



SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL

Public Safety and Human Services Committee

Agenda

Monday, July 17, 2023

9:30 AM

Special Meeting

Council Chamber, City Hall
600 4th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Lisa Herbold, Chair
Andrew J. Lewis, Vice-Chair
Teresa Mosqueda, Member
Sara Nelson, Member
Alex Pedersen, Member

Chair Info: 206-684-8801; Lisa.Herbold@seattle.gov

[Watch Council Meetings Live](#) [View Past Council Meetings](#)

Council Chamber Listen Line: 206-684-8566

For accessibility information and for accommodation requests, please call 206-684-8888 (TTY Relay 7-1-1), email CouncilAgenda@Seattle.gov, or visit <http://seattle.gov/cityclerk/accommodations>.



SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL
Public Safety and Human Services Committee
Agenda
July 17, 2023 - 9:30 AM
Special Meeting

Meeting Location:

Council Chamber, City Hall, 600 4th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104

Committee Website:

<http://www.seattle.gov/council/committees/public-safety-and-human-services>

This meeting also constitutes a meeting of the City Council, provided that the meeting shall be conducted as a committee meeting under the Council Rules and Procedures, and Council action shall be limited to committee business.

Members of the public may register for remote or in-person Public Comment to address the Council. Details on how to provide Public Comment are listed below:

Remote Public Comment - Register online to speak during the Public Comment period at the meeting at <http://www.seattle.gov/council/committees/public-comment>. Online registration to speak will begin two hours before the meeting start time, and registration will end at the conclusion of the Public Comment period during the meeting. Speakers must be registered in order to be recognized by the Chair.

In-Person Public Comment - Register to speak on the Public Comment sign-up sheet located inside Council Chambers at least 15 minutes prior to the meeting start time. Registration will end at the conclusion of the Public Comment period during the meeting. Speakers must be registered in order to be recognized by the Chair.

Submit written comments to Councilmember Herbold at Lisa.Herbold@seattle.gov

Please Note: Times listed are estimated

A. Call To Order

B. Approval of the Agenda

C. Public Comment

(20 minutes)

D. Items of Business

1. [CB 120580](#) **AN ORDINANCE relating to app-based worker labor standards; establishing labor standards on deactivation protections for app-based workers working in Seattle; amending Section 3.02.125 of the Seattle Municipal Code; and adding a new Chapter 8.40 to the Seattle Municipal Code.**

*Supporting
Documents:*

[Summary and Fiscal Note](#)
[Proposed Amendments \(7/17\)](#)

Briefing, Discussion, and Possible Vote

Presenters: Jasmine Marwaha and Karina Bull, Council Central Staff

E. Adjournment



Legislation Text

File #: CB 120580, **Version:** 3

CITY OF SEATTLE

ORDINANCE _____

COUNCIL BILL _____

AN ORDINANCE relating to app-based worker labor standards; establishing labor standards on deactivation protections for app-based workers working in Seattle; amending Section 3.02.125 of the Seattle Municipal Code; and adding a new Chapter 8.40 to the Seattle Municipal Code.

WHEREAS, the Washington Constitution provides in Article XI, Section 11 that “[a]ny county, city, town or township may make and enforce within its limits all such local police, sanitary and other regulations as are not in conflict with general laws”; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council (“Council”) finds and declares that:

A. App-based work is a growing source of income for workers in Seattle and across the country.

B. In the exercise of The City of Seattle’s police powers, the City is granted authority to pass regulations designed to protect and promote public health, safety, and welfare.

C. This ordinance protects and promotes public health, safety, and welfare by establishing protections against unwarranted deactivations for app-based workers.

D. Many Seattle workers, including app-based workers, cannot fully participate in the community’s dynamic civic life or pursue its myriad educational, cultural, and recreational opportunities because they struggle to meet their households’ most basic needs, suffering job insecurity and economic instability.

E. Minimum labor standards benefit employers and hiring entities by improving worker performance, reducing worker turnover, and thereby improving productivity and the quality of the services provided by workers, including app-based workers.

F. Network companies typically manage large pools of app-based workers by relying on algorithmic management systems, which allow app-based workers to be “assigned, optimized, and evaluated through algorithms and tracked data.”

G. While algorithmic management may bring certain benefits to network companies, these innovations also generate significant challenges for app-based workers, including information asymmetries and extreme power imbalances between workers and network companies.

H. App-based workers often do not have the information they need to know about how they will be evaluated. Algorithms that dictate core aspects of app-based workers’ relationship with a network company can change unexpectedly, leading to arbitrary evaluations and unwarranted deactivations.

I. App-based workers are subject to network company policies that unilaterally deactivate workers for a variety of reasons without consistent access to a fair process for such deactivations, nor do the workers have access to responsive network company personnel with the power to correct unwarranted deactivations by in-person meetings or telephone.

J. App-based workers face potential deactivation for reasons including but not limited to: rejecting too many orders; being unavailable on certain days or times; cancelling offers with cause; being delayed in fulfilling orders; receiving low ratings from consumers; or algorithmic errors.

K. Network companies do not consistently apply clear performance expectations or policies for deactivations, and often deactivate app-based workers without explanation or warning.

L. App-based workers report being deactivated for low customer ratings, despite the fact that extensive social science research finds that consumer-sourced rating systems are highly likely to be influenced by bias on the basis of factors such as race or ethnicity. App-based workers also report deactivation based on customer harassment and false reports from customers.

M. Many network companies do not have processes to substantively reconsider a deactivation based on a case-by-case human review, and have little incentive to put those processes in place.

N. A review of network company hiring policies shows that most network companies perform recurring background checks on app-based workers as a condition of continued service. Network companies do not provide clear guidance on background check criteria, methods for evaluating the relationship of criminal history record information to the performance of app-based service, procedures for correcting background check information, or procedures for appealing deactivations based on background check information.

O. Unclear and/or inconsistently applied background check policies exacerbate the difficulties app-based workers with criminal history records face when trying to secure or maintain work opportunities.

P. The high prevalence of background checks with errors, mismatched identities, and incomplete information, due to scant oversight of background check information provided to the private market, compounds these difficulties.

Q. Studies estimate that 50 to 80 percent of FBI criminal records are inaccurate. A common problem is that law enforcement agencies fail to update arrest or charge records with information about the outcome of a case. About a third of felony arrests never lead to a conviction, another third lead to conviction of a different (usually lesser) offense, and other convictions are overturned on appeal, expunged, or sealed.

R. The flexibility to determine hours of availability and which offers to accept, reject, or cancel with cause allows workers to make informed decisions on how and when to earn their income without fear of deactivation.

S. App-based workers who perform services in Seattle are not typically limited to work in the geographic boundaries of Seattle, and often accept offers to perform services in other jurisdictions.

T. Access to the records substantiating a network company's decision to deactivate an app-based worker, and access to records of the services performed in Seattle by that app-based worker, are critical for an app-based worker to meaningfully challenge their deactivation and attempt to get reinstated as soon as possible.

U. Establishing a reasonable standard for the deactivations of app-based workers as well as the ability to

challenge unwarranted deactivations will help ensure that thousands of app-based workers who provide vital services in Seattle will be able to enjoy a measure of job security.

V. App-based workers who have protection against unwarranted deactivation will be more likely to remain in their positions over time. Such experienced app-based workers will improve the safety and reliability of the app-based services provided to Seattle customers.

W. Minimum labor and compensation standards, including the right to challenge unwarranted deactivations, promote the general welfare, health, and prosperity of Seattle by ensuring that app-based workers have stable incomes and can better support and care for their families and fully participate in Seattle’s civic, cultural, and economic life.

X. The regulation of app-based workers better ensures that such workers can perform their services in a safe and reliable manner and thereby promotes the welfare of the people and is thus a fundamental governmental function.

Section 2. A new Chapter 8.40 is added to the Seattle Municipal Code as follows:

Chapter 8.40 APP-BASED WORKER DEACTIVATION RIGHTS

8.40.010 Short title

This Chapter 8.40 shall constitute the “App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance” and may be cited as such.

8.40.020 Definitions

For purposes of this Chapter 8.40:

“Accept” means an initial communication from an app-based worker to a network company that the app-based worker intends to perform services in furtherance of an offer, including but not limited to indicating acceptance through the worker platform.

“Adverse action” means reducing compensation; garnishing tips or gratuities; temporarily or permanently denying or limiting access to work, incentives, or bonuses; offering less desirable work;

terminating; deactivating; threatening; penalizing; retaliating; engaging in unfair immigration-related practices; filing a false report with a government agency; or discriminating against any person for any reason prohibited by Section 8.40.120. “Adverse action” for an app-based worker may involve any aspect of the app-based worker’s work, including compensation, work hours, volume, and frequency of offers made available, desirability and compensation rates of offers made available, responsibilities, or other material change in the terms and conditions of work or in the ability of an app-based worker to perform work. “Adverse action” also includes any action by the network company or a person acting on the network company’s behalf that would dissuade a reasonable person from exercising any right afforded by this Chapter 8.40.

“Agency” means the Office of Labor Standards and any division therein.

“Aggrieved party” means an app-based worker or other person who suffers tangible or intangible harm due to a network company’s or other person’s violation of this Chapter 8.40.

“App-based service” means any service in an offer facilitated or presented to an app-based worker by a network company or participation by an app-based worker in any training program required by a network company.

“App-based worker” means a person who has entered into an agreement with a network company governing the terms and conditions of use of the network company’s worker platform or a person affiliated with and accepting offers to perform services for compensation via a network company’s worker platform. For purposes of this Chapter 8.40, at any time, but not limited to, when an app-based worker is logged into the network company’s worker platform, the worker is considered an app-based worker.

“Application dispatch” means technology that allows customers to directly request dispatch of app-based workers for provision of services and/or allows app-based workers or network companies to accept offers to perform services for compensation and payments for services via the internet using interfaces, including but not limited to website, smartphone, and tablet applications.

“Background check” means a request or attempt to obtain, directly or through an agent, a person’s

conviction record or criminal history record information from the Washington State Patrol or any other source that compiles and maintains such records or information.

“Cancellation with cause” has the same meaning as defined in Section 8.37.020.

“City” means The City of Seattle.

“Compensation” means the total amount of payment owed to an app-based worker by reason of performing work facilitated or presented by the network company, including but not limited to network company payments, bonuses, incentives, and tips earned from customers.

“Consumer report” has the same meaning as defined in RCW 19.182.010 as amended.

“Conviction record” and “criminal history record information” are meant to be consistent with chapter 10.97 RCW as amended, and mean information regarding a final criminal adjudication or other criminal disposition adverse to the subject, including a verdict of guilty, a finding of guilty, or a plea of guilty or nolo contendere. A criminal conviction record does not include any prior conviction that has been the subject of an expungement, vacation of conviction, sealing of the court file, pardon, annulment, certificate of rehabilitation, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of the rehabilitation of the person convicted, or a prior conviction that has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence. It does include convictions for offenses for which the defendant received a deferred or suspended sentence, unless the adverse disposition has been vacated or expunged.

“Criminal history record information” is meant to be consistent with chapter 10.97 RCW as amended.

“Customer” means a paying customer and/or recipient of an online order.

“Deactivation” means the blocking of an app-based worker’s access to the worker platform, changing an app-based worker’s status from eligible to accept offers to perform services to ineligible, or other material restriction in access to the worker platform that is effected by a network company. Deactivation” does not include temporary suspensions lasting less than 48 hours when the worker platform is unavailable to an app-based worker due to reasons unrelated to the action or behavior of the app-based worker and that are clearly

communicated to the app-based worker at the time of the temporary suspension. Such reasons include but are not limited to: technology, software, or network outages; account access or security issues; routine maintenance; and inclement weather endangering the safety of app-based workers in performing services in Seattle.

“Director” means the Director of the Office of Labor Standards or the Director’s designee.

“Discrimination,” “discriminate,” and/or “discriminatory act” have the same meaning as defined in Section 14.04.030.

“Driver record” means an abstract of a person’s driving record as described in RCW 46.52.130 as amended.

“Egregious misconduct” means an action or behavior by an individual app-based worker that: (1) endangers the physical safety of the customer, or a third person, the network company, or an animal; or (2) intentionally causes economic harm to the customer, a third person, or the network company; or (3) is threatening, harassing, or abusive to the customer, a third party, or the network company. “Egregious misconduct” includes but is not limited to conduct that occurs outside of an app-based worker’s provision of app-based services or use of the network company’s worker platform if the network company can prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the conduct directly relates to the app-based worker’s fitness to provide app-based services or to use the network company’s worker platform.

