Template last revised February 19, 2025

3. The required examination fee, as specified in the Fee Subtitle. Chapter 22.900E, which fee will be assessed each time the examination is given.

3

2

4 5

Section 2. Section 6.500.170 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance 125516, is amended as follows:

* * *

6.500.170 Penalties

B. Citation

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1. If after investigation the Director determines that the standards or requirements

of provisions of this Chapter 6.500 have been violated, the Director may issue a citation to the owner and/or other person or entity responsible for the violation. The citation shall include the following information: (1) the name and address of the person to whom the citation is issued; (2) a reasonable description of the location of the property on which the violation occurred; (3) a separate statement of each standard or requirement violated; (4) the date of the violation; (5) a statement that the person cited must respond to the citation within 15 days after service; (6) a space for entry of the applicable penalty; (7) a statement that a response must be sent to the Hearing Examiner and received not later than 5 p.m. on the day the response is due; (8) the name, address, and phone number of the Hearing Examiner where the citation is to be filed; (9) a statement that the citation represents a determination that a violation has been committed by the person named in the citation and that the determination shall be final unless contested as provided in this Chapter 6.500; and (10) a certified statement of the Director's representative issuing the citation, authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)), setting forth facts supporting issuance of the citation.

2. The citation may be served by personal service in the manner set forth in RCW 4.28.080 for service of a summons or sent by first class mail, addressed to the last known address of such person(s). Service shall be complete at the time of personal service, or if mailed, on the date of mailing. If a citation sent by first class mail is returned as undeliverable, service may be made by posting the citation at a conspicuous place on the property.

* * *

F. Contested hearing

- 1. Date and notice. If a person requests a contested hearing, the hearing shall be held within 60 days after the written response to the citation requesting such hearing is received.
- 2. Hearing. Contested hearings shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures for hearing contested cases contained in Section 3.02.090 and the rules adopted by the Hearing Examiner for hearing contested cases, except as modified by this Section 6.500.170. The issues heard at the hearing shall be limited to those that are raised in writing in the response to the citation and that are within the jurisdiction of the Hearing Examiner. The Hearing Examiner may issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents.
- 3. Sufficiency. No citation shall be deemed insufficient for failure to contain a detailed statement of the facts constituting the specific violation which the person cited is alleged to have committed or by reason of defects or imperfections, provided such lack of detail or such defects or imperfections do not prejudice substantial rights of the person cited.
- 4. Amendment of citation. A citation may be amended prior to the conclusion of the hearing to conform to the evidence presented if substantial rights of the person cited are not thereby prejudiced.

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

1	5. Evidence at hearing. The certified statement or declaration authorized by
2	chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall be prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and that
3	the person cited is responsible. The certified statement or declaration authorized under <u>chapter</u>
4	5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) and any other evidence accompanying the report shall be admissible
5	without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under
6	chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation
7	The person cited may rebut the Department evidence and establish that the cited violation(s) did
8	not occur or that the person contesting the citation is not responsible for the violation.
9	6. Disposition. The Hearing Examiner shall determine by a preponderance of the
10	evidence whether the violation occurred. If the Hearing Examiner determines that the violation
11	occurred, the citation shall be sustained and the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order finding
12	that the person cited committed the violation and imposing the applicable penalty. If the Hearing
13	Examiner determines that the violation did not occur, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order
14	dismissing the citation.
15	7. Final decision. The Hearing Examiner's decision is the final decision of the
16	City.
17	* * *
18	Section 3. Section 6.600.120 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
19	125490, is amended as follows:
20	6.600.120 Short-term rental operator and bed and breakfast operator – Violations and
21	enforcement
22	* * *

- B. Enforcement. If after investigation the Director determines that any of the provisions of Chapter 6.600 applicable to operators or bed and breakfast operators have been violated, the Director may issue a civil citation to the operator, bed and breakfast operator, or other person responsible for the violation.
- 1. Citation. The civil citation shall include the following information: (1) the name and address of the person to whom the citation is issued; (2) the address of the short-term rental or bed and breakfast unit involving the violation; (3) a separate statement of each provision violated; (4) the date of the violation; (5) a statement that the person cited must respond to the civil citation within 15 business days after service; (6) a space for entry of the applicable penalty; (7) a statement that a response must be sent to the Hearing Examiner and received not later than 5 p.m. on the day the response is due; (8) contact information for the Hearing Examiner where the citation is to be filed; (9) a statement that the citation represents a determination that a violation has been committed by the person named in the citation and that the determination shall be final unless contested as provided in this chapter; and (10) a certified statement of the Director's representative issuing the citation, authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)), setting forth facts supporting issuance of the citation.
- 2. Service. The citation shall be served by first-class mail, addressed to the operator, bed and breakfast operator, or other person responsible for the violation. Service shall be deemed complete three days after the mailing. If a citation sent by first class mail is returned as undeliverable, service may be made by posting the citation at a conspicuous place on the property where the violation occurred and service shall be complete on the date of posting. The citation may also be served in person.

requesting such hearing is received by the Hearing Examiner. Notice of the time, place, and date
of the hearing shall be sent to the address specified in the request for hearing not less than ten
calendar days prior to the date of the hearing.

2) Procedure at hearing. The Hearing Examiner shall hold an informal hearing that shall not be governed by the Rules of Evidence. The person cited may present witnesses, but witnesses may not be compelled to attend. A representative from the Department may also be present and may present additional information, but attendance by a representative from the Department is not required.

