

**Attachment B – Revised
7/26/2017**

Approach to the proposed Citywide Level of Service

Facility type	2011 Development Plan – Acceptable Level of Service (LOS)	2017 – 2022 Parks and Open Space Plan – Target Goals for Facility Distribution	Have the 2011 Development Plan LOS been met?	Rationale for the 2017 - 2022 Citywide LOS	Anticipated Action to Achieve the 2017 – 2022 Citywide LOS
Open Space	3.33 Acres/1,000 resident	8 Acres/1,000 residents	Yes.	In developing the proposed Citywide Level of Service (LOS), we looked at population growth projections, the price of land, and the availability of property. With an 8 acres/1,000 residents LOS, would need to acquire 40 acres of additional parkland by 2035, or approximately 13.5 acres during each 6-year cycle, assuming that growth projections are on target. If growth projections go up, then the amount of additional parkland needed would increase.	There is \$2 million in Park District funding for acquisition of parkland. There are opportunities for grants, such as the King County Conservation Futures Tax fund which allows leveraging of the Park District funding. SPR will evaluate future acquisitions on the acquisition priorities such as equity and health, income, poverty, and density, in addition to maximizing partnership opportunities. SPR Property Management has over 200 parcels that they are actively pursuing for natural area/greenbelt acquisition alone.

Comparison of Target Goals for Facility Distribution – 2011-2017

Target goals for facility distribution that are based on service areas or distances will take into consideration physical barriers to access and are only a starting point to analyze delivery of equitable access to facilities. The location of other similar providers or facilities must be considered, along with policies and priorities in the City’s adopted Comprehensive Plan, if relevant. In general, priority for increased equitable access will go to adding park amenities in underserved areas of the City.

Rationale for changes from 2011 to 2017

We are purposefully moving away from single source distribution based guidelines for facilities because they do not necessarily equate to providing an equitable system nor meeting the needs of the community per say. This change in approach is a national trend. Additionally, SPR will be conducting a user satisfaction survey every two years to gauge success.

Facility type	2011 Development Plan – Distribution Guidelines – Desirable and Acceptable levels	2017 - 2022 Parks and Open Space Plan – Target Goals for Facility Distribution	Have the 2011 Development Plan Distribution Guidelines been met?	Rationale for the 2017 - 2022 Target Goals for Facility Distribution	Anticipated Action to Achieve the 2017 – 2022 Target Goals for Facility Distribution
Community Centers	A community center should be located within 1- 1 ½ mile of every Seattle household and/or 1 full service center to serve a residential population of 15,000-	Every household in Seattle should be within 1-2 miles of a Community Center.	Not entirely. Service gaps still exist in the north Fremont area, in Crown Hill/Carkeek area, in north Lake City, in Boeing Field, in the	The desire is to expand capacity and allow for a broader reach of the population with our existing facilities. The revised guideline allows SPR to be	Lake City Community Center has now been included and recognized as a formal Seattle Parks and Recreation Community Center.

Facility type	2011 Development Plan – Distribution Guidelines – Desirable and Acceptable levels	2017 - 2022 Parks and Open Space Plan – Target Goals for Facility Distribution	Have the 2011 Development Plan Distribution Guidelines been met?	Rationale for the 2017 - 2022 Target Goals for Facility Distribution	Anticipated Action to Achieve the 2017 – 2022 Target Goals for Facility Distribution
Community Centers cont.	<p>20,000 people. Each urban center of the city is to be served by a center.</p> <p>Satellite facilities, or less than full-service facilities, will be considered to provide for community gathering places and to accommodate certain program activities, where conditions warrant. In order to control the number of new city facilities, programs may be provided in facilities owned by others in some cases.</p>		<p>extreme southwest corner of the city and in the extreme southeast corner of the city.</p>	<p>able to implement a programmatic approach to service delivery that creates a draw, and that meets the needs of the community.</p> <p>Taking a strategic, cost effective approach to make better use of our existing facilities, rather than building new facilities. By increasing the service distribution by ½ mile, SPR has virtually eliminated service gaps citywide.</p>	<p>With the addition of Lake City Community Center, virtually all the service gaps have been eliminated with the exception of the extreme southwest corner of the city.</p> <p>Future efforts in the next 6-years will be focused on major maintenance improvements, at six community centers, possible planning and design for the construction of two new community centers, and other capital projects as outlined in the Capital Improvement Plan and as listed in Appendix D of the Plan.</p>
Aquatic Facilities	<p>An indoor swimming pool should be provided within 2-2 ½ miles of every household and/or 1 swimming pool to serve a population of 40,000-50,000 residents. The availability of pools accessible to the public and provided by others (e.g. YMCA, etc) will be considered when determining priorities for new City pools.</p> <p>Lifeguarded beaches will be provided at selected parks on Lake Washington and at Green Lake only, with no new facilities anticipated.</p>	<p>Every household in Seattle should have access to a swimming pool or swimming beach within 4 miles.</p>	<p>No. With the 2011 distribution guidelines there are gaps in Laurelhurst, Alki/Hiawatha, Boeing Field, and Beacon Hill areas.</p> <p>This distribution guideline does not take into account the availability of pools accessible to the public and provided by others (e.g. YMCA, etc)</p>	<p>The 2017 target goal is more holistic, and looks at the aquatics system and swimming opportunities programmatically, and includes indoor pools, outdoor pools, and lifeguarded swimming beaches.</p> <p>The approach is seasonal in nature. By expanding the distribution goal from 2.5 miles to 4 miles, and including the lifeguarded swimming beaches, there are no swimming gaps during the summer season, which is the most popular swimming time.</p>	<p>Over the course of the 6-year planning horizon SPR has approximately \$8 Million earmarked in major maintenance funding a portion of which is for pool renovations.</p> <p>Future efforts in the next 6-years will be focused on implementing these renovations. Other projects, such as beach restoration and ADA improvements, will be considered as future projects.</p>
Outdoor Sports Courts and Facilities	<p>Approximately 4-6 6-court outdoor tennis complexes are desirable distributed throughout the city. A 4-court outdoor tennis complex is desirable at or near each community center. Existing neighborhood tennis courts will be maintained where feasible and new neighborhood courts sited only in response to strong community support.</p> <p>A full or half court is desirable within 1 mile of Seattle households in areas with 200 to 500 resident youth and/or young adults.</p>	<p>80% of all residents will rate their access to desired outdoor facilities, such as tennis and basketball courts, as Good or Excellent.</p>	<p>Not quite – there remains areas in the far southwest, far northeast corners of the city, and Licton Springs where gap exists, along with portions of the Duwamish industrial area.</p>	<p>SPR is focusing on increasing capacity for a variety of sports on existing outdoor sports courts and facilities.</p> <p>Currently SPR has tennis courts and basketball courts that provide dual use such as: bike polo and dodgeball, and limited pickleball.</p>	<p>There is \$500K in funding for court restoration that is used to repair sports courts. Future efforts in the next 6-years will be focused on restriping, court renovations and other court improvements, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conducting a customer satisfaction survey on a 2-year cycle and responding to the survey results with identification of new capital projects; • Initiating a Recreation and Programming Strategic Plan; and,

