supply for protected classes	0.12	0.14	0.17
Easier lease termination	0.12	0.14	0.21
Multiple	12.30	6.95	8.99
None	85.99	90.19	89.02
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 256: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	26.6189
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0088

Table 257: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit


Figure 97: Stacked Bar Chart

R thinks Seattle city officials take landlords' perspectives into consideration when making policy?

Overall

Q54	n	Percent
Strongly agree	195	4.95
Agree	270	6.85
Neither agree nor disagree	395	10.03
Disagree	922	23.40
Strongly disagree	2158	54.77
	3940	100.00

Table 258: Frequency Table



R thinks landlords' perspectives are considered in policy?

Figure 98: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	106	24	13	11
Agree	143	45	14	16
Neither agree nor disagree	210	54	24	25
Disagree	457	128	72	62
Strongly disagree	896	426	237	159
	1812	677	360	273


Table 259: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	5.85	3.55	3.61	4.03
Agree	7.89	6.65	3.89	5.86
Neither agree nor disagree	11.59	7.98	6.67	9.16
Disagree	25.22	18.91	20.00	22.71
Strongly disagree	49.45	62.92	65.83	58.24
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 260: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	62.9474
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 261: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 99: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	18	74	102
Agree	27	94	146
Neither agree nor disagree	46	131	215
Disagree	87	274	553
Strongly disagree	129	568	1438
	307	1141	2454

Table 262: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	5.86	6.49	4.16
Agree	8.79	8.24	5.95
Neither agree nor disagree	14.98	11.48	8.76
Disagree	28.34	24.01	22.53
Strongly disagree	42.02	49.78	58.60
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 263: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	55.7189
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 264: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 100: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	41	154
Agree	37	233
Neither agree nor disagree	59	336
Disagree	197	725
Strongly disagree	668	1490
	1002	2938


Table 265: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	4.09	5.24
Agree	3.69	7.93
Neither agree nor disagree	5.89	11.44
Disagree	19.66	24.68
Strongly disagree	66.67	50.71
	100.00	100.00

Table 266: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	87.2661
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 267: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 101: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	118	67	9
Agree	194	68	7
Neither agree nor disagree	283	101	10
Disagree	570	297	53
Strongly disagree	1066	872	215
	2231	1405	294


Table 268: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	5.29	4.77	3.06
Agree	8.70	4.84	2.38
Neither agree nor disagree	12.68	7.19	3.40
Disagree	25.55	21.14	18.03
Strongly disagree	47.78	62.06	73.13
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 269: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	133.3161
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 270: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 102: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	39	28	104
Agree	71	39	133
Neither agree nor disagree	98	53	211
Disagree	182	135	540
Strongly disagree	370	438	1209
	760	693	2197

Table 271: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	5.13	4.04	4.73
Agree	9.34	5.63	6.05
Neither agree nor disagree	12.89	7.65	9.60
Disagree	23.95	19.48	24.58
Strongly disagree	48.68	63.20	55.03
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 272: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	41.8960
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 273: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 103: Stacked Bar Chart

Has R ever rented to one or more Seattle tenants paying rent with a housing voucher?

Overall

Q57	n	Percent
Yes	852	21.37
No	3135	78.63
	3987	100.00

Table 274: Frequency Table



R has ever rented to tenant with housing voucher

Figure 104: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units**

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	182	184	186	144
No	1693	501	153	127
	1875	685	339	271

Table 275: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	9.71	26.86	54.87	53.14
No	90.29	73.14	45.13	46.86
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 276: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	541.8540
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 277: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's largest property in terms of units**

Figure 105: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a landlord**

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	22	128	690
No	300	1065	1747
	322	1193	2437

Table 278: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	6.83	10.73	28.31
No	93.17	89.27	71.69
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 279: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	191.5316
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 280: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 106: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in**

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	392	460
No	601	2534
	993	2994

Table 281: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	39.48	15.36
No	60.52	84.64
	100.00	100.00

Table 282: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	256.5829
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 283: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Number of zip codes R has units in**

Figure 107: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	262	385	202
No	2061	985	84
	2323	1370	286


Table 284: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	11.28	28.10	70.63
No	88.72	71.90	29.37
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 285: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	591.4037
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 286: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 108: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	152	234	428
No	621	457	1815
	773	691	2243


Table 287: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	19.66	33.86	19.08
No	80.34	66.14	80.92
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 288: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	70.3622
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 289: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit

Figure 109: Stacked Bar Chart

How many units of R's are currently occupied by voucher households?

** NB: Sub-sample of respondents who have ever rented to a voucher household

Overall

Q58	n	Percent
0 units	508	60.12
1-5 units	276	32.66
6-10 units	23	2.72
11-20 units	10	1.18
More than 20 units	28	3.31
	845	100.00

Table 290: Frequency Table




Figure 110: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0 units	137	125	97	42
1-5 units	42	53	73	64
6-10 units	0	3	6	12
11-20 units	0	1	5	4
More than 20 units	0	2	2	22
	179	184	183	144

Table 291: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0 units	76.54	67.93	53.01	29.17
1-5 units	23.46	28.80	39.89	44.44
6-10 units	0.00	1.63	3.28	8.33
11-20 units	0.00	0.54	2.73	2.78
More than 20 units	0.00	1.09	1.09	15.28
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 292: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	140.7616
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 293: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 111: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0 units	6	50	444
1-5 units	14	55	205
6-10 units	1	8	13
11-20 units	0	5	5
More than 20 units	1	9	18
	22	127	685

Table 294: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0 units	27.27	39.37	64.82
1-5 units	63.64	43.31	29.93
6-10 units	4.55	6.30	1.90
11-20 units	0.00	3.94	0.73
More than 20 units	4.55	7.09	2.63
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 295: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	52.0331
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 296: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 112: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0 units	209	299
1-5 units	147	129
6-10 units	14	9
11-20 units	5	5
More than 20 units	15	13
	390	455

Table 297: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0 units	53.59	65.71
1-5 units	37.69	28.35
6-10 units	3.59	1.98
11-20 units	1.28	1.10
More than 20 units	3.85	2.86
	100.00	100.00

Table 298: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	13.4281
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0094

Table 299: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 113: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0 units	186	256	63
1-5 units	67	113	96
6-10 units	1	5	17
11-20 units	0	3	7
More than 20 units	6	6	16
	260	383	199

Table 300: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0 units	71.54	66.84	31.66
1-5 units	25.77	29.50	48.24
6-10 units	0.38	1.31	8.54
11-20 units	0.00	0.78	3.52
More than 20 units	2.31	1.57	8.04
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 301: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	118.1588
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 302: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 114: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0 units	87	115	280
1-5 units	53	90	124
6-10 units	3	14	6
11-20 units	0	3	7
More than 20 units	6	12	9
	149	234	426

Table 303: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0 units	58.39	49.15	65.73
1-5 units	35.57	38.46	29.11
6-10 units	2.01	5.98	1.41
11-20 units	0.00	1.28	1.64
More than 20 units	4.03	5.13	2.11
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 304: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	29.7337
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0002

Table 305: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 115: Stacked Bar Chart



Has R ever rented to a Seattle tenant who requested disability accommodations or requested to make disability-related modifications to a unit?

Overall

Q64	n	Percent
Yes	427	10.46
No	3654	89.54
	4081	100.00

Table 306: Frequency Table




Figure 116: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	76	95	85	85
No	1847	608	260	188
	1923	703	345	273

Table 307: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	3.95	13.51	24.64	31.14
No	96.05	86.49	75.36	68.86
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 308: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	291.3201
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 309: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 117: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	11	73	339
No	314	1145	2163
	325	1218	2502

Table 310: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	3.38	5.99	13.55
No	96.62	94.01	86.45
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 311: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	68.8242
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 312: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 118: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	184	243
No	824	2830
	1008	3073

Table 313: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	18.25	7.91
No	81.75	92.09
	100.00	100.00

Table 314: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	85.6294
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 315: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 119: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	124	199	104
No	2247	1230	171
	2371	1429	275

Table 316: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	5.23	13.93	37.82
No	94.77	86.07	62.18
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 317: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	306.8590
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 318: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 120: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	73	127	207
No	719	576	2100
	792	703	2307

Table 319: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	9.22	18.07	8.97
No	90.78	81.93	91.03
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 320: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	48.9196
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 321: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit

Figure 121: Stacked Bar Chart




Has R ever rented to a tenant in Seattle who R knew had a criminal record at the time of their rental application?

Overall

Q66	n	Percent
Yes	692	16.61
No	3475	83.39
	4167	100.00

Table 322: Frequency Table



R has knowingly rented to tenant with criminal record

Figure 122: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	198	148	119	105
No	1754	566	243	181
	1952	714	362	286

Table 323: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	10.14	20.73	32.87	36.71
No	89.86	79.27	67.13	63.29
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 324: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	213.3983
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 325: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 123: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	25	144	518
No	311	1099	2033
	336	1243	2551

Table 326: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	7.44	11.58	20.31
No	92.56	88.42	79.69
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 327: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	68.1311
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 328: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 124: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	308	384
No	721	2754
	1029	3138

Table 329: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	29.93	12.24
No	70.07	87.76
	100.00	100.00

Table 330: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	173.9214
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 331: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 125: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	231	315	146
No	2187	1134	146
	2418	1449	292

Table 332: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	9.55	21.74	50.00
No	90.45	78.26	50.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 333: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	349.0026
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 334: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 126: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	119	196	345
No	698	528	1986
	817	724	2331

Table 335: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	14.57	27.07	14.80
No	85.43	72.93	85.20
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 336: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	63.3344
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 337: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit

Figure 127: Stacked Bar Chart

What size unit is most common among rental unit R owns/manages?

Overall

Q70	n	Percent
Studio	156	3.92
1 bedroom	967	24.27
2 bedroom	1602	40.21
3 bedroom	937	23.52
4 bedroom	245	6.15
5+ bedroom	77	1.93
	3984	100.00

Table 338: Frequency Table




Figure 128: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Studio	35	6	18	55
1 bedroom	191	153	187	161
2 bedroom	608	429	146	54
3 bedroom	714	108	10	10
4 bedroom	200	15	1	3
5+ bedroom	59	6	1	0
	1807	717	363	283

Table 339: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Studio	1.94	0.84	4.96	19.43
1 bedroom	10.57	21.34	51.52	56.89
2 bedroom	33.65	59.83	40.22	19.08
3 bedroom	39.51	15.06	2.75	3.53
4 bedroom	11.07	2.09	0.28	1.06
5+ bedroom	3.27	0.84	0.28	0.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 340: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	1180.4373
Parameter:	15.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 341: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 129: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Studio	18	41	97
1 bedroom	82	252	625
2 bedroom	97	448	1039
3 bedroom	96	338	498
4 bedroom	25	84	132
5+ bedroom	7	27	42
	325	1190	2433

Table 342: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Studio	5.54	3.45	3.99
1 bedroom	25.23	21.18	25.69
2 bedroom	29.85	37.65	42.70
3 bedroom	29.54	28.40	20.47
4 bedroom	7.69	7.06	5.43
5+ bedroom	2.15	2.27	1.73
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 343: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	56.9576
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 344: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 130: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Studio	41	115
1 bedroom	304	663
2 bedroom	440	1162
3 bedroom	181	756
4 bedroom	52	193
5+ bedroom	15	62
	1033	2951

Table 345: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Studio	3.97	3.90
1 bedroom	29.43	22.47
2 bedroom	42.59	39.38
3 bedroom	17.52	25.62
4 bedroom	5.03	6.54
5+ bedroom	1.45	2.10
	100.00	100.00

Table 346: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	43.0772
Parameter:	5.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 347: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 131: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Studio	87	41	27
1 bedroom	500	359	105
2 bedroom	848	642	109
3 bedroom	601	298	37
4 bedroom	158	78	9
5+ bedroom	49	24	3
	2243	1442	290

Table 348: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Studio	3.88	2.84	9.31
1 bedroom	22.29	24.90	36.21
2 bedroom	37.81	44.52	37.59
3 bedroom	26.79	20.67	12.76
4 bedroom	7.04	5.41	3.10
5+ bedroom	2.18	1.66	1.03
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 349: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	97.6992
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 350: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 132: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Studio	61	47	40
1 bedroom	240	276	436
2 bedroom	292	265	1020
3 bedroom	168	104	645
4 bedroom	42	31	172
5+ bedroom	19	11	46
	822	734	2359

Table 351: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Studio	7.42	6.40	1.70
1 bedroom	29.20	37.60	18.48
2 bedroom	35.52	36.10	43.24
3 bedroom	20.44	14.17	27.34
4 bedroom	5.11	4.22	7.29
5+ bedroom	2.31	1.50	1.95
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 352: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	233.9368
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 353: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 133: Stacked Bar Chart



What is the average monthly rent charged in the City of Seattle for the selected modal unit size?