1. “Egregious misconduct” includes but is not limited to the following conduct in connection with an app-based worker’s provision of app-based services or use of the network company’s worker platform: assault, sexual assault, sexual harassment, communicating with a minor for immoral purposes, sexual conduct as defined in RCW 7.105.010 as amended, unlawful harassment as defined in RCW 7.105.010 as amended, hate crimes, racial slurs, unlawful imprisonment as defined in RCW 9A.40.040 as amended, kidnapping, unlawful possession of a firearm, solicitation of any sexual act, registration as a sex offender, stalking, theft, fraud, robbery, burglary, money laundering, animal cruelty, cybercrimes as defined in chapter 9A.90 RCW as

amended, prostitution, driving-related crimes pursuant to RCW 46.61.500 through 46.61.540 as amended, failing to maintain a valid state driver's license, and other conduct that would constitute a Class A felony offense under Title 9 or 9A RCW as amended.

2. Egregious misconduct shall not include conduct related to non-criminal moving violations as defined by WAC 308-104-160, as amended, or traffic collisions unless the app-based worker has accumulated more than three non-criminal moving violations or at-fault collisions in the previous three years.

3. The Director may issue rules further defining what constitutes economic harm or egregious misconduct.

“Extraordinary circumstances” means circumstances beyond the network company’s control that will materially influence the determination of whether a deactivation was warranted. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, a pending criminal investigation.

“Franchise” has the same meaning as defined in RCW 19.100.010 as amended.

“Front pay” means the compensation an app-based worker would earn or would have earned if reinstated to their former position.

“Hearing Examiner” means the official appointed by the City Council and designated as the Hearing Examiner under Chapter 3.02 or that person’s designee (e.g., Deputy Hearing Examiner or Hearing Examiner Pro Tem).

“Incentive” means a sum of money paid to an app-based worker in addition to the guaranteed minimum network company payment for an offer, upon completion of specific tasks presented by the network companies, including but not limited to completing performance of a certain number of offers, completing performance of a certain number of consecutive offers, completing performance of an offer subject to a price multiplier or variable pricing policy, making oneself available to accept offers in a particular geographic location during a specified period of time, or recruiting new app-based workers.

“Network company” means an organization, whether a corporation, partnership, sole proprietor, or other

form, operating in Seattle, that uses an online-enabled application or platform, such as an application dispatch system, to connect customers with app-based workers, present offers to app-based workers through a worker platform, and/or facilitate the provision of services for compensation by app-based workers.

1. The term “network company” includes any such entity or person acting directly or indirectly in the interest of a network company in relation to the app-based worker.

2. The term “network company” excludes:

a. An entity offering services that enable individuals to schedule appointments with and/or process payments to users, when the entity neither engages in additional intermediation of the relationships between parties to such transactions nor engages in any oversight of service provision;

b. An entity operating digital advertising and/or messaging platforms, when the entity neither engages in intermediation of the payments or relationships between parties to resulting transactions nor engages in any oversight of service provision;

c. An entity that meets the definition of “transportation network company” as defined by RCW 46.04.652 as amended; or

d. An entity that meets the definition of “for-hire vehicle company” or “taxicab association” as defined in Section 6.310.110.

A company that meets the definition of network company in this Section 8.40.020 and does not fall within any of the exclusions contained in this Section 8.40.020 is subject to this Chapter 8.40. Network companies include marketplace network companies, as defined by Section 8.37.020.

“Offer” means one or more online orders presented to an app-based worker as one opportunity to perform services for compensation that the app-based worker may accept or reject.

1. An opportunity to perform services for compensation includes but is not limited to an opportunity described via a worker platform as a shift, a period of time to be spent engaged in service provision, a continuous period of time in which the app-based worker must make themselves available to perform

services, or any other continuous period of time when the worker is not completely relieved of the duty to perform the service(s), and such a period of time shall be considered as one offer.

2. The term “offer” includes pre-scheduled offers and on-demand offers, as defined in Section 8.37.020.

“Online order” means an order for services that is placed through an online-enabled application or platform, such as an application dispatch system, and that is facilitated by a network company or presented by a network company for its own benefit. The Director may issue rules further defining the definition of “online order” and the types of transactions excluded from this definition. The term “online order” does not include the following transactions:

1. Sale or rental of products or real estate;
2. Payment in exchange for a service subject to professional licensure that has been listed by the Director pursuant to Section 8.37.020;
3. Payment in exchange for services wholly provided digitally;
4. Payment in exchange for creative services or works;
5. Transportation network company (TNC) dispatched trips. For purposes of this Section 8.40.020, “TNC dispatched trips” means the provision of transportation by a driver for a passenger through the use of a transportation network company’s application dispatch system; and
6. Transportation provided by taxicabs or for-hire vehicles, as defined in Chapter 6.310.

“Operating in Seattle” means, with respect to a network company, facilitating or presenting offers to provide services for compensation using an online-enabled application or platform, such as an application dispatch system, to any app-based worker, where such services are performed in Seattle.

“Paying customer” means a person or entity placing an online order via a network company’s online-enabled application or platform.

“Perform services in Seattle” means activities, conducted by an app-based worker in furtherance of an

offer, that occur in whole or in part within Seattle.

1. The term “perform services in Seattle” includes any time spent on a commercial stop in Seattle that is related to the provision of delivery or other services associated with an offer.

2. The term “perform services in Seattle” does not include stopping for refueling, stopping for a personal meal or errands, or time spent in Seattle solely for the purpose of travelling through Seattle from a point of origin outside Seattle to a destination outside Seattle with no commercial stops in Seattle.

“Rate of inflation” means 100 percent of the annual average growth rate of the bi-monthly Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue Area Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, termed CPI-W, for the 12-month period ending in August; provided that the percentage increase shall not be less than zero.

“Respondent” means the network company or any person who is alleged or found to have committed a violation of this Chapter 8.40.

“Successor” means any person to whom a network company quitting, selling out, exchanging, or disposing of a business sells or otherwise conveys in bulk and not in the ordinary course of the network company’s business, a major part of the property, whether real or personal, tangible or intangible, of the network company’s business. For purposes of this definition, “person” means an individual, receiver, administrator, executor, assignee, trustee in bankruptcy, trust, estate, firm, corporation, business trust, partnership, limited liability partnership, company, joint stock company, limited liability company, association, joint venture, or any other legal or commercial entity.

“Tips” means a verifiable sum to be presented by a customer as a gift or gratuity in recognition of some service performed for the customer by the app-based worker receiving the tip.

“Traffic infraction” means a violation of state law or administrative regulation, or local law, ordinance, regulation, or resolution, relating to traffic including parking, standing, stopping, and pedestrian offenses, which is not classified as a criminal offense, consistent with RCW 46.63.020 as amended. A “traffic infraction” includes any offense committed in another jurisdiction that includes the elements of any offense designated as a

traffic infraction consistent with RCW 46.63.020 as amended.

“Unwarranted deactivation” means a deactivation that does not comply with Section 8.40.050.

“Worker platform” means the worker-facing application dispatch system software or any online-enabled application service, website, or system, used by an app-based worker, that enables the arrangement of services for compensation.

“Written” or “in writing” means a printed or printable communication in physical or electronic format including a communication that is transmitted through email, text message, or a computer system, or is otherwise sent or maintained electronically, including via the worker platform.

8.40.030 App-based worker coverage

A. For the purpose of this Chapter 8.40, except for Section 8.40.100, covered app-based workers are limited to those for whom, during the previous 180 days, at least ten percent of their completed offers, or offers cancelled with cause, involved performing services in Seattle for a covered network company.

B. For the purpose of Section 8.40.100, an app-based worker is covered by Section 8.40.100 if the app-based worker performs services in Seattle facilitated or presented by a network company covered by this Chapter 8.40.

C. An app-based worker who is a covered employee under Chapter 14.20 for a covered network company, or a covered employee under Chapter 14.20 for a customer of an online order, is not a covered app-based worker under this Chapter 8.40.

8.40.040 Network company coverage

A. For the purposes of this Chapter 8.40, covered network companies are limited to those that facilitate work performed by 250 or more app-based workers worldwide regardless of where those workers perform work, including but not limited to chains, integrated enterprises, or franchises associated with a franchise or network of franchises that facilitate work performed by 250 or more app-based workers worldwide in aggregate.

B. To determine the number of app-based workers performing work for the current calendar year:

1. The calculation is based upon the average number per calendar week of app-based workers who worked for compensation during the preceding calendar year for any and all weeks during which at least one app-based worker worked for compensation.

2. For network companies that did not have any app-based workers during the preceding calendar year, the number of app-based workers counted for the current calendar year is calculated based upon the average number per calendar week of app-based workers who worked for compensation during the first 90 calendar days of the current year in which the network company engaged in business.

3. If a network company quits, sells out, exchanges, or disposes the network company's business, or the network company's business is otherwise acquired by a successor, the number of app-based workers hired for the current calendar year for the successor network company is calculated based upon the average number per calendar week of app-based workers who worked for compensation during the first 90 calendar days of the current year in which the successor network company engaged in business.

4. All app-based workers who worked for compensation shall be counted, including but not limited to:

- a. App-based workers who are not covered by this Chapter 8.40;
- b. App-based workers who worked in Seattle; and
- c. App-based workers who worked outside Seattle.

C. Separate entities that form an integrated enterprise shall be considered a single network company under this Chapter 8.40. Separate entities will be considered an integrated enterprise and a single network company under this Chapter 8.40 where a separate entity controls the operation of another entity. The factors to consider in making this assessment include but are not limited to:

1. Degree of interrelation between the operations of multiple entities;
2. Degree to which the entities share common management;

3. Centralized control of labor relations;
4. Degree of common ownership or financial control over the entities; and
5. Use of a common brand, trade, business, or operating name.

8.40.050 Deactivation requirements

A. A network company shall adopt the following measures prior to deactivating an app-based worker, except as provided in subsection 8.40.050.C:

1. Fair notice of deactivation policy. A network company must inform the app-based worker in writing of the network company's deactivation policy, defining what constitutes a violation that may result in deactivation. The network company's written deactivation policy must be specific enough for an app-based worker to understand what constitutes a violation and how to avoid violating the policy. The deactivation policy must be available to the app-based worker in English and any language that the network company knows or has reason to know is the primary language of the app-based worker. The deactivation policy must be accessible to the app-based worker at least three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules governing the form and description of the deactivation policy, the manner of its distribution, and required languages for its translation.

2. Reasonable policy. The policy that may lead to a deactivation must be reasonably related to the network company's safe and efficient operations. Examples of policies that are not reasonably related to the network company's safe and efficient operations include, but are not limited to:

- a. Any rule or policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker's availability to work or number of hours worked, consistent with subsection 8.37.080.A.1;
- b. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker's acceptance or rejection of any individual offer, any types of offers, or any number or proportion of offers, consistent with subsection 8.37.080.A.2;
- c. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker's

cancellation of an offer with cause, consistent with subsection 8.37.080.C;

d. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker contacting the network company;

e. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based solely on a quantitative metric derived from aggregate customer ratings of an app-based worker's performance;

f. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on statements by an app-based worker regarding compensation and/or working conditions made to customers, other app-based workers, network companies, the media, public officials, and/or the public;

g. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker asserting their legal rights, whether in court or via procedures provided by any local, state, or federal agency; and

h. Any policy that would deactivate a worker based on the results of a background check, consumer report, driver record, or record of traffic infractions, except in cases of egregious misconduct or where required by other applicable law.

3. Investigation. A network company must conduct a fair and objective investigation prior to deactivating an app-based worker. The investigation must be sufficiently thorough to justify the deactivation and demonstrate an unbiased and neutral view of facts collected. If the app-based worker does not participate in the investigation or provide relevant information, the network company may complete the investigation based on available sources of information.

4. Confirmation of violation. The network company must demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the alleged violation of the network company's policy or rule occurred.

5. Consistent application. The network company must apply the rule or policy, and penalty for violations, in a consistent manner.

6. Proportionate penalty. The penalty of deactivation must be reasonably related to the offense, and account for mitigating circumstances, such as the app-based worker's past work history with the network

company.

B. Deactivation of an app-based worker will be considered unwarranted if the action is intended to or results in discrimination or a discriminatory act.

C. Subject to the provisions of this Section 8.40.050 and rules issued by the Director, a network company may immediately deactivate an app-based worker if such action is required to comply with any applicable court order or local, state, or federal laws or regulations, or where an app-based worker has engaged in egregious misconduct.

1. In the case of allegations of egregious misconduct, the network company may deactivate the app-based worker before completing an investigation. Except in extraordinary circumstances, the investigation shall not take longer than 14 days. If the investigation is delayed due to extraordinary circumstances, the network company must provide the app-based worker with written notice that the investigation is delayed, the reason(s) for the delay, and the date on which the completion of the investigation is anticipated.