3) Disposition. The Hearing Examiner shall determine whether the cited person's explanation justifies reduction of the monetary penalty; however, the monetary penalty may not be reduced unless the Department of Finance and Administrative Services affirms or certifies that the violation has been corrected prior to the mitigation hearing. Factors that may be considered in whether to reduce the penalty include whether the violation was caused by the act, neglect, or abuse of another; or whether correction of the violation was commenced prior to the issuance of the citation but that full compliance was prevented by a condition or circumstance beyond the control of the person cited.

4) Entry of order. After hearing the explanation of the person cited and any other information presented at the hearing, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order finding that the person cited committed the violation and assessing a monetary penalty in an amount determined pursuant to subsection 6.600.120.B.5. The Hearing Examiner's decision is the final decision of the City on the matter.

b. Contested hearings

1) Date and notice. If a person requests a contested hearing, the hearing shall be held within 60 calendar days after the written response to the citation requesting such hearing is received.

2) Hearing. Contested hearings shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures for hearing contested cases contained in Section 3.02.090 and the rules adopted by the Hearing Examiner for hearing contested cases, except as modified by this Section 6.600.110. The issues heard at the hearing shall be limited to those that are raised in writing in the response to the citation and that are within the jurisdiction of the Hearing Examiner. The Hearing Examiner may issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents.

3) Sufficiency. No citation shall be deemed insufficient for failure to contain a detailed statement of the facts constituting the specific violation which the person cited is alleged to have committed or by reason of defects or imperfections, provided such lack of detail, or defects or imperfections do not prejudice substantial rights of the person cited.

4) Amendment of citation. A citation may be amended prior to the conclusion of the hearing to conform to the evidence presented if substantial rights of the person cited are not thereby prejudiced.

5) Evidence at hearing. The certified statement or declaration authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall be prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and that the person cited is responsible. The certified statement or declaration authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) and any other evidence accompanying the report shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall also be admissible without

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	further evidentiary foundation. The person cited may rebut the Department of Finance and
2	Administrative Services' evidence and establish that the cited violation(s) did not occur or that
3	the person contesting the citation is not responsible for the violation.
4	6) Disposition. If the citation is sustained at the hearing, the
5	Hearing Examiner shall enter an order finding that the person cited committed the violation and
6	impose the applicable penalty pursuant to subsection 6.600.120.B.5. The Hearing Examiner may
7	reduce the monetary penalty in accordance with the mitigation provisions in subsection
8	6.600.120.B.4.a.3. If the Hearing Examiner determines that the violation did not occur, the
9	Hearing Examiner shall enter an order dismissing the citation.
10	7) Final decision. The Hearing Examiner's decision is the final
11	decision of the City.
12	c. Failure to appear for hearing. Failure to appear for a requested hearing
13	will result in an order being entered finding that the person cited committed the violation stated
14	in the citation and assessing the penalty specified in the citation. For good cause shown and upon
15	terms the Hearing Examiner deems just, the Hearing Examiner may set aside an order entered
16	upon a failure to appear and schedule a new contested hearing date.
17	5. Citation penalties
18	a. First violation. The first time a person is found to have violated one of
19	the provisions referenced in subsection 6.600.120.A the person shall be subject to a penalty of
20	\$500. The Director may, in an exercise of discretion, issue a warning to the person responsible
21	for the violation if that person has not been previously warned or cited for violating this Chapter
22	6.600.

Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
b. Second and subsequent violations. Any second or subsequent time a
person is found to have violated one of the provisions referenced in subsection 6.600.120.A
within a five (5) year period, the person shall be subject to a penalty of \$1,000 for each
subsequent violation.
c. Collection of penalties. If the person cited fails to pay a penalty imposed
pursuant to this subsection 6.600.120.B, the penalty may be referred to a collection agency. The
cost to the City for the collection services will be assessed as costs, at the rate agreed to between
the City and the collection agency, and added to the penalty. Alternatively, the City may pursue
collection in any other manner allowed by law.
d. Each day a separate violation. Each day a person violates or fails to
comply with one of the provisions referenced in subsection 6.600.120.A, may be considered a
separate violation for which a civil citation may be issued.
Section 4. Section 7.24.130 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125222,
is amended as follows:
7.24.130 Citation
A. Citation. If after investigation the Director determines that the standards or
requirements of this Chapter 7.24 have been violated, the Director may issue a citation to the
person responsible for the violation. The citation shall include the following information:
1. The name and address of the responsible person to whom the citation is issued;
2. A reasonable description of the location of the property on which the violation
occurred;
3. A separate statement of each standard or requirement violated;
4. The date of the violation;

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	5. A statement that the person cited must respond to the citation within 15 days
2	after service;
3	6. A space for entry of the applicable penalty;
4	7. A statement that a response must be sent to the Hearing Examiner and received
5	not later than 5 p.m. on the day the response is due;
6	8. The name, address, and phone number of the Hearing Examiner where the
7	citation is to be filed;
8	9. A statement that the citation represents a determination that a violation has
9	been committed by the responsible person named in the citation and that the determination shall
10	be final unless contested as provided in subsection 7.24.130.C; and
11	10. A certified statement of the inspector issuing the citation, authorized by
12	<u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW ((9A.72.085)), setting forth facts supporting issuance of the citation.
13	* * *
14	E. Hearings
15	1. Mitigation hearings
16	a. Date and notice. If a mitigation hearing is requested, the mitigation
17	hearing shall be held within 30 days after written response to the citation requesting such hearing
18	is received by the Hearing Examiner. Notice of the time, place, and date of the hearing shall be
19	sent to the address specified in the request for hearing not less than ten days prior to the date of
20	the hearing.
21	b. Procedure at hearing. The Hearing Examiner shall hold an informal
22	hearing that shall not be governed by the Rules of Evidence. The person cited may present
23	witnesses, but witnesses may not be compelled to attend. A representative from the Seattle