Overall - Studio

studio_rent	n	Percent
Less than \$500	4	2.68
\$500-1,000	57	38.26
\$1,001-1,500	66	44.30
\$1,501-2,500	22	14.77
	149	100.00

Table 354: Frequency Table




Figure 134: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units - Studio**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$500	0	0	0	3
\$500-1,000	8	4	12	19
\$1,001-1,500	15	2	6	25
\$1,501-2,500	6	0	0	8
	29	6	18	55

Table 355: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$500	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.45
\$500-1,000	27.59	66.67	66.67	34.55
\$1,001-1,500	51.72	33.33	33.33	45.45
\$1,501-2,500	20.69	0.00	0.00	14.55
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 356: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	14.2880
Parameter:	9.0000
p-value:	0.1124

Table 357: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 135: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a studiolord - Studio**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$500	0	1	3
\$500-1,000	2	12	43
\$1,001-1,500	11	18	37
\$1,501-2,500	5	8	9
	18	39	92

Table 358: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$500	0.00	2.56	3.26
\$500-1,000	11.11	30.77	46.74
\$1,001-1,500	61.11	46.15	40.22
\$1,501-2,500	27.78	20.51	9.78
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 359: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	12.3769
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0541

Table 360: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 136: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in - Studio**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$500	2	2
\$500-1,000	22	35
\$1,001-1,500	16	50
\$1,501-2,500	1	21
	41	108

Table 361: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$500	4.88	1.85
\$500-1,000	53.66	32.41
\$1,001-1,500	39.02	46.30
\$1,501-2,500	2.44	19.44
	100.00	100.00

Table 362: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	10.6973
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0135

Table 363: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 137: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings - Studio**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$500	2	1	1
\$500-1,000	26	14	17
\$1,001-1,500	38	18	9
\$1,501-2,500	16	6	0
	82	39	27

Table 364: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$500	2.44	2.56	3.70
\$500-1,000	31.71	35.90	62.96
\$1,001-1,500	46.34	46.15	33.33
\$1,501-2,500	19.51	15.38	0.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 365: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	11.4251
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0761

Table 366: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 138: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit - Studio**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$500	3	1	0
\$500-1,000	28	15	13
\$1,001-1,500	24	25	16
\$1,501-2,500	5	6	11
	60	47	40

Table 367: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$500	5.00	2.13	0.00
\$500-1,000	46.67	31.91	32.50
\$1,001-1,500	40.00	53.19	40.00
\$1,501-2,500	8.33	12.77	27.50
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 368: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	11.6244
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0709

Table 369: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 139: Stacked Bar Chart

**Overall - 1 Bedroom**

one_bed_rent	n	Percent
Less than \$500	5	0.52
\$500-1,000	132	13.79
\$1,001-1,500	470	49.11
\$1,501-2,500	327	34.17
\$2,501-3,500	22	2.30
\$3,501 or more	1	0.10
	957	100.00

Table 370: Frequency Table




Figure 140: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units - 1 Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$500	2	0	0	1
\$500-1,000	29	31	32	10
\$1,001-1,500	60	83	130	71
\$1,501-2,500	89	37	24	72
\$2,501-3,500	9	1	0	5
\$3,501 or more	0	0	0	1
	189	152	186	160

Table 371: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$500	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.62
\$500-1,000	15.34	20.39	17.20	6.25
\$1,001-1,500	31.75	54.61	69.89	44.38
\$1,501-2,500	47.09	24.34	12.90	45.00
\$2,501-3,500	4.76	0.66	0.00	3.12
\$3,501 or more	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.62
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 372: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	104.8454
Parameter:	15.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 373: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 141: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a studiolord - 1 Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$500	0	1	3
\$500-1,000	3	26	103
\$1,001-1,500	23	100	346
\$1,501-2,500	50	111	163
\$2,501-3,500	4	12	6
\$3,501 or more	1	0	0
	81	250	621

Table 374: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$500	0.00	0.40	0.48
\$500-1,000	3.70	10.40	16.59
\$1,001-1,500	28.40	40.00	55.72
\$1,501-2,500	61.73	44.40	26.25
\$2,501-3,500	4.94	4.80	0.97
\$3,501 or more	1.23	0.00	0.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 375: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	90.6215
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 376: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 142: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in - 1 Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$500	1	4
\$500-1,000	44	88
\$1,001-1,500	165	305
\$1,501-2,500	88	239
\$2,501-3,500	3	19
\$3,501 or more	0	1
	301	656

Table 377: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$500	0.33	0.61
\$500-1,000	14.62	13.41
\$1,001-1,500	54.82	46.49
\$1,501-2,500	29.24	36.43
\$2,501-3,500	1.00	2.90
\$3,501 or more	0.00	0.15
	100.00	100.00

Table 378: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	10.2568
Parameter:	5.0000
p-value:	0.0683

Table 379: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 143: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings - 1 Bedroom

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$500	4	1	0
\$500-1,000	60	57	14
\$1,001-1,500	217	194	59
\$1,501-2,500	198	100	28
\$2,501-3,500	14	6	2
\$3,501 or more	1	0	0
	494	358	103

Table 380: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$500	0.81	0.28	0.00
\$500-1,000	12.15	15.92	13.59
\$1,001-1,500	43.93	54.19	57.28
\$1,501-2,500	40.08	27.93	27.18
\$2,501-3,500	2.83	1.68	1.94
\$3,501 or more	0.20	0.00	0.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 381: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	22.6628
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0121

Table 382: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 144: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit - 1 Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$500	3	0	2
\$500-1,000	38	24	66
\$1,001-1,500	112	146	207
\$1,501-2,500	81	101	144
\$2,501-3,500	2	5	14
\$3,501 or more	1	0	0
	237	276	433

Table 383: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$500	1.27	0.00	0.46
\$500-1,000	16.03	8.70	15.24
\$1,001-1,500	47.26	52.90	47.81
\$1,501-2,500	34.18	36.59	33.26
\$2,501-3,500	0.84	1.81	3.23
\$3,501 or more	0.42	0.00	0.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 384: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	19.6328
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0329

Table 385: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 145: Stacked Bar Chart

**Overall - 2 Bedroom**

two_bed_rent	n	Percent
Less than \$500	4	0.25
\$500-1,000	47	2.97
\$1,001-1,500	372	23.54
\$1,501-2,500	971	61.46
\$2,501-3,500	179	11.33
\$3,501 or more	7	0.44
	1580	100.00

Table 386: Frequency Table




Figure 146: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units - 2 Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$500	4	0	0	0
\$500-1,000	21	12	5	0
\$1,001-1,500	116	125	40	11
\$1,501-2,500	361	249	95	31
\$2,501-3,500	93	34	4	11
\$3,501 or more	3	2	0	1
	598	422	144	54

Table 387: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$500	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.00
\$500-1,000	3.51	2.84	3.47	0.00
\$1,001-1,500	19.40	29.62	27.78	20.37
\$1,501-2,500	60.37	59.00	65.97	57.41
\$2,501-3,500	15.55	8.06	2.78	20.37
\$3,501 or more	0.50	0.47	0.00	1.85
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 388: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	47.7061
Parameter:	15.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 389: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 147: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a studiolord - 2 Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$500	0	1	3
\$500-1,000	0	4	42
\$1,001-1,500	8	70	289
\$1,501-2,500	56	282	622
\$2,501-3,500	31	84	63
\$3,501 or more	1	2	4
	96	443	1023

Table 390: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$500	0.00	0.23	0.29
\$500-1,000	0.00	0.90	4.11
\$1,001-1,500	8.33	15.80	28.25
\$1,501-2,500	58.33	63.66	60.80
\$2,501-3,500	32.29	18.96	6.16
\$3,501 or more	1.04	0.45	0.39
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 391: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	129.5573
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 392: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 148: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in - 2 Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$500	0	4
\$500-1,000	8	39
\$1,001-1,500	103	269
\$1,501-2,500	286	685
\$2,501-3,500	34	145
\$3,501 or more	2	5
	433	1147

Table 393: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$500	0.00	0.35
\$500-1,000	1.85	3.40
\$1,001-1,500	23.79	23.45
\$1,501-2,500	66.05	59.72
\$2,501-3,500	7.85	12.64
\$3,501 or more	0.46	0.44
	100.00	100.00

Table 394: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	12.4910
Parameter:	5.0000
p-value:	0.0286

Table 395: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 149: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings - 2 Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$500	4	0	0
\$500-1,000	28	18	1
\$1,001-1,500	185	157	29
\$1,501-2,500	501	401	68
\$2,501-3,500	118	54	6
\$3,501 or more	4	3	0
	840	633	104

Table 396: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$500	0.48	0.00	0.00
\$500-1,000	3.33	2.84	0.96
\$1,001-1,500	22.02	24.80	27.88
\$1,501-2,500	59.64	63.35	65.38
\$2,501-3,500	14.05	8.53	5.77
\$3,501 or more	0.48	0.47	0.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 397: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	21.7160
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0166

Table 398: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 150: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit - 2 Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$500	0	1	3
\$500-1,000	9	3	35
\$1,001-1,500	77	58	235
\$1,501-2,500	165	168	627
\$2,501-3,500	35	30	112
\$3,501 or more	3	1	3
	289	261	1015

Table 399: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$500	0.00	0.38	0.30
\$500-1,000	3.11	1.15	3.45
\$1,001-1,500	26.64	22.22	23.15
\$1,501-2,500	57.09	64.37	61.77
\$2,501-3,500	12.11	11.49	11.03
\$3,501 or more	1.04	0.38	0.30
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 400: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	10.3748
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.4082

Table 401: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 151: Stacked Bar Chart

**Overall - 3+ Bedroom**

threeplus_beds_rent	n	Percent
Less than \$500	2	0.16
\$500-1,000	24	1.94
\$1,001-1,500	78	6.31
\$1,501-2,500	538	43.49
\$2,501-3,500	467	37.75
\$3,501 or more	128	10.35
	1237	100.00

Table 402: Frequency Table




Figure 152: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units - 3+ Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$500	2	0	0	0
\$500-1,000	20	1	0	0
\$1,001-1,500	55	9	0	0
\$1,501-2,500	416	65	3	6
\$2,501-3,500	367	42	7	4
\$3,501 or more	102	7	1	2
	962	124	11	12

Table 403: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$500	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.00
\$500-1,000	2.08	0.81	0.00	0.00
\$1,001-1,500	5.72	7.26	0.00	0.00
\$1,501-2,500	43.24	52.42	27.27	50.00
\$2,501-3,500	38.15	33.87	63.64	33.33
\$3,501 or more	10.60	5.65	9.09	16.67
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 404: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	12.1350
Parameter:	15.0000
p-value:	0.6688

Table 405: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 153: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a studiolord - 3+ Bedroom

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$500	0	1	1
\$500-1,000	1	6	16
\$1,001-1,500	1	21	55
\$1,501-2,500	34	176	327
\$2,501-3,500	66	180	214
\$3,501 or more	26	59	43
	128	443	656

Table 406: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$500	0.00	0.23	0.15
\$500-1,000	0.78	1.35	2.44
\$1,001-1,500	0.78	4.74	8.38
\$1,501-2,500	26.56	39.73	49.85
\$2,501-3,500	51.56	40.63	32.62
\$3,501 or more	20.31	13.32	6.55
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 407: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	68.2523
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 408: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 154: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in - 3+ Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$500	0	2
\$500-1,000	3	21
\$1,001-1,500	7	71
\$1,501-2,500	101	437
\$2,501-3,500	107	360
\$3,501 or more	29	99
	247	990

Table 409: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$500	0.00	0.20
\$500-1,000	1.21	2.12
\$1,001-1,500	2.83	7.17
\$1,501-2,500	40.89	44.14
\$2,501-3,500	43.32	36.36
\$3,501 or more	11.74	10.00
	100.00	100.00

Table 410: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	10.8282
Parameter:	5.0000
p-value:	0.0549

Table 411: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 155: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings - 3+ Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$500	1	1	0
\$500-1,000	15	8	1
\$1,001-1,500	60	17	1
\$1,501-2,500	357	167	14
\$2,501-3,500	277	162	26
\$3,501 or more	87	36	5
	797	391	47

Table 412: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$500	0.13	0.26	0.00
\$500-1,000	1.88	2.05	2.13
\$1,001-1,500	7.53	4.35	2.13
\$1,501-2,500	44.79	42.71	29.79
\$2,501-3,500	34.76	41.43	55.32
\$3,501 or more	10.92	9.21	10.64
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 413: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	16.2451
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0928

Table 414: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 156: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit - 3+ Bedroom**

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$500	0	0	1
\$500-1,000	6	3	15
\$1,001-1,500	20	6	50
\$1,501-2,500	93	67	371
\$2,501-3,500	77	55	331
\$3,501 or more	30	12	85
	226	143	853

Table 415: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$500	0.00	0.00	0.12
\$500-1,000	2.65	2.10	1.76
\$1,001-1,500	8.85	4.20	5.86
\$1,501-2,500	41.15	46.85	43.49
\$2,501-3,500	34.07	38.46	38.80
\$3,501 or more	13.27	8.39	9.96
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 416: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	9.0432
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.5280

Table 417: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 157: Stacked Bar Chart

What application fee does R charge for his/her typical unit?

