# FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	<b>Contact Person/Phone:</b>	CBO Analyst/Phone:
Seattle Department of	Beverly Barnett/684-7564	Doug Palmer/684-5266
Transportation		

# Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE vacating a portion of Delridge Way Southwest and other portions of Government Lot 3, in Section 13, Township 24 North, Range 3 East, W.M., on the petition of the Department of Finance and Administrative Services (Clerk File 311504); and placing it under jurisdiction of the Department of Finance and Administrative Services.

# Summary of the Legislation:

This Council Bill completes the vacation process for a portion of Delridge Way on the petition of the Seattle Department of Finance and Administrative Services (the "Petitioner" or "FAS") as part of the Fire Station 36.

### Background:

The Petitioner sought vacation of a portion of Delridge Way. On September 9, 2011, the City Council voted to conditionally grant the vacation petition. The vacation was requested to increase the current parcel to complete necessary improvements to Fire Station 36. The vacation adds approximately 4,700 square feet to the fire station parcel. The project is part of the Fire Facilities and Emergency Response Levy Program ("Levy"). The project includes:

- Station improvements, including seismic bracing;
- Additional space for apparatus bay support functions, including decontamination, crew preparation and vehicle maintenance;
- A larger generator; and
- Space for the Marine Specialty and Tunnel Rescue Units.

FAS has met its public benefit requirement by supporting necessary seismic work and other upgrades and efficiencies at an essential public facility, completing work on a project supported by the public in the Levy, providing for approximately 400 square feet of landscaping around the project, and providing green walls in up to four locations to provide visual interest for pedestrians and drivers, to attenuate ambient noise from the railroad and the freeway, and to support natural drainage.

FAS has satisfied all the conditions imposed by City Council.

Please check one of the following:

### X This legislation does not have any financial implications.

#### This legislation has financial implications.

#### **Other Implications:**

- a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications? This legislation does not accept nor appropriate funds.
- b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation? The vacation petition, already approved by the Seattle City Council, obligates the City to complete the vacation process, provided the Petitioner meets all the conditions imposed by the City Council. The Petitioner has met all the conditions. Therefore, by not implementing this legislation, the City could be in violation of its obligations, which could have financial implications.
- c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department? No. As part of the initial vacation review process, all interested departments were notified of the vacation petition and asked to comment. Any identified issues were resolved prior to the approval of this final legislation.
- d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?

None. This legislation completes the vacation process.

- e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation? No.
- f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation? No.
- g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property? Yes. It completes the vacation of right-of-way.
- h) Other Issues: None

#### List attachments to the fiscal note below:

Attachment A: Street Vacation Area Map