SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact/Phone:	CBO Contact/Phone:		
Legislative Department	Calvin Chow/x4-4652	N/A		

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE establishing the Seattle SR 520 Bridge Replacement Oversight Board to advise and make recommendations to the Mayor and City Council on public policy matters of importance related to the impacts of the Washington State Department of Transportation's SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Program on Seattle residents and commuters.

Summary and background of the Legislation:

This legislation establishes an 11-member Seattle SR 520 Bridge Replacement Oversight Board to advise the City on matters related to the SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Program (the Project). The legislation empowers the board to comment and make recommendations on City of Seattle Memoranda of Understanding with WSDOT, noise variances, easements, and other City policies, plans, and projects as they may relate to the Project; to help facilitate public engagement on the Project; and to make an annual report to City Council on the status of the board's work program and achievement of its goals.

The SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Program includes several discrete <u>projects</u> within the City of Seattle to be delivered over multiple years. These include the West Approach Bridge North project (completed), the West Approach Bridge South project (in construction), the Montlake Lid (in construction), the I-5 Express Lanes Connection (in design, estimated construction 2020-2023), the Portage Bay Bridge (in project development, estimated construction 2023-2029), the Roanoke Lid (in project development, estimated construction TBD).

WSDOT began environmental review of the Project with publication of a draft environmental impact statement in 2006. WSDOT and the City of Seattle executed a Memorandum of Understanding to memorialize roles and responsibilities for the Project in 2011 (authorized by Ordinance 123733). WSDOT further developed the Seattle elements of the project through the 2011-2012 Seattle Community Design Process (recognized by Resolution 31427) and the 2014-2015 West Side Design Refinements (recognized by Resolution 31611).

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ___ Yes __X_ No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

^{*} Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.

Does this legislat	ion amend tl	he Adopted Budget?	YesX	No	
reflected in the a This legislation es	bove, includ stablishes an	er financial impacts to ing direct or indirect, 11-member advisory b DT would support this	, short-term or lon oard to be administ	ng-term costs? Hered by SDOT. The	
None identified.		r impacts of <i>not</i> impl	ementing the legis	lation?	
3.a. Appropriations	5				
This legislation	on adds, cha	nges, or deletes appro	opriations.		
Fund Name and number	Dept	Budget Control Level Name/#*	2019 Appropriation Change	2020 Estimated Appropriation Change	
TOTAI					
		te Budget Control Level fo	l r your department.		
Is this change on Not applicable. Appropriations I No appropriations	Notes:				
	proposed in	uns registation.			
3.b. Revenues/Rein	bursements				
This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements. Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:					
-					
Fund Name and Number	Dept	Revenue Source	2019 Revenue	2020 Estimated Revenue	

Is this change one-time or ongoing?

Not applicable.

TOTAL

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

No revenues are anticipated with this legislation.

3.c. P	ositions
	This legislation adds, changes, or deletes positions.

Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, or Abrogated through this Legislation, Including FTE Impact:

Position # for Existing Positions	Position Title & Department*	Fund Name & #	Program & BCL	PT/FT	2019 Positions	2019 FTE	Does it sunset? (If yes, explain below in Position Notes)
TOTAL							

^{*} List each position separately

Position Notes:

No positions are affected by this legislation.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

This legislation empowers an advisory board to comment and make recommendations on City of Seattle Memoranda of Understanding with WSDOT, noise variances, easements, and other City policies, plans, and projects as they may relate to the SR 520 Project. Several City departments are engaged in this work and would need to determine how to engage with the newly created board.

The Seattle Department of Transportation administers the City's executed 2011 Memorandum of Understanding with WSDOT, and task orders associated with the MOU. SDOT also convenes the Bicycle Advisory Board, Pedestrian Advisory Board, and Transit Advisory Board to engage on projects such as SR 520.

The Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections administers the City's noise ordinance (SMC 25.08) and the noise variance process (Director's Rule 3-2009).

SDOT, Seattle Public Utilities, and Seattle City Light may be engaged in property easements related to local street right-of-way or utility infrastructure, which require legislative approval by Council. Right-of-way and utility easements for the Montlake Lid portion of the project were approved by Ordinance 124322.

As an advisory body on public works projects, the Seattle Design Commission completed <u>consultations</u> with WSDOT on the Montlake Lid portion of the project in 2016, and intends to review and comment on the remaining phases of the project.

The SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Program is a WSDOT <u>project</u>. The WSDOT project team is responsible for community engagement and employs an <u>ombudsman</u> dedicated to the project.

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation? No.

- c. Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?

 No.
- d. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?
 No.
- e. Does this legislation affect a piece of property? No.
- f. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?

No RSJI implications identified.

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s).

Not applicable.

List attachments/exhibits below:

None.