## SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL

## **Legislative Summary**

### Res 31601

Record No.: Res 31601

Type: Resolution (Res)

Status: Passed at Full

Council

Version: 1

NI - 4 - - -

In Control: Full Council

File Created: 07/16/2015

Final Action: 07/20/2015

**Date** 

Filed with Oity Clayler

Title: A RESOLUTION regarding a voter-proposed Initiative Measure concerning public participation in government, including creation of a publicly-financed election campaign program and regulation of campaign donations and lobbying; authorizing the City Clerk and the Executive Director of the Ethics and Elections Commission to take those actions necessary to enable the proposed Initiative to appear on the November 3, 2015 election ballot and the local voters' pamphlet; requesting the King County Elections' Director to place the proposed initiative on the November 3, 2015 election ballot; providing for the publication of such initiative; and repealing Resolution 31600.

	Notes:			Filed with Oity Clerk.								
				Mayor's Si	gnature:							
	Sponsors: B	urgess	Vetoed by Mayor:									
				Veto Overr								
			Veto Sustained:									
<b>A</b>	Attachments: A	tt A - Initiative Measure	No.122									
	Drafter:											
	á		Filing Requirements/Dept Action:									
Histo	ory of Legislat	tive File		Legal Notice Published:	☐ Yes	□ No						
Ver- sion:	Acting Body:	Date:	Action:	Sent To:	Due Date:	Return Date:	Result:					
1	City Clerk	07/16/2015	sent for review	Council President's Office								
	Action Text: Notes:	The Resolution (Res) wa	as sent for review	v. to the Council President's Office	•							
1	Council Presider	nt's Office 07/16/2015	sent for review	Full Council								
	Action Text: Notes:	The Resolution (Res) wa	as sent for review	v. to the Full Council								
1	Full Council	07/20/2015	adopted				Pass					
	Action Text:	The Resolution (Res) wa	as adopted by the	e following vote and the President	signed the Res	solution:						
Office	of the City Clerk			Page 1		Printed	d on 7/21/2015					

### Notes:

In Favor: 8

Councilmember Bagshaw, Council President Burgess, Councilmember Godden, Councilmember Harrell, Councilmember Licata, Councilmember

O'Brien, Councilmember Rasmussen, Councilmember Sawant

Opposed: 1 Councilmember Okamoto 1

2

3 4

5 6 7

9 10 11

8

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

# CITY OF SEATTLE RESOLUTION \_\_31601

A RESOLUTION regarding a voter-proposed Initiative Measure concerning public participation in government, including creation of a publicly-financed election campaign program and regulation of campaign donations and lobbying; authorizing the City Clerk and the Executive Director of the Ethics and Elections Commission to take those actions necessary to enable the proposed Initiative to appear on the November 3, 2015 election ballot and the local voters' pamphlet; requesting the King County Elections' Director to place the proposed initiative on the November 3, 2015 election ballot; providing for the publication of such initiative; and repealing Resolution 31600.

WHEREAS, proponents of reducing the influence of money in government; ensuring accountability; preventing corruption; and creating a program for public financing of elections have submitted to the Office of the City Clerk a petition bearing a sufficient number of signatures to qualify the proposed Initiative filed in Clerk File 319323 ("City of Seattle Initiative Measure No. 122") for placement on the November 3, 2015 election ballot; and

WHEREAS, Article IV of the City Charter specifies that it shall be the duty of the City Council to submit an initiative bearing a sufficient number of signatures to the voters of the City for their ratification or rejection; and

WHEREAS, RCW 42.17A.550 states that a local government must submit any proposal for public financing of local political campaigns to voters for their adoption and approval or rejection; and

WHEREAS, the City Council on July 13, 2015 adopted Resolution 31600 to place Initiative Measure No. 122 on the ballot; and

WHEREAS, Resolution 31600 contained some non-substantive errors, including incorrectly referring to the initiative, in the title of the resolution, as a Charter amendment; and

2

3

WHEREAS, that reference might confuse the public when the resolution is included in the local

voters pamphlet; NOW, THEREFORE,

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE THAT:

Section 1. The City Clerk is authorized and directed to take those actions necessary to place City of Seattle Initiative Measure No. 122 filed in Clerk File 319323, a copy of which is attached as attachment A, on the November 3, 2015 election ballot, including but not limited to publishing the proposed initiative measure as provided by the City Charter.