Overall

Q73	n	Percent
Less than \$25	596	15.29
\$25-35	1027	26.34
\$36-45	759	19.47
More than \$45	314	8.05
Not applicable	1203	30.85
	3899	100.00

Table 418: Frequency Table




Figure 158: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$25	292	121	47	25
\$25-35	481	183	95	65
\$36-45	249	162	104	106
More than \$45	132	44	23	39
Not applicable	609	198	90	46
	1763	708	359	281

Table 419: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Less than \$25	16.56	17.09	13.09	8.90
\$25-35	27.28	25.85	26.46	23.13
\$36-45	14.12	22.88	28.97	37.72
More than \$45	7.49	6.21	6.41	13.88
Not applicable	34.54	27.97	25.07	16.37
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 420: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	155.3711
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 421: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 159: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$25	54	156	382
\$25-35	74	341	602
\$36-45	67	213	470
More than \$45	30	103	181
Not applicable	86	344	763
	311	1157	2398

Table 422: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Less than \$25	17.36	13.48	15.93
\$25-35	23.79	29.47	25.10
\$36-45	21.54	18.41	19.60
More than \$45	9.65	8.90	7.55
Not applicable	27.65	29.73	31.82
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 423: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	16.7807
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0325

Table 424: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 160: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$25	149	447
\$25-35	254	773
\$36-45	278	481
More than \$45	83	231
Not applicable	262	941
	1026	2873

Table 425: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Less than \$25	14.52	15.56
\$25-35	24.76	26.91
\$36-45	27.10	16.74
More than \$45	8.09	8.04
Not applicable	25.54	32.75
	100.00	100.00

Table 426: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	56.2526
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 427: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 161: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$25	342	223	30
\$25-35	600	364	62
\$36-45	338	313	108
More than \$45	162	111	40
Not applicable	738	414	46
	2180	1425	286

Table 428: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Less than \$25	15.69	15.65	10.49
\$25-35	27.52	25.54	21.68
\$36-45	15.50	21.96	37.76
More than \$45	7.43	7.79	13.99
Not applicable	33.85	29.05	16.08
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 429: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	121.5039
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 430: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 162: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$25	124	135	334
\$25-35	209	193	619
\$36-45	126	207	422
More than \$45	68	60	179
Not applicable	287	127	772
	814	722	2326

Table 431: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Less than \$25	15.23	18.70	14.36
\$25-35	25.68	26.73	26.61
\$36-45	15.48	28.67	18.14
More than \$45	8.35	8.31	7.70
Not applicable	35.26	17.59	33.19
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 432: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	98.0557
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 433: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 163: Stacked Bar Chart

What parking fee does R charge for his/her typical unit?

Overall

Q116	n	Percent
\$0-50/month	511	13.05
\$51-100/month	123	3.14
\$101-150/month	62	1.58
\$151-250/month	34	0.87
More than \$250/month	4	0.10
Off-street w/o fee	2359	60.26
No off-street	822	21.00
	3915	100.00

Table 434: Frequency Table



R's typical off-street parking fee

Figure 164: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
\$0-50/month	205	88	76	50
\$51-100/month	7	15	40	37
\$101-150/month	5	5	12	21
\$151-250/month	4	2	2	17
More than \$250/month	1	0	0	3
Off-street w/o fee	1205	419	175	84
No off-street	349	179	56	67
	1776	708	361	279

Table 435: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
\$0-50/month	11.54	12.43	21.05	17.92
\$51-100/month	0.39	2.12	11.08	13.26
\$101-150/month	0.28	0.71	3.32	7.53
\$151-250/month	0.23	0.28	0.55	6.09
More than \$250/month	0.06	0.00	0.00	1.08
Off-street w/o fee	67.85	59.18	48.48	30.11
No off-street	19.65	25.28	15.51	24.01
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 436: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	547.0480
Parameter:	18.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 437: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 165: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
\$0-50/month	34	134	338
\$51-100/month	9	24	89
\$101-150/month	4	16	42
\$151-250/month	5	8	21
More than \$250/month	1	2	1
Off-street w/o fee	209	733	1400
No off-street	56	253	504
	318	1170	2395

Table 438: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
\$0-50/month	10.69	11.45	14.11
\$51-100/month	2.83	2.05	3.72
\$101-150/month	1.26	1.37	1.75
\$151-250/month	1.57	0.68	0.88
More than \$250/month	0.31	0.17	0.04
Off-street w/o fee	65.72	62.65	58.46
No off-street	17.61	21.62	21.04
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 439: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	24.7078
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0163

Table 440: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 166: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
\$0-50/month	163	348
\$51-100/month	58	65
\$101-150/month	28	34
\$151-250/month	14	20
More than \$250/month	0	4
Off-street w/o fee	563	1796
No off-street	200	622
	1026	2889

Table 441: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
\$0-50/month	15.89	12.05
\$51-100/month	5.65	2.25
\$101-150/month	2.73	1.18
\$151-250/month	1.36	0.69
More than \$250/month	0.00	0.14
Off-street w/o fee	54.87	62.17
No off-street	19.49	21.53
	100.00	100.00

Table 442: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	61.5261
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 443: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 167: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
\$0-50/month	266	191	54
\$51-100/month	40	48	35
\$101-150/month	19	29	14
\$151-250/month	13	15	6
More than \$250/month	2	1	1
Off-street w/o fee	1384	850	124
No off-street	478	289	51
	2202	1423	285

Table 444: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
\$0-50/month	12.08	13.42	18.95
\$51-100/month	1.82	3.37	12.28
\$101-150/month	0.86	2.04	4.91
\$151-250/month	0.59	1.05	2.11
More than \$250/month	0.09	0.07	0.35
Off-street w/o fee	62.85	59.73	43.51
No off-street	21.71	20.31	17.89
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 445: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	153.8631
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 446: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 168: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
\$0-50/month	0	511	0
\$51-100/month	0	123	0
\$101-150/month	0	62	0
\$151-250/month	0	34	0
More than \$250/month	0	4	0
Off-street w/o fee	0	0	2359
No off-street	822	0	0
	822	734	2359

Table 447: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
\$0-50/month	0.00	69.62	0.00
\$51-100/month	0.00	16.76	0.00
\$101-150/month	0.00	8.45	0.00
\$151-250/month	0.00	4.63	0.00
More than \$250/month	0.00	0.54	0.00
Off-street w/o fee	0.00	0.00	100.00
No off-street	100.00	0.00	0.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 448: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	7830.0000
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 449: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 169: Stacked Bar Chart

When did R last have a tenant move out of one of his/her units?

Overall

Q79	n	Percent
Within the past year	1958	46.69
1-2 years ago	795	18.96
2-5 years ago	669	15.95
More than 5 years ago	322	7.68
No move out yet	450	10.73
	4194	100.00

Table 450: Frequency Table



When did one of R's tenants move out last?

Figure 170: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Within the past year	651	394	286	222
1-2 years ago	381	148	63	30
2-5 years ago	405	102	12	20
More than 5 years ago	202	43	2	4
No move out yet	318	30	5	10
	1957	717	368	286

Table 451: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Within the past year	33.27	54.95	77.72	77.62
1-2 years ago	19.47	20.64	17.12	10.49
2-5 years ago	20.69	14.23	3.26	6.99
More than 5 years ago	10.32	6.00	0.54	1.40
No move out yet	16.25	4.18	1.36	3.50
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 452: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	499.2567
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 453: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 171: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Within the past year	131	544	1265
1-2 years ago	25	273	492
2-5 years ago	8	213	441
More than 5 years ago	3	29	287
No move out yet	175	186	83
	342	1245	2568

Table 454: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Within the past year	38.30	43.69	49.26
1-2 years ago	7.31	21.93	19.16
2-5 years ago	2.34	17.11	17.17
More than 5 years ago	0.88	2.33	11.18
No move out yet	51.17	14.94	3.23
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 455: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	871.6859
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 456: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 172: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Within the past year	730	1228
1-2 years ago	159	636
2-5 years ago	95	574
More than 5 years ago	29	293
No move out yet	20	430
	1033	3161

Table 457: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Within the past year	70.67	38.85
1-2 years ago	15.39	20.12
2-5 years ago	9.20	18.16
More than 5 years ago	2.81	9.27
No move out yet	1.94	13.60
	100.00	100.00

Table 458: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	358.3533
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 459: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 173: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Within the past year	842	858	256
1-2 years ago	499	271	24
2-5 years ago	455	207	6
More than 5 years ago	245	74	1
No move out yet	395	49	4
	2436	1459	291

Table 460: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Within the past year	34.56	58.81	87.97
1-2 years ago	20.48	18.57	8.25
2-5 years ago	18.68	14.19	2.06
More than 5 years ago	10.06	5.07	0.34
No move out yet	16.22	3.36	1.37
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 461: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	516.5308
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 462: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 174: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Within the past year	369	448	1047
1-2 years ago	162	114	476
2-5 years ago	131	74	394
More than 5 years ago	71	48	164
No move out yet	89	48	272
	822	732	2353

Table 463: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Within the past year	44.89	61.20	44.50
1-2 years ago	19.71	15.57	20.23
2-5 years ago	15.94	10.11	16.74
More than 5 years ago	8.64	6.56	6.97
No move out yet	10.83	6.56	11.56
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 464: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	73.4751
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 465: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 175: Stacked Bar Chart

Where did this tenant move to?

Overall

Q113	n	Percent
within Seattle	1488	51.13
Greater King County	594	20.41
Pierce County	85	2.92
Snohomish County	124	4.26
Don't Know	619	21.27
	2910	100.00

Table 466: Frequency Table




Figure 176: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
within Seattle	649	281	139	108
Greater King County	261	131	54	50
Pierce County	43	8	11	2
Snohomish County	58	18	18	6
Don't Know	279	84	61	49
	1290	522	283	215


Table 467: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
within Seattle	50.31	53.83	49.12	50.23
Greater King County	20.23	25.10	19.08	23.26
Pierce County	3.33	1.53	3.89	0.93
Snohomish County	4.50	3.45	6.36	2.79
Don't Know	21.63	16.09	21.55	22.79
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 468: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	25.9641
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0109

Table 469: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 177: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
within Seattle	73	426	974
Greater King County	24	165	402
Pierce County	0	27	57
Snohomish County	3	29	91
Don't Know	35	179	399
	135	826	1923

Table 470: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
within Seattle	54.07	51.57	50.65
Greater King County	17.78	19.98	20.90
Pierce County	0.00	3.27	2.96
Snohomish County	2.22	3.51	4.73
Don't Know	25.93	21.67	20.75
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 471: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	10.4990
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.2317

Table 472: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 178: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
within Seattle	414	1074
Greater King County	199	395
Pierce County	20	65
Snohomish County	43	81
Don't Know	120	499
	796	2114

Table 473: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
within Seattle	52.01	50.80
Greater King County	25.00	18.68
Pierce County	2.51	3.07
Snohomish County	5.40	3.83
Don't Know	15.08	23.60
	100.00	100.00

Table 474: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	35.2105
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 475: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 179: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
within Seattle	813	560	114
Greater King County	273	261	60
Pierce County	47	30	8
Snohomish County	59	51	14
Don't Know	373	192	50
	1565	1094	246

Table 476: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
within Seattle	51.95	51.19	46.34
Greater King County	17.44	23.86	24.39
Pierce County	3.00	2.74	3.25
Snohomish County	3.77	4.66	5.69
Don't Know	23.83	17.55	20.33
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 477: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	31.1221
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0001

Table 478: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 180: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
within Seattle	299	268	831
Greater King County	102	136	331
Pierce County	13	15	50
Snohomish County	15	26	73
Don't Know	128	95	333
	557	540	1618

Table 479: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
within Seattle	53.68	49.63	51.36
Greater King County	18.31	25.19	20.46
Pierce County	2.33	2.78	3.09
Snohomish County	2.69	4.81	4.51
Don't Know	22.98	17.59	20.58
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 480: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	16.1714
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0400

Table 481: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 181: Stacked Bar Chart

In the past year, has R raised rent on a Seattle unit?

Overall

Q85	n	Percent
0%	1501	35.95
1-5%	1333	31.93
6-10%	955	22.87
11-15%	188	4.50
16-25%	123	2.95
More than 25%	75	1.80
	4175	100.00

Table 482: Frequency Table




Figure 182: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0%	867	203	61	44
1-5%	586	259	113	111
6-10%	331	192	146	90
11-15%	75	28	26	27
16-25%	56	26	10	9
More than 25%	35	11	9	3
	1950	719	365	284

Table 483: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0%	44.46	28.23	16.71	15.49
1-5%	30.05	36.02	30.96	39.08
6-10%	16.97	26.70	40.00	31.69
11-15%	3.85	3.89	7.12	9.51
16-25%	2.87	3.62	2.74	3.17
More than 25%	1.79	1.53	2.47	1.06
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 484: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	250.2488
Parameter:	15.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 485: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 183: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0%	208	461	815
1-5%	68	442	810
6-10%	50	214	687
11-15%	7	62	118
16-25%	4	40	78
More than 25%	2	21	50
	339	1240	2558


Table 486: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0%	61.36	37.18	31.86
1-5%	20.06	35.65	31.67
6-10%	14.75	17.26	26.86
11-15%	2.06	5.00	4.61
16-25%	1.18	3.23	3.05
More than 25%	0.59	1.69	1.95
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 487: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	150.6279
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 488: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's tenure as a landlord

Figure 184: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0%	243	1258
1-5%	372	961
6-10%	322	633
11-15%	57	131
16-25%	27	96
More than 25%	13	62
	1034	3141

Table 489: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0%	23.50	40.05
1-5%	35.98	30.60
6-10%	31.14	20.15
11-15%	5.51	4.17
16-25%	2.61	3.06
More than 25%	1.26	1.97
	100.00	100.00

Table 490: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	113.2428
Parameter:	5.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 491: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 185: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0%	1062	410	28
1-5%	712	507	109
6-10%	436	416	102
11-15%	94	62	32
16-25%	77	37	9
More than 25%	42	25	8
	2423	1457	288

Table 492: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0%	43.83	28.14	9.72
1-5%	29.39	34.80	37.85
6-10%	17.99	28.55	35.42
11-15%	3.88	4.26	11.11
16-25%	3.18	2.54	3.12
More than 25%	1.73	1.72	2.78
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 493: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	232.0186
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 494: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 186: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0%	304	177	881
1-5%	257	263	734
6-10%	185	206	520
11-15%	35	45	95
16-25%	20	26	70
More than 25%	15	11	44
	816	728	2344

