BILL SUMMARY & FISCAL NOTE

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	Executive Contact/Phone:
Seattle City Light	Sandi Fukumoto / 4-0423	Greg Shiring / 6-4085

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE relating to the City Light Department; approving a 15-year franchise to construct, operate, maintain, replace, and repair an electrical light and power system in, across, over, along, under, through, and below certain designated public rights-of-way in the City of Lake Forest Park.

Summary and background of the Legislation:

This ordinance approves a fifteen-year franchise from the City of Lake Forest Park to construct, operate, maintain, replace and repair an electrical light and power system in, across, over, along, under, through and below certain designated public rights-of-way. The current Franchise Agreement expired on April 30, 2015. Seattle City Light and the City of Lake Forest Park have been engaged in negotiations to renegotiate the current franchise and have successfully reached agreement on a new Franchise Agreement, which provides terms and conditions for Seattle City Light to operate within Lake Forest Park's right-of-way.

Seattle City Light ("SCL") provides electric service to the residents and businesses in the cities of Shoreline, Burien, Lake Forest Park, SeaTac and Tukwila (the "Franchise Cities"), under individual Franchise Agreements with each of these cities. The current 15 year Agreements have been in effect starting in 1999. They grant Seattle City Light a non-exclusive franchise to operate within the Franchise Cities' right-of-way, and establish terms and conditions under which Seattle City Light and the Franchise Cities work together on a variety of related issues, including rates as established by Seattle City Council, fees, and operational requirements.

In late 2012, Seattle City Light engaged the Franchise Cities in discussions to renegotiate the Agreements. Meetings were held with the Cities to understand what is working well with the current Agreements, as well as areas for improvement. Following these initial meetings, City Light staff met individually with Lake Forest Park to negotiate an Agreement that maintained consistency with Seattle City Light's Strategic Plan, and provides Lake Forest Park with the same level of outstanding service, reliability, environmental stewardship, and accountability as we provide for customers within the Seattle city limits.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

This legislation creates, funds, or amends a CIP Project.
(If box is checked, please attach a new (if creating a project) or marked-up (if amending) CIP Page to the Council Bill. Please include the spending plan as part of the attached CIP Page.)

Project Name:	Project I.D.:	Project Location:	Start Date:	End Date:	Total Cost:

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

\mathbf{p}	معدما	chec	b	Ono.
Г	lease	CHEC	ĸ	OHE.

This legislation has direct financial implications. (If the legislation has direct fiscal impacts (appropriations, revenue, positions), fill out the relevant sections below. If the financial implications are indirect or longer-term, describe them in narrative in the "Other Implications" section.)

<u>X</u> This legislation does not have direct financial implications. (Please skip to "Other Implications" section at the end of the document and answer questions a-i.)

Genera	l Fund \$	Other \$		
2015	2016	2015	2016	
Revenue to (General Fund	Revenue to Other Funds		
2015	2016	2015	2016	
No. of I	Positions	Total FTE Change		
2015	2016	2015	2016	
	Revenue to 0 2015 No. of I	Revenue to General Fund 2015 2016 No. of Positions	2015 2016 2015 Revenue to General Fund 2015 2016 No. of Positions Total FT	

3.a. Appropriations

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes appropriations.

(If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Revenues)

Fund Name and number	Dept	Budget Control Level Name/#*	2015 Appropriation Change	2016 Estimated Appropriation Change
TOTAL				

^{*}See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

(This table should reflect appropriations that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the project/programs associated with this ordinance had, or will have, appropriations in other legislation please provide details in the Appropriation Notes section below. If the appropriation is not complete supported by revenue/reimbursements listed below, please identify the funding source (e.g. available fund balance) to cover this appropriation in the notes section. Also indicate if the legislation changes appropriations one-time, ongoing, or both.)