Section 2. The Executive Director of the Ethics and Elections Commission is authorized and requested to take those actions necessary to place information regarding City of Seattle Initiative Measure No. 122 in the November 3, 2015 voters' pamphlet.

Lish Whitson/ems LEG Initiative 122 RES July 17, 2015 D2b

Section 3. The Director of Elections of King County, Washington, as ex officio supervisor of elections, is requested to place City of Seattle Initiative Measure No. 122 on the November 3, 2015 election ballot, with the following ballot title approved by the Seattle City Attorney:

# THE CITY OF SEATTLE INITIATIVE MEASURE NUMBER 122

The City of Seattle Initiative Measure Number 122 concerns public participation in government, including publicly-financed election campaigns, and lobbying.

If enacted, the measure would limit election campaign contributions from entities receiving City contracts totaling \$250,000 or more, or from persons spending \$5,000 or more for lobbying; require 24-hour reporting of electronic contributions; require paid signature gatherer identification; limit lobbying by former City officials; create a voluntary program for public campaign financing through \$100 vouchers issued to registered voters funded by ten years of additional property taxes, with \$3,000,000 (approximately \$0.0194/\$1000 assessed value) collected in 2016. Should this measure be enacted into law?

Yes	•	•	•					
No							٠.	

Those in favor shall vote "Yes;" those opposed shall mark their ballots "No."

Lish Whitson/ems LEG Initiative 122 RES July 17, 2015 D2b Section 4. Resolution 31600 is repealed. 1 2 Adopted by the City Council the day of 3 signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this 20 day 4 5 6 President of the City Council 7 8 Filed by me this  $2/\frac{3^{2}}{2}$  day of 9 10 11 Monica Martinez Simmons, City Clerk 12 13 (Seal) 14 15 Attachment A: Initiative Measure No. 122 16

Please return signed petitions to/Contact us at:

## Honest Elections Seattle Initiative No. 122

PO Box 20664, Seattle, WA 98102 -- tel.: (206) 436-0292

e mail: info@honestelectionsseattle.org -- website: honestelectionsseattle.org

INITIATIVE PETITION FOR SUBMISSION TO THE SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL. To the City Council of The City of Seattle: We, the undersigned registered voters of The City of Seattle, State of Washington, propose and ask for the enactment as an ordinance of the measure known as Initiative Measure No. 122, entitled:

THE CITY OF SEATTLE INITIATIVE MEASURE NUMBER 122

THE CITY OF SEATTLE INITIATIVE MEASURE NUMBER 122

The City of Seattle Initiative Measure Number 122 concerns public participation in government, including publicly-financed election campaigns, and lobbying.

If enacted, the measure would limit election campaign contributions from entities receiving City contracts totaling \$250,000 or more, or from persons spending \$5,000 or more for lobbying; require 24-hour reporting of electronic contributions; require paid signature gatherer identification; limit lobbying by former City officials; create a voluntary program for public campaign financing through \$100 0 vouchers issued to registered voters funded by ten years of additional property taxes, with \$3,000,000 (approximately \$0.0194/\$1000 assessed value) collected in 2016.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

No 
Those in favor shall vote, "Yes;" those opposed shall mark their ballots "No." a full, true and correct copy of which is included herein, and we petition the Council to enact said measure as an ordinance, and, if not enacted within forty-five (45) days from the time of receipt thereof by the City Council, then to be submitted to the qualified electors of The City of Seattle for approval or rejection at the next regular election or at a special election in accordance with Article IV, Section 1 of the City Charter, and each of us for himself or herself says: I have personally signed this petition; I am a registered voter of The City of Seattle, State of Washington, and my residence address is correctly stated
WARNING: Ordinance 94289 provides as tellows: Section 1. It is unleaved the ray person 1. To sign or decline to sign any petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment, or 1. In interfere with or attempt to interfere with or attempt to interfere with or a tellow in the right of any voter to sign or not to sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment by this or attempt to interfere with or attempt to interfere with or a vote to sign or not to sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment by this mendment and the provides or any other than his or her true name, or to knowledy sign more than one (1) petition for any other than his or her true name, or to knowledy sign more than one (1) petition for the same initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment with any other than his or her true name, or to knowledy sign more than one (1) petition for the same initiative, or Charter amendment with any other than his or her true name, or to knowledy sign more than one (1) petition for the same initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment with any other than his or her true name, or to knowledge any or the petition or any other than his or her true name, or to knowledge any or the petition or any other than his or her true name, or to knowledge and

(\*Only Registered Seattle Voters Can Sign This Petition\*) Residence Address Street & **Printed Name** Petitioner's Signature Number Signed 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19 20

### AN ACT relating to reducing the influence of money, ensuring accountability, and preventing corruption in City of Seattle government.

### BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE:

Section 1. A new Subchapter VIII titled, "Honest Elections Scattle," is added to the Scattle Municipal Code, Chapter 2.04 - ELECTION CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS, as follows:

NEW SECTION 2.04.600 — Purpose and Authority. (a) Purpose. This people's initiative measure builds honest elections in the City of Seattle ("City" or "Seattle") and prevents corruption, by: giving more people an opportunity to have their voices heard in our democracy; ensuring a fair elections process that holds our elected leaders accountable to us by strengthening voters' control over City government; banning campaign contributions by City contractors and entities using paid lobby;sts; lowering campaign contribution limits; tightening probleting to problem; expanding requirements for candidates to disclose their financials holdings and interests; and increasing fines on violators of campaign rules. This measure also creates a Democracy Voucher campaign public finance program ("Democracy Voucher Program" or "Program") to expand the pool of candidates for city offices and to safeguard the people's control of the elections process in Seattle. (b) Authority of the People. The People have vested legislative powers of the City in a Mayor and City Council, but reserved to themselves independent of the Mayor and the City Council the power to propose for themselves measures dealing with any matter within the realm of local affairs or municipal business. That power includes the use of an initiative petition to submit to the qualified electors of the city a measure as authorized by RCW 84.55.050 to exceed the limitations of regular property taxes contained in RCW Chapter 84.55, as it now exists or may be reinafter be amended. The authority of the people to adopt this measure is also specifically authorized and reserved to the electors of the City of Seattle by RCW 42.17A.550, which allows a city to use locally derived public funds (whether from taxes, fees, penalties or other sources) to publicly finance local political campaigns, if the proposal to do so is submitted to City of Seattle voters for their adoption and approval, or rejection. adoption and approval, or rejection

use locally derived public tunds (whether from taxes, fees, penalutes of other sources) to public office that approach or ejection.

NEW SECTION 2.04.601 – No Campaign Contributions from City Contractors or their PACs.

No Mayor, City Council member or City Attorney or any candidate for any such position shall knowingly accept any contribution directly or indirectly from any entity or person who in the prior two years has earned or received more than \$250,000, under a contractual relationship with the City. No Mayor, City Council member or City Attorney or any candidate for any such position shall knowingly solicit a contribution for himself or herself or for any political party, political committee, campaign committee or public office fund, directly or indirectly from any entity or person who in the prior two years has carned or received more than \$250,000, under a contractual relationship with the City. If the first sentence of this section is invalidated then no Mayor, City Council member or City Attorney or any candidate for any such position shall knowingly accept any contribution of more than \$250 in one calendar year, directly or indirectly, from any entity or person who in the prior two years has carned or received more than \$250,000, under a contractual relationship with the City. If the section is invalidated then no Mayor, City Council member or City Attorney or any candidate for any such position shall knowingly solicit a contribution of more than \$250, for himself or herself or for any political party, political committee or public office fund, directly or indirectly from any entity or person who in the prior two years has carned or received more than \$250,000 and any solicit and accept assignment of Democracy Vouchers without such solicitation or assignment being considered a violation of this section. If any part of this section is held invalid the remainder shall be constituted to effect the auticorruption purposes of this section to the maximum extent allowable.

NEW SECTION 2.04.602 – No Campa section to the maximum extent allowable

section to the maximum extent allowable.

NEW SECTION 2.04.605 — Expedited Reporting of Electronic Contributions. To ensure the Seattle Ethics and Elections Commission ("SEEC") creates an electronic reporting system that increases transparency, does not discriminate against low budget campaigns, and takes advantage of advances in information technology, all candidates for City of Seattle electronic offices shall report to the Seattle City Clerk any campaign contribution made electronically upon deposit into a candidate's account, provided that this provision shall take effect only after SEEC shall have determined that there are two or more electronic payment processing companies that have the capacity to report contributions to the SEEC as soon as the contribution is transferred to the candidate's account. To give campaigns time to prepare for this section, SEEC shall ensure that before a contribution is transferred to the campaigns shall comply. SEEC shall ensure that before a contribution is required to be publicly disclosed as received by a campaign is shall have reasonable opportunity to reject or return undesired or illegal contributions.

