

2018 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Ready for Notebook

| Tab | Action | Option | Version |
|-----|--------|--------|---------|
| 241 | 1 | A | 1 |

Budget Action Title: Proviso on fencing related to unauthorized encampments

Ongoing: No

Has CIP Amendment: No Has Budget Proviso: Yes

Primary Sponsor: Harris-Talley, Kirsten

Councilmembers: O'Brien; Sawant

Staff Analyst: Alan Lee

Council Bill or Resolution:

| Date | | Total | SB | KH | LG | BH | LH | RJ | DJ | MO | KS |
|------|---------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Abstain | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Absent | | | | | | | | | | |

Budget Action description:

This budget action imposes the following proviso:

“Of the appropriations in the 2018 budget to Budget Control Levels the purposes of which would authorize expenditures on fencing areas in response to unauthorized encampments, none of the appropriated money may be spent on fencing areas that have been used for unauthorized encampments on City-owned or controlled property, except under the following circumstances:

- (1) The area is being fenced in response to objective hazards such as moving vehicles and steep slopes;
- (2) The area is being fenced to protect City- or state-owned roadway or other infrastructure;
- (3) The area is being fenced to limit damage to an environmentally critical area;
- (4) The area is being fenced for imminent work scheduled at the site when an encampment will pose an obstruction; or
- (5) The area has been designated an “Emphasis Area” as defined by the Department of Finance and Administrative Services Encampment Rule (FAS 17-01).”