Appropriations Notes:

3.b. Revenues/Reimbursements

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

(If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Positions)

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:

Fund Name and	Dept	Revenue Source	2015	2016 Estimated
Number			Revenue	Revenue
TOTAL				

(This table should reflect revenues/reimbursements that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the issues/projects associated with this ordinance/resolution have revenues or reimbursements that were, or will be, received because of previous or future legislation or budget actions, please provide details in the Notes section below. Do the revenue sources have match requirements? If so, what are they?)

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

1		D	•			
•	C	-	nci	П	Λn	C

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes positions.

(If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Other Implications)

Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, or Abrogated through this Legislation, Including FTE Impact:

Position # for Existing	Position Title & Department*	Fund Name & #	Program & BCL	PT/FT	2015 Positions	2015 FTE	Does it sunset? (If yes, explain below in Position Notes)
Positions							in rosition Notes)
TOTAL							

^{*} List each position separately

(This table should only reflect the actual number of positions created by this legislation In the event that positions have been, or will be, created as a result of previous or future legislation or budget actions, please provide details in the Notes section below.)

Position Notes:

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a) Does the legislation have indirect or long-term financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above?

(If yes, explain here.)

The proposed agreement would continue a legal framework that provides SCL the certainty of franchise rights when it operates an electrical system in the City of Lake Forest Park. SCL's 2015 Adopted Budget and the 2016 endorsed budget and revised strategic plan assumes that SCL continues this franchise with the associated operational and capital costs and related rate-based revenue. In addition, approval of this proposed Bill will allow SCL to continue to charge its customers in Lake Forest Park a rate differential as specified by the City of Lake Forest Park, and remit a contract fee to the City of Lake Forest Park in consideration of Shoreline foregoing its rights to establish its own electric utility.

b) Is there financial cost or other impacts of not implementing the legislation?

(Estimate the costs to the City of not implementing the legislation, including estimated costs to maintain or expand an existing facility or the cost avoidance due to replacement of an existing facility, potential conflicts with regulatory requirements, or other potential costs or consequences.)

Without a franchise agreement in place, there would be no legal framework in which to operate in the City of Lake Forest Park's right-of-way. SCL and Lake Forest Park would need to continue negotiations for a franchise agreement that would meet approval of both Cities' legislative bodies, which at the very least would have impacts on administrative costs. The assumption is SCL would continue to operate within Lake Forest Park during this time, so there should be no short-term negative impact to SCL's revenues. However, if the proposed Agreement is not approved, the contract fee payment to the City of Lake Forest Park would not continue. Additional long term impacts are unclear, as a franchise is necessary to continue operations in Lake Forest Park's Right-of-way.

c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

(If so, please list the affected department(s), the nature of the impact (financial, operational, etc), and indicate which staff members in the other department(s) are aware of the proposed legislation.)

d) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

(If yes, what public hearing(s) have been held to date, and/or what public hearing(s) are planned for the future?)

e) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

(For example, legislation related to sale of surplus property, condemnation, or certain capital projects with private partners may require publication of notice. If you aren't sure, please check with your lawyer. If publication of notice is required, describe any steps taken to comply with that requirement.)

Yes. Per the Franchise Agreement, the City of Lake Forest Park placed the notice within 5 days of their adoption of the Agreement; Seattle City Light bears the cost.

f) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

(If yes, and if a map or other visual representation of the property is not already included as an exhibit or attachment to the legislation itself, then you must include a map and/or other visual representation of the property and its location as an attachment to the fiscal note. Place a note on the map attached to the fiscal note that indicates the map is intended for illustrative or informational purposes only and is not intended to modify anything in the legislation.)

g) Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?

(If yes, please explain how this legislation may impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities. Using the racial equity toolkit is one way to help determine the legislation's impact on certain communities.)

Sandi Fukumoto SCL LFP Franchise Agreement SUM May 7, 2015 #D1

N/A.

h) If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the long-term and measurable goals of the program? Please describe how this legislation would help achieve the program's desired goals.

(This answer should highlight measureable outputs and outcomes.)

N/A.

i) Other Issues:

N/A.

List attachments below:

N/A.