Table 495: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0%	37.25	24.31	37.59
1-5%	31.50	36.13	31.31
6-10%	22.67	28.30	22.18
11-15%	4.29	6.18	4.05
16-25%	2.45	3.57	2.99
More than 25%	1.84	1.51	1.88
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 496: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	50.4087
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 497: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 187: Stacked Bar Chart

R raised rent due to increased property taxes

** NB: Sub-sample of respondents reporting a rent increase **

Overall

raised_1	n	Percent
Increased property taxes	2133	80.43
Not Selected	519	19.57
	2652	100.00

Table 498: Frequency Table




Figure 188: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Increased property taxes	869	417	252	176
Not Selected	207	95	50	61
	1076	512	302	237

Table 499: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Increased property taxes	80.76	81.45	83.44	74.26
Not Selected	19.24	18.55	16.56	25.74
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 500: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	7.8976
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0482

Table 501: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 189: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Increased property taxes	85	574	1458
Not Selected	42	198	274
	127	772	1732

Table 502: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Increased property taxes	66.93	74.35	84.18
Not Selected	33.07	25.65	15.82
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 503: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	48.3599
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 504: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 190: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Increased property taxes	663	1470
Not Selected	124	395
	787	1865


Table 505: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Increased property taxes	84.24	78.82
Not Selected	15.76	21.18
	100.00	100.00

Table 506: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	10.0012
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0016

Table 507: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 191: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Increased property taxes	1037	879	211
Not Selected	310	164	45
	1347	1043	256

Table 508: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Increased property taxes	76.99	84.28	82.42
Not Selected	23.01	15.72	17.58
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 509: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	20.5598
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 510: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 192: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Increased property taxes	410	434	1167
Not Selected	99	112	284
	509	546	1451

Table 511: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Increased property taxes	80.55	79.49	80.43
Not Selected	19.45	20.51	19.57
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 512: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	0.2581
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.8789

Table 513: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 193: Stacked Bar Chart

R raised rent due to increased repair costs

** NB: Sub-sample of respondents reporting a rent increase **

Overall

raised_2	n	Percent
Increased cost of repairs	1064	40.12
Not Selected	1588	59.88
	2652	100.00

Table 514: Frequency Table




Figure 194: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Increased cost of repairs	397	204	142	119
Not Selected	679	308	160	118
	1076	512	302	237

Table 515: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Increased cost of repairs	36.90	39.84	47.02	50.21
Not Selected	63.10	60.16	52.98	49.79
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 516: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	20.4887
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0001

Table 517: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 195: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Increased cost of repairs	37	267	752
Not Selected	90	505	980
	127	772	1732

Table 518: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Increased cost of repairs	29.13	34.59	43.42
Not Selected	70.87	65.41	56.58
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 519: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	24.0616
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 520: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 196: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Increased cost of repairs	359	705
Not Selected	428	1160
	787	1865

Table 521: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Increased cost of repairs	45.62	37.80
Not Selected	54.38	62.20
	100.00	100.00

Table 522: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	13.7453
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0002

Table 523: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 197: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Increased cost of repairs	475	448	139
Not Selected	872	595	117
	1347	1043	256

Table 524: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Increased cost of repairs	35.26	42.95	54.30
Not Selected	64.74	57.05	45.70
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 525: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	38.1202
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 526: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 198: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Increased cost of repairs	191	239	569
Not Selected	318	307	882
	509	546	1451

Table 527: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Increased cost of repairs	37.52	43.77	39.21
Not Selected	62.48	56.23	60.79
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 528: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	4.8976
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0864

Table 529: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Parking for R's most common unit

Figure 199: Stacked Bar Chart

R raised rent due to recently purchasing the property

** NB: Sub-sample of respondents reporting a rent increase **

Overall

raised_3	n	Percent
Not Selected	2575	97.10
Recently purchased property	77	2.90
	2652	100.00

Table 530: Frequency Table




Figure 200: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Not Selected	1065	491	282	221
Recently purchased property	11	21	20	16
	1076	512	302	237


Table 531: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Not Selected	98.98	95.90	93.38	93.25
Recently purchased property	1.02	4.10	6.62	6.75
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 532: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	38.9203
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 533: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 201: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Not Selected	109	754	1693
Recently purchased property	18	18	39
	127	772	1732


Table 534: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Not Selected	85.83	97.67	97.75
Recently purchased property	14.17	2.33	2.25
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 535: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	61.7858
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 536: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's tenure as a landlord

Figure 202: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Not Selected	745	1830
Recently purchased property	42	35
	787	1865


Table 537: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Not Selected	94.66	98.12
Recently purchased property	5.34	1.88
	100.00	100.00

Table 538: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	22.2917
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 539: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 203: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Not Selected	1331	1005	233
Recently purchased property	16	38	23
	1347	1043	256

Table 540: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Not Selected	98.81	96.36	91.02
Recently purchased property	1.19	3.64	8.98
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 541: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	49.5576
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 542: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 204: Stacked Bar Chart

R raised rent due to changes in local housing market

** NB: Sub-sample of respondents reporting a rent increase **

Overall

raised_4	n	Percent
Changes in the local market	804	30.32
Not Selected	1848	69.68
	2652	100.00

Table 543: Frequency Table




Figure 205: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units**

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Changes in the local market	324	140	93	103
Not Selected	752	372	209	134
	1076	512	302	237

Table 544: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Changes in the local market	30.11	27.34	30.79	43.46
Not Selected	69.89	72.66	69.21	56.54
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 545: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	20.7927
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0001

Table 546: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 206: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Changes in the local market	29	264	509
Not Selected	98	508	1223
	127	772	1732

Table 547: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Changes in the local market	22.83	34.20	29.39
Not Selected	77.17	65.80	70.61
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 548: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	9.5108
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0086

Table 549: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 207: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Changes in the local market	233	571
Not Selected	554	1294
	787	1865


Table 550: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Changes in the local market	29.61	30.62
Not Selected	70.39	69.38
	100.00	100.00

Table 551: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	0.2218
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.6377

Table 552: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 208: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Changes in the local market	417	293	94
Not Selected	930	750	162
	1347	1043	256


Table 553: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Changes in the local market	30.96	28.09	36.72
Not Selected	69.04	71.91	63.28
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 554: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	7.6564
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0217

Table 555: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 209: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Changes in the local market	165	174	432
Not Selected	344	372	1019
	509	546	1451

Table 556: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Changes in the local market	32.42	31.87	29.77
Not Selected	67.58	68.13	70.23
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 557: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	1.6346
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.4416

Table 558: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 210: Stacked Bar Chart

R raised rent due to new City regulations

** NB: Sub-sample of respondents reporting a rent increase **

Overall

raised_5	n	Percent
New regulations	579	21.83
Not Selected	2073	78.17
	2652	100.00

Table 559: Frequency Table




Figure 211: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
New regulations	199	114	83	61
Not Selected	877	398	219	176
	1076	512	302	237


Table 560: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
New regulations	18.49	22.27	27.48	25.74
Not Selected	81.51	77.73	72.52	74.26
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 561: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	14.8727
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0019

Table 562: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 212: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
New regulations	26	147	402
Not Selected	101	625	1330
	127	772	1732


Table 563: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
New regulations	20.47	19.04	23.21
Not Selected	79.53	80.96	76.79
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 564: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	5.5829
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0613

Table 565: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's tenure as a landlord

Figure 213: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
New regulations	211	368
Not Selected	576	1497
	787	1865

Table 566: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
New regulations	26.81	19.73
Not Selected	73.19	80.27
	100.00	100.00

Table 567: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	15.8383
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0001

Table 568: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 214: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
New regulations	246	251	81
Not Selected	1101	792	175
	1347	1043	256

Table 569: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
New regulations	18.26	24.07	31.64
Not Selected	81.74	75.93	68.36
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 570: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	27.5239
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 571: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 215: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
New regulations	98	142	313
Not Selected	411	404	1138
	509	546	1451

Table 572: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
New regulations	19.25	26.01	21.57
Not Selected	80.75	73.99	78.43
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 573: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	7.4796
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0238

Table 574: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 216: Stacked Bar Chart

N reasons R raised rent

** NB: Sub-sample of respondents reporting a rent increase **

Overall

raised_sum	n	Percent
0	158	5.96
1	1031	38.88
2	908	34.24
3	424	15.99
4	117	4.41
5	14	0.53
	2652	100.00

Table 575: Frequency Table




Figure 217: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0	56	39	12	18
1	469	193	94	75
2	364	161	119	65
3	146	96	54	52
4	40	22	19	21
5	1	1	4	6
	1076	512	302	237

Table 576: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0	5.20	7.62	3.97	7.59
1	43.59	37.70	31.13	31.65
2	33.83	31.45	39.40	27.43
3	13.57	18.75	17.88	21.94
4	3.72	4.30	6.29	8.86
5	0.09	0.20	1.32	2.53
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 577: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	76.1807
Parameter:	15.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 578: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 218: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a raised_rentlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0	12	47	96
1	63	353	607
2	30	232	640
3	17	110	293
4	4	27	86
5	1	3	10
	127	772	1732

Table 579: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0	9.45	6.09	5.54
1	49.61	45.73	35.05
2	23.62	30.05	36.95
3	13.39	14.25	16.92
4	3.15	3.50	4.97
5	0.79	0.39	0.58
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 580: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	41.1346
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 581: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 219: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

```
## Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5
```

```
## Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.
```

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0	41	117
1	259	772
2	280	628
3	149	275
4	48	69
5	10	4
	787	1865

Table 582: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0	5.21	6.27
1	32.91	41.39
2	35.58	33.67
3	18.93	14.75
4	6.10	3.70
5	1.27	0.21
	100.00	100.00

Table 583: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	36.8724
Parameter:	5.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 584: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 220: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0	97	51	10
1	580	371	76
2	443	387	77
3	184	177	62
4	42	52	23
5	1	5	8
	1347	1043	256

Table 585: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0	7.20	4.89	3.91
1	43.06	35.57	29.69
2	32.89	37.10	30.08
3	13.66	16.97	24.22
4	3.12	4.99	8.98
5	0.07	0.48	3.12
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 586: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	98.3099
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 587: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 221: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0	33	29	86
1	211	204	562
2	160	172	520
3	73	97	231
4	28	38	48
5	4	6	4
	509	546	1451

Table 588: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0	6.48	5.31	5.93
1	41.45	37.36	38.73
2	31.43	31.50	35.84
3	14.34	17.77	15.92
4	5.50	6.96	3.31
5	0.79	1.10	0.28
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 589: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	25.4799
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0045

Table 590: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 222: Stacked Bar Chart




How strongly do you agree that you decide who to rent to based on standard criteria?

Overall

Q25	n	Percent
Strongly agree	1686	40.24
Agree	1393	33.25
Neutral	657	15.68
Disagree	347	8.28
Strongly disagree	107	2.55
	4190	100.00

Table 591: Frequency Table



R uses standard criteria for tenant decisions

Figure 223: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	729	258	170	164
Agree	667	257	117	89
Neutral	321	122	51	18
Disagree	184	59	22	11
Strongly disagree	59	19	6	5
	1960	715	366	287

Table 592: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	37.19	36.08	46.45	57.14
Agree	34.03	35.94	31.97	31.01
Neutral	16.38	17.06	13.93	6.27
Disagree	9.39	8.25	6.01	3.83
Strongly disagree	3.01	2.66	1.64	1.74
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 593: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	67.4496
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 594: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 224: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	169	503	998
Agree	105	419	859
Neutral	30	177	440
Disagree	24	115	206
Strongly disagree	13	30	64
	341	1244	2567

Table 595: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	49.56	40.43	38.88
Agree	30.79	33.68	33.46
Neutral	8.80	14.23	17.14
Disagree	7.04	9.24	8.02
Strongly disagree	3.81	2.41	2.49
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 596: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	29.2587
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0003

Table 597: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 225: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	446	1240
Agree	331	1062
Neutral	155	502
Disagree	79	268
Strongly disagree	25	82
	1036	3154

Table 598: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	43.05	39.32
Agree	31.95	33.67
Neutral	14.96	15.92
Disagree	7.63	8.50
Strongly disagree	2.41	2.60
	100.00	100.00

Table 599: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	4.6745
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.3224

Table 600: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 226: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	939	586	158
Agree	826	479	86
Neutral	386	242	28
Disagree	225	108	14
Strongly disagree	57	42	8
	2433	1457	294

Table 601: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	38.59	40.22	53.74
Agree	33.95	32.88	29.25
Neutral	15.87	16.61	9.52
Disagree	9.25	7.41	4.76
Strongly disagree	2.34	2.88	2.72
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 602: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	34.2860
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 603: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 227: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	310	361	905
Agree	257	223	799
Neutral	150	88	359
Disagree	69	44	217
Strongly disagree	30	13	52
	816	729	2332

Table 604: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	37.99	49.52	38.81
Agree	31.50	30.59	34.26
Neutral	18.38	12.07	15.39
Disagree	8.46	6.04	9.31
Strongly disagree	3.68	1.78	2.23
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 605: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	44.1777
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 606: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 228: Stacked Bar Chart

How strongly do you agree that you make flexible leasing decisions that allow you to rent to those who may not qualify?