8.40.060 Right to challenge deactivation

A. A network company shall not subject an app-based worker to unwarranted deactivation.

B. An app-based worker shall have a right to challenge the worker's deactivation through an internal deactivation challenge procedure established by the network company.

1. A network company shall create an internal deactivation challenge procedure that shall be available to the app-based worker immediately upon notice of their deactivation and up to 90 days after the app-based worker's receipt of notice.

2. The internal deactivation challenge procedure must be available to the app-based worker in writing, in a format that is readily accessible to the app-based worker, and in English and any language that the network company knows or has reason to know is the primary language of the app-based worker. The written policy describing the deactivation challenge procedure shall be available to the app-based worker at least three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules governing the form and content of the policy describing

the deactivation challenge procedure, the manner of its distribution, and required languages for its translation.

3. A network company shall review and respond to an app-based worker's challenge to deactivation within 14 days of receiving a challenge.

4. A network company's response to a worker's challenge to deactivation must include a written statement certified by an individual at the network company with authority to reinstate the app-based worker.

The written statement must include one of the following:

- a. Evidentiary substantiation of the deactivation pursuant to Section 8.40.080, and substantive responses to questions or claims made by the app-based worker in challenging the deactivation;
- b. Any extraordinary circumstances necessitating a delayed timeline for response, and an anticipated date for a response either substantiating the deactivation or reinstating the app-based worker; or
- c. A determination that the worker did not violate the network company's deactivation policy and therefore must be reinstated on the platform.

C. In addition to pursuing an internal challenge to deactivation pursuant to subsection 8.40.060.B, an app-based worker shall have a right to file a complaint with the Agency or bring a civil action for violations of the requirements of this Chapter 8.40 upon receiving the network company's initial response to the internal challenge, or 14 days after initiating a challenge, whichever comes earlier. An app-based worker may pursue all avenues of relief available thereafter within three years of the alleged violation, or as tolled pursuant to subsection 8.40.150.C.

D. An app-based worker shall have a right to challenge their deactivation and pursue all avenues of relief available to them regardless of the geographic location of the incidents leading to the network company's decision to deactivate the app-based worker.

8.40.070 Notice of deactivation

A. Except as provided under subsection 8.40.070.C, a network company shall provide an app-based worker with notice of deactivation 14 days in advance of the deactivation, as well as upon the effective date of

deactivation. The notice of deactivation shall include a written statement of the following:

1. The reasons for deactivation; including the network company's policy that was violated, pursuant to Section 8.40.050, and the specific incident or pattern of incidents that violated the deactivation policy;
2. The effective date of deactivation;
3. Any and all records relied upon to substantiate deactivation, pursuant to Section 8.40.080;
4. The length of the deactivation;
5. A description of the steps an app-based worker can take to remedy the deactivation;
6. The app-based worker's right to challenge such deactivation under this Chapter 8.40;
7. The network company's process for challenging a deactivation, pursuant to subsection 8.40.060.B, including the available methods of contact for an app-based worker to initiate a challenge; and
8. Any other items pursuant to Director's Rules.

B. The network company shall provide notice of deactivation in a form and manner designated by the Agency. The Agency may create and distribute a model notice of deactivation in English and other languages as provided by rules issued by the Director. However, network companies are responsible for providing app-based workers with the notice of deactivation required by this subsection 8.40.070, regardless of whether the Agency has created and distributed a model notice of deactivation.

C. For deactivations involving egregious misconduct, pursuant to subsection 8.40.050.C, the network company shall provide an app-based worker with the notice of deactivation no later than the effective date of deactivation.

8.40.080 Access to records substantiating deactivation

A. Pursuant to subsection 8.40.080.C, upon notice of deactivation, a network company shall provide an app-based worker with the records relied upon by the network company to substantiate deactivation, unless contrary to local, state, or federal law. These records shall include but not be limited to the date, time, and

location of all incidents supporting the deactivation decision, a copy of the evidence the network company considered in the deactivation decision, and a certified statement from an individual at the network company with authority to reinstate the app-based worker, attesting that these are true and accurate records to the individual's knowledge.

B. If further records substantiating a deactivation come into the network company's possession after the app-based worker is deactivated, such records shall be provided to the app-based worker as soon as practicable and no later than 14 days from the date of the network company's receipt.

C. If an app-based worker challenges a deactivation pursuant to subsection 8.40.060.B, all records of that challenge and any responses must be provided to the worker within 14 days of each submittal or response.

D. If the records substantiating deactivation involve information related to a customer or a third party and the network company reasonably believes that information could compromise the customer or third party's safety, the network company may take measures to anonymize information related to that customer or third party. If a complaint from a customer or third party is the sole basis for a deactivation, the network company may provide a summary description of the records substantiating the deactivation. The Director may issue rules regarding the measures taken to summarize the records substantiating the deactivation or anonymize information related to a customer or third party.

E. Network companies shall establish an accessible system for app-based workers to access their receipts and/or payment disclosures for each offer performed or cancelled, pursuant to subsection 8.37.070.B and Section 14.34.060. Network companies shall make this system available to the app-based worker via smartphone application or online web portal. This accessible system shall be available to an app-based worker at least three years after deactivation.

F. Network companies shall retain the records required by this Section 8.40.080 for a period of three years.

G. If a network company fails to disclose adequate records to the app-based worker as required under

this Section 8.40.080, there shall be a presumption, rebuttable by clear and convincing evidence, that the network company violated this Chapter 8.40 for the relevant periods and for each app-based worker for whom records were not disclosed in a timely manner. This presumption is substantive and necessary to effectuate the other rights provided in this Chapter 8.40.

8.40.090 Affirmative production of records

A. A network company shall affirmatively transmit to the Agency such records as required by rules issued by the Director, on at least a quarterly basis or as documents are updated by the network company. The Director shall have the authority to require such aggregated or disaggregated records deemed necessary, appropriate, or convenient to administer, evaluate, and enforce the provisions of this Chapter 8.40. The Director may issue rules requiring that aggregated records be produced as a distribution at defined percentiles. The Director may issue data production rules of general applicability as well as rules specific to on-demand network companies, as defined in Section 8.37.020.

1. Records for production may include:

- a. Records regarding the number of deactivations initiated by a network company;
- b. Records regarding the reasons for deactivation most commonly referred to, such as the rule or policy violated by the app-based worker;
- c. The number of app-based workers challenging their deactivation and the forum in which they are pursuing a challenge;
- d. The number of app-based workers reinstated after deactivation, length of deactivation prior to reinstatement, and length of service prior to deactivation;
- e. The network company's deactivation policy;
- f. The network company's internal deactivation challenge procedure, pursuant to Section 8.40.060, including the available methods of contact for an app-based worker to initiate a challenge; and
- g. Any other records that the Director determines are material and necessary to effectuate

the purposes of this Chapter 8.40.

2. The Director shall issue rules governing the submission format, security, and privacy protocols relating to the submission of network company records, to the extent permitted by law.

8.40.100 Notice of rights

A. Network companies shall affirmatively provide each app-based worker with a written notice of rights established by this Chapter 8.40. The Agency may create and distribute a model notice of rights in English and other languages. If the Agency creates a model notice of rights, network companies shall affirmatively provide such notice according to the schedule outlined in subsection 8.40.100.A.1. However, network companies are responsible for providing app-based workers with the notice of rights required by this Section 8.40.100, in a form and manner sufficient to inform app-based workers of their rights under this Chapter 8.40, regardless of whether the Agency has created and distributed a model notice of rights.

1. Network companies shall affirmatively provide each app-based worker with the written notice of rights within one month of the effective date of this Chapter 8.40. For each app-based worker hired by the network company after this date, network companies shall provide the notice of rights within 24 hours of the first completed offer that involved performing services in Seattle, facilitated or presented by the network company.

2. For each app-based worker, network companies shall provide the notice of rights no less than annually.

B. The notice of rights shall provide information on:

1. The right to challenge an unwarranted deactivation through a network company's internal deactivation challenge procedure and/or through other avenues pursuant to Section 8.40.060, subject to coverage eligibility under subsection 8.40.030.A;

2. The policy describing the deactivation challenge procedure pursuant to subsection 8.40.060.B;

3. The right to 14 days' notice of an impending deactivation, except in the case of egregious

misconduct;

4. The right to access any and all records relied upon by the network company to substantiate deactivation, pursuant to Section 8.40.080;

5. The right to be protected from retaliation for exercising in good faith the rights protected by this Chapter 8.40; and

6. The right to file a complaint with the Agency consistent with Section 8.40.130 or bring a civil action for violation of the requirements of this Chapter 8.40.

C. Network companies shall provide the notice of rights required by subsection 8.40.100.B in an electronic format that is readily accessible to the app-based worker. The notice of rights shall be made available to the app-based worker via smartphone application, email, or online web portal, in English and any language that the network company knows or has reason to know is the primary language of the app-based worker. The Director may issue rules governing the form and content of the notice of rights, the manner of its distribution, and required languages for its translation.

D. Network companies shall establish an accessible system for app-based workers to understand their eligibility to challenge a deactivation, pursuant to subsection 8.40.030.A. Network companies shall make this system available to the app-based worker via smartphone application or online web portal. This system shall be available to an app-based worker, at least three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules defining reasonable criteria or requirements for this system to ensure that app-based workers have sufficient information to understand when they are covered by the entirety of Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to notice of coverage by this Chapter 8.40, the number of offers completed or cancellations in the previous 180 days, the number of completed offers or cancellations that involved performing services in Seattle in the previous 180 days, the overall percentage of completed offers that involved performing services in Seattle in the previous 180 days, and the app-based worker's receipts and/or payment disclosures for each offer performed or cancelled in the previous 180 days, pursuant to subsection 8.37.070.B and Section 14.34.060.

8.40.110 Network company records

A. Network companies shall retain records that document compliance with this Chapter 8.40 for each app-based worker, including, at a minimum, a compliance file for each deactivation. The Director may issue rules governing the format of the records needed to constitute compliance of this Section 8.40.110. The Director may also issue rules governing the form, format, and content of the compliance file for each deactivation. This compliance file may include:

1. The deactivation notice provided to the app-based worker, pursuant to Section 8.40.070;
2. Date of completion of investigation;
3. Whether the deactivation involved egregious misconduct and, if so, the egregious misconduct at issue;
4. Whether the deactivation investigation includes extraordinary circumstances, pursuant to subsection 8.40.050.B and, if so, the extraordinary circumstances at issue;
5. Number of offers completed in the 180 days prior to deactivation notice;
6. Number of completed offers that involved performing services in Seattle in the 180 days prior to deactivation notice;
7. Date of deactivation challenge according to the network company's internal deactivation challenge procedure;
8. All responses to an app-based worker regarding a deactivation challenge, pursuant to subsections 8.40.060.B and 8.40.080.C; and
9. Any other records pursuant to Director's Rules.

B. Network companies shall retain the records required by subsection 8.40.110.A for a period of three years.

C. If a network company fails to retain adequate records required under subsection 8.40.110.A, there shall be a presumption, rebuttable by clear and convincing evidence, that the network company violated this

Chapter 8.40 for the relevant periods and for each app-based worker for whom records were not retained. This presumption is substantive and necessary to effectuate the rights provided in this Chapter 8.40.

8.40.120 Retaliation prohibited

A. No network company or any other person acting on behalf of the network company shall interfere with, restrain, deny, or attempt to deny the exercise of any right protected under this Chapter 8.40.

B. No network company or any other person shall take any adverse action against any person because the person has exercised in good faith the rights protected under this Chapter 8.40. Such rights include, but are not limited to, the right to make inquiries about the rights protected under this Chapter 8.40; the right to inform others about their rights under this Chapter 8.40; the right to inform the person's network company, the person's legal counsel, a union or similar organization, or any other person about an alleged violation of this Chapter 8.40; the right to file an oral or written complaint with the Agency or bring a civil action for an alleged violation of this Chapter 8.40; the right to cooperate with the Agency in its investigations of this Chapter 8.40; the right to testify in a proceeding under or related to this Chapter 8.40; the right to refuse to participate in an activity that would result in a violation of city, state, or federal law; and the right to oppose any policy, practice, or act that is unlawful under this Chapter 8.40.

C. No network company or any other person shall communicate to a person exercising rights protected in this Section 8.40.120, directly or indirectly, the willingness to inform a government worker that the person is not lawfully in the United States, or to report, or to make an implied or express assertion of a willingness to report, suspected citizenship or immigration status of an app-based worker or family member of an app-based worker to a federal, state, or local agency because the app-based worker has exercised a right under this Chapter 8.40.

