



## Residents Overwhelmingly Support Impact Fees

**75% of Seattleites support impact fees to help fund our city's transportation infrastructure**

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

**34% in Seattle Support Transportation Impact Fees on New Real Estate Development in City, Say More Should be Done to Fix Worst Bridges Now; Fixing Roads and Bridges, Supporting Buses and Other Public Transit Seen as Most Important Transportation Investments for Seattle to Make:**

SurveyUSA interviewed 1,000 Seattle adults on the city's transportation infrastructure and other issues. Key findings follow:

Overall, a plurality of residents, 47%, say things in Seattle are headed in the right direction; 37% say things are off on the wrong track. Latinos (61%), parents of children under age 18 (60%), Democrats (57%), and men (55%) are more likely than others to say things are headed in the right direction; Republicans (53%) and independents (48%), and those not registered to vote (52%) are more likely than others to say things are off on the wrong track.

Respondents were next asked to select up to three transportation investments they thought were most important for Seattle to make. Percentage selecting each of the six offered choices, from most-selected to least: 66%: Fixing potholes and repaving roads for all vehicles. Selected most in South Seattle (78%) and by older respondents (71% of 50 to 64 year-olds, 74% of those 65+). 64%: Supporting buses and other public transit. Selected by 95% of those who commute to work via light rail (caution, small sample size), 89% of those who walk to work, and 85% of those who carpool (also a small sample size). 52%: Fixing Seattle's older bridges. More important to older respondents than younger respondents; chosen by 64% of those 65+, compared to 44% of 18 to 34 year-olds. 30%: Installing new sidewalks and crosswalks. 40% among those who walk to work, 39% among those who bike (small population); 38% among parents. 27%: Building bike lanes for safer streets. 61% among those who bike to work, unsurprisingly; significantly more popular among younger respondents (36% of 18 to 34 year-olds) than older (15% of those 50+). 17%: Prioritizing freight to transport food, household products, and other items. Significantly higher among the city's small number of Republican voters, 32% of whom select this item, than others. 6% say something else should be a top-priority; 1% say none of the above items should be priorities. 73% say the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year; just 21% say they should wait until they have additional data on all bridges before doing so. Strong majorities of 61% of all demographic subgroups, excepting the 3% of Seattle adults who bicycle to work, say the city should do more to fix the bridges this year.

75% say they would support the city imposing transportation impact fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure, with 42% strongly supporting and 33% somewhat supporting the idea. 18% are opposed (8% somewhat oppose, 10% strongly oppose). Opposition is somewhat stronger among those with the lowest household incomes (25% of whom oppose), those over age 65 (23%), and among Latinos, among Asians, and in both South and West Seattle, where 22% each are opposed.

After learning that some opponents of transportation impact fees say they would increase the cost of housing during a housing shortage, 55% continue to support (28% strongly, 27% somewhat), while opposition doubles to 36% (18% somewhat oppose, 18% strongly oppose.) The margin of support shrinks from 57 percentage points originally to 19 percentage points now, with the deepest cuts in support appearing among these populations: A 61-point fall among those with household incomes between \$30k and \$60k, from a 55-point margin of support to a 6-point margin of opposition. A 56-point fall among Republicans, from +55 to -1. A 50-point fall among those with incomes from \$60k to \$80k, from +67 to +17. A 48-point fall among Latinos, from +45 to -3. A 46-point fall among unemployed respondents, from +41 to -5. 45-point falls among both women (+56 to +11) and 18 to 34 year-olds (+58 to +13). After learning that some supporters of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue, 67% are in support, 27% in opposition &ndash; a 40-point margin of support, numbers closer to where they began, and opposition halfway between where it originally was and where it lies after respondents hear the reasoning of those opposed.

Respondents are luke-warm on a proposal to allow real estate developers to build on 85% of their property, even if that may result in removal and eventual replacement of mature trees. 48% say the city's new proposal to protect trees should not allow this; 38% say it should. Support here is highest among parents (54%), in West Seattle (53%), among those who drive to work (48%), men (47%), and those with higher incomes. Opposition is highest among those who walk to work (79%), seniors (65%), those who are retired (62%), and among those of Latino or multiracial (each 60%), Asian (57%), or other (62%) heritage.

68% say the organizations that receive City tax dollars to provide low-income housing should be required to fill some of their available vacant units with people experiencing homelessness; 22% say they should not be required to do so. Majorities of nearly all groups, with the exception of the small number of light rail commuters, say the organizations should be required to fill some of their units with people experiencing homelessness.

59% of Seattle adults say Mayor Bruce Harrell should support City Attorney Ann Davison's proposal to make sure public drug use is against the law in Seattle; 28% say he should oppose that proposal. Opposition is highest, at 51% and 44% respectively, among the small numbers who bike or take light rail to work; at 42% among those who do not work outside their homes, at 35% among students, and at 34% among each of Democrats, 18 to 34 year-olds, and those who rent their homes. Support is as high as 78% among Republicans, 72% among parents and those who are retired, 71% in West Seattle, and 70% among seniors.

**About the Research:** SurveyUSA interviewed 1,000 adults from the city of Seattle 05/05/23 through 05/13/23. This research was conducted using blended sample, mixed mode. 50% of adults were online panelists chosen randomly by Lucid Holdings LLC of New Orleans, who were shown the survey questions on the display of their smartphone, laptop, or tablet. 50% of adults were interviewed by telephone by live operators, who dialed the respondents' numbers, secured their cooperation, and remained on the line to conduct the entire interview, using telephone sample of adults purchased from Aristotle in Washington DC. The pool of adult respondents was weighted to US Census targets for gender, age, race, and home ownership.