NEW SECTION 2.04.606 — Signature Gatherers Must Disclose if Paid for Signatures. Any person or entity that is a compensated or paid signature gatherer for any City of Seattle ballot measure, initiative, referendum, or charter amendment shall disclose to each person from whom a signature is sought, in writing via a conspicuous, legible sign, placard, or badge, stating "PAID SIGNATURE GATHERER."

SIGNATURE GATHERER:

NEW SECTION 2.04.607 - Three-vear Ban on Maver, Councilmember, City Attorney or Top Staff Paid Lobbying. A former Mayor, City Council member, City Attorney, or City Department head or the highest paid aide or employee directly reporting to any of the foregoing, any not, during the period of three years after leaving City office or position, participate in paid lobbying as defined in SMC 2.06.010. If the foregoing, any not, during the period of two years after leaving City Office or position, participate in paid lobbying as defined in SMC 2.06.010. The Right to S100 in Democracy Voucher, For Assignment 10 Qualified Candidates, (a) Democracy Outchers. The Right to S100 in Democracy Vouchers, For Assignment 10 Qualified Candidates, (a) Democracy Vouchers. Democracy Vouchers are vital to ensure the people of Seattle have equal opportunity to participate in political campaigns and be heard by candidates, (a) Democracy Outchers, or the first business day in every municipal election year, SEEC Sall mail to each person who was by the previous November 15% duly registered to vote in the City of Seattle, at his or her address in the voter registration records, \$100 in vouchers ("Democracy Vouchers") consisting of four Democracy Vouchers of \$25 each, except that SEEC may deliver Democracy Vouchers to any person becoming a duly registered City of Seattle, and who is a registered voter, or is eligible to vote under local, state or federal law, or who is eligible under federal law, any adult natural person who resides more than 30 days in the City of Seattle, and who is a registered voter, or is eligible to vote under local, state or federal law, or who is eligible under federal law, any adult natural person who resides more than 30 days in the City of Seattle, and who is a registered voter, or is eligible to vote under local, state or federal law, or who is eligible under federal law, any adult natural person who resides more than 30 days in the City of Seattle, and who is a registered voter, or is elig

receive a Democracy Voncher. (c) Form of Democracy Voncher shall state the holder's name, a unique voncher identification number, the election year, and words of assignment with blank spaces for the holder to designate a candidate and sign the holder's name, and may include information SEEC deems helpful for verifying signatures such as the voter identification number and reode, in substantially the following form:

### **Democracy Voucher for 20xx Election** Jane Q. Public \$25 1 of 4

, 20xx, I, Jane Q. Public, a resident of the City of Seattle, assigned this Democracy Voucher to a candidate for mayor, city attorney or city council named On [insert date]

I attest that I obtained this Democracy Voucher property and make this assignment freely, voluntarily and without duress or in exchange for any payment of any kind for this assignment, and not for any consideration of any kind, and that I am aware that assignment does not guarantee availability of funds and is irrevocable. Assignment is complete upon delivery to Seattle Ethics and Elections Commission, the named candidate, or her or his registered representative. Sale/furnafer for consideration of Homocracy Voucher is strictly prohibited. Voucher may be redeemed only by qualifying candidates and only if such candidate has complied with additional contributions and spending limits and if funds are available. , 20xx.

Jane Q. Public voter ID and bar code Voucher ID #123,456,789

(d) Assignment of Democracy Vouchers. Vouchers are only transferable or assignable as stated herein. Any person properly obtaining and holding a Democracy Voucher may assign it by writing the name of the assignee candidate, and signing the holder's name on and dathing the Voucher where indicated thereon, and delivering the signed and dated Voucher to the candidate, or to SEEC, or to any candidate's representative who shall be registered for this purpose with SEEC. Delivery may be by mail, in person (by any person the holder requests to deliver the voucher), or electronically via a secure SEEC online system. SEEC shall establish a secure online system for delivery of Democracy Vouchers (without prejudice to any eligible person's right to receive Democracy Vouchers in the mail at his or her option) no later than prior to the 2017 election cycle, unless SEEC determines this target date is not practicable; and in any event no later than prior to the 2017 election cycle, unless SEEC determines this target date is not practicable; and in any event no later than prior to the 2017 election cycle.