D. It shall be a rebuttable presumption of retaliation if a network company or any other person takes an adverse action against a person within 90 days of the person's exercise of rights protected in this Section 8.40.120. The network company may rebut the presumption with clear and convincing evidence that the

adverse action was taken for a permissible purpose.

E. Proof of retaliation under this Section 8.40.120 shall be sufficient upon a showing that a network company or any other person has taken an adverse action against a person and the person's exercise of rights protected in this Section 8.40.120 was a motivating factor in the adverse action, unless the network company can prove that the action would have been taken in the absence of such protected activity.

F. The protections afforded under this Section 8.40.120 shall apply to any person who mistakenly but in good faith alleges violations of this Chapter 8.40.

G. A complaint or other communication by any person triggers the protections of this Section 8.40.120 regardless of whether the complaint or communication is in writing or makes explicit reference to this Chapter 8.40.

8.40.125 Rulemaking authority

Except as provided in subsection 8.40.130.B, the Director is authorized to administer and enforce this Chapter 8.40. The Director is authorized to promulgate, revise, or rescind rules and regulations deemed necessary, appropriate, or convenient to administer, evaluate, and enforce the provisions of this Chapter 8.40 pursuant to Chapter 3.02, providing affected entities with due process of law and in conformity with the intent and purpose of this Chapter 8.40. Any rules promulgated by the Director shall have the force and effect of law and may be relied on by network companies, app-based workers, and other parties to determine their rights and responsibilities under this Chapter 8.40.

8.40.130 Enforcement power and duties

A. Except as provided in subsection 8.40.130.B, on or after January 1, 2025, the Agency shall have the power to administer and enforce this Chapter 8.40 and shall have such powers and duties in the performance of these functions as are defined in this Chapter 8.40 and otherwise necessary and proper in the performance of the same and provided for by law.

B. Starting June 1, 2027, the Agency may have the power to enforce subsections 8.40.050.A.3,

8.40.050.A.4, 8.40.050.A.5, 8.40.050.A.6, and 8.40.050.B. This subsection 8.40.130.B does not limit the ability of an app-based worker to seek other avenues of relief for violations of those subsections.

8.40.140 Violation

The failure of any respondent to comply with any requirement imposed on the respondent under this Chapter 8.40 is a violation.

8.40.150 Investigation

A. Except as provided in subsection 8.40.130.B, the Agency shall have the power to investigate any violations of this Chapter 8.40 by any respondent. The Agency may prioritize investigations of workforces that are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 8.40. The Agency may initiate an investigation pursuant to Director's Rules, including but not limited to situations when the Director has reason to believe that a violation has occurred or will occur, or when circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of network companies or businesses because either the workforce contains significant numbers of app-based workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 8.40, or the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations. An investigation may also be initiated through the receipt by the Agency of a report or complaint filed by an app-based worker, or any other person.

B. An app-based worker or other person may report to the Agency any suspected violation of this Chapter 8.40. The Agency shall encourage reporting pursuant to this Section 8.40.150 by taking the following measures:

1. The Agency shall keep confidential, to the maximum extent permitted by applicable laws, the name and other identifying information of the app-based worker or person reporting the violation. However, with the authorization of such person, the Agency may disclose the name of the app-based worker or other person and identifying information as necessary to enforce this Chapter 8.40 or for other appropriate purposes.

2. The Agency may require the network company to post or otherwise notify other app-based workers working for the network company that the Agency is conducting an investigation. The network

company shall provide the notice of investigation in a form, place, and manner designated by the Agency. The Agency shall create the notice of investigation in English and other languages.

3. The Agency may certify the eligibility of eligible persons for “U” Visas under the provisions of 8 U.S.C. § 1184(p) and 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U). This certification is subject to applicable federal law and regulations, and Director’s Rules.

C. The Agency’s investigation shall commence within three years of the alleged violation. To the extent permitted by law, the applicable statute of limitations for civil actions is tolled during any investigation under this Chapter 8.40 and any administrative enforcement proceeding under this Chapter 8.40 based upon the same facts. For purposes of this Chapter 8.40:

1. The Agency’s investigation begins on the earlier date of when the Agency receives a complaint from a person under this Chapter 8.40, or when the Agency provides notice to the respondent that an investigation has commenced under this Chapter 8.40.

2. The Agency’s investigation ends when the Agency issues a final order concluding the matter and any appeals have been exhausted; the time to file any appeal has expired; or the Agency notifies the respondent in writing that the investigation has been otherwise resolved.

D. The Agency’s investigation shall be conducted in an objective and impartial manner.

E. The Director may apply by affidavit or declaration in the form allowed under RCW 5.50.050 as amended to the Hearing Examiner for the issuance of subpoenas requiring a network company to produce the records required by Section 8.40.080 or 8.40.110, or for the attendance and testimony of witnesses, or for the production of documents required to be retained under Section 8.40.080 or 8.40.110, or any other document relevant to the issue of whether any app-based worker or group of app-based workers received the information or other benefits required by this Chapter 8.40, and/or to whether a network company has violated any provision of this Chapter 8.40. The Hearing Examiner shall conduct the review without hearing as soon as practicable and shall issue subpoenas upon a showing that there is reason to believe that: a violation has

occurred; a complaint has been filed with the Agency; or circumstances show that violations are likely to occur within a class of businesses because the workforce contains significant numbers of app-based workers who are vulnerable to violations of this Chapter 8.40, the workforce is unlikely to volunteer information regarding such violations, or the Agency has gathered preliminary information indicating that a violation may have occurred.

F. A network company that fails to comply with the terms of any subpoena issued under subsection 8.40.150.E in an investigation by the Agency under this Chapter 8.40 before the issuance of a Director's Order issued pursuant to subsection 8.40.160.C may not use such records in any appeal to challenge the correctness of any determination by the Agency of liability, damages owed, or penalties assessed.

G. In addition to other remedies, the Director may refer any subpoena issued under subsection 8.40.150.E to the City Attorney to seek a court order to enforce any subpoena.

H. Where the Director has reason to believe that a violation has occurred, the Director may order any appropriate temporary or interim relief to mitigate the violation or maintain the status quo pending completion of a full investigation or hearing, including but not limited to a deposit of funds or bond sufficient to satisfy a good faith estimate of compensation, interest, damages, and penalties due. A respondent may appeal any such order in accordance with Section 8.40.180.

8.40.160 Findings of fact and determination

A. Except when there is an agreed-upon settlement, the Director shall issue a written determination with findings of fact resulting from the investigation and statement of whether a violation of this Chapter 8.40 has or has not occurred based on a preponderance of the evidence before the Director.

B. If the Director determines that there is no violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director shall issue a "Determination of No Violation" with notice of an app-based worker's or other person's right to appeal the decision, pursuant to Director's Rules.

C. If the Director determines that a violation of this Chapter 8.40 has occurred, the Director shall issue a "Director's Order" that shall include a notice of violation identifying the violation or violations.

1. The Director's Order shall state with specificity the amounts due under this Chapter 8.40 for each violation, including payment of unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, civil penalties, penalties payable to aggrieved parties, fines, and interest pursuant to Section 8.40.170.

2. The Director's Order may specify that civil penalties and fines due to the Agency can be mitigated for respondent's timely payment of remedy due to an aggrieved party pursuant to subsection 8.40.170.A.4.

3. The Director's Order may specify that civil penalties and fines are due to the aggrieved party rather than due to the Agency.

4. The Director's Order may direct the respondent to take such corrective action as is necessary to comply with the requirements of this Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to monitored compliance for a reasonable time period.

5. The Director's Order shall include notice of the respondent's right to appeal the decision pursuant to Section 8.40.180.

8.40.167 Navigation program

A. The Agency may establish a navigation program that provides intake, information, outreach, and/or education relating to the provisions and procedures of this Chapter 8.40. The range of information provided by the navigation program may include, but is not limited to:

1. General court information, such as:

a. Information on court procedures for filing civil actions in a court of competent jurisdiction; and

b. Information on obtaining translation and interpretation services;

2. General arbitration information, such as:

a. Information on arbitration procedures for filing arbitration claims; and

b. Information on obtaining translation and interpretation services;

3. A list of organizations that can be used to identify attorneys;
4. Organizations providing outreach and education, and/or legal assistance, to app-based workers;
5. Information about classifying workers as employees or independent contractors; and
6. As determined by the Director, additional information related to the provisions of this Chapter 8.40, other workplace protections, or other resources for resolving workplace issues.

B. The navigation program shall not include legal advice from the Agency. However, if the Agency provides information to an app-based worker about a community organization through the navigation program, the community organization is not precluded from providing legal advice.

8.40.170 Remedies

A. The payment of unpaid compensation, liquidated damages of up to twice the amount of unpaid compensation, civil penalties, penalties payable to aggrieved parties, fines, and interest provided under this Chapter 8.40 is cumulative and is not intended to be exclusive of any other available remedies, penalties, fines, and procedures.

1. The amounts of all civil penalties, penalties payable to aggrieved parties, and fines contained in this Section 8.40.170 shall be increased annually to reflect the rate of inflation and calculated to the nearest cent on January 1 of each year thereafter. The Agency shall determine the amounts and file a schedule of such amounts with the City Clerk.

2. If a violation is ongoing when the Agency receives a complaint or opens an investigation, the Director may order payment of unpaid compensation plus interest that accrues after receipt of the complaint or after the investigation opens and before the date of the Director's Order.

3. Interest shall accrue from the date the unpaid compensation was first due at 12 percent annum, or the maximum rate permitted under RCW 19.52.020 as amended.

4. If there is a remedy due to an aggrieved party, the Director may waive part or all civil

penalties and fines due to the Agency based on timely payment of the full remedy due to the aggrieved party.

a. The Director may waive the total amount of civil penalties and fines due to the Agency if the Director determines that the respondent paid the full remedy due to the aggrieved party within ten days of service of the Director's Order.

b. The Director may waive half the amount of civil penalties and fines due to the Agency if the Director determines that the respondent paid the full remedy due to the aggrieved party within 15 days of service of the Director's Order.

c. The Director shall not waive any amount of civil penalties and fines due to the Agency if the Director determines that the respondent has not paid the full remedy due to the aggrieved party after 15 days of service of the Director's Order.

5. When determining the amount of liquidated damages, civil penalties, penalties payable to aggrieved parties, and fines due under this Section 8.40.170 for a settlement agreement or Director's Order, including but not limited to the mitigation of civil penalties and fines due to the Agency for timely payment of remedy due to an aggrieved party under subsection 8.40.170.A.4, the Director may consider:

a. The total amount of unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, penalties, fines, and interest due;

b. The nature and persistence of the violations;

c. The extent of the respondent's culpability;

d. The substantive or technical nature of the violations;

e. The size, revenue, and human resources capacity of the respondent;

f. The circumstances of each situation;

g. The amount of penalties in similar situations; and

h. Pursuant to rules that the Director may issue, other factors that are material and

necessary to effectuate the terms of this Chapter 8.40.

B. A respondent found to be in violation of this Chapter 8.40 shall be liable for full payment of unpaid compensation due plus interest in favor of the aggrieved party for the period of deactivation under the terms of this Chapter 8.40, and other equitable relief.

1. If the precise amount of unpaid compensation cannot be determined due to a respondent's failure to produce records or if a respondent produces records in a manner or form which makes timely determination of the amount of unpaid compensation impracticable, the Director may:

a. Determine unpaid compensation as a matter of just and reasonable inference, including the use of representative evidence such as testimony or other evidence from representative employees or other aggrieved parties establishing violations for a class of employees or aggrieved parties; or

b. Assess a daily amount for unpaid compensation plus interest in favor of the aggrieved party in a minimum amount of at least the equivalent of payment for eight hours of work at the "hourly minimum wage" rate for Schedule 1 employers under Chapter 14.19.

2. For a first violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director may assess liquidated damages in an additional amount of up to twice the unpaid compensation.

3. For subsequent violations of this Chapter 8.40, the Director shall assess an amount of liquidated damages in an additional amount of twice the unpaid compensation.

4. For purposes of establishing a first and subsequent violation for this Section 8.40.170, the violation must have occurred within ten years of the settlement agreement or Director's Order.

C. A respondent found to be in violation of this Chapter 8.40 for retaliation under Section 8.40.120 shall be subject to any appropriate relief at law or equity including, but not limited to, reinstatement of the aggrieved party, front pay in lieu of reinstatement with full payment of unpaid compensation plus interest in favor of the aggrieved party under the terms of this Chapter 8.40, and liquidated damages in an additional amount of up to twice the unpaid compensation. The Director also shall order the imposition of a penalty payable to the aggrieved party of up to \$6,230.88.