**1** In general, do you think things in Seattle are headed in the right direction? Or are things off on the wrong track?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Right Direction	47%	55%	38%	51%	49%	37%	42%	50%	39%	49%	45%	61%	39%	44%	25%	48%	31%	40%	57%	36%	60%	42%	37%	37%	51%	52%	51%
Wrong Track	37%	32%	42%	33%	37%	44%	38%	35%	42%	35%	39%	31%	39%	41%	55%	35%	52%	53%	29%	48%	28%	40%	36%	47%	39%	29%	36%
Not Sure	16%	13%	20%	16%	14%	19%	20%	15%	20%	16%	16%	8%	22%	15%	19%	16%	18%	7%	15%	16%	12%	18%	27%	16%	11%	18%	13%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentaages

**1** In general, do you think things in Seattle are headed in the right direction? Or are things off on the wrong track?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie			
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																							
Right Direction	47%	51%	47%	36%	36%	50%	52%	45%	55%	70%	42%	40%	45%	45%	45%	52%	40%	49%	55%	39%	45%	48%	
Wrong Track	37%	36%	31%	42%	44%	32%	34%	36%	33%	30%	39%	48%	48%	28%	38%	34%	37%	39%	31%	42%	40%	33%	
Not Sure	16%	14%	21%	22%	20%	19%	14%	19%	12%	0%	20%	12%	7%	27%	17%	14%	23%	12%	14%	19%	14%	19%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

**2** Thinking now about transportation in Seattle. Of the following, which three transportation investments do you think are the most important for Seattle to make?  
 <br><br>
 Prioritizing freight to transport food, household products, and other items?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-2.9 pct points																											
Yes	17%	19%	15%	19%	15%	15%	17%	17%	16%	18%	19%	19%	13%	15%	12%	16%	25%	32%	15%	18%	20%	15%	21%	17%	18%	16%	15%
No	83%	81%	85%	81%	85%	85%	83%	83%	84%	82%	81%	81%	87%	85%	88%	84%	75%	68%	85%	82%	80%	85%	79%	83%	82%	84%	85%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

**2** Thinking now about transportation in Seattle. Of the following, which three transportation investments do you think are the most important for Seattle to make?  
 <br><br>
 Prioritizing freight to transport food, household products, and other items?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie			
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Credibility Interval: +/-2.9 pct points																							
Yes	17%	13%	27%	21%	19%	20%	16%	18%	18%	0%	14%	19%	8%	5%	18%	15%	18%	17%	17%	17%	13%	21%	
No	83%	87%	73%	79%	81%	80%	84%	82%	82%	100%	86%	81%	92%	95%	82%	85%	82%	83%	83%	83%	87%	79%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

**3** Building bike lanes for safer streets?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points																											
Yes	27%	28%	27%	36%	30%	15%	15%	34%	15%	28%	28%	32%	24%	33%	12%	27%	34%	26%	30%	27%	38%	24%	21%	30%	27%	31%	27%
No	73%	72%	73%	64%	70%	85%	85%	66%	85%	72%	72%	68%	76%	67%	88%	73%	66%	74%	70%	73%	62%	76%	79%	70%	73%	69%	73%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

## 3 Building bike lanes for safer streets?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie		
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points																						
Yes	27%	32%	27%	26%	10%	31%	31%	31%	30%	26%	36%	15%	61%	24%	23%	35%	26%	27%	27%	28%	23%	32%
No	73%	68%	73%	74%	90%	69%	69%	69%	70%	74%	64%	85%	39%	76%	77%	65%	74%	73%	73%	72%	77%	68%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

## 4 Installing new sidewalks and crosswalks?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-3.5 pct points																											
Yes	30%	29%	32%	31%	36%	26%	26%	33%	26%	29%	33%	36%	34%	28%	31%	30%	31%	34%	32%	27%	38%	27%	27%	28%	26%	32%	35%
No	70%	71%	68%	69%	64%	74%	74%	67%	74%	71%	67%	64%	66%	72%	69%	70%	69%	66%	68%	73%	62%	73%	73%	72%	74%	68%	65%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

## 4 Installing new sidewalks and crosswalks?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie		
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Credibility Interval: +/-3.5 pct points																						
Yes	30%	33%	25%	32%	24%	26%	31%	33%	32%	21%	25%	19%	39%	40%	30%	30%	28%	34%	33%	28%	26%	35%
No	70%	67%	75%	68%	76%	74%	69%	67%	68%	79%	75%	81%	61%	60%	70%	70%	72%	66%	67%	72%	74%	65%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

## 5 Supporting buses and other public transit?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-3.7 pct points																											
Yes	64%	60%	68%	69%	63%	61%	55%	66%	59%	66%	53%	57%	64%	73%	48%	66%	47%	49%	69%	63%	55%	68%	60%	63%	66%	67%	63%
No	36%	40%	32%	31%	37%	39%	45%	34%	41%	34%	47%	43%	36%	27%	52%	34%	53%	51%	31%	37%	45%	32%	40%	37%	34%	33%	37%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle  
 Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023  
 Release Date: 05/15/2023  
 Percentaaes

## 5 Supporting buses and other public transit?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie			
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.7 pct points																							
Yes	64%	68%	55%	61%	54%	69%	65%	73%	57%	85%	74%	95%	67%	89%	69%	69%	52%	56%	57%	69%	62%	65%	
No	36%	32%	45%	39%	46%	31%	35%	27%	43%	15%	26%	5%	33%	11%	31%	31%	48%	44%	43%	31%	38%	35%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

## 6 Fixing potholes and repaving roads for all vehicles?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points																											
Yes	66%	67%	66%	66%	59%	71%	74%	63%	72%	66%	54%	60%	73%	72%	72%	67%	66%	61%	63%	70%	58%	70%	71%	73%	64%	65%	61%
No	34%	33%	34%	34%	41%	29%	26%	37%	28%	34%	46%	40%	27%	28%	33%	34%	39%	37%	30%	42%	30%	29%	27%	36%	35%	39%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

## 6 Fixing potholes and repaving roads for all vehicles?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie			
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points																							
Yes	66%	64%	66%	63%	71%	79%	65%	63%	68%	40%	61%	65%	48%	69%	64%	61%	78%	67%	64%	68%	68%	64%	
No	34%	36%	34%	37%	29%	21%	35%	37%	32%	60%	39%	35%	52%	31%	36%	39%	22%	33%	36%	32%	32%	36%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

## 7 Fixing Seattle's older bridges?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																											
Yes	52%	54%	50%	44%	52%	60%	64%	47%	61%	55%	50%	51%	45%	46%	42%	54%	36%	44%	57%	49%	53%	52%	50%	44%	49%	57%	55%
No	48%	46%	50%	56%	48%	40%	36%	53%	39%	45%	50%	49%	55%	54%	58%	46%	64%	56%	43%	51%	47%	48%	50%	56%	51%	43%	45%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

## 7 Fixing Seattle's older bridges?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie		
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																						
Yes	52%	53%	38%	55%	63%	33%	50%	54%	51%	66%	47%	51%	42%	45%	52%	53%	47%	56%	58%	47%	56%	48%
No	48%	47%	62%	45%	37%	67%	50%	46%	49%	34%	53%	49%	58%	55%	48%	47%	53%	44%	42%	53%	44%	52%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

