


BODY WORN CAMERAS Seattle Police Department

04/01/2015

TIMELINE



WHAT WAS EVALUATED?

- Cloud Storage
- Policy
- Usability
 - Where Mounted
 - Ease of use
- User Interface
 - Officers
 - Back Office
- Officer Responses
 - Surveys

PILOT PARAMETERS

- 12 Volunteers
- **East Precinct**
- Three Shifts
 - 1st Watch 2 Patrol Officers
 - 2nd Watch 4 Bike Officers, 4 Patrol Officers
 - 3rd Watch 2 Patrol Officers
- The pilot is to last no longer than one year


INITIAL FEEDBACK

- Well received by Force Review Board and Office of Professional Accountability
 - Higher definition and audio of events
 - See what the officer sees
- Cloud based solution may require network tuning
- Ease of use and integration with existing SPD management systems (CAD/RMS/AD) is essential for success
- Ability to update and flag video records with a smart phone improves usability
- Most of the volunteers have experienced positive reaction from the community

INITIAL FEEDBACK — Video Unit

- Sharing links internally caused more issues than making the user experience easier, this can be solved with use of Active Directory.
- Downloading large volume of data from the cloud can be problematic, Network tuning will be essential
- Back office environment was easy to configure for grouping and establishing roles and permissions
- Evidence.com redacting capabilities are promising, but edition off the cloud proved to be cumbersome for large videos
- Body worn video files outside of Evidence.com environment do not included associated metadata

SURVEY RESULTS



Improved, Easy

No Improvement Or Difficult

Survey 1 - 1/23, Survey 2 - 2/5, Survey 3 - 3/5 Survey 4 - 3/26

Survey Chart Caveats

- Sample Periods had different numbers of responses
- Success was forecast to show improvement from low to high
- The reason for dip in the two middle surveys is not known
- Responses to the surveys from the officers are opinions