D. The Director is authorized to assess civil penalties for a violation of this Chapter 8.40 and may specify that civil penalties are due to the aggrieved party rather than due to the Agency.

1. For a first violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director may assess a civil penalty of up to \$622.85 per aggrieved party.

2. For a second violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director shall assess a civil penalty of up to \$1,245.71 per aggrieved party, or an amount equal to ten percent of the total amount of unpaid compensation, whichever is greater.

3. For a third or any subsequent violation of this Chapter 8.40, the Director shall assess a civil penalty of up to \$6,230.88 per aggrieved party, or an amount equal to ten percent of the total amount of unpaid compensation, whichever is greater.

4. For purposes of this subsection 8.40.170.D, a violation is a second, third, or subsequent violation if the respondent has been a party to one, two, or more than two settlement agreements, respectively, stipulating that a violation has occurred; and/or one, two, or more than two Director’s Orders, respectively, have issued against the respondent in the ten years preceding the date of the violation; otherwise, it is a first violation.

E. The Director is authorized to assess fines for a violation of this Chapter 8.40 and may specify that fines are due to the aggrieved party rather than due to the Agency. The Director is authorized to assess fines as follows:

Violation	Fine
Failure to comply with deactivation requirements under Section 8.40.050	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide app-based worker with an internal deactivation challenge procedure under Section 8.40.060	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide app-based worker with a notice of deactivation under Section 8.40.070	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide app-based worker with records relied upon by the network company to substantiate the deactivation under Section 8.40.080	\$622.85 per aggrieved party

Failure to provide certified statement attesting to records provided to substantiate deactivation under Section 8.40.080	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to provide written notice of rights under Section 8.40.100	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to retain network company records for three years under subsections 8.40.110.B	\$622.85 per missing record
Failure to provide notice of investigation to app-based workers under subsection 8.40.150.B.2	\$622.85 per aggrieved party
Failure to post or distribute public notice of failure to comply with final order under subsection 8.40.210.A.1	\$622.85 per aggrieved party

The maximum amount that may be imposed in fines in a one-year period for each type of violation listed above is \$6,230.88 per aggrieved party.

F. A respondent that willfully hinders, prevents, impedes, or interferes with the Director or Hearing Examiner in the performance of their duties under this Chapter 8.40 shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$1,245.71 and not more than \$6,230.88.

G. In addition to the unpaid compensation, penalties, fines, liquidated damages, and interest, the Agency may assess against the respondent in favor of the City the reasonable costs incurred in enforcing this Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to reasonable investigation costs and attorneys' fees. The Director may issue rules on the amounts and contributing factors for assessing reasonable investigation costs and is strongly encouraged to assess such costs in favor of the City to support the Agency's implementation of this Chapter 8.40.

H. A respondent that is the subject of a settlement agreement stipulating that a violation shall count for debarment, or a final order for which all appeal rights have been exhausted, shall not be permitted to bid, or have a bid considered, on any City contract until such amounts due under the final order have been paid in full to the Director. If the respondent is the subject of a final order two times or more within a five-year period, the network company shall not be allowed to bid on any City contract for two years. This subsection 8.40.170.H shall be construed to provide grounds for debarment separate from, and in addition to, those contained in

Chapter 20.70 and shall not be governed by that chapter; provided, that nothing in this subsection 8.40.170.H shall be construed to limit the application of Chapter 20.70. The Director shall notify the Director of Finance and Administrative Services of all respondents subject to debarment under this subsection 8.40.170.H.

8.40.180 Appeal period and failure to respond

A. An app-based worker or other person who claims an injury as a result of an alleged violation of this Chapter 8.40 may appeal the Determination of No Violation, pursuant to Director's Rules.

B. A respondent may appeal the Director's Order, including all remedies issued pursuant to Section 8.40.170, by requesting a contested hearing before the Hearing Examiner in writing within 15 days of service of the Director's Order. If a respondent fails to appeal the Director's Order within 15 days of service, the Director's Order shall be final. If the last day of the appeal period so computed is a Saturday, Sunday, or federal or City holiday, the appeal period shall run until 5 p.m. on the next business day.

8.40.190 Appeal procedure and failure to appear

A. Contested hearings shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures for hearing contested cases contained in Section 3.02.090 and the rules adopted by the Hearing Examiner for hearing contested cases. The hearing shall be conducted de novo and the Director shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the violation or violations occurred. Upon establishing such proof, the remedies and penalties imposed by the Director shall be upheld unless it is shown that the Director abused discretion. Failure to appear for a contested hearing shall result in an order being entered finding that the respondent committed the violation stated in the Director's Order. For good cause shown and upon terms the Hearing Examiner deems just, the Hearing Examiner may set aside an order entered upon a failure to appear.

B. In all contested cases, the Hearing Examiner shall enter an order affirming, modifying, or reversing the Director's Order.

8.40.200 Appeal from Hearing Examiner order

A. The respondent may obtain judicial review of the decision of the Hearing Examiner by applying for a

Writ of Review in the King County Superior Court within 30 days from the date of the decision in accordance with the procedure set forth in chapter 7.16 RCW as amended, other applicable law, and court rules.

B. The decision of the Hearing Examiner shall be final and conclusive unless review is sought in compliance with this Section 8.40.200.

8.40.210 Failure to comply with final order

A. If a respondent fails to comply within 30 days of service of any settlement agreement with the Agency, or with any final order issued by the Director or the Hearing Examiner for which all appeal rights have been exhausted, the Agency may pursue, but is not limited to, the following measures to secure compliance:

1. The Director may require the respondent to post or distribute public notice of the respondent's failure to comply in a form and manner determined by the Agency.

2. The Director may refer the matter to a collection agency. The cost to the City for the collection services will be assessed as costs, at the rate agreed to between the City and the collection agency, and added to the amounts due.

3. The Director may refer the matter to the City Attorney for the filing of a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction to enforce such order or to collect amounts due. In the alternative, the Director may seek to enforce a settlement agreement, Director's Order, or a final order of the Hearing Examiner under Section 8.40.190.

4. The Director may request that the City's Department of Finance and Administrative Services deny, suspend, refuse to renew, or revoke any business license held or requested by the network company or person until such time as the network company complies with the remedy as defined in the settlement agreement or final order. The City's Department of Finance and Administrative Services shall have the authority to deny, refuse to renew, or revoke any business license in accordance with this subsection 8.40.210.A.4.

B. No respondent that is the subject of a final order issued under this Chapter 8.40 shall quit business, sell out, exchange, convey, or otherwise dispose of the respondent's business or stock of goods without first notifying the Agency and without first notifying the respondent's successor of the amounts owed under the final order at least three business days before such transaction. At the time the respondent quits business, or sells out, exchanges, or otherwise disposes of the respondent's business or stock of goods, the full amount of the remedy, as defined in a final order issued by the Director or the Hearing Examiner, shall become immediately due and payable. If the amount due under the final order is not paid by respondent within ten days from the date of such sale, exchange, conveyance, or disposal, the successor shall become liable for the payment of the amount due; provided, that the successor has actual knowledge of the order and the amounts due or has prompt, reasonable, and effective means of accessing and verifying the fact and amount of the order and the amounts due. The successor shall withhold from the purchase price a sum sufficient to pay the amount of the full remedy. When the successor makes such payment, that payment shall be deemed a payment upon the purchase price in the amount paid, and if such payment is greater in amount than the purchase price the amount of the difference shall become a debt due such successor from the network company.

8.40.220 Debt owed The City of Seattle

A. All monetary amounts due under the Director's Order shall be a debt owed to the City and may be collected in the same manner as any other debt in like amount, which remedy shall be in addition to all other existing remedies; provided, that amounts collected by the City for unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, penalties payable to aggrieved parties, or front pay shall be held in trust by the City for the aggrieved party and, once collected by the City, shall be paid by the City to the aggrieved party.

B. If a respondent fails to appeal a Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 8.40.180.B, the Director's Order shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court, or any court of competent jurisdiction, to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City finding that the respondent has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies and that all

amounts and relief contained in the order are due. The Director's Order shall constitute prima facie evidence that a violation occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under RCW 5.50.050 as amended containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to appeal the Director's Order to the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 8.40.180.B, and therefore has failed to exhaust the respondent's administrative remedies, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

C. If a respondent fails to obtain judicial review of an order of the Hearing Examiner within the time period set forth in subsection 8.40.200.A, the order of the Hearing Examiner shall be final, and the Director may petition the Seattle Municipal Court to enforce the Director's Order by entering judgment in favor of the City for all amounts and relief due under the order of the Hearing Examiner. The order of the Hearing Examiner shall constitute conclusive evidence that the violations contained therein occurred and shall be admissible without further evidentiary foundation. Any certifications or declarations authorized under RCW 5.50.050 as amended containing evidence that the respondent has failed to comply with the order or any parts thereof, and is therefore in default, or that the respondent has failed to avail itself of judicial review in accordance with subsection 8.40.200.A, shall also be admissible without further evidentiary foundation.

D. In considering matters brought under subsections 8.40.220.B and 8.40.220.C, the Seattle Municipal Court may include within its judgment all terms, conditions, and remedies contained in the Director's Order or the order of the Hearing Examiner, whichever is applicable, that are consistent with the provisions of this Chapter 8.40.

8.40.230 Private right of action

A. Any person or class of persons that suffers an injury as a result of a violation of this Chapter 8.40, or is the subject of prohibited retaliation under Section 8.40.120, may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against the network company or other person violating this Chapter 8.40 and, upon prevailing, may

be awarded reasonable attorney fees and costs and such legal or equitable relief as may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, without limitation: the payment of any unpaid compensation plus interest due to the person; liquidated damages in an additional amount of up to twice the unpaid compensation; a penalty payable to the aggrieved party of up to \$6,230.88 if the aggrieved party was subject to prohibited retaliation; and other civil penalties and fines payable to any aggrieved party, consistent with Section 8.40.170. Interest shall accrue from the date the unpaid compensation was first due at 12 percent per annum, or the maximum rate permitted under RCW 19.52.020 as amended.

B. For purposes of this Section 8.40.230, “person” includes any entity a member of which has suffered an injury or retaliation, or any other individual or entity acting on behalf of an aggrieved party that has suffered an injury or retaliation.

C. For purposes of determining membership within a class of persons entitled to bring an action under this Section 8.40.230, two or more app-based workers are similarly situated if they:

1. Performed services in Seattle for the same network company or network companies, whether concurrently or otherwise, at some point during the applicable statute of limitations period;
2. Allege one or more violations that raise similar questions as to liability; and
3. Seek similar forms of relief.

D. For purposes of subsection 8.40.230.C, app-based workers shall not be considered dissimilar solely because:

1. The app-based workers’ claims seek damages that differ in amount; or
2. The job titles of or other means of classifying the app-based workers differ in ways that are unrelated to their claims.

E. An order issued by a court may include a requirement for a network company to submit a compliance report to the court and/or to the Agency.

8.40.233 Waiver

Any waiver by an individual of any provisions of this Chapter 8.40 shall be deemed contrary to public policy and shall be void and unenforceable.

8.40.235 Encouragement of more generous policies

A. Nothing in this Chapter 8.40 shall be construed to discourage or prohibit a network company from the adoption or retention of minimum standards for deactivation policies for app-based workers that are more generous than the minimum standards required by this Chapter 8.40.

B. Nothing in this Chapter 8.40 shall be construed as diminishing the obligation of the network company to comply with any contract or other agreement providing more generous minimum standards for deactivation policies for app-based workers than required by this Chapter 8.40.

8.40.240 Other legal requirements-Effect on other laws

A. The provisions of this Chapter 8.40:

1. Supplement and do not diminish or replace any other basis of liability or requirement established by statute or common law;
2. Shall not be construed to preempt, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any other law, regulation, requirement, policy, or standard for minimum deactivation requirements, or other protections to app-based workers; and
3. Shall not be interpreted or applied so as to create any power or duty in conflict with federal or state law.

B. This Chapter 8.40 shall not be construed to preclude any person aggrieved from seeking judicial review of any final administrative decision or order made under this Chapter 8.40 affecting such person. Nothing in this Section 8.40.240 shall be construed as restricting the right of an app-based worker or other person to pursue any other remedies at law or equity for violation of the app-based worker's rights.

C. A network company's failure to comply with the provisions of this Chapter 8.40 shall not render any contract between the network company and an app-based worker void or voidable.

D. No provision of this Chapter 8.40 shall be construed as providing a determination about the legal classification of any individual as an employee or independent contractor.

8.40.250 Severability

The provisions of this Chapter 8.40 are declared to be separate and severable. If any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, subsection, or portion of this Chapter 8.40, or the application thereof to any network company, app-based worker, person, or circumstance, is held to be invalid, it shall not affect the validity of the remainder of this Chapter 8.40, or the validity of its application to other persons or circumstances.