## 8 Something else?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-1.8 pct points																											
Yes	6%	5%	6%	3%	6%	11%	5%	4%	8%	5%	9%	0%	6%	8%	11%	5%	9%	9%	5%	7%	3%	7%	6%	4%	7%	4%	7%
No	94%	95%	94%	97%	94%	89%	95%	96%	92%	95%	91%	100%	94%	92%	89%	95%	91%	91%	95%	93%	97%	93%	94%	96%	93%	96%	93%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

## 8 Something else?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie		
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Credibility Interval: +/-1.8 pct points																						
Yes	6%	5%	9%	4%	7%	0%	6%	4%	7%	6%	7%	0%	3%	3%	5%	8%	5%	5%	6%	5%	7%	4%
No	94%	95%	91%	96%	93%	100%	94%	96%	93%	94%	93%	100%	97%	97%	95%	92%	95%	95%	94%	95%	93%	96%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

## 9 None of the above?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-7 pct points																											
Yes	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%
No	99%	100%	99%	99%	100%	98%	100%	100%	99%	99%	96%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	98%	99%	100%	99%	99%	99%	98%	99%	100%	100%	99%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

**9** None of the above?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie			
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Credibility Interval: +/-7 pct points																							
Yes	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
No	99%	99%	100%	96%	99%	100%	99%	100%	99%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%	100%	99%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

**10** After the West Seattle Bridge cracked and closed, the city ordered an audit of all Seattle bridges, which found several bridges in poor condition. Do you think the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year or should they wait until they have additional data on all bridges?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income					
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points																												
Do More To Fix	73%	73%	72%	69%	76%	79%	72%	71%	76%	73%	72%	77%	66%	80%	74%	72%	81%	73%	75%	71%	74%	72%	72%	75%	72%	71%	74%	
Wait For Additional Data	21%	21%	20%	25%	18%	16%	20%	22%	18%	20%	25%	21%	29%	9%	22%	22%	11%	21%	19%	23%	23%	20%	21%	21%	21%	23%	19%	
Not Sure	6%	6%	7%	7%	6%	5%	9%	6%	7%	7%	3%	2%	5%	12%	5%	6%	8%	6%	6%	6%	2%	8%	7%	4%	8%	7%	6%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%	

**10** After the West Seattle Bridge cracked and closed, the city ordered an audit of all Seattle bridges, which found several bridges in poor condition. Do you think the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year or should they wait until they have additional data on all bridges?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie			
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points																							
Do More To Fix	73%	73%	76%	65%	76%	68%	73%	79%	74%	61%	73%	77%	45%	71%	68%	76%	74%	77%	75%	71%	67%	79%	
Wait For Additional Data	21%	21%	23%	25%	15%	23%	22%	16%	21%	39%	22%	16%	31%	27%	23%	17%	22%	19%	19%	23%	25%	16%	
Not Sure	6%	6%	1%	10%	9%	9%	5%	5%	5%	0%	5%	6%	24%	2%	8%	7%	4%	5%	7%	6%	8%	5%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

**11** Seattle may consider imposing fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure. (For example, a real estate developer may have to pay \$8,000 to the City government on a condominium project the developer hopes to sell for \$800,000.)

Would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments to help pay for transportation infrastructure?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income					
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																												
Strongly Support	42%	43%	41%	36%	50%	47%	41%	41%	44%	45%	50%	32%	33%	38%	38%	44%	30%	30%	49%	39%	41%	43%	34%	33%	51%	44%	46%	
Somewhat Support	33%	34%	31%	38%	31%	28%	28%	35%	28%	33%	29%	35%	34%	35%	19%	33%	32%	45%	34%	28%	38%	30%	31%	38%	30%	33%	32%	
Somewhat Oppose	8%	7%	8%	9%	6%	8%	7%	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	14%	13%	7%	8%	10%	7%	6%	7%	5%	9%	11%	6%	6%	9%	8%	
Strongly Oppose	10%	12%	8%	7%	10%	12%	16%	8%	14%	10%	11%	15%	8%	4%	27%	10%	12%	13%	6%	15%	14%	9%	14%	10%	8%	8%	12%	
Not Sure	7%	3%	11%	10%	4%	5%	8%	7%	7%	6%	3%	11%	11%	9%	8%	6%	16%	5%	5%	11%	3%	9%	11%	13%	5%	6%	3%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%	



# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

**11** Seattle may consider imposing fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure. (For example, a real estate developer may have to pay \$8,000 to the City government on a condominium project the developer hopes to sell for \$800,000.)  
 Would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments to help pay for transportation infrastructure?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute					Neighborhood				Home		Type Of Intervie		
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																						
Strongly Support	42%	49%	37%	26%	40%	15%	47%	48%	45%	61%	52%	71%	44%	43%	42%	45%	39%	41%	45%	40%	46%	39%
Somewhat Support	33%	31%	40%	35%	31%	28%	33%	35%	33%	5%	36%	29%	37%	32%	30%	37%	34%	31%	34%	31%	27%	38%
Somewhat Oppose	8%	7%	9%	10%	6%	20%	7%	7%	6%	22%	1%	0%	16%	10%	8%	7%	9%	7%	5%	10%	7%	9%
Strongly Oppose	10%	9%	12%	10%	14%	11%	11%	5%	13%	12%	5%	0%	0%	9%	11%	5%	13%	15%	11%	10%	14%	7%
Not Sure	7%	4%	1%	19%	9%	26%	3%	6%	2%	0%	6%	0%	3%	6%	9%	7%	4%	6%	5%	9%	6%	8%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

**12** Some opponents of transportation impact fees say they would increase the cost of housing during a housing shortage. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																											
Strongly Support	28%	32%	24%	20%	34%	35%	33%	26%	34%	31%	30%	25%	19%	27%	23%	30%	18%	17%	34%	25%	29%	28%	22%	20%	28%	33%	34%
Somewhat Support	27%	29%	25%	33%	28%	21%	19%	31%	20%	28%	28%	19%	30%	31%	14%	28%	27%	32%	31%	23%	35%	24%	23%	22%	27%	31%	31%
Somewhat Oppose	18%	14%	21%	23%	13%	12%	17%	19%	14%	16%	14%	16%	29%	11%	14%	17%	25%	31%	16%	16%	14%	19%	23%	27%	14%	13%	14%
Strongly Oppose	18%	19%	17%	17%	17%	21%	20%	17%	20%	17%	20%	31%	13%	12%	31%	18%	17%	19%	12%	28%	16%	19%	16%	21%	24%	16%	15%
Not Sure	9%	5%	12%	7%	8%	11%	11%	8%	11%	7%	8%	9%	9%	20%	17%	8%	14%	2%	7%	8%	6%	10%	16%	10%	7%	6%	7%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