Department of Construction and Inspections may also be present and may present additional information, but attendance by a representative from the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections is not required.

c. Disposition. The Hearing Examiner shall determine whether the cited person's explanation justifies reduction of the monetary penalty; however, the monetary penalty may not be reduced unless the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections affirms or certifies that the violation has been corrected prior to the mitigation hearing. Factors that may be considered in whether to reduce the penalty include whether the violation was caused by the act, neglect, or abuse of another; or whether correction of the violation was commenced promptly prior to citation but that full compliance was prevented by a condition or circumstance beyond the control of the person cited.

d. Entry of order. After hearing the explanation of the person cited and any other information presented at the hearing, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order finding that the person cited committed the violation and assessing a monetary penalty in an amount determined pursuant to subsection 7.24.130.F. The Hearing Examiner's decision is the final decision of the City on the matter.

2. Contested hearing

a. Date and notice. If a person requests a contested hearing, the hearing shall be held within 60 days after the written response to the citation requesting such hearing is received.

b. Hearing. Contested hearings shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures for hearing contested cases contained in Section 3.02.090 and the rules adopted by the Hearing Examiner for hearing contested cases, except as modified by this subsection

7.24.130.E.2. The issues heard at the hearing shall be limited to those that are raised in writing in the response to the citation and that are within the jurisdiction of the Hearing Examiner. The Hearing Examiner may issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents.

c. Sufficiency. No citation shall be deemed insufficient for failure to contain a detailed statement of the facts constituting the specific violation which the person cited is alleged to have committed or by reason of defects or imperfections, provided such lack of detail or defects or imperfections do not prejudice substantial rights of the person cited.

d. Amendment of citation. A citation may be amended prior to the conclusion of the hearing to conform to the evidence presented if substantial rights of the person cited are not thereby prejudiced.

e. Evidence at hearing. The certified statement or declaration authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall be prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and that the person cited is responsible. The certified statement or declaration authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) and any other evidence accompanying the report shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. The person cited may rebut the Department of Construction and Inspections' evidence and establish that the cited violation(s) did not occur or that the person contesting the citation is not responsible for the violation.

f. Disposition. If the citation is sustained at the hearing, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order finding that the person cited committed the violation. If the violation remains uncorrected, the Hearing Examiner shall impose the applicable penalty. The Hearing Examiner may reduce the monetary penalty in accordance with the mitigation provisions in subsection 7.24.130.E.1 if the violation has been corrected. If the Hearing Examiner

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	determines that the violation did not occur, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order dismissing
2	the citation.
3	g. Appeal. The Hearing Examiner's decision is final and conclusive
4	unless, within ten calendar days of the date of the Hearing Examiner decision, an application or
5	petition for a writ of review is filed in King County Superior Court. Judicial review shall be
6	confined to the record of the administrative hearing. The Superior Court may reverse the Hearing
7	Examiner decision only if the decision is arbitrary and capricious, contrary to law, in excess of
8	the authority or jurisdiction of the Hearing Examiner, made upon unlawful procedure, or in
9	violation of constitutional provisions.
10	3. Failure to appear for hearing. Failure to appear for a requested hearing will
11	result in an order being entered finding that the person cited committed the violation stated in the
12	citation and assessing the penalty specified in the citation. For good cause shown and upon terms
13	the Hearing Examiner deems just, the Hearing Examiner may set aside an order entered upon a
14	failure to appear.
15	* * *
16	Section 5. Section 8.37.220 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 126595,
17	is amended as follows:
18	* * *
19	C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner
20	within the time period set forth in subsection 8.37.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner
21	shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's
22	Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of
23	the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in

accordance with subsection 8.37.200.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary

foundation.

Section 6. Section 8.38.220 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 126665, is amended as follows:

8.38.220 Debt owed The City of Seattle

* * *

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 8.38.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 8.38.200.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

* * *

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	Section 7. Section 10.52.035 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance
2	124919, is amended as follows:
3	10.52.035 Contested case hearing.
4	* * *
5	E. Evidence at Hearing. The certified statement or declaration authorized by <u>chapter 5.50</u>
6	RCW ((9A.72.085)) to be submitted by an inspector shall be prima facie evidence that a
7	violation occurred and that the person cited is responsible. The certified statement or declaration
8	of the inspector authorized under <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW ((9A.72.085)) and any other evidence
9	accompanying the report shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any
10	certifications or declarations authorized under <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall also be
11	admissible without further evidentiary foundation. The person cited may rebut the Seattle
12	Department of Construction and Inspections evidence and establish that the cited violation(s) did
13	not occur or that the person contesting the citation is not responsible for the violation.
14	* * *
15	Section 8. Section 14.16.050 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance
16	125499, is amended as follows:
17	14.16.050 Employer records
18	* * *
19	C. Respondents in any case closed by the Agency shall allow the Office of City Auditor
20	access to such records to permit the Office of City Auditor to evaluate the Agency's enforcement
21	efforts. Before requesting records from such a respondent, the Office of City Auditor shall first
22	consult the Agency's respondent records on file and determine if additional records are

necessary. The City Auditor may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under

23

chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas under this subsection 14.16.050.C. The Hearing Examiner shall issue such subpoenas upon a showing

that the records are required to fulfill the purpose of this subsection 14.16.050.C.