Section 3. Section 3.02.125 of the Seattle Municipal Code, last amended by Ordinance 126788, is amended as follows:

3.02.125 Hearing Examiner filing fees

A. The filing fee for a case before the City Hearing Examiner is \$85, with the following exceptions:

Basis for Case	Fee in dollars
* * *	
All-Gender Restroom Notice of Violation (Section 14.07.040)	No fee
App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance (Chapter 8.40)	No fee
App-Based Worker Minimum Payment Ordinance (Chapter 8.37)	No fee
* * *	

* * *

Section 4. The City Council requests that the Office of Labor Standards provide a report back to Council on the implementation of this ordinance by no later than September 1, 2026.

Section 5. Section 2 of this ordinance shall take effect on January 1, 2025.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force 30 days after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Seattle Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the _____ day of _____, 2023, and signed by
me in open session in authentication of its passage this _____ day of _____, 2023.

President _____ of the City Council

Approved / returned unsigned / vetoed this _____ day of _____, 2023.

Bruce A. Harrell, Mayor

Filed by me this _____ day of _____, 2023.

Scheereen Dedman, City Clerk

(Seal)

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact:	CBO Contact:
LEG	Jasmine Marwaha/635-8941	N/A

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE relating to app-based worker labor standards; establishing labor standards on deactivation protections for app-based workers working in Seattle; amending Section 3.02.125 of the Seattle Municipal Code; and adding a new Chapter 8.40 to the Seattle Municipal Code.

Summary and Background of the Legislation: App-based workers perform offers facilitated or presented by network companies to provide a variety of valued services for the community (e.g., on-demand food delivery, pre-scheduled tasks). Network companies typically use algorithms to manage worker access to their platform, designed to maximize efficiency and customer satisfaction. There has been extensive documentation in social science literature and media reports about the negative impacts of algorithmic management on app-based workers, and what the Federal Trade Commission recently called “an invisible, inscrutable boss” that dictates core aspects of work.

Workers report being deactivated from the app-based platform, and thereby cut off from their income source, for reasons such as: rejecting too many orders, delays outside of their control, changing and unpredictable performance expectations, and many times for unknown reasons. Many workers report a lack of substantive response from companies when they try to challenge their deactivation. Workers further report that they are unable to meaningfully challenge their deactivation because the network company has control of the records and information related to the deactivation.

This ordinance would aim to create more stability and job security for app-based workers by requiring network companies to base deactivations on reasonable policies and provide app-based workers notice, records and human review of all deactivations. These requirements would be implemented by the Office of Labor Standards (OLS).

This ordinance would require network companies to give fair notice of their deactivation policy – the reasons that could get a worker deactivated. Those reasons must be reasonably related to the network company’s safe and efficient operations.

This ordinance would also require deactivations to be based on an investigation, that demonstrates by a preponderance of evidence that the app-based worker violated the company’s deactivation policy. The deactivation must also represent consistent application of the policy; it must be proportionate to the offense; and it cannot intend to or result in discrimination.

The ordinance would require all deactivated app-based workers to receive a notice of deactivation (NOD), which includes the records relied upon to substantiate the deactivation, and a description of the network company’s internal process for a worker to challenge the deactivation, among other requirements. This NOD must be provided to the worker 14 days in advance of a deactivation, unless the network company alleges that the worker has engaged in egregious misconduct.

If there is an allegation of egregious misconduct, the worker is entitled to receive the NOD on the date of deactivation, and the network company would have up to 10 days after the NOD is issued to complete its investigation. This timeline for investigation may be extended if there are extraordinary circumstances beyond the network company’s control delaying the investigation.

Under the proposed ordinance, workers would have a right to challenge their deactivation through an internal procedure, and can do so at any point up to 90 days after receiving the NOD. The network company would have 14 days to respond to the worker’s challenge, with a written statement certified by an individual at the network company with authority to reinstate the app-based worker. The worker would then be able to file a complaint with OLS or pursue their private right of action after that initial response, or after 14 days, whichever is earlier.

The network company would be required to provide app-based workers with the records relied upon to substantiate a deactivation. Those records must be certified from an individual at the network company that they are true and accurate records. If new evidence comes to the network company’s possession after the NOD, they must provide those records to the worker.

The ordinance also restricts the role of the Office of Labor Standards (OLS) to enforcing the facial policies and procedural requirements, and does not require OLS to review individual deactivations to determine whether they were substantiated. These procedural provisions are meant to address the information asymmetry and power imbalance that would otherwise exist if a worker were to challenge their deactivation in arbitration proceedings.

All network companies with 250 or more app-based workers would be covered under the ordinance. App-based worker coverage would be limited to workers who have had at least 10 percent of their offers in the past 180 days involve performing services in Seattle. After an app-based worker performs one offer in Seattle, they would have the right to receive a notice of rights from the company that includes a system for workers to understand their eligibility to challenge a deactivation under this ordinance, namely when they meet that 10 percent threshold in the previous 180 days.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? Yes No

If yes, please fill out the table below and attach a new (if creating a project) or marked-up (if amending) CIP Page to the Council Bill. Please include the spending plan as part of the attached CIP Page. If no, please delete the table.

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget?

Yes No

If there are no changes to appropriations, revenues, or positions, please delete the table below.

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?

Yes. There would be financial implications for OLS (e.g., cost of rulemaking, outreach, and enforcement), and to a lesser extent for the City Attorney Office (e.g., cost of supporting OLS enforcement), and Hearing Examiner (e.g., cost of conducting hearings on appeals from respondents and aggrieved parties).

OLS estimates that it would require \$1,000,000 per year for ongoing implementation costs, including staffing, outreach and communication, community partnerships, and translations. In addition, OLS estimates it would need \$200,000 in one-time funds to support initial implementation. Central Staff will continue to gather and analyze information from OLS to better understand financial implications.

Are there financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?

No.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

Yes. OLS would implement and enforce this legislation. There would be an undetermined number of legal referrals to the City Attorney. The Hearing Examiner would conduct hearings on appeals from respondents and aggrieved parties.

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

No.

c. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No.

d. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

No.

e. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?

The Race and Social Justice Initiative works toward eliminating racial disparities and achieving racial equity in Seattle. Black, Indigenous, and other People of Color face unique barriers to economic insecurity and disproportionately work in low-wage jobs with insecure

working conditions. Black and Latinx workers are overrepresented among app-based workers, comprising almost 42 percent of app-based workers but less than 29 percent of the overall labor force.

To reach workers with limited English proficiency, network companies would provide a notice of rights in English and in the worker’s primary language. OLS may create and distribute model notices of rights in English and other languages.

f. Climate Change Implications

1. Emissions: Is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way?

N/A

2. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle’s resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.

N/A

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program’s desired goal(s)?

OLS posts information on outreach and enforcement efforts on their [on-line, interactive dashboard](#). The same metrics publicized for other labor standards could apply for this legislation (e.g., number of inquiries, number of investigations, amounts of remedies). OLS contracts with community and business organizations to conduct measurable outreach efforts on worker rights and hiring entity/employer responsibilities.

Summary Attachments (if any):

CB 120580 – App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance Proposed Amendment Packet
 Public Safety & Human Services Committee – Monday, July 17, 2023

No.	Short title	Sponsor(s)	Pg.
8b	Revise requirements for substantiating deactivation	Nelson	1
10a	Revise requirements for affirmative production of records	Nelson	2
10b	Revise requirements for affirmative production of records	Lewis	4
11	Revise requirements for notice of rights	Nelson	6
12	Revise network company recordkeeping requirements	Nelson	8
13	Revise private right of action	Pedersen	10
14	Remove certain instances of subjective language	Nelson	15
15	Make technical corrections clarifying OLS enforcement role	Herbold & Lewis	19

Note: Highlighted amendments are mutually exclusive/conflicting.

Previously Discussed

No.	Short title	Sponsor(s)	Pg.
1	Allow for temporary deactivations unrelated to the worker’s actions	Herbold & Lewis	--
2a	Broaden definition of egregious misconduct	Herbold and Lewis	--
2b	Broaden definition of egregious misconduct	Nelson	--
2c	Broaden definition of egregious misconduct	Pedersen	--
3	Clarify when moving violations can constitute egregious misconduct	Herbold & Lewis	--
4	Narrow threshold for app-based worker coverage	Nelson	--
5a	Exclude marketplace network companies and certain other companies from coverage	Nelson	--
5b	Exclude pet care service companies from coverage	Pedersen	--
6f	Revise pre-deactivation requirements for completion of investigation	Herbold	--
7b	Revise notice of deactivation requirements	Pedersen	--
8a	Revise requirements for records substantiating deactivation when deactivation based on a complaint	Herbold & Lewis	--

Withdrawn

No.	Short title	Sponsor(s)	Pg.
6a	Revise fair notice deactivation requirement	Nelson	--
6b	Revise reasonable policy requirement	Nelson	--
6c	Revise pre-deactivation investigation requirement	Nelson	--
6d	Remove proportionate penalty requirement	Nelson	--
6e	Revise egregious misconduct investigation requirement	Nelson	--
7a	Revise notice of deactivation requirements	Nelson	--
9	Revise Internal Deactivation Challenge Procedure	Nelson	--

Amendment 8b Version 3 to CB 120580 App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance

Sponsor: Councilmember Nelson

Revise requirements for substantiating deactivation.

Effect: This amendment would revise the requirement for network companies to establish an accessible system for app-based workers to access their receipts for performed and cancelled offers as follows:

1. Limit the requirement to network companies covered by Chapter 8.37 (App-Based Worker Minimum Payment Ordinance).
2. Remove reference to network companies covered by Chapter 14.34 (Independent Contractor Protections Ordinance).

As a result of this amendment, marketplace network companies would not be required to establish this accessible system. Marketplace network companies are not covered by Chapter 8.37 and are covered by Chapter 14.34 only for services performed for commercial hiring entities (i.e., businesses).

Marketplace network companies would still be required to comply with other access to records requirements and would be subject to a rebuttable presumption of violation if the company failed to disclose adequate records to the app-based worker.

Amend Section 2 of CB 120580 as follows:

8.40.080 Access to records substantiating deactivation

* * *

E. Network companies covered by Chapter 8.37 shall establish an accessible system for app-based workers to access their receipts ~~((and/or payment disclosures))~~ for each offer performed or cancelled, pursuant to subsection 8.37.070.B ~~((and Section 14.34.060))~~. Network companies shall make this system available to the app-based worker via smartphone application or online web portal. This accessible system shall be available to an app-based worker at least three years after deactivation.

* * *

Amendment 10a Version 1 to CB 120580 App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance

Sponsor: Councilmember Nelson

Revise requirements for affirmative production of records.

Effect: This amendment would revise network company requirements for affirmative production of records as follows:

1. Require affirmative transmittal of records to the Agency on at least a yearly basis;
2. Remove the requirement for network companies to provide documents as they are updated; and
3. Remove from the list of records production the reference to any other records that the Director deems material and necessary to effectuate the purposes of this Chapter.

Amend Section 2 of CB 120580 as follows:

8.40.090 Affirmative production of records

A. A network company shall affirmatively transmit to the Agency such records as required by rules issued by the Director, on at least a ~~((quarterly))~~ yearly basis ~~((or as documents are updated by the network company))~~. The Director shall have the authority to require such aggregated or disaggregated records deemed necessary, appropriate, or convenient to administer, evaluate, and enforce the provisions of this Chapter 8.40. The Director may issue rules requiring that aggregated records be produced as a distribution at defined percentiles. The Director may issue data production rules of general applicability as well as rules specific to on-demand network companies, as defined in Section 8.37.020.

1. Records for production may include:

- a. Records regarding the number of deactivations initiated by a network company;

b. Records regarding the reasons for deactivation most commonly referred to, such as the rule or policy violated by the app-based worker;

c. The number of app-based workers challenging their deactivation and the forum in which they are pursuing a challenge;

d. The number of app-based workers reinstated after deactivation, length of deactivation prior to reinstatement, and length of service prior to deactivation;

e. The network company's deactivation policy; and

f. The network company's internal deactivation challenge procedure, pursuant to Section 8.40.060, including the available methods of contact for an app-based worker to initiate a challenge. (~~and~~

~~g. Any other records that the Director determines are material and necessary to effectuate the purposes of this Chapter 8.40.))~~

* * *

Amendment 10b Version 1 to CB 120580 App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance

Sponsor: Councilmember Lewis

Revise requirements for affirmative production of records.