Overall

Q26	n	Percent
Strongly agree	488	11.69
Agree	1731	41.48
Neutral	917	21.97
Disagree	695	16.65
Strongly disagree	342	8.20
	4173	100.00

Table 607: Frequency Table




Figure 229: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	225	83	42	28
Agree	809	321	141	104
Neutral	450	134	88	61
Disagree	328	113	60	50
Strongly disagree	140	62	34	43
	1952	713	365	286

Table 608: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	11.53	11.64	11.51	9.79
Agree	41.44	45.02	38.63	36.36
Neutral	23.05	18.79	24.11	21.33
Disagree	16.80	15.85	16.44	17.48
Strongly disagree	7.17	8.70	9.32	15.03
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 609: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	29.7952
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0030

Table 610: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 230: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	26	148	308
Agree	122	490	1107
Neutral	73	271	560
Disagree	84	221	388
Strongly disagree	36	109	193
	341	1239	2556

Table 611: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	7.62	11.95	12.05
Agree	35.78	39.55	43.31
Neutral	21.41	21.87	21.91
Disagree	24.63	17.84	15.18
Strongly disagree	10.56	8.80	7.55
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 612: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	32.5092
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0001

Table 613: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 231: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	160	328
Agree	435	1296
Neutral	197	720
Disagree	148	547
Strongly disagree	92	250
	1032	3141

Table 614: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	15.50	10.44
Agree	42.15	41.26
Neutral	19.09	22.92
Disagree	14.34	17.41
Strongly disagree	8.91	7.96
	100.00	100.00

Table 615: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	27.6312
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 616: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 232: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	241	201	45
Agree	989	638	102
Neutral	565	291	60
Disagree	445	206	43
Strongly disagree	186	113	42
	2426	1449	292

Table 617: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	9.93	13.87	15.41
Agree	40.77	44.03	34.93
Neutral	23.29	20.08	20.55
Disagree	18.34	14.22	14.73
Strongly disagree	7.67	7.80	14.38
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 618: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	50.6296
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 619: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 233: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	109	72	272
Agree	353	305	944
Neutral	162	137	532
Disagree	131	129	395
Strongly disagree	60	86	178
	815	729	2321

Table 620: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	13.37	9.88	11.72
Agree	43.31	41.84	40.67
Neutral	19.88	18.79	22.92
Disagree	16.07	17.70	17.02
Strongly disagree	7.36	11.80	7.67
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 621: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	23.9026
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0024

Table 622: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 234: Stacked Bar Chart

How effective is the limit on move-in fees/security deposits?

Overall

Q29	n	Percent
Very effective	63	1.66
Effective	668	17.60
Neutral	1118	29.46
Ineffective	1058	27.88
Very ineffective	888	23.40
	3795	100.00

Table 623: Frequency Table




Figure 235: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Very effective	30	13	2	6
Effective	358	104	36	34
Neutral	521	202	102	76
Ineffective	454	194	106	73
Very ineffective	358	161	105	79
	1721	674	351	268

Table 624: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Very effective	1.74	1.93	0.57	2.24
Effective	20.80	15.43	10.26	12.69
Neutral	30.27	29.97	29.06	28.36
Ineffective	26.38	28.78	30.20	27.24
Very ineffective	20.80	23.89	29.91	29.48
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 625: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	47.6901
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 626: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 236: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Very effective	5	20	38
Effective	65	219	380
Neutral	79	320	708
Ineffective	89	302	657
Very ineffective	56	251	573
	294	1112	2356

Table 627: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Very effective	1.70	1.80	1.61
Effective	22.11	19.69	16.13
Neutral	26.87	28.78	30.05
Ineffective	30.27	27.16	27.89
Very ineffective	19.05	22.57	24.32
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 628: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	14.6854
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0656

Table 629: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 237: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Very effective	8	55
Effective	118	550
Neutral	277	841
Ineffective	285	773
Very ineffective	301	587
	989	2806

Table 630: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Very effective	0.81	1.96
Effective	11.93	19.60
Neutral	28.01	29.97
Ineffective	28.82	27.55
Very ineffective	30.43	20.92
	100.00	100.00

Table 631: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	59.9497
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 632: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 238: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Very effective	37	24	2
Effective	455	185	28
Neutral	628	417	71
Ineffective	589	390	78
Very ineffective	419	354	112
	2128	1370	291

Table 633: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Very effective	1.74	1.75	0.69
Effective	21.38	13.50	9.62
Neutral	29.51	30.44	24.40
Ineffective	27.68	28.47	26.80
Very ineffective	19.69	25.84	38.49
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 634: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	90.2978
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 635: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 239: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Very effective	12	11	32
Effective	138	102	378
Neutral	238	180	605
Ineffective	172	194	622
Very ineffective	157	191	486
	717	678	2123

Table 636: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Very effective	1.67	1.62	1.51
Effective	19.25	15.04	17.80
Neutral	33.19	26.55	28.50
Ineffective	23.99	28.61	29.30
Very ineffective	21.90	28.17	22.89
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 637: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	22.4535
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0041

Table 638: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 240: Stacked Bar Chart



How strongly do you agree that the limit on move-in fees/deposits creates an unreasonable burden for landlords?

Overall

Q30	n	Percent
Strongly agree	1540	37.85
Agree	1151	28.29
Neutral	763	18.75
Disagree	490	12.04
Strongly disagree	125	3.07
	4069	100.00

Table 639: Frequency Table




Figure 241: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	627	292	176	122
Agree	537	184	103	77
Neutral	384	124	53	48
Disagree	257	89	27	24
Strongly disagree	72	17	4	11
	1877	706	363	282

Table 640: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	33.40	41.36	48.48	43.26
Agree	28.61	26.06	28.37	27.30
Neutral	20.46	17.56	14.60	17.02
Disagree	13.69	12.61	7.44	8.51
Strongly disagree	3.84	2.41	1.10	3.90
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 641: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	56.0280
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 642: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 242: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	89	386	1048
Agree	89	332	714
Neutral	73	249	437
Disagree	51	180	258
Strongly disagree	18	50	57
	320	1197	2514

Table 643: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	27.81	32.25	41.69
Agree	27.81	27.74	28.40
Neutral	22.81	20.80	17.38
Disagree	15.94	15.04	10.26
Strongly disagree	5.62	4.18	2.27
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 644: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	72.4462
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 645: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 243: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	470	1070
Agree	284	867
Neutral	152	611
Disagree	101	389
Strongly disagree	19	106
	1026	3043

Table 646: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	45.81	35.16
Agree	27.68	28.49
Neutral	14.81	20.08
Disagree	9.84	12.78
Strongly disagree	1.85	3.48
	100.00	100.00

Table 647: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	46.6499
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 648: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 244: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	762	608	167
Agree	657	414	77
Neutral	487	243	32
Disagree	333	142	14
Strongly disagree	90	30	5
	2329	1437	295

Table 649: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	32.72	42.31	56.61
Agree	28.21	28.81	26.10
Neutral	20.91	16.91	10.85
Disagree	14.30	9.88	4.75
Strongly disagree	3.86	2.09	1.69
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 650: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	109.5828
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 651: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 245: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	251	325	839
Agree	225	171	668
Neutral	165	114	418
Disagree	106	77	283
Strongly disagree	28	22	68
	775	709	2276

Table 652: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	32.39	45.84	36.86
Agree	29.03	24.12	29.35
Neutral	21.29	16.08	18.37
Disagree	13.68	10.86	12.43
Strongly disagree	3.61	3.10	2.99
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 653: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	32.7454
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0001

Table 654: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 246: Stacked Bar Chart

How effective is First in Time?

Overall

Q35	n	Percent
Very effective	49	1.23
Effective	426	10.67
Neutral	1115	27.92
Ineffective	1072	26.85
Very ineffective	1331	33.33
	3993	100.00

Table 655: Frequency Table




Figure 247: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Very effective	20	7	0	9
Effective	202	60	30	40
Neutral	522	170	104	86
Ineffective	509	187	100	59
Very ineffective	590	274	126	83
	1843	698	360	277

Table 656: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Very effective	1.09	1.00	0.00	3.25
Effective	10.96	8.60	8.33	14.44
Neutral	28.32	24.36	28.89	31.05
Ineffective	27.62	26.79	27.78	21.30
Very ineffective	32.01	39.26	35.00	29.96
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 657: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	41.0059
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 658: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 248: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Very effective	4	22	22
Effective	49	143	230
Neutral	104	310	692
Ineffective	77	315	673
Very ineffective	92	372	852
	326	1162	2469

Table 659: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Very effective	1.23	1.89	0.89
Effective	15.03	12.31	9.32
Neutral	31.90	26.68	28.03
Ineffective	23.62	27.11	27.26
Very ineffective	28.22	32.01	34.51
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 660: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	27.6476
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0005

Table 661: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 249: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Very effective	7	42
Effective	71	355
Neutral	231	884
Ineffective	282	790
Very ineffective	425	906
	1016	2977

Table 662: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Very effective	0.69	1.41
Effective	6.99	11.92
Neutral	22.74	29.69
Ineffective	27.76	26.54
Very ineffective	41.83	30.43
	100.00	100.00

Table 663: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	63.5910
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 664: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 250: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Very effective	29	17	3
Effective	283	114	28
Neutral	697	339	79
Ineffective	614	391	65
Very ineffective	646	560	120
	2269	1421	295

Table 665: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Very effective	1.28	1.20	1.02
Effective	12.47	8.02	9.49
Neutral	30.72	23.86	26.78
Ineffective	27.06	27.52	22.03
Very ineffective	28.47	39.41	40.68
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 666: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	71.1538
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 667: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 251: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Very effective	10	9	23
Effective	85	88	220
Neutral	228	191	590
Ineffective	187	173	648
Very ineffective	245	249	751
	755	710	2232

Table 668: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Very effective	1.32	1.27	1.03
Effective	11.26	12.39	9.86
Neutral	30.20	26.90	26.43
Ineffective	24.77	24.37	29.03
Very ineffective	32.45	35.07	33.65
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 669: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	14.3764
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0725

Table 670: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 252: Stacked Bar Chart



How strongly do you agree that FiT has reduced your ability to use judgment in deciding who to rent to?

Overall

Q36	n	Percent
Strongly agree	2303	57.05
Agree	979	24.25
Neutral	495	12.26
Disagree	174	4.31
Strongly disagree	86	2.13
	4037	100.00

Table 671: Frequency Table




Figure 253: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	1051	449	199	118
Agree	494	144	87	56
Neutral	228	72	49	50
Disagree	73	24	14	29
Strongly disagree	29	15	9	22
	1875	704	358	275

Table 672: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	56.05	63.78	55.59	42.91
Agree	26.35	20.45	24.30	20.36
Neutral	12.16	10.23	13.69	18.18
Disagree	3.89	3.41	3.91	10.55
Strongly disagree	1.55	2.13	2.51	8.00
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 673: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	105.2551
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 674: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 254: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	149	612	1514
Agree	109	322	544
Neutral	44	156	291
Disagree	20	58	94
Strongly disagree	8	31	47
	330	1179	2490

Table 675: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	45.15	51.91	60.80
Agree	33.03	27.31	21.85
Neutral	13.33	13.23	11.69
Disagree	6.06	4.92	3.78
Strongly disagree	2.42	2.63	1.89
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 676: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	49.7513
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 677: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 255: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	623	1680
Agree	212	767
Neutral	109	386
Disagree	56	118
Strongly disagree	25	61
	1025	3012

Table 678: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	60.78	55.78
Agree	20.68	25.46
Neutral	10.63	12.82
Disagree	5.46	3.92
Strongly disagree	2.44	2.03
	100.00	100.00

Table 679: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	18.3888
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0010

Table 680: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 256: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	1258	880	159
Agree	618	309	50
Neutral	297	149	49
Disagree	87	66	21
Strongly disagree	40	30	15
	2300	1434	294

Table 681: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	54.70	61.37	54.08
Agree	26.87	21.55	17.01
Neutral	12.91	10.39	16.67
Disagree	3.78	4.60	7.14
Strongly disagree	1.74	2.09	5.10
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 682: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	55.2767
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 683: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 257: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	419	385	1324
Agree	206	157	549
Neutral	88	101	261
Disagree	35	47	87
Strongly disagree	19	27	36
	767	717	2257

Table 684: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	54.63	53.70	58.66
Agree	26.86	21.90	24.32
Neutral	11.47	14.09	11.56
Disagree	4.56	6.56	3.85
Strongly disagree	2.48	3.77	1.60
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 685: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	30.9384
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0001

Table 686: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 258: Stacked Bar Chart



How strongly do you agree that FiT has reduced your ability to rent to those with few resources?

