

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

| Department: | Contact Person/Phone: | Executive Contact/Phone: |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Seattle Public Utilities | Cyndy Holtz/6-1990 | Aaron Blumenthal/3-2656 |

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE amending the 2016-2021 Adopted Capital Improvement Program; authorizing acceptance of pass-through grant funds from King County; granting budget authority for expenditure of said grant funds; and authorizing the Director of Seattle Public Utilities to use such pass-through grant funds and to enter into agreements for the acquisition of certain properties and the design of habitat restoration projects along the Cedar River.

Summary and background of the Legislation:

- This ordinance would authorize SPU to accept \$2.1 million in grant funds from, and enter into a grant agreement with, King County to receive pass-through funds from the Washington Department of Ecology to acquire land and design fish habitat restoration work on the lower Cedar River;
- Appropriate \$2.1 million in the 2016 SPU Drinking Water CIP budget; and
- Authorize the Director of SPU to acquire specific parcels on the lower Cedar River in support of the above-referenced grant.
- This CIP project budget is part of SPU’s Habitat Conservation Program CIP, referenced in section II.C.F (“2014-2020 Baseline Capital Spending”) of the 2015-2020 Financial Baseline documentation of the SPU Strategic Business Plan. This investment has no direct effect on customer rates; appropriation adjustments are fully backed by grant revenues, if passed.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

 X This legislation creates, funds, or amends a CIP Project.

| Project Name: | Project I.D.: | Project Location: | Start Date: | End Date: | Total Cost: |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Downstream Fish Habitat | C1607 | Lower Cedar River | 7/1/15 | 12/31/18 | \$ 12,756 |

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

 X This legislation has direct financial implications

| Budget program(s) affected: | General Fund \$ | | Other \$ | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Estimated \$ Appropriation change: | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 |
| | | | \$2,100,000 | |
| Estimated \$ Revenue change: | Revenue to General Fund | | Revenue to Other Funds | |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 |
| | | | \$2,100,000 | |
| Positions affected: | No. of Positions | | Total FTE Change | |
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Other departments affected: | | | | |

3.a. Appropriations

X This legislation adds, changes, or deletes appropriations.

| Fund Name and number | Dept | Budget Control Level Name/#* | 2016 Appropriation Change | 2017 Estimated Appropriation Change |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Water Fund / 43000 | SPU | Habitat Conservation Program /C160B | \$2,100,000 | \$0 |
| TOTAL | | | \$2,100,000 | \$0 |

Appropriations Notes:

3.b. Revenues/Reimbursements

X This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:

| Fund Name and Number | Dept | Revenue Source | 2016 Revenue | 2017 Estimated Revenue |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Water Fund 43000 | SPU | Capital Grants and Contributions | \$2,100,000 | \$0 |
| TOTAL | | | \$2,100,000 | \$0 |

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a) **Does the legislation have indirect or long-term financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above?**

No.

b) **Is there financial cost or other impacts of not implementing the legislation?**

Not implementing this legislation would result in foregone revenue which would significantly limit the area of land SPU could acquire for habitat protection and restoration on the lower Cedar River.

c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

No.

d) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

No.

e) Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?

No.

f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No.

g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

Yes, this legislation authorizes SPU to acquire certain parcels and design habitat restoration projects on the lower Cedar River, below the City's municipal watershed ownership boundary, for the purpose of salmon habitat protection and restoration. The parcels are identified in Attachment 2 of the ordinance. A map of the reaches where SPU is focusing its habitat land acquisition efforts is provided in Attachment 3 of the ordinance. SPU obtained Council authority in 2005 and 2007 for acquisition of many other parcels in these reaches. This ordinance expands the list of approved parcels.

h) Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?

N.A.

i) If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the long-term and measurable goals of the program? Please describe how this legislation would help achieve the program's desired goals.

This legislation authorizes grant funding for an existing program.

j) Other Issues:

None.