

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact/Phone:	CBO Contact/Phone:
Legislative	Eric McConaghy/206 615 1071	n/a

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title:

A RESOLUTION setting the time and place for a hearing on the appeal of Robert Wexler, Hearing Examiner Case Number CWF-0149, from the findings and recommendation report of the Hearing Examiner on the final assessment roll for Local Improvement District No. 6751, and directing that the City Clerk provide any required notice of the hearing in the manner required by law.

Summary and background of the Legislation:

Council designated the Hearing Examiner (HE), to conduct the hearing on the Waterfront Local Improvement District (LID) final assessment roll. He filed his report of findings and recommendation with the City Clerk on September 8, 2020. His filing of the report initiated the possibility of appeals from his report to Council. This resolution would set the time and place for the hearing of the appeal from the HE report filed by Robert Wexler regarding Hearing Examiner Case Number CWF-0022. The hearing would be held by the Public Assets and Native Communities Committee on January 5, 2021.

The Council may not approve the final assessment roll for the Waterfront Local Improvement District (LID) without reviewing and deciding upon appeals of the HE's recommendation on the final assessment roll. The LID assessment would fund \$160 million of improvements plus approximating \$15.5 million on financing costs of the LID. This resolution would state Council's intention to refer the any appeal to the Public Assets and Native Communities Committee.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ___ Yes X No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? ___ Yes X No

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?
See below.

Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?

The Council may not approve the final assessment roll for the Waterfront Local Improvement District (LID) without reviewing and deciding upon appeals of the Hearing Examiner's recommendation on the final assessment roll. The LID assessment would fund \$160 million of improvements plus any financing costs of the LID.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

Approval of the LID final assessment roll would allow Finance and Administrative Services to collect the LID assessment funding improvements executed by the Department of Transportation and Parks and Recreation. The Office of the Waterfront and Civic Projects leads this effort to carry out the improvements.

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

No.

c. Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?

No.

d. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No.

e. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

No.

f. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?

No known impacts.

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)?

None.