Overall

Q37	n	Percent
Strongly agree	1513	38.38
Agree	1048	26.59
Neutral	1010	25.62
Disagree	265	6.72
Strongly disagree	106	2.69
	3942	100.00

Table 687: Frequency Table




Figure 259: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	632	331	158	73
Agree	506	175	78	68
Neutral	519	130	82	86
Disagree	118	41	22	28
Strongly disagree	48	19	12	11
	1823	696	352	266

Table 688: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	34.67	47.56	44.89	27.44
Agree	27.76	25.14	22.16	25.56
Neutral	28.47	18.68	23.30	32.33
Disagree	6.47	5.89	6.25	10.53
Strongly disagree	2.63	2.73	3.41	4.14
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 689: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	71.0419
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 690: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 260: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	102	396	997
Agree	91	327	622
Neutral	97	288	616
Disagree	32	96	136
Strongly disagree	8	34	64
	330	1141	2435

Table 691: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	30.91	34.71	40.94
Agree	27.58	28.66	25.54
Neutral	29.39	25.24	25.30
Disagree	9.70	8.41	5.59
Strongly disagree	2.42	2.98	2.63
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 692: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	32.2235
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0001

Table 693: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 261: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	471	1042
Agree	229	819
Neutral	232	778
Disagree	50	215
Strongly disagree	24	82
	1006	2936

Table 694: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	46.82	35.49
Agree	22.76	27.90
Neutral	23.06	26.50
Disagree	4.97	7.32
Strongly disagree	2.39	2.79
	100.00	100.00

Table 695: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	42.5615
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 696: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 262: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	743	636	130
Agree	618	365	63
Neutral	629	313	66
Disagree	182	65	18
Strongly disagree	65	30	10
	2237	1409	287

Table 697: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	33.21	45.14	45.30
Agree	27.63	25.90	21.95
Neutral	28.12	22.21	23.00
Disagree	8.14	4.61	6.27
Strongly disagree	2.91	2.13	3.48
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 698: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	70.7220
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 699: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 263: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	271	271	878
Agree	214	167	580
Neutral	186	184	562
Disagree	58	59	134
Strongly disagree	21	17	55
	750	698	2209

Table 700: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	36.13	38.83	39.75
Agree	28.53	23.93	26.26
Neutral	24.80	26.36	25.44
Disagree	7.73	8.45	6.07
Strongly disagree	2.80	2.44	2.49
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 701: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	10.8473
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.2105

Table 702: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 264: Stacked Bar Chart

How strongly do you agree that FiT creates an unreasonable burden for landlords?

Overall

Q38	n	Percent
Strongly agree	2354	57.81
Agree	991	24.34
Neutral	463	11.37
Disagree	201	4.94
Strongly disagree	63	1.55
	4072	100.00

Table 703: Frequency Table




Figure 265: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	1054	459	218	131
Agree	491	158	82	61
Neutral	224	65	40	36
Disagree	92	22	19	29
Strongly disagree	27	8	3	19
	1888	712	362	276

Table 704: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	55.83	64.47	60.22	47.46
Agree	26.01	22.19	22.65	22.10
Neutral	11.86	9.13	11.05	13.04
Disagree	4.87	3.09	5.25	10.51
Strongly disagree	1.43	1.12	0.83	6.88
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 705: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	88.7417
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 706: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 266: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	151	609	1566
Agree	92	303	590
Neutral	50	155	254
Disagree	28	88	85
Strongly disagree	10	30	23
	331	1185	2518

Table 707: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	45.62	51.39	62.19
Agree	27.79	25.57	23.43
Neutral	15.11	13.08	10.09
Disagree	8.46	7.43	3.38
Strongly disagree	3.02	2.53	0.91
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 708: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	93.0130
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 709: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 267: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	675	1679
Agree	196	795
Neutral	102	361
Disagree	42	159
Strongly disagree	14	49
	1029	3043

Table 710: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	65.60	55.18
Agree	19.05	26.13
Neutral	9.91	11.86
Disagree	4.08	5.23
Strongly disagree	1.36	1.61
	100.00	100.00

Table 711: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	35.1972
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 712: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 268: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	1238	933	178
Agree	631	303	54
Neutral	290	132	41
Disagree	124	58	18
Strongly disagree	35	21	7
	2318	1447	298

Table 713: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	53.41	64.48	59.73
Agree	27.22	20.94	18.12
Neutral	12.51	9.12	13.76
Disagree	5.35	4.01	6.04
Strongly disagree	1.51	1.45	2.35
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 714: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	54.5877
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 715: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 269: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	432	412	1330
Agree	200	152	558
Neutral	92	89	255
Disagree	44	45	101
Strongly disagree	12	19	28
	780	717	2272

Table 716: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	55.38	57.46	58.54
Agree	25.64	21.20	24.56
Neutral	11.79	12.41	11.22
Disagree	5.64	6.28	4.45
Strongly disagree	1.54	2.65	1.23
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 717: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	16.5065
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0357

Table 718: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 270: Stacked Bar Chart

How effective is the criminal records ordinance?

Overall

Q44	n	Percent
Very effective	90	2.48
Effective	917	25.26
Neutral	1145	31.54
Ineffective	743	20.47
Very ineffective	735	20.25
	3630	100.00

Table 719: Frequency Table



Effectiveness of criminal record limitations

Figure 271: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Very effective	38	15	7	16
Effective	430	154	73	75
Neutral	525	217	114	66
Ineffective	353	123	78	42
Very ineffective	284	138	77	57
	1630	647	349	256

Table 720: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Very effective	2.33	2.32	2.01	6.25
Effective	26.38	23.80	20.92	29.30
Neutral	32.21	33.54	32.66	25.78
Ineffective	21.66	19.01	22.35	16.41
Very ineffective	17.42	21.33	22.06	22.27
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 721: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	34.4894
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0006

Table 722: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 272: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Very effective	6	31	53
Effective	84	308	515
Neutral	93	310	734
Ineffective	44	206	486
Very ineffective	45	195	486
	272	1050	2274

Table 723: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Very effective	2.21	2.95	2.33
Effective	30.88	29.33	22.65
Neutral	34.19	29.52	32.28
Ineffective	16.18	19.62	21.37
Very ineffective	16.54	18.57	21.37
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 724: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	28.4729
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0004

Table 725: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 273: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Very effective	21	69
Effective	191	726
Neutral	300	845
Ineffective	198	545
Very ineffective	233	502
	943	2687

Table 726: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Very effective	2.23	2.57
Effective	20.25	27.02
Neutral	31.81	31.45
Ineffective	21.00	20.28
Very ineffective	24.71	18.68
	100.00	100.00

Table 727: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	25.6923
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 728: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 274: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Very effective	49	34	7
Effective	583	280	54
Neutral	654	408	82
Ineffective	386	298	56
Very ineffective	356	287	88
	2028	1307	287

Table 729: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Very effective	2.42	2.60	2.44
Effective	28.75	21.42	18.82
Neutral	32.25	31.22	28.57
Ineffective	19.03	22.80	19.51
Very ineffective	17.55	21.96	30.66
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 730: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	53.5723
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 731: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 275: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Very effective	30	23	36
Effective	185	172	499
Neutral	235	177	649
Ineffective	113	137	439
Very ineffective	133	157	390
	696	666	2013

Table 732: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Very effective	4.31	3.45	1.79
Effective	26.58	25.83	24.79
Neutral	33.76	26.58	32.24
Ineffective	16.24	20.57	21.81
Very ineffective	19.11	23.57	19.37
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 733: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	34.6402
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 734: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 276: Stacked Bar Chart

How strongly do you agree that the criminal records ordinance has reduced your ability to use judgment in deciding who to rent to?

Overall

Q45	n	Percent
Strongly agree	2205	57.68
Agree	1038	27.15
Neutral	387	10.12
Disagree	148	3.87
Strongly disagree	45	1.18
	3823	100.00

Table 735: Frequency Table




Figure 277: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	947	399	231	145
Agree	516	170	83	69
Neutral	197	59	30	30
Disagree	54	32	8	19
Strongly disagree	21	7	3	7
	1735	667	355	270

Table 736: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	54.58	59.82	65.07	53.70
Agree	29.74	25.49	23.38	25.56
Neutral	11.35	8.85	8.45	11.11
Disagree	3.11	4.80	2.25	7.04
Strongly disagree	1.21	1.05	0.85	2.59
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 737: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	36.7631
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0002

Table 738: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 278: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	158	588	1433
Agree	79	333	618
Neutral	35	115	234
Disagree	10	46	92
Strongly disagree	6	16	23
	288	1098	2400

Table 739: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	54.86	53.55	59.71
Agree	27.43	30.33	25.75
Neutral	12.15	10.47	9.75
Disagree	3.47	4.19	3.83
Strongly disagree	2.08	1.46	0.96
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 740: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	16.8669
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0315

Table 741: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 279: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	611	1594
Agree	226	812
Neutral	99	288
Disagree	37	111
Strongly disagree	8	37
	981	2842

Table 742: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	62.28	56.09
Agree	23.04	28.57
Neutral	10.09	10.13
Disagree	3.77	3.91
Strongly disagree	0.82	1.30
	100.00	100.00

Table 743: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	14.5801
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0057

Table 744: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 280: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5
 ## Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	1181	833	185
Agree	638	342	55
Neutral	229	120	38
Disagree	86	53	9
Strongly disagree	24	17	4
	2158	1365	291

Table 745: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	54.73	61.03	63.57
Agree	29.56	25.05	18.90
Neutral	10.61	8.79	13.06
Disagree	3.99	3.88	3.09
Strongly disagree	1.11	1.25	1.37
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 746: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	27.9253
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0005

Table 747: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 281: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	401	420	1209
Agree	199	166	597
Neutral	84	65	212
Disagree	30	26	87
Strongly disagree	8	16	20
	722	693	2125

Table 748: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	55.54	60.61	56.89
Agree	27.56	23.95	28.09
Neutral	11.63	9.38	9.98
Disagree	4.16	3.75	4.09
Strongly disagree	1.11	2.31	0.94
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 749: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	15.3135
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0533

Table 750: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 282: Stacked Bar Chart

How strongly do you agree that criminal records ordinance creates an unreasonable burden for landlords?

Overall

Q46	n	Percent
Strongly agree	2354	61.49
Agree	834	21.79
Neutral	415	10.84
Disagree	176	4.60
Strongly disagree	49	1.28
	3828	100.00

Table 751: Frequency Table



Criminal record ordinance is a burden

Figure 283: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	1008	426	246	168
Agree	397	137	69	54
Neutral	215	70	33	25
Disagree	87	31	7	16
Strongly disagree	31	6	0	7
	1738	670	355	270

Table 752: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	58.00	63.58	69.30	62.22
Agree	22.84	20.45	19.44	20.00
Neutral	12.37	10.45	9.30	9.26
Disagree	5.01	4.63	1.97	5.93
Strongly disagree	1.78	0.90	0.00	2.59
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 753: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	31.7792
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0015

Table 754: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 284: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	176	616	1534
Agree	53	264	510
Neutral	37	131	245
Disagree	15	69	92
Strongly disagree	7	21	21
	288	1101	2402

Table 755: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	61.11	55.95	63.86
Agree	18.40	23.98	21.23
Neutral	12.85	11.90	10.20
Disagree	5.21	6.27	3.83
Strongly disagree	2.43	1.91	0.87
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 756: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	34.3015
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 757: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 285: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	661	1693
Agree	196	638
Neutral	92	323
Disagree	30	146
Strongly disagree	9	40
	988	2840

Table 758: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	66.90	59.61
Agree	19.84	22.46
Neutral	9.31	11.37
Disagree	3.04	5.14
Strongly disagree	0.91	1.41
	100.00	100.00

Table 759: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	20.0074
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0005

Table 760: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 286: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	1238	897	213
Agree	498	281	53
Neutral	268	129	18
Disagree	122	47	7
Strongly disagree	31	17	1
	2157	1371	292

Table 761: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	57.39	65.43	72.95
Agree	23.09	20.50	18.15
Neutral	12.42	9.41	6.16
Disagree	5.66	3.43	2.40
Strongly disagree	1.44	1.24	0.34
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 762: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	48.3316
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 763: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 287: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	417	448	1298
Agree	159	139	479
Neutral	100	63	231
Disagree	42	32	95
Strongly disagree	9	11	27
	727	693	2130

Table 764: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	57.36	64.65	60.94
Agree	21.87	20.06	22.49
Neutral	13.76	9.09	10.85
Disagree	5.78	4.62	4.46
Strongly disagree	1.24	1.59	1.27
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 765: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	14.2336
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0759

Table 766: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 288: Stacked Bar Chart

How strongly do you agree that the criminal records ordinance will jeopardize the safety of other residents?

Overall

Q47	n	Percent
Strongly agree	1846	48.06
Agree	993	25.85
Neutral	750	19.53
Disagree	204	5.31
Strongly disagree	48	1.25
	3841	100.00

Table 767: Frequency Table




Figure 289: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	720	346	219	156
Agree	463	165	90	58
Neutral	412	125	38	39
Disagree	122	24	9	14
Strongly disagree	28	10	0	4
	1745	670	356	271

Table 768: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Strongly agree	41.26	51.64	61.52	57.56
Agree	26.53	24.63	25.28	21.40
Neutral	23.61	18.66	10.67	14.39
Disagree	6.99	3.58	2.53	5.17
Strongly disagree	1.60	1.49	0.00	1.48
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 769: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	94.1642
Parameter:	12.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 770: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 290: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	128	481	1216
Agree	73	298	611
Neutral	61	231	454
Disagree	21	80	103
Strongly disagree	7	19	22
	290	1109	2406

Table 771: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Strongly agree	44.14	43.37	50.54
Agree	25.17	26.87	25.39
Neutral	21.03	20.83	18.87
Disagree	7.24	7.21	4.28
Strongly disagree	2.41	1.71	0.91
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 772: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	32.9859
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0001

Table 773: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 291: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	553	1293
Agree	242	751
Neutral	137	613
Disagree	24	180
Strongly disagree	13	35
	969	2872

Table 774: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Strongly agree	57.07	45.02
Agree	24.97	26.15
Neutral	14.14	21.34
Disagree	2.48	6.27
Strongly disagree	1.34	1.22
	100.00	100.00

Table 775: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	61.2268
Parameter:	4.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 776: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 292: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

Chi-square test has cell(s) with expected value less than or equal to 5

Cell sizes potentially violate test assumptions about frequency distribution.

