

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE

Department:	Dept. Contact:	CBO Contact:
LEG	Marwaha/Sisc/Johnson	N/A

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: A RESOLUTION reaffirming data and privacy protections for any person seeking or accessing City programs or services; requesting a review of the City’s data collection and sharing practices to limit data exposure to the federal government, other local, regional, and state jurisdictions; and requesting that departments incorporate privacy standards into future contracting requirements.

Summary and Background of the Legislation: This legislation reaffirms data and privacy protections for any person seeking or accessing City programs or services; requests a reviews of the City’s data collection and sharing practices to limit data exposure to the federal government; and requests that departments incorporate privacy standards into future contracting requirements.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? Yes No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation have financial impacts to the City? Yes No

3.d. Other Impacts

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle, including direct or indirect, one-time or ongoing costs, that are not included in Sections 3.a through 3.c? If so, please describe these financial impacts.

The resolution expresses intent for the city to review current city policies and practices, and to update those policies and practices. There will likely be indirect costs, such as staff time, to implement the actions intended in the resolution.

If the legislation has costs, but they can be absorbed within existing operations, please describe how those costs can be absorbed. The description should clearly describe if the absorbed costs are achievable because the department had excess resources within their existing budget or if by absorbing these costs the department is deprioritizing other work that would have used these resources.

Please describe any financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation. The resolution expresses intent for Executive and Council actions, which could still happen even if the legislation is not passed. The intent of the resolution would be to provide public assurance and create public trust.

Please describe how this legislation may affect any City departments other than the originating department.

The resolution expresses intent for the city to review current city policies and practices, and to update those policies and practices. There will likely be indirect costs, such as staff time, to implement the actions intended in the resolution.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- a. **Is a public hearing required for this legislation?** No
- b. **Is publication of notice with The Daily Journal of Commerce and/or The Seattle Times required for this legislation?** No
- c. **Does this legislation affect a piece of property?** No
- d. **Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative.**
 - i. **How does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? How did you arrive at this conclusion? In your response please consider impacts within City government (employees, internal programs) as well as in the broader community.**

This resolution promotes policies, and best practices across City departments to protect the rights of historically disadvantaged and vulnerable communities, including but not limited to immigrants and refugees.
 - ii. **Please attach any Racial Equity Toolkits or other racial equity analyses in the development and/or assessment of the legislation.** N/A

iii. **What is the Language Access Plan for any communications to the public?**

The legislation provides direction and requests for City departments, and does not directly impact communications to the public. Departments that are implementing the Welcoming City policies reaffirmed by the Resolution are undergoing training by OIRA and should already have language access plans associated with their department.

e. **Climate Change Implications**

i. **Emissions: How is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way? Please attach any studies or other materials that were used to inform this response.** N/A

ii. **Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.** N/A

f. **If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)? What mechanisms will be used to measure progress towards meeting those goals?** N/A

g. **Does this legislation create a non-utility CIP project that involves a shared financial commitment with a non-City partner agency or organization?** N/A