

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact/Phone:	CBO Contact/Phone:
City Light	Mike Haynes/206-684-3618	Greg Shiring/206-386-4085

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE relating to the City Light Department; declaring certain real property rights surplus to utility needs; authorizing the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer to execute an agreement for the City to grant an easement over a portion of the City's fee-owned transmission corridor near 19541 Stone Ave N in Shoreline, Washington; accepting payment for the true and full value of the easement being granted from Ann and Paul Michel, wife and husband; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.

Summary and Background of the Legislation:

In recent years City Light has been conducting a program to resolve encroachments on its fee-owned right-of-way (ROW). In November of 2018 an encroaching property owner in Shoreline filed a lawsuit against City Light, challenging the utility's ownership of the land in question. The legal issues were resolved in the City's favor in the spring of 2022. The neighboring property owner and City Light have entered into a tentative settlement of all the remaining issues, subject to the City granting and the neighbor paying for an easement. This easement would be for access and the use of a smaller part of City Light land to allow for more productive use of the neighbor's own property without impacting City Light's present or future use of the ROW for its electrical system. This ordinance would authorize City Light to grant this easement.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ___ Yes X No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? ___ Yes X No

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?
No.

Are there financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?
Loss of \$62,700.80 in proceeds, and likely violation of court-approved settlement agreement.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- a. **Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**
No.
- b. **Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**
Yes – required by RCW 35.94.040.
- c. **Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**
No.
- d. **Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**
Yes.
- e. **Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?**
No such implications or impact. There are no communications to the public about this proposal.
- f. **Climate Change Implications**
- 1. Emissions: Is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way?**
No.
 - 2. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle’s resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.**
This action will not affect resiliency positively or negatively.
- g. **If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program’s desired goal(s)?**
No new initiatives or program expansions.

Summary Attachments:

Summary Attachment 1 - Depiction of Easement Area