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	925	720	195
Agree	564	363	64
Neutral	502	221	27
Disagree	156	44	4
Strongly disagree	30	16	2
	2177	1364	292

Table 777: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Strongly agree	42.49	52.79	66.78
Agree	25.91	26.61	21.92
Neutral	23.06	16.20	9.25
Disagree	7.17	3.23	1.37
Strongly disagree	1.38	1.17	0.68
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 778: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	115.9760
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 779: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 293: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	317	378	1006
Agree	190	172	558
Neutral	162	98	432
Disagree	48	32	114
Strongly disagree	13	6	27
	730	686	2137

Table 780: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Strongly agree	43.42	55.10	47.08
Agree	26.03	25.07	26.11
Neutral	22.19	14.29	20.22
Disagree	6.58	4.66	5.33
Strongly disagree	1.78	0.87	1.26
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 781: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	28.6674
Parameter:	8.0000
p-value:	0.0004

Table 782: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 294: Stacked Bar Chart

Have the rental ordinances in the survey led you to adopt more strict rental requirements for applicants?

Overall

Q48	n	Percent
Yes	1661	39.74
No but we plan to	991	23.71
No	906	21.67
Not sure	622	14.88
	4180	100.00

Table 783: Frequency Table




Figure 295: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	655	352	197	139
No but we plan to	524	155	54	44
No	454	129	73	71
Not sure	325	76	39	31
	1958	712	363	285

Table 784: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Yes	33.45	49.44	54.27	48.77
No but we plan to	26.76	21.77	14.88	15.44
No	23.19	18.12	20.11	24.91
Not sure	16.60	10.67	10.74	10.88
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 785: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	116.4179
Parameter:	9.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 786: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 296: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	126	466	1055
No but we plan to	82	313	587
No	62	269	568
Not sure	68	193	352
	338	1241	2562

Table 787: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Yes	37.28	37.55	41.18
No but we plan to	24.26	25.22	22.91
No	18.34	21.68	22.17
Not sure	20.12	15.55	13.74
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 788: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	16.1655
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0129

Table 789: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 297: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	575	1086
No but we plan to	183	808
No	176	730
Not sure	100	522
	1034	3146

Table 790: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Yes	55.61	34.52
No but we plan to	17.70	25.68
No	17.02	23.20
Not sure	9.67	16.59
	100.00	100.00

Table 791: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	146.8116
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 792: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 298: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	763	704	191
No but we plan to	655	301	32
No	579	282	44
Not sure	426	168	27
	2423	1455	294

Table 793: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Yes	31.49	48.38	64.97
No but we plan to	27.03	20.69	10.88
No	23.90	19.38	14.97
Not sure	17.58	11.55	9.18
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 794: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	197.5559
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 795: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 299: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	279	361	943
No but we plan to	203	134	567
No	200	146	495
Not sure	135	85	324
	817	726	2329

Table 796: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Yes	34.15	49.72	40.49
No but we plan to	24.85	18.46	24.35
No	24.48	20.11	21.25
Not sure	16.52	11.71	13.91
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 797: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	42.6364
Parameter:	6.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 798: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 300: Stacked Bar Chart

Limits on move-in fee/security deposits led to stricter rental requirements

Overall

stricter_1	n	Percent
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	1517	35.89
Not Selected	2710	64.11
	4227	100.00

Table 799: Frequency Table



Limits on move-in fee/deposits led to stricter rental requirements

Figure 301: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units**

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	661	280	152	122
Not Selected	1313	440	217	166
	1974	720	369	288

Table 800: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	33.49	38.89	41.19	42.36
Not Selected	66.51	61.11	58.81	57.64
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 801: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	17.2517
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0006

Table 802: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's largest property in terms of units**

Figure 302: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a landlord**

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	99	409	996
Not Selected	244	842	1591
	343	1251	2587

Table 803: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	28.86	32.69	38.50
Not Selected	71.14	67.31	61.50
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 804: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	20.5424
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 805: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 303: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in**

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	468	1049
Not Selected	571	2139
	1039	3188

Table 806: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	45.04	32.90
Not Selected	54.96	67.10
	100.00	100.00

Table 807: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	49.6564
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 808: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Number of zip codes R has units in**

Figure 304: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	762	599	153
Not Selected	1683	872	143
	2445	1471	296

Table 809: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	31.17	40.72	51.69
Not Selected	68.83	59.28	48.31
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 810: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	70.6940
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 811: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's total number of rental housing buildings**

Figure 305: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	260	318	840
Not Selected	554	412	1503
	814	730	2343

Table 812: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	31.94	43.56	35.85
Not Selected	68.06	56.44	64.15
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 813: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	23.4354
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 814: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 306: Stacked Bar Chart

Payment plans for move-in fee/security deposits led to stricter rental requirements

Overall

stricter_2	n	Percent
Not Selected	2926	69.22
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	1301	30.78
	4227	100.00

Table 815: Frequency Table



Payment plans led to stricter rental requirements

Figure 307: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units**

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Not Selected	1431	478	238	172
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	543	242	131	116
	1974	720	369	288

Table 816: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Not Selected	72.49	66.39	64.50	59.72
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	27.51	33.61	35.50	40.28
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 817: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	28.6754
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 818: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's largest property in terms of units**

Figure 308: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a landlord**

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Not Selected	254	891	1747
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	89	360	840
	343	1251	2587

Table 819: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Not Selected	74.05	71.22	67.53
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	25.95	28.78	32.47
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 820: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	9.5699
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0084

Table 821: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 309: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in**

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Not Selected	622	2304
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	417	884
	1039	3188

Table 822: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Not Selected	59.87	72.27
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	40.13	27.73
	100.00	100.00

Table 823: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	56.0251
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 824: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Number of zip codes R has units in**

Figure 310: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Not Selected	1807	950	157
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	638	521	139
	2445	1471	296

Table 825: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Not Selected	73.91	64.58	53.04
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	26.09	35.42	46.96
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 826: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	76.3652
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 827: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's total number of rental housing buildings**

Figure 311: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Not Selected	584	449	1636
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	230	281	707
	814	730	2343

Table 828: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Not Selected	71.74	61.51	69.83
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	28.26	38.49	30.17
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 829: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	22.4373
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 830: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Parking for R's most common unit**


Figure 312: Stacked Bar Chart

First in Time led to stricter rental requirements

Overall

stricter_3	n	Percent
First in Time	2288	54.13
Not Selected	1939	45.87
	4227	100.00

Table 831: Frequency Table



First in Time led to stricter rental requirements

Figure 313: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
First in Time	1040	442	221	126
Not Selected	934	278	148	162
	1974	720	369	288


Table 832: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
First in Time	52.68	61.39	59.89	43.75
Not Selected	47.32	38.61	40.11	56.25
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 833: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	34.1503
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 834: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 314: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
First in Time	169	668	1432
Not Selected	174	583	1155
	343	1251	2587

Table 835: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
First in Time	49.27	53.40	55.35
Not Selected	50.73	46.60	44.65
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 836: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	5.0617
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0796

Table 837: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 315: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
First in Time	666	1622
Not Selected	373	1566
	1039	3188

Table 838: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
First in Time	64.10	50.88
Not Selected	35.90	49.12
	100.00	100.00

Table 839: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	54.6401
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 840: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 316: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
First in Time	1230	876	177
Not Selected	1215	595	119
	2445	1471	296


Table 841: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
First in Time	50.31	59.55	59.80
Not Selected	49.69	40.45	40.20
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 842: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	35.6349
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 843: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's total number of rental housing buildings

Figure 317: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
First in Time	415	412	1323
Not Selected	399	318	1020
	814	730	2343

Table 844: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
First in Time	50.98	56.44	56.47
Not Selected	49.02	43.56	43.53
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 845: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	7.8092
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0201

Table 846: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 318: Stacked Bar Chart

Expanded source-of-income protections led to stricter rental requirements

Overall

stricter_4	n	Percent
Expanded source-of-income protections	1139	26.95
Not Selected	3088	73.05
	4227	100.00

Table 847: Frequency Table



Expanded source-of-income protections led to stricter rental requirements

Figure 319: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Expanded source-of-income protections	489	202	119	84
Not Selected	1485	518	250	204
	1974	720	369	288


Table 848: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Expanded source-of-income protections	24.77	28.06	32.25	29.17
Not Selected	75.23	71.94	67.75	70.83
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 849: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	11.1316
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0110

Table 850: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 320: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Expanded source-of-income protections	78	297	751
Not Selected	265	954	1836
	343	1251	2587

Table 851: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Expanded source-of-income protections	22.74	23.74	29.03
Not Selected	77.26	76.26	70.97
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 852: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	15.3207
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0005

Table 853: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 321: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in**

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Expanded source-of-income protections	349	790
Not Selected	690	2398
	1039	3188

Table 854: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Expanded source-of-income protections	33.59	24.78
Not Selected	66.41	75.22
	100.00	100.00

Table 855: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	30.4481
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 856: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Number of zip codes R has units in**

Figure 322: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Expanded source-of-income protections	580	450	105
Not Selected	1865	1021	191
	2445	1471	296

Table 857: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Expanded source-of-income protections	23.72	30.59	35.47
Not Selected	76.28	69.41	64.53
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 858: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	33.7741
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 859: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's total number of rental housing buildings**

Figure 323: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Expanded source-of-income protections	202	226	640
Not Selected	612	504	1703
	814	730	2343

Table 860: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Expanded source-of-income protections	24.82	30.96	27.32
Not Selected	75.18	69.04	72.68
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 861: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	7.3652
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0252

Table 862: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Parking for R's most common unit**


Figure 324: Stacked Bar Chart

Criminal record protections led to stricter rental requirements

Overall

stricter_5	n	Percent
Criminal record protections	2018	47.74
Not Selected	2209	52.26
	4227	100.00

Table 863: Frequency Table



Criminal record protections led to stricter rental requirements

Figure 325: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Criminal record protections	866	382	202	152
Not Selected	1108	338	167	136
	1974	720	369	288


Table 864: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Criminal record protections	43.87	53.06	54.74	52.78
Not Selected	56.13	46.94	45.26	47.22
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 865: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	30.1748
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 866: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



R's largest property in terms of units

Figure 326: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Criminal record protections	150	574	1277
Not Selected	193	677	1310
	343	1251	2587

Table 867: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Criminal record protections	43.73	45.88	49.36
Not Selected	56.27	54.12	50.64
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 868: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	6.6407
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0361

Table 869: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 327: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Criminal record protections	586	1432
Not Selected	453	1756
	1039	3188


Table 870: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Criminal record protections	56.40	44.92
Not Selected	43.60	55.08
	100.00	100.00

Table 871: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	40.9486
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 872: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 328: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Criminal record protections	1061	768	184
Not Selected	1384	703	112
	2445	1471	296

Table 873: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Criminal record protections	43.39	52.21	62.16
Not Selected	56.61	47.79	37.84
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 874: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	54.9495
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 875: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's total number of rental housing buildings**

Figure 329: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Criminal record protections	353	378	1159
Not Selected	461	352	1184
	814	730	2343

Table 876: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Criminal record protections	43.37	51.78	49.47
Not Selected	56.63	48.22	50.53
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 877: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	12.5861
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0018

Table 878: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 330: Stacked Bar Chart




N reasons R reported for making rental requirements stricter

Overall

stricter_sum	n	Percent
0	1608	38.04
1	405	9.58
2	529	12.51
3	603	14.27
4	419	9.91
5	663	15.68
	4227	100.00

Table 879: Frequency Table



N reasons R reported for making rental requirements stricter

Figure 331: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0	813	217	118	106
1	189	84	37	26
2	244	111	39	36
3	276	104	59	32
4	166	90	47	34
5	286	114	69	54
	1974	720	369	288

Table 880: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0	41.19	30.14	31.98	36.81
1	9.57	11.67	10.03	9.03
2	12.36	15.42	10.57	12.50
3	13.98	14.44	15.99	11.11
4	8.41	12.50	12.74	11.81
5	14.49	15.83	18.70	18.75
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 881: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	50.4820
Parameter:	15.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 882: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 332: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0	139	482	963
1	40	142	221
2	49	159	316
3	52	185	361
4	24	122	270
5	39	161	456
	343	1251	2587

Table 883: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0	40.52	38.53	37.22
1	11.66	11.35	8.54
2	14.29	12.71	12.21
3	15.16	14.79	13.95
4	7.00	9.75	10.44
5	11.37	12.87	17.63
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 884: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	31.5101
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0005

Table 885: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 333: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0	286	1322
1	98	307
2	139	390
3	169	434
4	132	287
5	215	448
	1039	3188

Table 886: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0	27.53	41.47
1	9.43	9.63
2	13.38	12.23
3	16.27	13.61
4	12.70	9.00
5	20.69	14.05
	100.00	100.00

Table 887: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	77.6171
Parameter:	5.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 888: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 334: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0	1052	472	75
1	234	142	29
2	302	193	32
3	317	237	47
4	218	160	41
5	322	267	72
	2445	1471	296

Table 889: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0	43.03	32.09	25.34
1	9.57	9.65	9.80
2	12.35	13.12	10.81
3	12.97	16.11	15.88
4	8.92	10.88	13.85
5	13.17	18.15	24.32
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 890: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	88.5181
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 891: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 335: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0	340	239	849
1	84	77	217
2	98	80	320
3	107	104	361
4	66	84	251
5	119	146	345
	814	730	2343

Table 892: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0	41.77	32.74	36.24
1	10.32	10.55	9.26
2	12.04	10.96	13.66
3	13.14	14.25	15.41
4	8.11	11.51	10.71
5	14.62	20.00	14.72
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 893: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	31.9814
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0004

Table 894: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 336: Stacked Bar Chart




Have you sold or do you intend to sell property because of the ordinances?