**12** Some opponents of transportation impact fees say they would increase the cost of housing during a housing shortage. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute					Neighborhood				Home		Type Of Intervie		
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																						
Strongly Support	28%	32%	21%	20%	33%	6%	29%	37%	29%	9%	27%	63%	16%	32%	29%	25%	27%	34%	35%	23%	37%	20%
Somewhat Support	27%	30%	34%	18%	19%	26%	32%	27%	30%	58%	40%	21%	44%	24%	21%	36%	27%	28%	29%	26%	23%	32%
Somewhat Oppose	18%	15%	22%	24%	13%	34%	16%	16%	16%	22%	9%	7%	28%	18%	18%	17%	20%	13%	12%	22%	12%	23%
Strongly Oppose	18%	17%	15%	19%	24%	22%	17%	15%	19%	12%	12%	0%	3%	18%	22%	13%	15%	20%	16%	20%	20%	16%
Not Sure	9%	6%	9%	20%	10%	11%	7%	4%	7%	0%	11%	8%	10%	8%	9%	8%	11%	5%	7%	10%	9%	9%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%



# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle  
 Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023  
 Release Date: 05/15/2023  
 Percentages

**13** Some supporters of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Strongly Support	34%	36%	33%	31%	39%	40%	30%	34%	36%	37%	39%	23%	32%	32%	21%	37%	19%	21%	40%	30%	30%	36%	24%	26%	41%	37%	39%
Somewhat Support	33%	34%	32%	38%	33%	26%	26%	36%	26%	35%	28%	28%	34%	34%	20%	33%	36%	40%	36%	30%	39%	31%	32%	37%	30%	29%	36%
Somewhat Oppose	12%	9%	15%	13%	12%	9%	14%	13%	11%	11%	18%	17%	16%	7%	9%	11%	16%	15%	10%	13%	12%	12%	17%	16%	7%	12%	10%
Strongly Oppose	15%	18%	13%	13%	13%	21%	21%	13%	21%	13%	14%	26%	13%	18%	40%	14%	24%	22%	9%	23%	15%	16%	17%	17%	15%	16%	13%
Not Sure	5%	4%	7%	5%	4%	5%	9%	5%	6%	5%	1%	7%	5%	9%	11%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%	2%	6%	9%	5%	6%	5%	2%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

**13** Some supporters of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Strongly Support	34%	41%	27%	24%	30%	7%	37%	48%	35%	31%	46%	49%	24%	45%	33%	37%	32%	35%	36%	33%	38%	31%
Somewhat Support	33%	34%	33%	28%	27%	40%	35%	34%	36%	12%	33%	33%	53%	27%	30%	37%	33%	32%	35%	31%	27%	38%
Somewhat Oppose	12%	8%	23%	20%	11%	22%	11%	5%	12%	22%	6%	0%	16%	9%	11%	12%	15%	11%	9%	14%	10%	14%
Strongly Oppose	15%	13%	15%	15%	23%	22%	13%	13%	13%	17%	12%	18%	0%	20%	19%	9%	16%	17%	16%	15%	19%	12%
Not Sure	5%	4%	2%	13%	8%	9%	4%	0%	4%	18%	2%	0%	7%	0%	6%	4%	4%	5%	3%	7%	5%	5%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

**14** Should the City of Seattle's new proposal to protect trees allow real estate developers to build on 85% of the property, even if that may result in removal and eventual replacement of mature trees?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Yes	38%	47%	29%	40%	44%	33%	28%	42%	31%	43%	39%	34%	28%	32%	21%	39%	28%	39%	40%	37%	54%	31%	33%	28%	30%	47%	46%
No	48%	43%	54%	42%	46%	53%	65%	43%	58%	43%	50%	60%	57%	60%	62%	48%	50%	47%	48%	50%	37%	54%	50%	61%	58%	41%	40%
Not Sure	14%	10%	18%	18%	10%	14%	7%	15%	11%	15%	10%	5%	15%	8%	17%	13%	22%	14%	12%	13%	8%	15%	18%	11%	12%	12%	15%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

**14** Should the City of Seattle's new proposal to protect trees allow real estate developers to build on 85% of the property, even if that may result in removal and eventual replacement of mature trees?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie			
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																							
Yes	38%	41%	41%	30%	28%	37%	41%	39%	48%	12%	33%	23%	54%	16%	36%	35%	33%	53%	44%	33%	37%	39%	
No	48%	45%	47%	49%	62%	45%	47%	41%	42%	83%	50%	50%	21%	79%	48%	53%	57%	33%	44%	52%	54%	43%	
Not Sure	14%	13%	12%	20%	9%	18%	12%	19%	10%	5%	18%	26%	25%	5%	17%	11%	10%	14%	12%	15%	9%	18%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

**15** Should the organizations that receive City tax dollars to provide low-income housing be required to fill some of their available vacant units with people experiencing homelessness?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points																											
Should Be Required	68%	66%	70%	69%	74%	58%	68%	71%	62%	70%	83%	67%	59%	64%	55%	69%	59%	57%	76%	58%	77%	65%	70%	66%	67%	69%	68%
Should Not Be Required	22%	28%	16%	22%	19%	29%	22%	21%	26%	21%	16%	25%	29%	19%	31%	21%	28%	35%	16%	32%	20%	23%	16%	27%	22%	18%	26%
Not Sure	10%	5%	14%	9%	7%	14%	11%	8%	12%	9%	2%	8%	12%	18%	14%	9%	14%	8%	8%	11%	4%	12%	13%	7%	11%	13%	6%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

**15** Should the organizations that receive City tax dollars to provide low-income housing be required to fill some of their available vacant units with people experiencing homelessness?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie						
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points																										
Should Be Required	68%	67%	71%	70%	64%	70%	70%	58%	68%	79%	76%	49%	72%	79%	64%	74%	68%	67%	72%	65%	62%	74%				
Should Not Be Required	22%	24%	20%	14%	26%	19%	21%	30%	25%	13%	16%	31%	11%	7%	25%	18%	21%	24%	21%	24%	28%	16%				
Not Sure	10%	9%	9%	15%	10%	11%	8%	12%	7%	9%	8%	20%	17%	14%	11%	7%	10%	10%	7%	12%	10%	10%				
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%				

**16** Should Mayor Bruce Harrell support or oppose City Attorney Ann Davison's proposal to make sure public drug use is against the law in Seattle?