Facility type	2011 Development Plan – Distribution Guidelines – Desirable and Acceptable levels	2017 - 2022 Parks and Open Space Plan – Target Goals for Facility Distribution	Have the 2011 Development Plan Distribution Guidelines been met?	Rationale for the 2017 - 2022 Target Goals for Facility Distribution	Anticipated Action to Achieve the 2017 – 2022 Target Goals for Facility Distribution
Outdoor Sports Courts and Facilities Cont.					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Pickleball Pilot project: looking to restripe the courts at select existing tennis courts (potentially 10 sites) with pickleball lines for dual (tennis/pickleball) playability.
Sports/Athletic Fields	A soccer field and a turf softball/youth baseball field, is desirable within 1-2 miles of all Seattle households. A sufficient quantity of fields would be provided on a citywide basis to meet scheduling needs. Most (soccer) fields will be natural turf, but a selected	Every household in Seattle should have access to sports fields within 2 miles.	Yes.	SPR is focusing on increasing capacity for a variety of uses on existing sports/athletic fields due to the high demand – adult and youth baseball, adult and youth soccer, youth football and lacrosse.	<p>There is \$42.74 Million for playfield improvements, synthetic turf replacement, field lighting and minor capital improvements.</p> <p>Future efforts in the next 6-years will be focused on implementing these improvements.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The 2002 Joint Athletic Field Development Plan will be updated as part of the Recreation and Programming Strategic Plan, establishing new priorities.
Sports/Athletic Fields cont.	<p>number of fields shall be maintained as all-weather surfaces to accommodate intensive levels of play.</p> <p>A turf football field is desirable within areas with youth football programs. A sufficient quantity of fields should be provided on a citywide to meet scheduling needs (and as coordinated with Seattle School District and other program providers).</p> <p>A limited number of turf senior baseball fields are desirable citywide with sufficient quantity to meet scheduling needs.</p>				
Greenways	n/a	Continue to coordinate with SDOT on preferred routes and connections to enhance access to parks and open space.	n/a	This is a new target goal based upon the Park District Investment Initiative, encouraging links between parks.	The Discretionary Capital Project list includes linear green streets’ improvements to allow for recreation opportunities, and links to existing parks. Examples are working with SDOT on future partnerships on Ballard Ave, Bell Street Park extension, and other areas.

Facility type	2011 Development Plan – Distribution Guidelines – Desirable and Acceptable levels	2017 - 2022 Parks and Open Space Plan – Target Goals for Facility Distribution	Have the 2011 Development Plan Distribution Guidelines been met?	Rationale for the 2017 - 2022 Target Goals for Facility Distribution	Anticipated Action to Achieve the 2017 – 2022 Target Goals for Facility Distribution
Picnic Shelters	At least 1 or 2 scheduled group picnic shelters are desirable in each sector with drop-in picnic tables distributed in appropriate park areas throughout the city.	All reservable picnic shelters should be ADA accessible.	Yes.	This is a new target goal that focuses on ADA accessibility and allows us to provide for a broad spectrum of users.	There are approximately 25 existing reservable picnic shelters that we would like to renovate for better ADA access. It is anticipated that a condition assessment will be completed in the upcoming 2-3 years that will generate new project proposals.
Play Areas	A neighborhood or community center children’s play area is desirable within ½ mile of households in areas with 100 to 200 resident children ages 2-11 and/or in areas with several day cars/preschools (as coordinated with Seattle School District). A destination or larger than normal children’s play area is desirable at selected major urban parks.	All play areas should include facilities for a range of age groups.	Not quite - there are small gaps in the far Southwest and Northwest corners of the city, in the Licton Springs and Laurelhurst neighborhoods, and in the Duwamish Industrial area.	SPR is building capacity within existing facilities and moving towards implementing multi-generational facilities.	The aim is to provide play areas for 2-5-year-olds and 5-12-year-olds. SPR is renovating, on average, 7 play areas per year. All of these will have play features for these age groups. We are looking at Green Lake Park play area as a multi-generational, all ages, play area by 2023.