Overall

sell_regs	n	Percent
No	2525	59.71
Yes	1704	40.29
	4229	100.00

Table 895: Frequency Table



Have you sold or do you intend to sell property because of the ordinances?

Figure 337: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
No	1243	402	189	174
Yes	733	322	180	112
	1976	724	369	286

Table 896: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
No	62.90	55.52	51.22	60.84
Yes	37.10	44.48	48.78	39.16
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 897: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	24.8648
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 898: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 338: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
No	254	827	1422
Yes	88	430	1166
	342	1257	2588

Table 899: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
No	74.27	65.79	54.95
Yes	25.73	34.21	45.05
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 900: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	73.9081
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 901: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 339: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
No	505	2020
Yes	537	1167
	1042	3187

Table 902: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
No	48.46	63.38
Yes	51.54	36.62
	100.00	100.00

Table 903: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	72.0215
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 904: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 340: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
No	1631	772	118
Yes	820	701	179
	2451	1473	297

Table 905: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
No	66.54	52.41	39.73
Yes	33.46	47.59	60.27
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 906: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	129.5110
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 907: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 341: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
No	551	408	1382
Yes	270	325	973
	821	733	2355

Table 908: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
No	67.11	55.66	58.68
Yes	32.89	44.34	41.32
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 909: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	24.7139
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 910: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence

**Parking for R's most common unit**


Figure 342: Stacked Bar Chart

Limits on move-in fee/security deposits led/will lead R to sell rental property

Overall

sold_1	n	Percent
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	1008	23.73
Not Selected	3239	76.27
	4247	100.00

Table 911: Frequency Table



Limits on move-in fee/security deposits led/will lead R to sell rental property

Figure 343: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units**

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	435	183	104	73
Not Selected	1553	540	262	215
	1988	723	366	288

Table 912: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	21.88	25.31	28.42	25.35
Not Selected	78.12	74.69	71.58	74.65
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 913: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	9.6172
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0221

Table 914: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 344: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a landlord**

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	42	243	709
Not Selected	303	1012	1891
	345	1255	2600

Table 915: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	12.17	19.36	27.27
Not Selected	87.83	80.64	72.73
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 916: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	56.7722
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 917: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 345: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in**

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	325	683
Not Selected	714	2525
	1039	3208

Table 918: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	31.28	21.29
Not Selected	68.72	78.71
	100.00	100.00

Table 919: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	42.7165
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 920: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Number of zip codes R has units in**

Figure 346: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	470	417	117
Not Selected	1995	1056	177
	2465	1473	294

Table 921: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	19.07	28.31	39.80
Not Selected	80.93	71.69	60.20
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 922: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	88.6271
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 923: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's total number of rental housing buildings**

Figure 347: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	152	200	563
Not Selected	668	532	1788
	820	732	2351

Table 924: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Limiting move-in fees/deposits	18.54	27.32	23.95
Not Selected	81.46	72.68	76.05
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 925: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	17.4698
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0002

Table 926: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 348: Stacked Bar Chart




Payment plans for move-in fee/security deposits led/will lead R to sell rental property

Overall

sold_2	n	Percent
Not Selected	3410	80.29
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	837	19.71
	4247	100.00

Table 927: Frequency Table



Payment plans for move-in fee/security deposits led/will lead R to sell rental prop

Figure 349: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units**

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Not Selected	1646	571	282	224
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	342	152	84	64
	1988	723	366	288

Table 928: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Not Selected	82.80	78.98	77.05	77.78
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	17.20	21.02	22.95	22.22
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 929: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	11.6983
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0085

Table 930: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's largest property in terms of units**

Figure 350: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a landlord**

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Not Selected	308	1046	2018
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	37	209	582
	345	1255	2600

Table 931: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Not Selected	89.28	83.35	77.62
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	10.72	16.65	22.38
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 932: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	36.7574
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 933: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 351: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Not Selected	767	2643
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	272	565
	1039	3208

Table 934: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Not Selected	73.82	82.39
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	26.18	17.61
	100.00	100.00

Table 935: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	35.8596
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 936: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 352: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Not Selected	2081	1128	189
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	384	345	105
	2465	1473	294

Table 937: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Not Selected	84.42	76.58	64.29
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	15.58	23.42	35.71
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 938: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	87.0109
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 939: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's total number of rental housing buildings**

Figure 353: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Not Selected	695	559	1888
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	125	173	463
	820	732	2351

Table 940: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Not Selected	84.76	76.37	80.31
Payment plans for move-in fees/deposits	15.24	23.63	19.69
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 941: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	17.4891
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0002

Table 942: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Parking for R's most common unit**


Figure 354: Stacked Bar Chart

First in Time led/will lead R to sell rental property

Overall

sold_3	n	Percent
First in Time	1424	33.53
Not Selected	2823	66.47
	4247	100.00

Table 943: Frequency Table



First in Time led/will lead R to sell rental property

Figure 355: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
First in Time	646	263	143	73
Not Selected	1342	460	223	215
	1988	723	366	288

Table 944: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
First in Time	32.49	36.38	39.07	25.35
Not Selected	67.51	63.62	60.93	74.65
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 945: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	17.2885
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0006

Table 946: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 356: Stacked Bar Chart

By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
First in Time	62	345	1000
Not Selected	283	910	1600
	345	1255	2600

Table 947: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
First in Time	17.97	27.49	38.46
Not Selected	82.03	72.51	61.54
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 948: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	86.4238
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 949: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 357: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
First in Time	434	990
Not Selected	605	2218
	1039	3208

Table 950: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
First in Time	41.77	30.86
Not Selected	58.23	69.14
	100.00	100.00

Table 951: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	41.4305
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 952: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 358: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
First in Time	702	579	139
Not Selected	1763	894	155
	2465	1473	294

Table 953: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
First in Time	28.48	39.31	47.28
Not Selected	71.52	60.69	52.72
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 954: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	75.1899
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 955: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's total number of rental housing buildings**

Figure 359: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
First in Time	227	253	819
Not Selected	593	479	1532
	820	732	2351

Table 956: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
First in Time	27.68	34.56	34.84
Not Selected	72.32	65.44	65.16
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 957: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	14.6754
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0007

Table 958: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence





Figure 360: Stacked Bar Chart

Expanded source-of-income protections led/will lead R to sell rental property

Overall

sold_4	n	Percent
Expanded source-of-income protections	756	17.80
Not Selected	3491	82.20
	4247	100.00

Table 959: Frequency Table



Expanded source-of-income protections led/will lead R to sell rental property

Figure 361: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units**

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Expanded source-of-income protections	328	128	86	47
Not Selected	1660	595	280	241
	1988	723	366	288

Table 960: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Expanded source-of-income protections	16.50	17.70	23.50	16.32
Not Selected	83.50	82.30	76.50	83.68
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 961: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	10.7947
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0129

Table 962: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's largest property in terms of units**

Figure 362: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a landlord**

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Expanded source-of-income protections	34	163	549
Not Selected	311	1092	2051
	345	1255	2600

Table 963: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Expanded source-of-income protections	9.86	12.99	21.12
Not Selected	90.14	87.01	78.88
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 964: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	54.3634
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 965: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 363: Stacked Bar Chart

**By number of zip codes R has units in**

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Expanded source-of-income protections	241	515
Not Selected	798	2693
	1039	3208

Table 966: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Expanded source-of-income protections	23.20	16.05
Not Selected	76.80	83.95
	100.00	100.00

Table 967: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	26.8713
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 968: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Number of zip codes R has units in**

Figure 364: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Expanded source-of-income protections	354	315	83
Not Selected	2111	1158	211
	2465	1473	294

Table 969: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Expanded source-of-income protections	14.36	21.38	28.23
Not Selected	85.64	78.62	71.77
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 970: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	54.7974
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 971: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's total number of rental housing buildings**

Figure 365: Stacked Bar Chart

**By parking for R's most common unit**

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Expanded source-of-income protections	107	165	407
Not Selected	713	567	1944
	820	732	2351

Table 972: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Expanded source-of-income protections	13.05	22.54	17.31
Not Selected	86.95	77.46	82.69
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 973: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	24.2791
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 974: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**Parking for R's most common unit**


Figure 366: Stacked Bar Chart

Criminal record protections led/will lead R to sell rental property

Overall

sold_5	n	Percent
Criminal record protections	1398	32.92
Not Selected	2849	67.08
	4247	100.00

Table 975: Frequency Table



Criminal record protections led/will lead R to sell rental property

Figure 367: Relative Frequency Bar Chart

**By R's largest property in terms of units**

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Criminal record protections	590	265	150	96
Not Selected	1398	458	216	192
	1988	723	366	288

Table 976: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
Criminal record protections	29.68	36.65	40.98	33.33
Not Selected	70.32	63.35	59.02	66.67
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 977: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	24.8390
Parameter:	3.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 978: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's largest property in terms of units**

Figure 368: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's tenure as a landlord**

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Criminal record protections	71	342	968
Not Selected	274	913	1632
	345	1255	2600

Table 979: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
Criminal record protections	20.58	27.25	37.23
Not Selected	79.42	72.75	62.77
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 980: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	63.9705
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 981: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Figure 369: Stacked Bar Chart

By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Criminal record protections	442	956
Not Selected	597	2252
	1039	3208


Table 982: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
Criminal record protections	42.54	29.80
Not Selected	57.46	70.20
	100.00	100.00

Table 983: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	57.1143
Parameter:	1.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 984: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence



Number of zip codes R has units in

Figure 370: Stacked Bar Chart

**By R's total number of rental housing buildings**

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Criminal record protections	663	579	153
Not Selected	1802	894	141
	2465	1473	294

Table 985: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
Criminal record protections	26.90	39.31	52.04
Not Selected	73.10	60.69	47.96
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 986: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	116.3095
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 987: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence


**R's total number of rental housing buildings**

Figure 371: Stacked Bar Chart

By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Criminal record protections	214	275	790
Not Selected	606	457	1561
	820	732	2351

Table 988: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
Criminal record protections	26.10	37.57	33.60
Not Selected	73.90	62.43	66.40
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 989: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	24.9607
Parameter:	2.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 990: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 372: Stacked Bar Chart

N reasons R reported for why they sold/will sell a rental property

Overall

sold_sum	n	Percent
0	2622	61.74
1	168	3.96
2	329	7.75
3	389	9.16
4	265	6.24
5	474	11.16
	4247	100.00

Table 991: Frequency Table




Figure 373: Relative Frequency Bar Chart



By R's largest property in terms of units

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0	1283	418	199	181
1	72	28	18	14
2	155	70	27	17
3	162	81	44	25
4	107	50	27	25
5	209	76	51	26
	1988	723	366	288

Table 992: Frequency Crosstable

	1 unit	2-4 units	5-19 units	20+ units
0	64.54	57.81	54.37	62.85
1	3.62	3.87	4.92	4.86
2	7.80	9.68	7.38	5.90
3	8.15	11.20	12.02	8.68
4	5.38	6.92	7.38	8.68
5	10.51	10.51	13.93	9.03
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 993: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	33.5366
Parameter:	15.0000
p-value:	0.0040

Table 994: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 374: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's tenure as a landlord

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0	264	852	1478
1	16	41	110
2	17	94	214
3	18	98	269
4	8	71	182
5	22	99	347
	345	1255	2600

Table 995: Frequency Crosstable

	Two years or less	3-9 years	10+ years
0	76.52	67.89	56.85
1	4.64	3.27	4.23
2	4.93	7.49	8.23
3	5.22	7.81	10.35
4	2.32	5.66	7.00
5	6.38	7.89	13.35
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 996: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	91.0603
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 997: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 375: Stacked Bar Chart



By number of zip codes R has units in

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0	523	2099
1	47	121
2	105	224
3	136	253
4	91	174
5	137	337
	1039	3208

Table 998: Frequency Crosstable

	Multiple Zipcodes	One Zipcode
0	50.34	65.43
1	4.52	3.77
2	10.11	6.98
3	13.09	7.89
4	8.76	5.42
5	13.19	10.50
	100.00	100.00

Table 999: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	82.1974
Parameter:	5.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 1000: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 376: Stacked Bar Chart



By R's total number of rental housing buildings

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0	1687	800	124
1	85	71	12
2	164	135	30
3	178	170	41
4	129	101	33
5	222	196	54
	2465	1473	294

Table 1001: Frequency Crosstable

	1 Building	2-5 Buildings	6+ Buildings
0	68.44	54.31	42.18
1	3.45	4.82	4.08
2	6.65	9.16	10.20
3	7.22	11.54	13.95
4	5.23	6.86	11.22
5	9.01	13.31	18.37
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 1002: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	136.7900
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0000

Table 1003: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 377: Stacked Bar Chart



By parking for R's most common unit

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0	562	417	1427
1	32	36	94
2	56	58	198
3	63	72	226
4	43	47	156
5	64	102	250
	820	732	2351

Table 1004: Frequency Crosstable

	No off-street	Off-street w/ fee	Off-street w/o fee
0	68.54	56.97	60.70
1	3.90	4.92	4.00
2	6.83	7.92	8.42
3	7.68	9.84	9.61
4	5.24	6.42	6.64
5	7.80	13.93	10.63
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 1005: Proportion Crosstable

Component	Value
Observed statistic:	30.7928
Parameter:	10.0000
p-value:	0.0006

Table 1006: Pearson's Chi-squared Test of Independence




Figure 378: Stacked Bar Chart