Section 9. Section 14.16.070 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance 124960, is amended as follows:

14.16.070 Investigation

E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring an employer to produce the records identified in subsection 14.16.050.A, or for the attendance and testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents required to be retained under subsection 14.16.050.A, or any other document relevant to the issue of whether any employee or group of employees has been or is afforded proper amounts of paid sick and paid safe time under this Chapter 14.16 and/or to whether an employer has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.16. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.16 or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.

Section 10. Section 14.16.105 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 124960, is amended as follows:

14.16.105 Debt owed The City of Seattle

* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.16.085.B, the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court, or any court of competent jurisdiction, to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.16.085.B, and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.16.095.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof,

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in
2	accordance with subsection 14.16.095.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary
3	foundation.
4	* * *
5	Section 11. Section 14.17.045 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
6	124960, is amended as follows:
7	14.17.045 Investigation
8	* * *
9	E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under <u>chapter</u>
10	5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas for the
11	attendance and testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents relevant to the issue
12	of whether an employer has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.17. The Hearing Examiner
13	shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a
14	showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed
15	with the Agency, or that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of
16	businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to
17	violations of this Chapter 14.17 or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding
18	such violations.
19	* * *
20	Section 12. Section 14.17.080 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
21	124960, is amended as follows:
22	14.17.080 Debt owed The City of Seattle
23	* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.17.060.B, the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court, or any court of competent jurisdiction, to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.17.060.B, and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.17.070.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	accordance with subsection 14.17.070.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary
2	foundation.
3	* * *
4	Section 13. Section 14.19.050 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance
5	124960, is amended as follows:
6	14.19.050 Employer records
7	* * *
8	C. Respondents in any case closed by the Agency shall allow the Office of City Auditor
9	access to such records to permit the Office of City Auditor to evaluate the Agency's enforcement
10	efforts. Before requesting records from such a respondent, the Office of City Auditor shall first
11	consult the Agency's respondent records on file and determine if additional records are
12	necessary. The City Auditor may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under
13	chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas under
14	this subsection 14.19.050.C. The Hearing Examiner shall issue such subpoenas upon a showing
15	that the records are required to fulfill the purpose of this subsection 14.19.050.C.
16	Section 14. Section 14.19.070 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance
17	124960, is amended as follows:
18	14.19.070 Investigation
19	* * *
20	E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under <u>chapter</u>
21	5.50 RCW (($9A.72.085$)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring an
22	employer to produce the records identified in subsection 14.19.050.A, or for the attendance and
23	testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents required to be retained under

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

subsection 14.19.050.A or any other document relevant to the issue of whether any employee or group of employees has been or is afforded proper amounts of compensation under this Chapter 14.19 and/or to whether an employer has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.19. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.19 or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.

*:

Section 15. Section 14.19.105 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 124960, is amended as follows:

14.19.105 Debt owed The City of Seattle

14 *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.19.085.B, the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter.com/chapter.5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.19.085.B, and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.19.095.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 14.19.095.A shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

* * *

Section 16. Section 14.20.030 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance 124960, is amended as follows:

14.20.030 Employer records

19 ***

C. Respondents in any case closed by the Agency shall allow the Office of City Auditor access to such records to permit the Office of City Auditor to evaluate the Agency's enforcement efforts. Before requesting records from such a respondent, the Office of City Auditor shall first consult the Agency's respondent records on file and determine if additional records are

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

1 necessary. The City Auditor may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under

chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas under

this subsection 14.20.030.C. The Hearing Examiner shall issue such subpoenas upon a showing

that the records are required to fulfill the purpose of this subsection 14.20.030.C.

Section 17. Section 14.20.050 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance 124960, is amended as follows:

14.20.050 Investigation

* * *

E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring an employer to produce the records identified in subsection 14.20.030.A, or for the attendance and testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents required to be retained under subsection 14.20.030.A, or any other document relevant to the issue of whether any employee or group of employees has been or is afforded proper amounts of compensation under this Chapter 14.20 and/or to whether an employer has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.20. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.20 or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.

*:

Section 18. Section 14.20.085 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 124960, is amended as follows:

14.20.085 Debt owed The City of Seattle

also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

4

2

3

5

6

8

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.20.065.B the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.20.065.B and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.20.075.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085))

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

foundation.

containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 14.20.075.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary

* * *

Section 19. Section 14.21.050 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125100, is amended as follows:

14.21.050 Enforcement

9 ***

E. Citation

1. If the Director determines that a violation of this Chapter 14.21 has occurred, the Director shall issue a citation to the provider or providers. The citation shall include the following information: (1) the name and address of the person to whom the citation is issued; (2) the date of the violation; (3) a statement that the person cited must respond to the citation within 15 days after service; (4) the applicable penalty; (5) a statement that a response must be sent to the Hearing Examiner and received not later than 5 p.m. on the day the response is due; (6) the name, address, and phone number of the Hearing Examiner where the citation is to be filed; (7) a statement that the citation represents a determination that a violation has been committed by the person named in the citation and that the determination shall be final unless contested as provided in this Chapter 14.21; and (8) a certified statement of the Director's representative, authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)), setting forth facts supporting issuance of the citation.