1000 Adults	All	Gender		Age				<50 / 50+		Race						Registered		Party Affiliation			Parent		Income				
		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																											
Support	59%	61%	56%	52%	60%	64%	70%	55%	66%	59%	61%	53%	64%	50%	60%	58%	64%	78%	54%	63%	72%	53%	51%	63%	51%	65%	60%
Oppose	28%	27%	29%	34%	29%	22%	17%	32%	20%	30%	31%	26%	24%	25%	16%	29%	22%	17%	34%	22%	21%	31%	28%	28%	30%	24%	30%
Not Sure	13%	11%	15%	14%	11%	14%	13%	13%	14%	11%	8%	21%	12%	25%	24%	13%	14%	6%	12%	15%	7%	16%	21%	9%	20%	11%	10%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

**16** Should Mayor Bruce Harrell support or oppose City Attorney Ann Davison's proposal to make sure public drug use is against the law in Seattle?

1000 Adults	All	Employment Status					Work Outside H		Commute						Neighborhood			Home		Type Of Intervie		
		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																						
Support	59%	59%	54%	45%	72%	53%	60%	46%	64%	66%	51%	47%	35%	64%	55%	59%	55%	71%	69%	50%	55%	62%
Oppose	28%	30%	31%	32%	13%	35%	28%	42%	27%	18%	32%	44%	51%	20%	30%	29%	28%	20%	22%	34%	33%	23%
Not Sure	13%	11%	15%	23%	15%	12%	11%	13%	10%	16%	16%	9%	14%	15%	14%	12%	17%	9%	10%	16%	12%	14%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

## All Questions Crosstabbed By All Questions

**1** In general, do you think things in Seattle are headed in the right direction? Or are things off on the wrong track?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees					
		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																													
Right Direction	47%	-	-	-	51%	46%	56%	43%	47%	47%	50%	41%	43%	55%	48%	45%	27%	48%	30%	47%	46%	50%	41%	53%	50%	36%	27%	31%	
Wrong Track	37%	-	-	-	38%	37%	30%	40%	36%	37%	33%	43%	40%	31%	36%	38%	54%	36%	44%	37%	38%	34%	35%	33%	30%	52%	64%	35%	
Not Sure	16%	-	-	-	10%	18%	14%	17%	17%	16%	17%	16%	17%	15%	16%	17%	19%	16%	26%	16%	16%	16%	24%	14%	19%	12%	9%	34%	
Total	100%				100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%				17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%	

**1** In general, do you think things in Seattle are headed in the right direction? Or are things off on the wrong track?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																				
Right Direction	47%	54%	54%	48%	34%	25%	51%	51%	54%	32%	22%	57%	42%	36%	53%	33%	34%	45%	51%	45%
Wrong Track	37%	33%	30%	37%	56%	33%	34%	33%	28%	56%	45%	33%	40%	39%	32%	54%	36%	42%	31%	26%
Not Sure	16%	13%	17%	16%	10%	41%	15%	17%	19%	12%	33%	10%	19%	25%	16%	13%	30%	13%	17%	29%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

**2** Thinking now about transportation in Seattle. Of the following, which three transportation investments do you think are the most important for Seattle to make?  
 <br><br>
 Prioritizing freight to transport food, household products, and other items?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees					
		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	
Credibility Interval: +/-2.9 pct points																													
Yes	17%	19%	17%	11%	-	-	10%	20%	11%	20%	12%	26%	16%	19%	11%	23%	12%	17%	0%	17%	17%	19%	10%	15%	21%	11%	21%	11%	
No	83%	81%	83%	89%	-	-	90%	80%	89%	80%	88%	74%	84%	81%	89%	77%	88%	83%	100%	83%	83%	81%	90%	85%	79%	89%	79%	89%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%			27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%	

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

**2** Thinking now about transportation in Seattle. Of the following, which three transportation investments do you think are the most important for Seattle to make?  
<br><br>Prioritizing freight to transport food, household products, and other items?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal					
		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur			
Credibility Interval: +/-2.9 pct points																							
Yes	17%	13%	17%	16%	29%	7%	13%	21%	15%	23%	8%	21%	15%	13%	16%	23%	12%	18%	13%	19%			
No	83%	87%	83%	84%	71%	93%	87%	79%	85%	77%	92%	79%	85%	87%	84%	77%	88%	82%	87%	81%			
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%			

**3** Building bike lanes for safer streets?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees				
		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points																												
Yes	27%	33%	22%	24%	16%	30%	-	-	25%	28%	28%	26%	19%	44%	21%	35%	8%	29%	0%	28%	28%	28%	24%	32%	29%	22%	12%	19%
No	73%	67%	78%	76%	84%	70%	-	-	75%	72%	72%	74%	81%	56%	79%	65%	92%	71%	100%	72%	72%	72%	76%	68%	71%	78%	88%	81%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%			30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

**3** Building bike lanes for safer streets?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal					
		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur			
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points																							
Yes	27%	28%	37%	32%	16%	12%	29%	30%	35%	15%	16%	32%	25%	22%	31%	21%	16%	26%	35%	19%			
No	73%	72%	63%	68%	84%	88%	71%	70%	65%	85%	84%	68%	75%	78%	69%	79%	84%	74%	65%	81%			
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%			

**4** Installing new sidewalks and crosswalks?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees				
		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Credibility Interval: +/-3.5 pct points																												
Yes	30%	30%	30%	32%	19%	33%	28%	31%	-	-	27%	36%	26%	39%	21%	40%	10%	32%	0%	31%	29%	38%	17%	30%	29%	37%	30%	33%
No	70%	70%	70%	68%	81%	67%	72%	69%	-	-	73%	64%	74%	61%	79%	60%	90%	68%	100%	69%	71%	62%	83%	70%	71%	63%	70%	67%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%			64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

## 4 Installing new sidewalks and crosswalks?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.5 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	30%	30%	28%	30%	34%	35%	31%	31%	32%	32%	17%	31%	29%	33%	32%	25%	32%	32%	32%	18%
No	70%	70%	72%	70%	66%	65%	69%	69%	68%	68%	83%	69%	71%	67%	68%	75%	68%	68%	68%	82%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

## 5 Supporting buses and other public transit?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.7 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Yes	64%	68%	58%	65%	45%	68%	66%	63%	57%	67%	-	-	58%	75%	60%	67%	36%	65%	0%	64%	64%	64%	62%	72%	62%	53%	35%	75%
No	36%	32%	42%	35%	55%	32%	34%	37%	43%	33%	-	-	42%	25%	40%	33%	64%	35%	100%	36%	36%	36%	38%	28%	38%	47%	65%	25%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%			66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