Effect: This amendment would revise network company requirements for affirmative production of records as follows:

1. Require affirmative transmittal of records to the Agency on at least a quarterly basis until July 1, 2026, and at least every six months thereafter; and
2. Remove the requirement for network companies to provide documents as they are updated.

Requiring records on at least a quarterly basis until July 1, 2026 would temporarily provide the Agency with more frequent information to inform the implementation report (required under Section 4 of the ordinance) that is due to Council no later than September 1, 2026.

Amend Section 2 of CB 120580 as follows:

8.40.090 Affirmative production of records

A. A network company shall affirmatively transmit to the Agency such records as required by rules issued by the Director, on at least a quarterly basis ~~((or as documents are updated by the network company))~~ until July 1, 2026, and at least every six months thereafter.

The Director shall have the authority to require such aggregated or disaggregated records deemed necessary, appropriate, or convenient to administer, evaluate, and enforce the provisions of this Chapter 8.40. The Director may issue rules requiring that aggregated records be produced as a distribution at defined percentiles. The Director may issue data production rules of general applicability as well as rules specific to on-demand network companies, as defined in Section 8.37.020.

1. Records for production may include:

- a. Records regarding the number of deactivations initiated by a network company;
- b. Records regarding the reasons for deactivation most commonly referred to, such as the rule or policy violated by the app-based worker;
- c. The number of app-based workers challenging their deactivation and the forum in which they are pursuing a challenge;
- d. The number of app-based workers reinstated after deactivation, length of deactivation prior to reinstatement, and length of service prior to deactivation;
- e. The network company's deactivation policy;
- f. The network company's internal deactivation challenge procedure, pursuant to Section 8.40.060, including the available methods of contact for an app-based worker to initiate a challenge; and
- g. Any other records that the Director determines are material and necessary to effectuate the purposes of this Chapter 8.40.

* * *

Amendment 11 Version 2 to CB 120580 App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance

Sponsor: Councilmember Nelson

Revise requirements for notice of rights for marketplace network companies.

Effect: This amendment would exclude marketplace network companies from the requirement to establish an accessible system for app-based workers to understand their eligibility to challenge a deactivation.

In place of establishing an accessible system, marketplace network companies would be required to provide such information upon request to the app-based worker via email.

Amend Section 2 of CB 120580 as follows:

8.40.100 Notice of rights

* * *

D. Network companies other than marketplace network companies shall establish an accessible system for app-based workers to understand their eligibility to challenge a deactivation, pursuant to subsection 8.40.030.A. ~~((Network companies shall make t))~~ This system shall be available to the app-based worker via smartphone application or online web portal. This system shall be available to an app-based worker, at least three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules defining reasonable criteria or requirements for this system to ensure that app-based workers have sufficient information to understand when they are covered by the entirety of this Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to notice of coverage by this Chapter 8.40, the number of offers completed or cancellations in the previous 180 days, the number of completed offers or cancellations that involved performing services in Seattle in the previous 180 days, the overall percentage of completed offers that involved performing services in Seattle in

the previous 180 days, and the app-based worker's receipts and/or payment disclosures for each offer performed or cancelled in the previous 180 days, pursuant to subsection 8.37.070.B and Section 14.34.060.

E. Marketplace network companies shall provide sufficient information for app-based workers to understand their eligibility to challenge a deactivation upon request by the app-based worker. Marketplace network companies shall make this information available upon request to the app-based worker via email. Marketplace network companies shall make this information available to an app-based worker, at least three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules defining reasonable criteria or requirements to ensure that app-based workers have sufficient information to understand when they are covered by the entirety of this Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to notice of coverage by this Chapter 8.40, the number of offers completed or cancellations in the previous 180 days, the number of completed offers or cancellations that involved performing services in Seattle in the previous 180 days, and the overall percentage of completed offers that involved performing services in Seattle in the previous 180 days.

Amendment 12 Version 1 to CB 120580 App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance

Sponsor: Councilmember Nelson

Revise network company recordkeeping requirements.

Effect: This amendment would revise recordkeeping requirements as follows:

1. Remove the requirement to retain a compliance file; and
2. Remove the rebuttable presumption that failure to retain records constitutes a violation.

Amend Section 2 of CB 120580 as follows:

8.40.110 Network company records

A. Network companies shall retain records that document compliance with this Chapter 8.40 for each deactivation of an app-based worker. ~~((, including, at a minimum, a compliance file for each deactivation. The Director may issue rules governing the format of the records needed to constitute compliance of this Section 8.40.110. The Director may also issue rules governing the form, format, and content of the compliance file for each deactivation. This compliance file may include:~~

- ~~1. The deactivation notice provided to the app-based worker, pursuant to Section 8.40.070;~~
- ~~2. Date of completion of investigation;~~
- ~~3. Whether the deactivation involved egregious misconduct and, if so, the egregious misconduct at issue;~~
- ~~4. Whether the deactivation investigation includes extraordinary circumstances, pursuant to subsection 8.40.050.B and, if so, the extraordinary circumstances at issue;~~
- ~~5. Number of offers completed in the 180 days prior to deactivation notice;~~

~~6. Number of completed offers that involved performing services in Seattle in the 180 days prior to deactivation notice;~~

~~7. Date of deactivation challenge according to the network company's internal deactivation challenge procedure;~~

~~8. All responses to an app-based worker regarding a deactivation challenge, pursuant to subsections 8.40.060.B and 8.40.080.C; and~~

~~9. Any other records pursuant to Director's Rules.))~~

B. Network companies shall retain the records required by subsection 8.40.110.A for a period of three years.

~~((C. If a network company fails to retain adequate records required under subsection 8.40.110.A, there shall be a presumption, rebuttable by clear and convincing evidence, that the network company violated this Chapter 8.40 for the relevant periods and for each app-based worker for whom records were not retained. This presumption is substantive and necessary to effectuate the rights provided in this Chapter 8.40.))~~

Amendment 13 Version 1 to CB 120580 App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance

Sponsor: Councilmember Pedersen

Revise private right of action.

Effect: This amendment would revise the private right of action section to remove the ability of “any person or class of persons” to bring a civil action for violation of this Chapter 8.40, and instead limit it to “any app-based worker or class of app-based workers.”

This amendment would prevent an entity from bringing an action if a member has suffered an injury or retaliation due to a violation of this Chapter, or from bringing an action on behalf of aggrieved workers.

Amend Section 2 of CB 120580 as follows:

8.40.230 Private right of action

A. Any ~~((person or class of persons))~~ app-based worker or class of app-based workers that suffers an injury as a result of a violation of this Chapter 8.40, or is the subject of prohibited retaliation under Section 8.40.120, may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against the network company or other person violating this Chapter 8.40 and, upon prevailing, may be awarded reasonable attorney fees and costs and such legal or equitable relief as may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, without limitation: the payment of any unpaid compensation plus interest due to the person; liquidated damages in an additional amount of up to twice the unpaid compensation; a penalty payable to the aggrieved party of up to \$6,230.88 if the aggrieved party was subject to prohibited retaliation; and other civil penalties and fines payable to any aggrieved party, consistent with Section 8.40.170. Interest shall accrue from the

date the unpaid compensation was first due at 12 percent per annum, or the maximum rate permitted under RCW 19.52.020 as amended.

~~((B. For purposes of this Section 8.40.230, “person” includes any entity a member of which has suffered an injury or retaliation, or any other individual or entity acting on behalf of an aggrieved party that has suffered an injury or retaliation.~~

~~€.)~~ B. For purposes of determining membership within a class of ~~((persons))~~ app-based workers entitled to bring an action under this Section 8.40.230, two or more app-based workers are similarly situated if they:

1. Performed similar services in Seattle for the same network company or network companies, whether concurrently or otherwise, at some point during the applicable statute of limitations period;
2. Allege one or more violations that raise similar questions as to liability; and
3. Seek similar forms of relief.

~~((D.))~~ C. For purposes of subsection 8.40.230. ~~((€))~~ B., app-based workers shall not be considered dissimilar solely because:

1. The app-based workers’ claims seek damages that differ in amount; or
2. The job titles of or other means of classifying the app-based workers differ in ways that are unrelated to their claims.

~~((E.))~~ D. An order issued by a court may include a requirement for a network company to submit a compliance report to the court and/or to the Agency.

Amendment 14 Version 1 to CB 120580 App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights Ordinance

Sponsor: Councilmember Nelson

Remove certain references to fair, reasonable, reasonably, and objective in legislation.

Effect: This amendment would remove certain references to the following words throughout the legislation: fair, reasonable, reasonably, and objective.

Amend Section 1 of CB 120580 as follows:

* * *

I. App-based workers are subject to network company policies that unilaterally deactivate workers for a variety of reasons without consistent access to a ~~((fair))~~ process for such deactivations, nor do the workers have access to responsive network company personnel with the power to correct unwarranted deactivations by in-person meetings or telephone.

* * *

U. Establishing a ~~((reasonable))~~ standard for the deactivations of app-based workers as well as the ability to challenge unwarranted deactivations will help ensure that thousands of app-based workers who provide vital services in Seattle will be able to enjoy a measure of job security.

* * *

Amend Section 2 of CB 120580 as follows:

8.40.020 Definitions

* * *

“Adverse action” means reducing compensation; garnishing tips or gratuities; temporarily or permanently denying or limiting access to work, incentives, or bonuses; offering less desirable

work; terminating; deactivating; threatening; penalizing; retaliating; engaging in retaliatory ~~((unfair))~~ immigration-related practices; filing a false report with a government agency; or discriminating against any person for any reason prohibited by Section 8.40.120. “Adverse action” for an app-based worker may involve any aspect of the app-based worker’s work, including compensation, work hours, volume, and frequency of offers made available, desirability and compensation rates of offers made available, responsibilities, or other material change in the terms and conditions of work or in the ability of an app-based worker to perform work. “Adverse action” also includes any action by the network company or a person acting on the network company’s behalf that would dissuade a reasonable person from exercising any right afforded by this Chapter 8.40.

8.40.050 Deactivation requirements

A. A network company shall adopt the following measures prior to deactivating an app-based worker, except as provided in subsection 8.40.050.C:

1. ~~((Fair))~~ Notice of deactivation policy. A network company must inform the app-based worker in writing of the network company’s deactivation policy, defining what constitutes a violation that may result in deactivation. The network company’s written deactivation policy must be specific enough for an app-based worker to understand what constitutes a violation and how to avoid violating the policy. The deactivation policy must be available to the app-based worker in English and any language that the network company knows or has reason to know is the primary language of the app-based worker. The deactivation policy must be accessible to the app-based worker at least three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules governing the form and description of the deactivation policy, the manner of its distribution, and required languages for its translation.

2. ~~((Reasonable p))~~ Deactivation Policy. The policy that may lead to a deactivation must be ~~((reasonably))~~ related to the network company's safe and efficient operations. Examples of policies that are not ~~((reasonably))~~ related to the network company's safe and efficient operations include, but are not limited to:

* * *

3. Investigation. A network company must conduct ~~((a fair and objective))~~ an investigation prior to deactivating an app-based worker. The investigation must be sufficiently thorough to justify the deactivation and demonstrate an unbiased and neutral view of facts collected.

* * *

6. Proportionate penalty. The penalty of deactivation must be ~~((reasonably))~~ related to the offense, and account for mitigating circumstances, such as the app-based worker's past work history with the network company.

* * *

8.40.080 Access to records substantiating deactivation

* * *

D. If the records substantiating deactivation involve information related to a customer or a third party and the network company ~~((reasonably))~~ believes that information could compromise the customer or third party's safety, the network company may take measures to anonymize information related to that customer or third party. The Director may issue rules regarding the measures taken to anonymize information related to a customer or third party.

* * *

8.40.100 Notice of rights

* * *

D. Network companies shall establish an accessible system for app-based workers to understand their eligibility to challenge a deactivation, pursuant to subsection 8.40.030.A. Network companies shall make this system available to the app-based worker via smartphone application or online web portal. This system shall be available to an app-based worker, at least three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules defining ((reasonable)) criteria or requirements for this system to ensure that app-based workers have sufficient information to understand when they are covered by the entirety of Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to notice of coverage by this Chapter 8.40, the number of offers completed or cancellations in the previous 180 days, the number of completed offers or cancellations that involved performing services in Seattle in the previous 180 days, the overall percentage of completed offers that involved performing services in Seattle in the previous 180 days, and the app-based worker's receipts and/or payment disclosures for each offer performed or cancelled in the previous 180 days, pursuant to subsection 8.37.070.B and Section 14.34.060.

8.40.160 Findings of fact and determination

* * *

4. The Director's Order may direct the respondent to take such corrective action as is necessary to comply with the requirements of this Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to monitored compliance for a ((reasonable)) time period.