1

2. The citation may be served by personal service in the manner set forth in RCW 4.28.080 for service of a summons or sent by first class mail, addressed to the last known address of such person(s). Service shall be complete at the time of personal service, or if mailed, on the date of mailing. If a citation sent by first class mail is returned as undeliverable, service may be made by posting the citation at a conspicuous place on the property.

* * *

H. Contested hearing

- 1. Date and notice. If a person requests a contested hearing, the hearing shall be held within 60 days after the written response to the citation requesting such hearing is received.
- 2. Hearing. Contested hearings shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures for hearing contested cases contained in Section 3.02.090 and the rules adopted by the Hearing Examiner for hearing contested cases, except as modified by this Section 14.21.050. The issues heard at the hearing shall be limited to those that are raised in writing in the response to the citation and that are within the jurisdiction of the Hearing Examiner.
- 3. Sufficiency. No citation shall be deemed insufficient for failure to contain a detailed statement of the facts constituting the specific violation which the person cited is alleged to have committed or by reason of defects or imperfections, provided such lack of detail or such defects or imperfections do not prejudice substantial rights of the person cited.
- 4. Amendment of citation. A citation may be amended prior to the conclusion of the hearing to conform to the evidence presented if substantial rights of the person cited are not thereby prejudiced.
- 5. Evidence at hearing. The certified statement or declaration authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall be prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and that

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	the person cited is responsible. The certified statement or declaration authorized under <u>chapter</u>
2	5.50 RCW (($9A.72.085$)) and any other evidence accompanying the report shall be admissible
3	without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under
4	chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.
5	The person cited may rebut the Department's evidence and establish that the cited violation(s)
6	did not occur or that the person contesting the citation is not responsible for the violation.
7	6. Disposition. The Hearing Examiner shall determine by a preponderance of the
8	evidence whether the violation occurred. If the Hearing Examiner determines that the violation
9	occurred, the citation shall be sustained and the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order finding
10	that the person cited committed the violation and imposing the applicable penalty. If the Hearing
11	Examiner determines that the violation did not occur, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order
12	dismissing the citation.
13	7. Final decision. The Hearing Examiner's decision is the final decision of the
14	City.
15	* * *
16	Section 20. Section 14.22.065 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
17	125135, is amended as follows:
18	14.22.065 Employer records
19	* * *
20	D. Respondents in any case closed by the Agency shall allow the Office of City Auditor
21	access to such records to permit the Office of City Auditor to evaluate the Agency's enforcement
22	efforts. Before requesting records from such a respondent, the Office of City Auditor shall first
23	consult the Agency's respondent records on file and determine if additional records are

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

1 necessary. The City Auditor may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under

chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas under

this subsection 14.22.065.D. The Hearing Examiner shall issue such subpoenas upon a showing

4 that the records are required to fulfill the purposes of this subsection 14.22.065.D.

Section 21. Section 14.22.085 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125135, is amended as follows:

14.22.085 Investigation

* * *

E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring the employer to produce the records identified in subsection 14.22.065.A, or for the attendance and testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents required to be retained under subsection 14.22.065.A, or any other document relevant to the issue of whether any employee or group of employees has been or is afforded proper amounts of compensation under this Chapter 14.22 and/or to whether the employer has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.22. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.22 or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.

*

2

3

Section 22. Section 14.22.120 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125135, is amended as follows:

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the

14.22.120 Debt owed The City of Seattle

4

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

* * *

time period set forth in subsection 14.22.100.B the Director's Order shall be final, and the
Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering
judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative
remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order
shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without
further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50

RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order

or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the
Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection

14.22.100.B and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall

also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.22.110.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085))

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof,
2	and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in
3	accordance with subsection 14.22.110.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary
4	foundation.
5	* * *
6	Section 23. Section 14.23.085 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
7	125627, is amended as follows:
8	14.23.085 Investigation
9	* * *
10	E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under <u>chapter</u>
11	5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring the
12	attendance and testimony of witnesses, or any document relevant to the issue of whether any
13	domestic worker or group of domestic workers has been or is afforded proper amounts of
14	compensation under this Chapter 14.23 and/or to whether the hiring entity has violated any
15	provision of this Chapter 14.23. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing
16	as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe
17	that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances
18	show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce
19	contains significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.23 or
20	the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.
21	* * *
22	Section 24. Section 14.23.120 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
23	125627, is amended as follows:

14.23.120 Debt owed The City of Seattle

* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.23.100.B the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.23.100.B and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.23.110.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in

	LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	accordance with subsection 14.23.110.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary
2	foundation.
3	* * *
4	Section 25. Section 14.26.150 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
5	125923, is amended as follows:
6	14.26.150 Investigation
7	* * *
8	E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under <u>chapter</u>
9	5.50 RCW (($9A.72.085$)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring the
10	attendance and testimony of witnesses, or any document relevant to the issue of whether any
11	employee or group of employees has been or is afforded proper amounts of compensation under
12	this Chapter 14.26 and/or to whether the employer has violated any provision of this Chapter
13	14.26. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable
14	and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has
15	occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that
16	violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains
17	significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.26 or the
18	workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.
19	* * *
20	Section 26. Section 14.26.220 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
21	125923, is amended as follows:
22	14.26.220 Debt owed The City of Seattle
23	* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.26.180.B the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.26.180.B and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.26.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 14.26.200.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
* * *
Section 27. Section 14.27.150 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
125928, is amended as follows:
14.27.150 Investigation
* * *
E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under chapter
5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring the
attendance and testimony of witnesses, or any document relevant to the issue of whether any
employee or group of employees has been or is afforded proper amounts of compensation under
this Chapter 14.27 and/or to whether the employer has violated any provision of this Chapter
14.27. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable
and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has
occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that
violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains
significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.27 or the
workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.
* * *
Section 28. Section 14.27.220 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
125928, is amended as follows:
14.27.220 Debt owed The City of Seattle
* * *
B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the
time period set forth in subsection 14.27.180.B the Director's Order shall be final, and the

Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.27.180.B and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.27.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 14.27.200.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

22 ***

Section 29. Section 14.28.150 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125930, is amended as follows:

14.28.150 Investigation

* * *

E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under <u>chapter</u> 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring the attendance and testimony of witnesses, or any document relevant to the issue of whether any employee or group of employees has been or is afforded proper amounts of compensation under this Chapter 14.28 and/or to whether the employer has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.28. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.28 or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.

* * *

Section 30. Section 14.28.220 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125930, is amended as follows:

14.28.220 Debt owed The City of Seattle

* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.28.180.B the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering

judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50
RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.28.180.B and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.28.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 14.28.200.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

* * *

Section 31. Section 14.29.150 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125929, is amended as follows:

14.29.150 Investigation

* * *

E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring the attendance and testimony of witnesses, or any document relevant to the issue of whether any employee or group of employees has been or is afforded proper amounts of compensation under this Chapter 14.29 and/or to whether the employer has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.29. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.29 or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.

* * *

Section 32. Section 14.29.220 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125929, is amended as follows:

14.29.220 Debt owed The City of Seattle

* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.29.180.B the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.29.180.B and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.29.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 14.29.200.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

19 ***

Section 33. Section 14.30.120 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125684, is amended as follows:

14.30.120 Investigation

23 ***

E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring the employer to produce the records identified in subsection 14.30.070.A, or for the attendance and testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents required to be retained under subsection 14.30.070.A, or any other document relevant to the issue of whether any employee or group of employees has been or is afforded proper amounts of compensation under this Chapter 14.30 and/or to whether the employer has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.30. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.30 or the workforce is unlikely to

* * *

Section 34. Section 14.30.190 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 125684, is amended as follows:

14.30.190 Debt owed The City of Seattle

volunteer information regarding such violations.

* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.30.150.B the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.30.150.B and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.30.170.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 14.30.170.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

19 ***

Section 35. Section 14.33.110 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 126189, is amended as follows:

14.33.110 TNC records

23 ***

C. Respondents in any case closed by the Agency shall allow the Office of City Auditor access to such records to permit the Office of City Auditor to evaluate the Agency's enforcement efforts. Before requesting records from such a respondent, the Office of City Auditor shall first consult the Agency's respondent records on file and determine if additional records are necessary. The City Auditor may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas under this subsection 14.33.110.C. The Hearing Examiner shall issue such subpoenas upon a showing that the records are required to fulfill the purposes of this subsection 14.33.110.C.

Section 36. Section 14.33.150 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 126189, is amended as follows:

14.33.150 Investigation

* * *

E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring the TNC to produce the records identified in Section 14.33.110, or for the attendance and testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents required to be retained under Section 14.33.110, or any other document relevant to the issue of whether any TNC driver or group of TNC drivers has been or is afforded proper amounts of compensation under this Chapter 14.33 and/or to whether the TNC has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.33. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that a violation has occurred if a complaint has been filed with the Agency, or that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a business or class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of workers who are

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.33 or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations.

* * *

Section 37. Section 14.33.220 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 126189, is amended as follows:

14.33.220 Debt owed The City of Seattle

* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.33.180.B the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.33.180.B and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.33.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of

the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence
that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary
foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW ((9A.72.085))

and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 14.33.200.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary

containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof,

7 foundation.

Section 38. Section 14.34.150 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 126373, is amended as follows:

14.34.150 Investigation

* * *

* * *

E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW ((9A.72.085)) to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring a hiring entity to produce the records required by Section 14.34.110, or for the attendance and testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents required to be retained under Section 14.34.110, or any other document relevant to the issue of whether any independent contractor or group of independent contractors received the information or other benefits required by this Chapter 14.34, and/or to whether a hiring entity has violated any provision of this Chapter 14.34. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that: a violation has occurred, a complaint has been filed with the Agency, that circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of

Brandon Isleib
LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD
D1

1 independent contractors who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 14.34, the workforce is

unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations, or the Agency has gathered

preliminary information indicating that a violation may have occurred.

Section 39. Section 14.34.220 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance

126373, is amended as follows:

14.34.220 Debt owed The City of Seattle

* * *

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.34.180.B, the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court, or any court of competent jurisdiction, to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.34.180.B, and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 14.34.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner

shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under <a href="https://chapter.org/cha

* *

Section 40. Section 15.91.004 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 120822, is amended as follows:

15.91.004 Citation.

A. Citation. If after investigation the Director determines that the standards or requirements of provisions referenced in Section 15.91.002 have been violated, the Director may issue a citation to the owner and/or other person or entity responsible for the violation. The citation shall include the following information: (1) the name and address of the person to whom the citation is issued; (2) a reasonable description of the location of the property on which the violation occurred; (3) a separate statement of each standard or requirement violated; (4) the date of the violation; (5) a statement that the person cited must respond to the citation within 15 days after service; (6) a space for entry of the applicable penalty; (7) a statement that a response must be sent to the Hearing Examiner and received not later than 5 p.m. on the day the response is due; (8) the name, address, and phone number of the Hearing Examiner where the citation is to