(e) Limitations on Assignment. A person may only assign a Voucher to a candidate who has chosen to participate in the Seattle Democracy Voucher Program and who has filed a signed statement of participation and pledge with SEEC as described below. No Democracy Voucher may be assigned after that subsiness day in November following the election, or to any candidate filing for participation who then fails to qualify or becomes unqualified for the position sought or for the Program. A candidate representative may seek assignment in person or through representatives or by assisting a voter to access the SEEC secure online system. A valid assignment is irroadise. A person may assign any number of his or her Democracy Voucher shape to the same candidate in a given year. A safignment or transfer for eash or any consideration is prohibited. Offering to purchase, buy or sell a Democracy Voucher is prohibited. No person may give or gift

NEW SECTION 2.04.630 - Candidates to Qualify By Showing Grass Roots Support and Agreeing to New Campaign and Contribution Limits; Redemption of Democracy Vouchers. New Limits on Use of Funds.

(a) Only Qualified Candidates Redeem Democracy Vouchers. Only a candidate who has filed with SEEC for participation in the Scattle Democracy Voucher Program may receive assignment of a Democracy Voucher. Only a candidate certified as qualified by SEEC may redeem a Democracy Voucher. Only a person eligible for and seeking the office of Mayor, City Attorney or City Council shall be eligible to file for Program. To seek qualification, the candidate shall file with SEEC, on or after July 1st the year before an election year and within two weeks after filing a declaration of candidacy, a swom statement attesting to his or her intent to participate, asserting that the candidate shall intent file or has filed a declaration of candidacy for the office indicated, and that the candidate shall comply with Program requirements and applicable campaign laws. Such Program requirements are that the candidate: shall take part in at least three public debates for primary and general elections each (as defined by SEEC, and SEEC may waive or reduce the number of debates, if a qualifying candidate makes all reasonable efforts to participate in debates and similar public events); shall comply with ampaign laws and spending and contribution limits; and, the candidate shall not knowingly solicit money for or on behalf of any political action committee, political party, or any organization that will make an independent expenditure for or against any City of Seattle candidate within the same election cycle (for the purposes of this section, appearing as a featured speaker at a fundamising event for a committee or entity shall constitute soliciting money for such committee or reduce the region of Mayor shall not solicit or accept total contributions from any individual or entity in excess of a total of \$250 during one election cycle, and a candidat to such candidate) (subject to exceptions provided herein).

(c) Qualifying Contributions. To qualify for the Democracy Voucher Program, candidates shall show they have received at least the following numbers of "Qualifying Contributions" of at least \$10 but not more than the Program contribution limit for the office sought provided in SMC 2.04.630(b) from individual adults (18 years of age or older), who are human natural persons residing in the City of Seattle, and eligible under federal have to make political contributions: Mayoral candidates, at least 600; Chromey candidates, at least 160; Jul-large City Council candidates, at least 1610; Ort outside the candidates, at least 160; Chromey candidates, at least 160; Chromey candidates, at least 160; Chromey candidates, at least 150 (of which at least 75 shall be from individuals residing in the district sought to be represented by the candidate). SEEC shall maintain a list of qualified

district City Councit and make it readily accessible to the public, including by publishing it on SEEC's website.

(d) Campaign Spending Limit, Participating candidates shall comply with all campaign laws and not exceed the following "Campaign Spending Limit, Participating candidates shall comply with all campaign laws and not exceed the following "Campaign Spending Limits" (defined as (i) money spent to date (equal to prior expenditures, plus debts, and obligations), and the value of any in kind donations reported, plus (ii) cash on hand and (iii) the value of unredecemed Vouchers on hand which the candidate shall have allocated to the primary or general election). Mayor \$400,000 for the primary election, and \$800,000 total (for both primary and general election); City Attorney, \$75,000 for the primary election, and \$150,000 total, at-large City Council, \$150,000 for the primary election, and \$300,000 total, district City Council, \$57,000 for the primary election and \$150,000 total.