## 5 Supporting buses and other public transit?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.7 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	64%	71%	61%	68%	55%	62%	72%	66%	58%	48%	64%	57%	69%	67%	69%	48%	66%	56%	80%	66%
No	36%	29%	39%	32%	45%	38%	28%	34%	42%	52%	36%	43%	31%	33%	31%	52%	34%	44%	20%	34%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

## 6 Fixing potholes and repaving roads for all vehicles?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Yes	66%	60%	72%	70%	62%	67%	46%	74%	57%	70%	60%	77%	-	-	69%	63%	40%	68%	0%	67%	67%	67%	49%	61%	68%	71%	75%	69%
No	34%	40%	28%	30%	38%	33%	54%	26%	43%	30%	40%	23%	-	-	31%	37%	60%	32%	100%	33%	33%	33%	51%	39%	32%	29%	25%	31%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%			52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle  
 Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023  
 Release Date: 05/15/2023  
 Percentages

6 Fixing potholes and repaving roads for all vehicles?																					
1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal			
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur	
Yes	66%	61%	65%	68%	71%	73%	65%	64%	67%	73%	67%	64%	67%	68%	61%	76%	77%	70%	59%	62%	
No	34%	39%	35%	32%	29%	27%	35%	36%	33%	27%	33%	36%	33%	32%	39%	24%	23%	30%	41%	38%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%	

7 Fixing Seattle's older bridges?																													
1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees					
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl
Yes	52%	54%	51%	49%	33%	56%	40%	57%	36%	59%	49%	57%	54%	47%	-	-	37%	53%	0%	52%	56%	39%	46%	53%	54%	42%	54%	42%	
No	48%	46%	49%	51%	67%	44%	60%	43%	64%	41%	51%	43%	46%	53%	-	-	63%	47%	100%	48%	44%	61%	54%	47%	46%	58%	46%	58%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%			6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%	

7 Fixing Seattle's older bridges?																					
1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal			
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur	
Yes	52%	60%	51%	47%	46%	47%	57%	53%	41%	48%	45%	54%	52%	47%	52%	54%	49%	55%	46%	51%	
No	48%	40%	49%	53%	54%	53%	43%	47%	59%	52%	55%	46%	48%	53%	48%	46%	51%	45%	54%	49%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%	

8 Something else?																													
1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees					
Credibility Interval: +/-1.8 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl
Yes	6%	3%	8%	7%	4%	6%	2%	7%	2%	7%	3%	10%	3%	10%	4%	7%	-	-	0%	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	3%	11%	9%	6%	
No	94%	97%	92%	93%	96%	94%	98%	93%	98%	93%	97%	90%	97%	90%	96%	93%	-	-	100%	94%	94%	94%	93%	94%	97%	89%	91%	94%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%			1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%	

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle  
 Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023  
 Release Date: 05/15/2023  
 Percentaaes

8 Something else?																				
1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
Credibility Interval: +/-1.8 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	6%	5%	6%	2%	7%	8%	5%	5%	5%	10%	5%	4%	7%	4%	5%	10%	4%	6%	4%	8%
No	94%	95%	94%	98%	93%	92%	95%	95%	95%	90%	95%	96%	93%	96%	95%	90%	96%	94%	96%	92%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

9 None of the above?																													
1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees					
Credibility Interval: +/-7 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl
Yes	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	4%	0%	1%	0%	2%	3%	
No	99%	100%	99%	99%	100%	99%	100%	99%	100%	99%	100%	98%	100%	98%	100%	98%	100%	99%	-	-	99%	100%	96%	100%	99%	100%	98%	97%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%			73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%	

9 None of the above?																				
1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
Credibility Interval: +/-7 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%
No	99%	100%	99%	100%	99%	97%	100%	99%	99%	99%	95%	99%	100%	98%	100%	99%	99%	100%	99%	98%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

10 After the West Seattle Bridge cracked and closed, the city ordered an audit of all Seattle bridges, which found several bridges in poor condition. Do you think the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year or should they wait until they have additional data on all bridges?																													
1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees					
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl
Do More To Fix	73%	72%	75%	70%	73%	73%	74%	73%	70%	74%	73%	72%	74%	70%	79%	67%	70%	73%	52%	73%	-	-	-	75%	72%	59%	81%	67%	
Wait For Additional Data	21%	22%	19%	20%	23%	20%	21%	21%	26%	18%	21%	21%	21%	20%	16%	26%	22%	21%	10%	21%	-	-	-	20%	23%	30%	13%	15%	
Not Sure	6%	6%	6%	10%	4%	7%	6%	7%	4%	8%	6%	7%	5%	10%	6%	7%	8%	6%	38%	6%	-	-	-	5%	5%	10%	6%	18%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%				42%	33%	8%	10%	7%	



# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle  
 Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023  
 Release Date: 05/15/2023  
 Percentages

**10** After the West Seattle Bridge cracked and closed, the city ordered an audit of all Seattle bridges, which found several bridges in poor condition. Do you think the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year or should they wait until they have additional data on all bridges?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points																				
Do More To Fix	73%	72%	75%	71%	76%	63%	74%	74%	69%	78%	49%	73%	76%	61%	75%	71%	62%	77%	70%	62%
Wait For Additional Data	21%	21%	21%	26%	17%	15%	21%	19%	28%	17%	22%	23%	18%	21%	20%	25%	16%	20%	22%	23%
Not Sure	6%	6%	3%	3%	8%	21%	5%	6%	3%	5%	29%	3%	6%	18%	5%	4%	22%	4%	8%	16%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

**11** Seattle may consider imposing fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure. (For example, a real estate developer may have to pay \$8,000 to the City government on a condominium project the developer hopes to sell for \$800,000.)  
 Would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments to help pay for transportation infrastructure?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees				
		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																												
Strongly Support	42%	48%	37%	36%	38%	43%	50%	39%	42%	42%	48%	32%	39%	48%	43%	41%	44%	42%	7%	42%	44%	41%	31%	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat Support	33%	35%	27%	39%	40%	31%	35%	32%	31%	33%	32%	34%	34%	31%	34%	31%	17%	34%	30%	33%	32%	36%	26%	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat Oppose	8%	6%	11%	6%	5%	8%	6%	8%	10%	7%	6%	10%	8%	7%	6%	9%	15%	7%	0%	8%	6%	11%	13%	-	-	-	-	-
Strongly Oppose	10%	6%	18%	5%	13%	10%	4%	13%	10%	10%	6%	19%	12%	8%	11%	10%	16%	10%	31%	10%	11%	6%	10%	-	-	-	-	-
Not Sure	7%	5%	7%	15%	5%	8%	5%	8%	8%	7%	8%	5%	7%	6%	6%	9%	8%	7%	33%	7%	6%	5%	20%	-	-	-	-	-
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%					