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	be filed; (9) a statement that the citation represents a determination that a violation has been
2	committed by the person named in the citation and that the determination shall be final unless
3	contested as provided in this Chapter 15.91; and (10) a certified statement of the Director's
4	representative issuing the citation, authorized by <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW ((9A.72.085)), setting forth
5	facts supporting issuance of the citation.
6	* * *
7	Section 41. Section 15.91.012 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance
8	121925, is amended as follows:
9	15.91.012 Contested hearing.
10	* * *
11	E. Evidence at hearing. The certified statement or declaration authorized by <u>chapter 5.50</u>
12	RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall be prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and that the person
13	cited is responsible. The certified statement or declaration authorized under <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW
14	((9A.72.085)) and any other evidence accompanying the report shall be admissible without
15	further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under <u>chapter 5.50</u>
16	RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. The person
17	cited may rebut the Department of Transportation evidence and establish that the cited
18	violation(s) did not occur or that the person contesting the citation is not responsible for the
19	violation.
20	* * *
21	Section 42. Section 18.12.278 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
22	118607, is amended as follows:
23	18.12.278 Park exclusion.

Brando	on Isle	eib	
LAW 1	RCW	9A.72.085	5 ORE
D1			

* * *

G. At the hearing, the violation must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence in order to uphold the exclusion notice. If the exclusion notice was issued because of the alleged violation of any criminal law, the offender need not be charged, tried, or convicted for the exclusion notice to be upheld. The exclusion notice establishes a prima facie case that the offender committed the violation as described. The Superintendent's Hearing Officer shall consider a sworn report or a declaration under penalty of perjury as authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)), written by the individual who issued the exclusion notice, without further evidentiary foundation. The certifications authorized in Rule 6.13 of the Criminal Rules for Courts of Limited Jurisdiction shall be considered without further evidentiary foundation. The Superintendent's Hearing Officer may consider information that would not be admissible under the evidence rules in a court of law but which the Superintendent's Hearing Officer considers relevant and trustworthy.

* * *

Section 43. Section 22.212.110 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance 126451, is amended as follows:

22.212.110 Citations

* * *

E. Hearings

1. Mitigation hearing

a. Date and notice. If the person cited requests a mitigation hearing, the Hearing Examiner shall hold a mitigation hearing within 30 days after the Hearing Examiner receives the written response to the citation requesting such hearing. The Hearing Examiner shall

1 send

send notice of the time, place, and date of the hearing to the address specified in the request for hearing no later than ten days prior to the date of the hearing.

b. Procedure at hearing. The Hearing Examiner shall hold an informal hearing that shall not be governed by the Rules of Evidence. The person cited may present witnesses, but witnesses may not be compelled to attend. The Director may also attend the hearing and may present additional information, but is not required to attend.

c. Disposition. The Hearing Examiner shall determine whether the person cited's explanation justifies reducing the citation penalty, but the citation penalty may not be reduced unless the Director affirms or certifies that the violation has been corrected prior to the mitigation hearing. Factors that may be considered in whether to reduce the citation penalty include: whether the violation was caused by the act, neglect, or abuse of another; or whether correction of the violation was commenced promptly prior to citation, but full compliance was prevented by a condition or circumstance beyond the control of the person cited.

d. Entry of order. After hearing the explanation of the person cited and any other information presented at the hearing, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order finding that the person cited committed the violation and assessing a citation penalty in an amount determined pursuant to subsection 22.212.110.F, which amount the Examiner may reduce pursuant to the mitigation factors in subsection 22.212.110.E.1.c. The Hearing Examiner's decision is the final decision of the City on the matter.

2. Contested hearing

a. Date and notice. If the person cited requests a contested hearing, the Hearing Examiner shall hold the hearing within 60 days after the Hearing Examiner receives the written response to the citation requesting such hearing.

	l	
1	2	

b. Hearing. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct a contested hearing pursuant to the procedures for hearing contested cases contained in Section 3.02.090 and the rules adopted by the Hearing Examiner for hearing contested cases, except as modified by this subsection 22.212.110.E.2. The issues heard at the hearing shall be limited to those that are raised in writing in the response to the citation and that are within the jurisdiction of the Hearing Examiner. The Hearing Examiner may issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents.

c. Sufficiency. No citation shall be deemed insufficient for failure to contain a detailed statement of the facts constituting the specific violation that the person cited is alleged to have committed or by reason of defects or imperfections, provided that such lack of detail or defects or imperfections do not prejudice a substantial right of the person cited.

d. Amendment of citation. A citation may be amended prior to the conclusion of the hearing to conform to the evidence presented if a substantial right of the person cited is not thereby prejudiced.

e. Evidence at hearing. A certified statement or declaration that complies with chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) and is made by the Director shall be prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and that the person cited is responsible. The certified statement or declaration and any other evidence accompanying it shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. The person cited may rebut the Director's evidence and establish that the cited violation did not occur or that the person contesting the citation is not responsible for the violation.

f. Disposition. If the citation is sustained at the hearing, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order finding that the person cited committed the violation. If the