(6) Further Limits on Redemption. A qualified candidate may collect Democracy Vouchers for the general election before the primary election takes place and allocate same to the general election without such Vouchers counting against the Campaign Spending Limit for the primary election, but may not redeem Vouchers for the general election unless such candidate advances to the general election.

election.

(f) Remedies for Exceeding Campaign Spending Limit, If a qualified candidate demonstrates to SEEC that he or she has an opponent (whether or not participating in the Program) whose campaign spending has exceeded the Campaign Spending Limit for the position sought as indicated above, where SEEC deems the excess material it shall allow such candidate to choose to be released from the Campaign Spending Limit and campaign contribution limits for the Program, in which case SEEC shall allow such candidate to redeem his or her Democracy Vouchers received theretofore or thereafter up to the amount of the Campaign Spending Limit only, then allow such candidate to engage in campaign fundraitising without regard to any Program requirements. SEEC shall also release a qualifying candidate from the Campaign Spending Limit to the extent that it is shown (on application of a Seattle candidate or citizen) that said qualified candidate faces independent expenditures as defined in SMC 2.04.010 adverse to the candidate or in favor of an opponent and the sum of such independent expenditures plus said candidate's opponent's campaign spending materially exceeds the Campaign Spending Limit for the office.

SMC 2.04.010 adverse to the candidate or in favor of an opponent and the sum of such independent expenditures plus said candidate's opponent's campaign spending materially exceeds the Campaign Spending Limit for that office.

(g) Loss of Qualification. A candidate loses qualification for the Program by publicly announcing withdrawal, abandoning the moe, falling to advance to the general election, or if SEEC finds sufficient material violations of election laws or Program requirements such as violation of spending or contribution limits, or fraudulent or attempted fraudulent assignment of Democracy Vouchers. (b) Redemption of Vouchers. SEEC shall redeem Democracy Vouchers only after verifying the assignment by ensuring the Voucher was issued to an eligible person, and verifying the signature written in the words of assignment, and only if redemption shall not put the candidate over the Campaign Spending Limit and only if Program funds are available. To verify signatures SEEC may employ the division of King County Records and Elections which verifies signatures for initiative petitions or mail-in ballots. SEEC shall redeem Democracy Vouchers on published regular redemption dates that shall be no less frequent than twice a month and may redeem Vouchers on other dates notified in advance if SEEC deems it practicable. SEEC shall not redeem any Democracy Voucher received by SEEC after the first business day in the month of December after the general election.

(b) Limits on Use of Voucher Proceeds. Candidates shall use Democracy Voucher proceeds only for campaign costs or debts for the relevant office and election cycle, and may not use such proceeds for my campaign debts, exceed the set by SEEC following the election to any campaign debts. Candidates shall use Democracy Voucher proceeds only for campaign costs or debts for the relevant office and election cycle, and may not use such proceeds.

division of King County Records and Elections which verifies signatures for infusitive petitions or multi-la ballotes. SEEC shall reduce the support of the search of the search control of the state of the search of the search

standards, procedures, limits and deadlines as similar as may be practicable to those provided in this subchapter as SEEC deems proper and necessary for such special election, taking care to not unduly prejudice accumulation of funds for the Program.

(c) Penalties, No penalty provision in this subchapter shall diminish any other penalty or remedy under any other law. Participating candidates who make expenditures in excess of the Campaign Spending Limit shall be subject to a civil penalty of twice the annount of the expenditure in excess of such limit, unless SEEC determines that the overspending is insignificant or trivial. All enforcement, administrative and other powers, procedures, rights, duties, remedies, process, civil penalties and smooth of their provisions in SMC 2.0.4 6.00, 2.0.4 5.00, 2

Section 2. Funding; Lift of Levy Lids for Regular Property Taxes – Submittal and Amount.