**11** Seattle may consider imposing fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure. (For example, a real estate developer may have to pay \$8,000 to the City government on a condominium project the developer hopes to sell for \$800,000.)  
 Would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments to help pay for transportation infrastructure?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																				
Strongly Support	42%	82%	32%	22%	23%	25%	80%	26%	22%	18%	17%	42%	45%	33%	48%	30%	32%	40%	49%	36%
Somewhat Support	33%	15%	60%	38%	17%	28%	16%	58%	42%	13%	18%	34%	32%	33%	34%	32%	23%	33%	34%	27%
Somewhat Oppose	8%	2%	4%	23%	10%	4%	2%	9%	22%	6%	11%	9%	7%	8%	6%	14%	8%	8%	7%	8%
Strongly Oppose	10%	1%	2%	5%	42%	12%	1%	2%	8%	51%	8%	13%	8%	11%	7%	20%	11%	13%	4%	10%
Not Sure	7%	0%	2%	12%	9%	31%	1%	5%	6%	12%	46%	3%	8%	16%	5%	4%	26%	5%	6%	19%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle  
 Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023  
 Release Date: 05/15/2023  
 Percentaaes

**12** Some opponents of transportation impact fees say they would increase the cost of housing during a housing shortage. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Strongly Support	28%	33%	25%	23%	22%	30%	29%	28%	28%	29%	32%	23%	26%	33%	33%	23%	27%	29%	0%	29%	28%	29%	29%	55%	13%	6%	4%	1%
Somewhat Support	27%	31%	22%	28%	27%	27%	36%	24%	25%	28%	26%	30%	27%	29%	27%	28%	31%	27%	27%	27%	28%	28%	13%	21%	50%	16%	4%	7%
Somewhat Oppose	18%	18%	17%	17%	17%	18%	21%	16%	17%	18%	19%	15%	18%	17%	16%	19%	7%	18%	10%	18%	17%	22%	8%	9%	20%	51%	9%	31%
Strongly Oppose	18%	13%	27%	11%	31%	16%	11%	21%	20%	17%	15%	23%	20%	15%	16%	20%	23%	18%	31%	18%	19%	14%	22%	10%	9%	23%	73%	23%
Not Sure	9%	5%	8%	22%	3%	10%	4%	11%	10%	8%	8%	9%	10%	7%	8%	10%	12%	8%	33%	9%	8%	6%	29%	5%	7%	4%	10%	39%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

**12** Some opponents of transportation impact fees say they would increase the cost of housing during a housing shortage. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Strongly Support	28%	-	-	-	-	-	62%	15%	8%	6%	3%	32%	28%	20%	30%	27%	24%	28%	31%	28%
Somewhat Support	27%	-	-	-	-	-	19%	47%	24%	10%	12%	31%	26%	22%	30%	23%	18%	28%	27%	21%
Somewhat Oppose	18%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	24%	42%	7%	7%	16%	18%	22%	18%	19%	10%	18%	18%	14%
Strongly Oppose	18%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	8%	20%	67%	17%	16%	19%	19%	16%	28%	14%	21%	13%	17%
Not Sure	9%	-	-	-	-	-	4%	5%	6%	10%	61%	6%	8%	19%	7%	3%	35%	5%	10%	21%
Total	100%						100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%						34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

**13** Some supporters of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Strongly Support	34%	37%	32%	31%	26%	36%	37%	34%	35%	34%	39%	27%	34%	36%	38%	30%	31%	35%	0%	35%	35%	35%	28%	65%	17%	9%	4%	6%
Somewhat Support	33%	36%	29%	33%	40%	31%	36%	32%	33%	33%	34%	31%	32%	35%	33%	32%	29%	33%	27%	33%	34%	31%	32%	20%	59%	37%	7%	23%
Somewhat Oppose	12%	14%	9%	14%	10%	12%	16%	11%	13%	12%	11%	14%	12%	12%	10%	15%	10%	12%	10%	12%	11%	16%	5%	6%	16%	34%	9%	10%
Strongly Oppose	15%	11%	24%	11%	21%	14%	9%	18%	16%	15%	12%	22%	17%	13%	14%	17%	26%	15%	31%	15%	17%	12%	12%	6%	6%	12%	76%	27%
Not Sure	5%	2%	6%	10%	2%	6%	3%	6%	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	33%	5%	3%	5%	23%	2%	3%	7%	4%	34%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

**13** Some supporters of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Strongly Support	34%	75%	24%	19%	9%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	33%	38%	27%	36%	32%	27%	33%	39%	34%
Somewhat Support	33%	18%	57%	44%	15%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	40%	28%	32%	35%	27%	29%	34%	35%	25%
Somewhat Oppose	12%	4%	11%	29%	13%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	12%	12%	11%	12%	13%	9%	12%	12%	10%
Strongly Oppose	15%	3%	6%	6%	57%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	12%	17%	20%	12%	26%	14%	19%	10%	12%
Not Sure	5%	1%	2%	2%	5%	36%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	6%	10%	4%	2%	21%	2%	4%	19%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%						38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

**14** Should the City of Seattle's new proposal to protect trees allow real estate developers to build on 85% of the property, even if that may result in removal and eventual replacement of mature trees?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Yes	38%	47%	34%	24%	48%	36%	44%	36%	39%	38%	34%	46%	37%	40%	39%	37%	29%	39%	48%	38%	38%	43%	20%	38%	40%	44%	47%	15%
No	48%	43%	52%	55%	42%	50%	45%	50%	46%	49%	52%	42%	49%	47%	48%	48%	61%	48%	21%	49%	50%	43%	42%	52%	47%	42%	40%	55%
Not Sure	14%	10%	14%	21%	10%	14%	11%	14%	15%	13%	14%	12%	14%	13%	12%	15%	10%	14%	31%	13%	11%	14%	37%	10%	14%	13%	14%	30%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

**14** Should the City of Seattle's new proposal to protect trees allow real estate developers to build on 85% of the property, even if that may result in removal and eventual replacement of mature trees?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	38%	42%	43%	34%	35%	25%	36%	46%	39%	29%	22%	-	-	-	38%	46%	17%	43%	36%	22%
No	48%	48%	46%	49%	51%	47%	53%	41%	48%	53%	53%	-	-	-	51%	41%	49%	47%	49%	54%
Not Sure	14%	9%	11%	17%	14%	29%	11%	13%	12%	18%	26%	-	-	-	11%	13%	34%	10%	16%	24%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%				68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