* * *

8.40.170 Remedies

* * *

B. A respondent found to be in violation of this Chapter 8.40 shall be liable for full payment of unpaid compensation due plus interest in favor of the aggrieved party for the period of deactivation under the terms of this Chapter 8.40, and other equitable relief.

1. If the precise amount of unpaid compensation cannot be determined due to a respondent's failure to produce records or if a respondent produces records in a manner or form which makes timely determination of the amount of unpaid compensation impracticable, the Director may:

a. Determine unpaid compensation as a matter of just and reasonable inference, including the use of representative evidence such as testimony or other evidence from representative employees or other aggrieved parties establishing violations for a class of employees or aggrieved parties; or

b. Assess a daily amount for unpaid compensation plus interest in favor of the aggrieved party in a minimum amount of at least the equivalent of payment for eight hours of work at the "hourly minimum wage" rate for Schedule 1 employers under Chapter 14.19.

* * *

G. In addition to the unpaid compensation, penalties, fines, liquidated damages, and interest, the Agency may assess against the respondent in favor of the City the ~~((reasonable))~~ costs incurred in enforcing this Chapter 8.40, including but not limited to ~~((reasonable))~~ investigation costs and attorneys' fees. The Director may issue rules on the amounts and contributing factors for assessing ~~((reasonable))~~ investigation costs and is strongly encouraged to

assess such costs in favor of the City to support the Agency's implementation of this Chapter 8.40.

* * *

8.40.210 Failure to comply with final order

* * *

B. No respondent that is the subject of a final order issued under this Chapter 8.40 shall quit business, sell out, exchange, convey, or otherwise dispose of the respondent's business or stock of goods without first notifying the Agency and without first notifying the respondent's successor of the amounts owed under the final order at least three business days before such transaction. At the time the respondent quits business, or sells out, exchanges, or otherwise disposes of the respondent's business or stock of goods, the full amount of the remedy, as defined in a final order issued by the Director or the Hearing Examiner, shall become immediately due and payable. If the amount due under the final order is not paid by respondent within ten days from the date of such sale, exchange, conveyance, or disposal, the successor shall become liable for the payment of the amount due; provided, that the successor has actual knowledge of the order and the amounts due or has prompt, ~~((reasonable,))~~ and effective means of accessing and verifying the fact and amount of the order and the amounts due. The successor shall withhold from the purchase price a sum sufficient to pay the amount of the full remedy. When the successor makes such payment, that payment shall be deemed a payment upon the purchase price in the amount paid, and if such payment is greater in amount than the purchase price the amount of the difference shall become a debt due such successor from the network company.

8.40.230 Private right of action

A. Any person or class of persons that suffers an injury as a result of a violation of this Chapter 8.40, or is the subject of prohibited retaliation under Section 8.40.120, may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction against the network company or other person violating this Chapter 8.40 and, upon prevailing, may be awarded ~~((reasonable))~~ attorney fees and costs and such legal or equitable relief as may be appropriate to remedy the violation including, without limitation: the payment of any unpaid compensation plus interest due to the person; liquidated damages in an additional amount of up to twice the unpaid compensation; a penalty payable to the aggrieved party of up to \$6,230.88 if the aggrieved party was subject to prohibited retaliation; and other civil penalties and fines payable to any aggrieved party, consistent with Section 8.40.170. Interest shall accrue from the date the unpaid compensation was first due at 12 percent per annum, or the maximum rate permitted under RCW 19.52.020 as amended.

Amendment #15 Version 1 to CB 120580 – App-Based Worker Deactivation Rights

Sponsor: Councilmembers Herbold and Lewis

Make Technical Corrections Clarifying OLS Enforcement Role

Effect: This amendment would do the following:

1. Clarify that the deactivation requirements for cases of egregious misconduct are triggered when the network company reasonably believes an app-based worker has engaged in egregious misconduct.
2. Remove the extraneous provision, “A network company shall not subject an app-based worker to unwarranted deactivation,” which may be interpreted to obligate OLS to investigate individual cases of deactivation, in conflict with Section 8.40.130.B.
3. Clarify that the Agency shall not have the power to enforce subsections 8.40.050.A.3, 8.40.050.A.4, 8.40.050.A.5, 8.40.050.A.6, and 8.40.050.B until June 1, 2027

Amend Section 2 of CB 120580 as follows:

8.40.050 Deactivation requirements

* * *

2. Reasonable policy. The policy that may lead to a deactivation must be reasonably related to the network company’s safe and efficient operations. Examples of policies that are not reasonably related to the network company’s safe and efficient operations include, but are not limited to:

a. Any rule or policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker’s availability to work or number of hours worked, consistent with subsection 8.37.080.A.1;

b. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker’s acceptance or rejection of any individual offer, any types of offers, or any number or proportion of offers, consistent with subsection 8.37.080.A.2;

c. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker's cancellation of an offer with cause, consistent with subsection 8.37.080.C;

d. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker contacting the network company;

e. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based solely on a quantitative metric derived from aggregate customer ratings of an app-based worker's performance;

f. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on statements by an app-based worker regarding compensation and/or working conditions made to customers, other app-based workers, network companies, the media, public officials, and/or the public;

g. Any policy that would result in a deactivation based on an app-based worker asserting their legal rights, whether in court or via procedures provided by any local, state, or federal agency; and

h. Any policy that would deactivate a worker based on the results of a background check, consumer report, driver record, or record of traffic infractions, except in cases of alleged egregious misconduct pursuant to subsection 8.40.050.C or where required by other applicable law.

* * *

C. Subject to the provisions of this Section 8.40.050 and rules issued by the Director, a network company may immediately deactivate an app-based worker if such action is required to comply with any applicable court order or local, state, or federal laws or regulations, or where the network company reasonably believes an app-based worker has engaged in egregious misconduct.

1. In the case of allegations of egregious misconduct, the network company may deactivate the app-based worker before completing an investigation. The investigation shall not take longer than 14 days except in the case of extraordinary circumstances. If the investigation is delayed due to extraordinary circumstances, the network company must provide the app-based worker with written notice that the investigation is delayed, the reason(s) for the delay, and the date on which the completion of the investigation is anticipated.

8.40.060 Right to challenge deactivation

~~((A. A network company shall not subject an app-based worker to unwarranted deactivation.))~~

~~((B))~~A. An app-based worker shall have a right to challenge the worker's deactivation through an internal deactivation challenge procedure established by the network company.

1. A network company shall create an internal deactivation challenge procedure that shall be available to the app-based worker immediately upon notice of their deactivation and up to 90 days after the app-based worker's receipt of notice.

2. The internal deactivation challenge procedure must be available to the app-based worker in writing, in a format that is readily accessible to the app-based worker, and in English and any language that the network company knows or has reason to know is the primary language of the app-based worker. The written policy describing the deactivation challenge procedure shall be available to the app-based worker at least three years after deactivation. The Director may issue rules governing the form and content of the policy describing the deactivation challenge procedure, the manner of its distribution, and required languages for its translation.

3. A network company shall review and respond to an app-based worker's challenge to deactivation within 14 days of receiving a challenge.

4. A network company's response to a worker's challenge to deactivation must include a written statement certified by an individual at the network company with authority to reinstate the app-based worker. The written statement must include one of the following:

a. Evidentiary substantiation of the deactivation and substantive responses to questions or claims made by the app-based worker in challenging the deactivation;

b. Any extraordinary circumstances necessitating a delayed timeline for response, and an anticipated date for a response either substantiating the deactivation or reinstating the app-based worker; or

c. A determination that the worker did not violate the network company's deactivation policy and therefore must be reinstated on the platform.

~~(E)~~B. In addition to pursuing an internal challenge to deactivation pursuant to subsection 8.40.060.~~(B)~~A, an app-based worker shall have a right to file a complaint with the Agency or bring a civil action for violations of the requirements of this Chapter 8.40 upon receiving the network company's initial response to the internal challenge, or 14 days after initiating a challenge, whichever comes earlier. An app-based worker may pursue all avenues of relief available thereafter within three years of the alleged violation, or as tolled pursuant to subsection 8.40.150.C.

~~(D)~~C. An app-based worker shall have a right to challenge their deactivation and pursue all avenues of relief available to them regardless of the geographic location of the incidents leading to the network company's decision to deactivate the app-based worker.

8.40.070 Notice of deactivation

A. Except as provided under subsection 8.40.070.C, a network company shall provide an app-based worker with notice of deactivation 14 days in advance of the deactivation, as well as

upon the effective date of deactivation. The notice of deactivation shall include a written statement of the following:

1. The reasons for deactivation; including the network company's policy that was violated, pursuant to Section 8.40.050, and the specific incident or pattern of incidents that violated the deactivation policy;
2. The effective date of deactivation;
3. Any and all records relied upon to substantiate deactivation, pursuant to Section 8.40.080;
4. The length of the deactivation;
5. A description of the steps an app-based worker can take to remedy the deactivation;
6. The app-based worker's right to challenge such deactivation under this Chapter 8.40;
7. The network company's process for challenging a deactivation, pursuant to subsection 8.40.060.~~(B)~~A, including the available methods of contact for an app-based worker to initiate a challenge; and
8. Any other items pursuant to Director's Rules.

B. The network company shall provide notice of deactivation in a form and manner designated by the Agency. The Agency may create and distribute a model notice of deactivation in English and other languages as provided by rules issued by the Director. However, network companies are responsible for providing app-based workers with the notice of deactivation required by this subsection 8.40.070, regardless of whether the Agency has created and distributed a model notice of deactivation.

C. For deactivations involving allegations of egregious misconduct, pursuant to subsection 8.40.050.C, the network company shall provide an app-based worker with the notice of deactivation no later than the effective date of deactivation.

8.40.080 Access to records substantiating deactivation

* * *

C. If an app-based worker challenges a deactivation pursuant to subsection 8.40.060.~~(B)~~A, all records of that challenge and any responses must be provided to the worker within 14 days of each submittal or response.

* * *

8.40.100 Notice of rights

* * *

B. The notice of rights shall provide information on:

1. The right to challenge an unwarranted deactivation through a network company's internal deactivation challenge procedure and/or through other avenues pursuant to Section 8.40.060, subject to coverage eligibility under subsection 8.40.030.A;
2. The policy describing the deactivation challenge procedure pursuant to subsection 8.40.060.~~(B)~~A;
3. The right to 14 days' notice of an impending deactivation, except in the case of egregious misconduct;
4. The right to access any and all records relied upon by the network company to substantiate deactivation;
5. The right to be protected from retaliation for exercising in good faith the rights protected by this Chapter 8.40; and

6. The right to file a complaint with the Agency consistent with Section 8.40.130 or bring a civil action for violation of the requirements of this Chapter 8.40.

8.40.110 Network company records

A. Network companies shall retain records that document compliance with this Chapter 8.40 for each app-based worker, including, at a minimum, a compliance file for each deactivation. The Director may issue rules governing the format of the records needed to constitute compliance of this Section 8.40.110. The Director may also issue rules governing the form, format, and content of the compliance file for each deactivation. This compliance file may include:

1. The deactivation notice provided to the app-based worker, pursuant to Section 8.40.070;
2. Date of completion of investigation;
3. Whether the deactivation involved egregious misconduct and, if so, the egregious misconduct at issue;
4. Whether the deactivation investigation includes extraordinary circumstances, pursuant to subsection 8.40.050.B and, if so, the extraordinary circumstances at issue;
5. Number of offers completed in the 180 days prior to deactivation notice;
6. Number of completed offers that involved performing services in Seattle in the 180 days prior to deactivation notice;
7. Date of deactivation challenge according to the network company's internal deactivation challenge procedure;
8. All responses to an app-based worker regarding a deactivation challenge, pursuant to subsections 8.40.060.~~(B)~~A and 8.40.080.C; and

9. Any other records pursuant to Director's Rules.

* * *

8.40.130 Enforcement power and duties

A. Except as provided in subsection 8.40.130.B, on or after January 1, 2025, the Agency shall have the power to administer and enforce this Chapter 8.40 and shall have such powers and duties in the performance of these functions as are defined in this Chapter 8.40 and otherwise necessary and proper in the performance of the same and provided for by law.

B. The Agency shall not have the power to enforce subsections 8.40.050.A.3, 8.40.050.A.4, 8.40.050.A.5, 8.40.050.A.6, and 8.40.050.B until June 1, 2027. Starting June 1, 2027, the Agency may ~~((have the power to))~~ enforce subsections 8.40.050.A.3, 8.40.050.A.4, 8.40.050.A.5, 8.40.050.A.6, and 8.40.050.B. This subsection 8.40.130.B does not limit the ability of an app-based worker to seek other avenues of relief for violations of those subsections.