SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE

Department:	Dept. Contact:	CBO Contact:
Department of Transportation	Gretchen M. Haydel	Christie Parker

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE accepting twenty-five limited purpose easements for public sidewalk, alley turn-around, and signal pole purposes; placing the real property conveyed by such easements under the jurisdiction of the Seattle Department of Transportation; and ratifying and confirming prior acts. (This ordinance concerns the following: rights of way: the sidewalk adjoining Lots 20 through 22, Central Addition to Columbia; the sidewalk adjoining Block 40, Second Addition to the Town of Seattle as laid off by the Heirs of Sarah A. Bell, (deceased) (Commonly known as Heirs of Sarah A. Bell's 2nd Addition to the City of Seattle); the sidewalk adjoining Block 9, Assessor's Plat of University Heights; the sidewalk adjoining Tract L, Ravenna Springs Park; the sidewalk adjoining a portion of the Northeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 33, Township 25 North, Range 4 East, Willamette Meridian (being known as Tract 11, Stewart Estate Tracts, an unrecorded plat); the sidewalk adjoining Block 3, Lindsley's Mineral Springs Plat; the sidewalk adjoining a portion of the Northwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 29, Township 26 North, Range 4 East, Willamette Meridian; the sidewalk adjoining Block 25, Sander's Supplemental Plat; the sidewalk adjoining Block 4, Yesler Terrace Community; the sidewalk adjoining Block 6, Highland View; the sidewalk adjoining Parcels A and B, City of Seattle Lot Boundary Adjustment No. 3033003-LU, recorded under Recording No. 20211103900007 (previously known as a portion of the Northeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 33, Township 25 North, Range 4 East, Willamette Meridian and Tract 10, Stewart Estate Tracts, an unrecorded plat); the sidewalk adjoining Edward Hanford's Donation Claim No. 44, an unrecorded plat, and Block 2, Peters Gardens; the sidewalk adjoining Block 4, Bowen's Replat of Rainier Gardens; the sidewalk adjoining Tract 2, Salmon Bay Acre Tracts; the sidewalk adjoining Blocks 2 and 3, Canal Waterway, together with a portion of vacated alley; the sidewalk adjoining Parcels A and B, City of Seattle Lot Boundary Adjustment No. 3033559-LU, recorded under Recording No. 20220119900017 (previously known as Block 1, Prospect Terrace Addition to the City of Seattle, as amended; the sidewalk adjoining Tracts 7 and 13, Excelsior Acre Tracts; the sidewalk adjoining Parcel B, City of Seattle Lot Boundary Adjustment No. 3037257-LU, recorded under Recording No. 20220601900003 (previously known as Block 4, Rainier Boulevard Addition to the City of Seattle); the sidewalk adjoining Block 1, Rogers' First Addition; the sidewalk adjoining Block 11, Porterfield's Addition to the City of Seattle; the sidewalk adjoining Block 3, C. P. Stone's Home Addition to the City of Seattle; the sidewalk adjoining Block 8, Dunlap's Supplemental to the City of Seattle; the alley in 2100 Queen Anne Avenue North, a condominium, Vol. 330 of Condominiums, pages 4 through 17, under Recording No. 20220316000915 (previously known as Block 8, Cove Addition to

Seattle); and the sidewalk adjoining the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 9, Township 25 North, Range 4 East, Willamette Meridian.)

Summary and Background of the Legislation:

This Council Bill accepts 25 limited purpose easements for public sidewalk, alley turn-around, and signal pole purposes, places them under the jurisdiction of the Seattle Department of Transportation, and ratifies and confirms certain prior acts. The easements are for property transferred to the City for public sidewalk, alley turn-around, and signal pole purposes as a result of conditions imposed on private development projects.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	
Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ☐ Yes ☒ No.	
3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS	
Does this legislation have financial impacts to the City? \Box Yes \boxtimes N	
3.d. Other Impacts	
Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle, including direct or indirect, one-time or ongoing costs, that are not included in Sections 3.a through 3.c? If so, please describe these financial impacts.	
The costs associated with implementing this ordinance, for example, maintaining the newly-acquired easements, have been anticipated in the adopted budget.	
If the legislation has costs, but they can be absorbed within existing operations, please describe how those costs can be absorbed. The description should clearly describe if the absorbed costs are achievable because the department had excess resources within their existing budget or if by absorbing these costs the department is deprioritizing other work that would have used these resources.	
SDOT is responsible for maintenance and operational costs of property under its jurisdiction. The costs for operating and maintaining the dedicated property are considered to be part of the City's transportation system and provided for in the annual budget.	
Please describe any financial costs or other impacts of <i>not</i> implementing the legislation.	
None.	

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Please describe how this legislation may affect any departments besides the originating department.

The Department of Construction and Inspections evaluates the building development projects and determines the conditions that must be met pursuant to the City's Land Use Code and the Seattle Right-of-Way Improvements Manual with respect to the dedication of these easements to the City.

b. Does this legislation affect a piece of property? If yes, please attach a map and explain any impacts on the property. Please attach any Environmental Impact Statements, Determinations of Non-Significance, or other reports generated for this property.

Yes. Maps of the dedicated property are exhibits to the dedication easements attached to the ordinance.

- c. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative.
 - i. How does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? How did you arrive at this conclusion? In your response please consider impacts within City government (employees, internal programs) as well as in the broader community.

There are no known impacts to vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities. Dedicated property enhances the City's transportation infrastructure throughout the City as required by the City's Land Use Code.

ii. Please attach any Racial Equity Toolkits or other racial equity analyses in the development and/or assessment of the legislation.

None.

iii. What is the Language Access Plan for any communications to the public?

A Language Access Plan is not required to be implemented to accept dedicated property.

- d. Climate Change Implications
 - i. Emissions: How is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way? Please attach any studies or other materials that were used to inform this response.

This legislation is not likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way.

Gretchen M. Haydel SDOT Dedication Easement Acceptance No. 54 SUM D1

ii. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.

No.

e. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)? What mechanisms will be used to measure progress towards meeting those goals?

This legislation does not include a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion.

5. CHECKLIST		
	Is a public hearing required?	
	Is publication of notice with <i>The Daily Journal of Commerce</i> and/or <i>The Seattle Times</i> required?	
	If this legislation changes spending and/or revenues for a fund, have you reviewed the relevant fund policies and determined that this legislation complies?	
	Does this legislation create a non-utility CIP project that involves a shared financial commitment with a non-City partner agency or organization?	
6. ATTACHMENTS		

Summary Attachments: None.