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	violation remains uncorrected, the Hearing Examiner shall impose a citation penalty in an
2	amount determined pursuant to subsection 22.212.110.F. If the violation has been corrected, the
3	Hearing Examiner may reduce the citation penalty pursuant to the mitigation factors in
4	subsection 22.212.110.E.1.c. If the Hearing Examiner determines that the violation did not occur,
5	the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order dismissing the citation. The Hearing Examiner's
6	decision is the final decision of the City on the matter.
7	3. Failure to appear for hearing. Failure of the person cited or their attorney to
8	appear for a requested hearing will result in an order being entered finding that the person cited
9	committed the violation stated in the citation and assessing the citation penalty specified in the
10	citation. For good cause shown and upon terms the Hearing Examiner deems just, the Hearing
11	Examiner may set aside an order entered upon a failure to appear.
12	* * *
13	Section 44. Section 23.91.012 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance
14	124919, is amended as follows:
15	23.91.012 Contested hearing.
16	* * *
17	E. Evidence at Hearing
18	1. The certified statement or declaration authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW
19	((9A.72.085)) submitted by an inspector shall be prima facie evidence that a violation occurred
20	and that the person cited is responsible. The certified statement or declaration of the inspector
21	authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) and any other evidence accompanying the
22	report shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	2. Any certifications or declarations authorized under <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW
2	((9A.72.085)) shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. The person cited
3	may rebut the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections evidence and establish that the
4	cited violation(s) did not occur or that the person contesting the citation is not responsible for the
5	violation.
6	Section 45. Section 25.08.900 of the Seattle Municipal Code, enacted by Ordinance
7	122614, is amended as follows:
8	25.08.900 Citation.
9	A. Citation. The citation shall include the following information:
10	1. The name and address of the person to whom the citation is issued;
11	2. A reasonable description of the location of the property on which the violation
12	occurred;
13	3. A separate statement of each requirement or provision of the Chapter violated;
14	4. The date of the violation;
15	5. A statement that the person cited must respond to the citation within fifteen
16	(15) days after service;
17	6. A space for entry of the applicable penalty;
18	7. A statement that a response must be received at the Office of Hearing Examiner
19	not later than five p.m. on the date the response is due;
20	8. The name, address and phone number of the Office of Hearing Examiner where
21	the citation is to be filed;

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1
1	9. A statement that the citation represents a determination that a violation has
2	been committed by the person named in the citation and that the determination shall be final
3	unless contested as provided in this chapter; and
4	10. A certified statement of the person issuing the citation, authorized by <u>chapter</u>
5	5.50 RCW (($9A.72.085$)), setting forth facts supporting issuance of the citation.
6	* * *
7	Section 46. Section 25.08.940 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance
8	125603, is amended as follows:
9	25.08.940 Contested case hearing
10	* * *
11	E. Evidence at hearing. The certified statement or declaration authorized by <u>chapter 5.50</u>
12	RCW ((9A.72.085)) submitted by a representative of the Administrator shall be prima facie
13	evidence that a violation occurred and that the person cited is responsible. Any certifications or
14	declarations authorized under <u>chapter 5.50</u> RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall be admissible without
15	further evidentiary foundation. The person cited may rebut the evidence and establish that the
16	cited violation(s) did not occur or that the person contesting the citation is not responsible for the
17	violation.
18	* * *
19	Section 47. Section 112 of the Seattle Fire Code, last amended by Ordinance 127139, is
20	amended as follows:
21 22	SECTION 112 VIOLATIONS
23	* * *

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

[S] 112.4.1 Documentation. If after investigation the *fire code official* determines that the standards or requirements of provisions referenced in Section 112.4 have been violated, the *fire* code official may issue a citation to the owner and/or other person(s) responsible for the violation. The citation shall include the following information: (1) the name and address of the person to whom the citation is issued; (2) a reasonable description of the location of the property on which the violation occurred; (3) a separate statement of each standard or requirement violated; (4) the date of the violation; (5) a statement that the *person* cited must respond to the citation within 15 days after service; (6) a space for entry of the applicable penalty; (7) a statement that a response must be sent to the Hearing Examiner and received not later than 5 p.m. on the day the response is due; (8) the name, address, and phone number of the Office of the Hearing Examiner where the citation is to be filed; (9) a statement that the citation represents a determination that a violation has been committed by the person(s) named in the citation and that the determination shall be final unless contested as provided in this Section 112.4; and (10) a certified statement of the *fire code official's* representative issuing the citation, authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)), setting forth facts supporting issuance of the citation.

* * *

[S] 112.4.6.5 Evidence at hearing. The certified statement or declaration authorized by chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall be prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and that the person cited is responsible. The certified statement or declaration authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) and any other evidence accompanying the report shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under chapter 5.50 RCW ((9A.72.085)) shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. The person

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1			
1	cited may rebut the Seattle Fire Department's evidence and establish that the cited violation(s)			
2	did not occur or that the <i>person</i> contesting the citation is not responsible for the violation.			
3	* * *			

Template last revised February 19, 2025

	Brandon Isleib LAW RCW 9A.72.085 ORD D1			
1	Section 48. This ordinance shall take	effect as provided by	Seattle Municipal Cod	le
2	Sections 1.04.020 and 1.04.070.			
3	Passed by the City Council the 23	rd_day of	September,	2025,
4	and signed by me in open session in authent	ication of its passage t	this 23rd day of	
5	September , 2025.			
6 7			of the City Counci	
8	✓ Approved / □ returned unsigned / □			
9		Bruce Q. Hanel	2	_
10		Bruce A. Harrell, Ma	yor	
11	Filed by me this 26th day of	Septembe	r, _{2025.}	
12		de De		_
13		Scheereen Dedman,	City Clerk	
14	(Seal)			
14	(Scal)			
	Template last revised February 19, 2025	58		