To allow funding of the Seattle Democracy Voucher Program, provided in Section 1 above, the qualified electors of the City of Seattle hereby resolve to allow funding through a levy lift under RCW 84.55.050 and resolve that the City's legislative authority may fund the Program pursuant to that authorization or alternatively through the general fund or any other lawful source of funds of its choosing. The taxes authorized in this section may be levied for collection in 2016 through 2025, mising up to \$30,000,000 in aggregate over a period of up to ten years. The City shall not levy more than \$51,000,000 (about 2.5 cents) per \$1,000 of assessed value for this purpose in the first year, and in each addition to the maximum amount of regular property taxes it would have been limited to by RCW 84.55.010 in the absence of voter approval under this ordinance, plus other authorized lid lifts. In 2016, total City regular property taxes collected would not exceed \$3.60 per

\$1 (00) of assessed value. Proceeds from the tax authorized in this section shall be used only to fund the Seattle Democracy Vouchers Program as provided in Section 1 of this measure, and any \$1,000 of assessed value. Proceeds from the tax authorized in this section shall be used only to fund the Seattle Democracy Vouchers Program as provided in Section 1 of this measure, and any amendments thereto adopted by future Council ordinance. Pursuant to RCW 84.55.050(5), the maximum regular property taxes that may be tevied in 2025 for collection in 2026 and in later years shall be computed as if the levy lid in RCW 84.55.010 had not been lifted under this ordinance. The tax authorized in this section shall not be collected to the extent the City allocates funds sufficient to establish and pay for the Program funds including but not limited to any proceeds from the levy authorized herein, interest or carnings thereon, any amounts returned from candidates, and other funds allocated for the Program, shall be used for purposes of this ordinance and Program funds may be temporarily deposited or invested in such manner as may be lawful for the investment of City money, and interest and other earnings shall be used for purposes as the proceeds.

Section 3. SMC 2.06.130 - Civil Remedies and Sanctions, is amended as follows:

Upon determining pursuant to SMC 2.04.060 through SMC 2.04.090 that a violation of this chapter has occurred, the Commission may issue an order requiring the party to take particular action in order to comply with the law, and in addition, or alternatively, may impose sanctions up to Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) per violation. Any person who fails to file a properly completed registration or report within the time required by this chapter may also be subject to a civil penalty of Ten-Dollars (\$10) Seventy Five Dollars (\$751) per day for each day each such delinquency continues except that uring the last 30 days before any election such fine shall be at least Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250) per day and up to \$1,000 per day in the discretion of SEEC, for each day such delinquency

Section 4. SMC 2.04.165 - Reports of personal financial affairs, is amended as follows:

- Section 4, SMC 2.04, 165 Reports of personal minician artism, a memoria as follows.

  A The following shall file a statement of financial affairs:

  1. Every candidate shall within two weeks of becoming a candidate file with the City Clerk a statement of financial affairs for the preceding calendar year, unless a statement of that same twelve month period has already been filed with the City Clerk. Any elected official whose term of office expires immediately after December 31st shall file the statement required to be filed by this section for the year that ended on that December 31st shall file.

  3. Every person appointed to a vacancy in an elective office shall within two weeks of being so appointed file with the City Clerk a statement of financial affairs for the preceding twelve

3. Every person appointed to a vacancy in an elective office shall within two weeks of being so appointed file with the City Clerk a statement of financial affairs for the preceding twelve months.

4. A statement of a candidate or appointee filed during the period from January 1st of April 15th shall cover the period from January 1st of the preceding calendar year to the time of candidacy or appointment if the filing of the statement would relieve the individual of a prior obligation to file a statement covering the entire preceding calendar year.

5. No individual may be required to file more than once in any calendar year.

6. Each statement of financial affairs filed under this section shall be swom as to its truth and accuracy.

8. The statement of financial affairs required by this chapter shall disclose for the reporting individual and each member of his or her immediate family:

a. Occupation, name of employer, and business address; and

b. Each bank or savings account or insunnec policy in which any such person or persons owned a direct financial interest that exceeded \$5,000 at any time during the reporting period, each other item of intangible personal property in which hay such person or persons owned a direct financial interest that exceeded \$500 during the reporting period, the name, address, and nature of the entity; and the nature and highest value of each such direct financial interest during the reporting period, and

c. The name and address of each creditor to whom the value of \$500 or more was sowned; the original amount of each debt to each such creditor; the amount of each debt owned to each creditor as of the date of filling, the terms of repayment of each such debt; and the security given, if any, for each such debt; provided, that debts arising out of a "retail installment transaction" as defined in Chapter 63.1 HCW (Retail Installment Sales Acc) need not be reported, and

d. Every public or private office, directorship, and position held as trustee; and