**15** Should the organizations that receive City tax dollars to provide low-income housing be required to fill some of their available vacant units with people experiencing homelessness?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Should Be Required	68%	77%	58%	65%	63%	69%	77%	65%	72%	66%	73%	59%	63%	77%	68%	68%	56%	69%	42%	68%	70%	66%	53%	77%	71%	50%	46%	53%
Should Not Be Required	22%	16%	33%	17%	30%	21%	17%	24%	18%	24%	17%	32%	26%	16%	23%	21%	37%	21%	41%	22%	22%	27%	13%	16%	22%	40%	44%	12%
Not Sure	10%	7%	9%	18%	7%	10%	6%	11%	10%	9%	10%	9%	11%	7%	9%	10%	7%	10%	17%	10%	8%	7%	34%	7%	7%	10%	11%	35%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle  
 Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023  
 Release Date: 05/15/2023  
 Percentaages

**15** Should the organizations that receive City tax dollars to provide low-income housing be required to fill some of their available vacant units with people experiencing homelessness?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points																				
Should Be Required	68%	71%	75%	70%	58%	53%	72%	73%	70%	54%	50%	69%	71%	55%	-	-	-	68%	73%	56%
Should Not Be Required	22%	21%	19%	25%	34%	8%	20%	19%	23%	38%	10%	27%	19%	21%	-	-	-	24%	21%	18%
Not Sure	10%	8%	6%	5%	7%	39%	8%	9%	7%	9%	40%	4%	10%	24%	-	-	-	7%	7%	26%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%				59%	28%	13%

**16** Should Mayor Bruce Harrell support or oppose City Attorney Ann Davison's proposal to make sure public drug use is against the law in Seattle?

1000 Adults	All	Seattle Direction			Prioritizing Freig		Bike Lanes		New Sidewalks		Supporting Buse		Fixing Potholes		Fixing Older Brid		Other		None Above		Bridges			Fees						
		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																														
Support	59%	56%	67%	48%	64%	58%	55%	60%	63%	57%	51%	72%	63%	51%	62%	55%	63%	59%	32%	59%	62%	56%	33%	56%	60%	62%	75%	42%		
Oppose	28%	31%	24%	29%	21%	29%	36%	25%	30%	27%	35%	16%	25%	34%	25%	31%	18%	29%	26%	28%	27%	30%	35%	33%	29%	25%	12%	23%		
Not Sure	13%	13%	9%	23%	15%	13%	9%	15%	8%	16%	14%	12%	13%	15%	13%	14%	19%	13%	42%	13%	11%	15%	32%	11%	11%	13%	13%	36%		
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%		

**16** Should Mayor Bruce Harrell support or oppose City Attorney Ann Davison's proposal to make sure public drug use is against the law in Seattle?

1000 Adults	All	Based On Opponents					Based On Supporters					Allow To Build			Fill With Homeless			Davison Proposal		
		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points																				
Support	59%	57%	61%	60%	68%	35%	56%	60%	60%	71%	27%	66%	57%	44%	59%	64%	45%	-	-	-
Oppose	28%	30%	28%	29%	20%	33%	31%	29%	29%	18%	24%	26%	28%	32%	30%	26%	20%	-	-	-
Not Sure	13%	13%	10%	10%	12%	31%	13%	10%	11%	11%	49%	7%	15%	24%	11%	11%	36%	-	-	-
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%			

# Results of SurveyUSA Mkt Research Study #26798

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

**Statement of Methodology:** SurveyUSA is an independent, non-partisan, apolitical research company that conducts opinion surveys for media, academic institutions, commercial clients, non-profits, governments, agencies, and elected officials. SurveyUSA opinion research is conducted using a methodology optimized for each particular project. In some cases, this means data is collected 100% by telephone; in some cases, 100% online; and in other cases, a blend of the two. For those projects that are conducted “mixed-mode” (or “multi-mode”): Respondents who have a home (landline) telephone are interviewed by phone, sometimes using live interviewers, other times using the recorded voice of a professional announcer. The youngest male is requested on approximately 30% of calls to home phones, the youngest adult is requested on approximately 70% of calls. This method of intra-household selection reduces the potential for age and gender imbalance in the unweighted sample. Re-attempts are made to busy signals, no-answers, and answering machines. Landline telephone sample is purchased from Aristotle of Washington DC. Respondents who do not use a home telephone are interviewed on an electronic device, which means, for some projects, that call-center employees hand-dial cell phones and interview respondents verbally on the respondent’s cell phone, and means, for other projects, that SurveyUSA displays the questions visually on the respondent’s phone, tablet, or other device. Sample for respondents who do not use a home telephone is purchased from Aristotle or from one of several other research companies that provide access to cell respondents. Where meaningful, SurveyUSA indicates the percentage of respondents who use a home phone and the percentage who do not, and crosstabs by this distinction. Responses are minimally weighted to U.S. Census or voter file targets for gender, age and race. Target (cell) weighting is used. On questionnaires that ask about political party identification, SurveyUSA may or may not weight to Party ID, depending on client preference. Where necessary, questions and answer choices are rotated to prevent order bias, recency, and latency effects. On some studies, certain populations are over-sampled, so that the number of unweighted respondents exceeds the number of weighted respondents. Each individual SurveyUSA release contains the date(s) on which interviews are conducted and a release date. If interviewing for a particular study is conducted in Spanish, or in any other foreign language, it will be noted on the specific release. If no notation appears, interviews are conducted in English. Where respondents are filtered, such as adults, filtered to registered voters, in turn filtered to likely voters, SurveyUSA describes the filtering on the specific release. On pre-election polls in geographies with early voting, SurveyUSA differentiates between respondents who have already voted and those who are likely to vote but have not yet done so. On research completed prior to 12/31/16, SurveyUSA assigned to each question within the instrument a theoretical margin of sampling error. Effective 01/01/17, SurveyUSA assigns to each question within the instrument a credibility interval, which better reflects the sampling uncertainties associated with gathering some percentage of respondent answers using non-probability sample. Though commonly cited in the presentation of research results, “sampling error” is only one of many types of error that may influence the outcome of an opinion research study. More practical concerns include the way in which questions are worded and ordered, the inability to contact some, the refusal of others to be interviewed, and the difficulty of translating each questionnaire into all possible languages and dialects. Non-sampling errors cannot be quantified. This statement conforms to the principles of disclosure as recommended by the National Council on Public Polls (NCP). Questions about SurveyUSA research can be addressed to [editor@surveyusa.com](mailto:editor@surveyusa.com).