

## SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE\*

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Dept. Contact/Phone:</b>	<b>CBO Contact/Phone:</b>
RET	Paige Alderete/206-601-8469	Dave Hennes / 206-684-0537

*\* Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

### 1. BILL SUMMARY

**Legislation Title:** A RESOLUTION approving interest rates set by the Seattle City Employees' Retirement System (SCERS) Board of Administration for 2021.

**Summary and background of the Legislation:**

Per Seattle Municipal Code 4.36.505.F, this resolution provides City Council approval of the 2021 interest rates on member contributions set by the SCERS Board of Administration.

Under the policy enacted by the SCERS Board, in 2021, contributions received on or before December 31, 2011 will earn 5.75% annual interest, compounded annually. Contributions received after that date will earn 2.04% interest, also compounded annually. The Board reviews and adjusts the post-2011 interest rates annually, based on a policy target of the average yield on 30-year U.S. Treasury Bonds for the immediately preceding June 1 to May 31 period.

### 2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

**Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?** \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_X\_\_\_ No

### 3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

**Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget?** \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_X\_\_\_ No

**Does the legislation have other financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?**

This legislation has no direct financial implications for City appropriations, revenues, or positions. However, the new interest rates for 2021 will grow member contribution balances a bit more slowly than the historical average, lowering the Retirement Fund's costs and incrementally lowering the system's normal cost. These impacts are estimated along with other factors in the retirement system's periodic actuarial valuations.

**Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?**

The proposed change reflects a small decrease in market interest rates in the last year. Not implementing the legislation would not recognize the action taken by the SCERS Board of Administration or the practice of the last several years. Inaction would leave the rate at the 2020 level.

#### 4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**  
This legislation affects all SCERS members, who are employed across the City but has no effect on departmental costs.
- b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**  
No.
- c. Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?**  
No.
- d. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**  
No.
- e. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**  
No.
- f. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?**  
No perceived impact on vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities.
- g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s).**  
Not applicable.

**List attachments/exhibits below:**