d. Higsons for whom any legislat

- interest; and
  i. A-list, including legal or other sufficient descriptions as prescribed by the Commission, of all real property in The State Of Washington, the assessed valuation of which exceeds \$2,500 in which any direct financial interest was divested during the preceding calendar year, and a statement of the amount and rature of the consideration received in exchange for that interest, and the name and address of the person furnishing the consideration; and
  j. A list, including legal or other sufficient descriptions as prescribed by the Commission, of all real property in The State of Washington, the assessed valuation of which exceeds \$2,500 in which a direct financial interest was held; provided, that if a description of the property has been included in a report previously filed, the property may be listed, for purposes of this provision, by reference to the previously filed report; and
  k. A list, including legal or other sufficient descriptions as prescribed by the Commission, of all real property in The State of Washington, the assessed valuation of which exceeds \$5,000, in which a corporation, partnership, firm, or enterprise, or other entity had a direct financial interest, in which corporation, partnership, firm, or enterprise a ten (10) percent or greater ownership interest was belief; and

- 1. A list of each occasion, specifying date, donor, and amount, at which food and beverage in excess of \$50 was accepted from a source other than the City provided all or portion; and m. A list of each occasion, specifying date, donor, and amount, at a source other than the City paid for or otherwise provided all or a portion of the travel or seminars, educational program
- in. A list of each occasion, specifying date, donor, and amount, at a source other than the City paid for or otherwise provided all or a portion of the travel or seminars, educational programs of other training; and
  a. Such other information as the Commission may deem necessary in order to properly carry out the purposes and policies of this chapter, as the Commission shall prescribe by rule.

  2. Where an amount is required to be reported under subsections B1a through m of this section, it shall be sufficient to comply with the requirement to report whether the amount is less than \$1,000, at least \$1,000 but less than \$1,000, at least \$1,000,000 but less than \$1,000,000 bu

- Section 5. SMC 2.04.370 Mandatory limitations on contributions, is amended as follows:
  A. No person shall make a contribution to any candidate for Mayor, member of the City Council, or City Altomey of the City, except in the election cycle for that candidate as defined in Section
- No person shall contribute more than \$500.700 to any candidate for Mayor, member of the City Council, or City Attorney of the City, in any election cycle.
- No person stati contribute more than \$500,000 (council) or City Attorney of the City Council, or City Attorney of the City, any only accept or receive a campaign contribution during an election cycle. A candidate for Mayor, member of the City Council, or City Attorney of the City, may only accept or receive campaign contributions during an election cycle as defined in Section 2.04.010 No candidate for Mayor, member of the City council or City Attorney of the City shall solicit or receive campaign contributions of more than \$500,000 from any person in any election cycle. The limitations imposed by this section 2.04.370 shall not apply to:

  1. A candidate's contributions of his or her own resources to his or her own campaign or contributions to the candidate's campaign by the candidate or the candidate's spouse or state registered
- domestic partner of their jointly owned assets

domestic partner of their jointly owned assets;

2. Independent expenditures as defined by this Chapter 2.04

3. The value of in-kind labor, and

4. Contributions consisting of the rendering of clerical or computer services on behalf of a candidate or an authorized political committee, to the extent that the services are for the purpose of ensuring compliance with City, county, or state election or public disclosure laws.

7. The limitations impose by this section shall apply to contributions of the candidate's spouse's or state registered domestic partner's separate property.

6. The limitations in this section shall be adjusted commencing before the 2019 election cycle, and prior to each election cycle thereafter, by SEEC to account for inflation or deflation using the consumer price index for urban wage canners and clerical workers, CPI-W. or a successor index, for the period since the effective date of this measure or the prior adjustment, as calculated by the United States Department of Labor. The declaration of the Washington State Department of Labor in Pacability of peacing the nate by which Washington State Separtment of Labor. The declaration of the Washington State Department of Labor in Pacability of Pa down, to the nearest \$5 or \$10 increment, as it deems proper,

Section 6. The provisions of Seattle Municipal Code sections 2.04.400, 2.04.410, 2.04.420, 2.04.430, 2.04.440, 2.04.50, 2.04.460 and 2.04.470 are repealed.

Sponsor Information: Honest Elections Seattle Initiative PO Box 20664, Seattle, WA 98102

tel.: (206) 436-0292

e mail: info@honestelectionsseattle.org website: honestelectionsseattle.org

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

[1" x 2" bar code here] XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX union bug recycle bug