



# CITY OF SEATTLE

## City Council

### Agenda

Tuesday, March 3, 2026

2:00 PM

Council Chamber, City Hall  
600 4th Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98104

Joy Hollingsworth, Council President  
Dionne Foster, Member  
Debora Juarez, Member  
Robert Kettle, Member  
Eddie Lin, Member  
Alexis Mercedes Rinck, Member  
Maritza Rivera, Member  
Rob Saka, Member  
Dan Strauss, Member

Chair Info: 206-684-8803; [Joy.Hollingsworth@seattle.gov](mailto:Joy.Hollingsworth@seattle.gov)

[Watch Council Meetings Live](#) [View Past Council Meetings](#)

Council Chamber Listen Line: 206-684-8566

The City of Seattle encourages everyone to participate in its programs and activities. For disability accommodations, materials in alternate formats, accessibility information, or language interpretation or translation needs, please contact the Office of the City Clerk at 206-684-8888 (TTY Relay 7-1-1), [CityClerk@Seattle.gov](mailto:CityClerk@Seattle.gov), or visit <https://seattle.gov/cityclerk/accommodations> at your earliest opportunity. Providing at least 72-hour notice will help ensure availability; sign language interpreting requests may take longer.



# CITY OF SEATTLE

## City Council Agenda

**March 3, 2026 - 2:00 PM**

### **Meeting Location:**

Council Chamber, City Hall, 600 4th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104

### **Committee Website:**

<http://www.seattle.gov/council>

---

Members of the public may register for remote or in-person Public Comment to address the Council. Speakers must be registered in order to be recognized by the Chair. Details on how to register for Public Comment are listed below:

Remote Public Comment - Register online to speak during the Public Comment period at <https://www.seattle.gov/council/committees/public-comment>. Online registration to speak will begin one hour before the meeting start time, and registration will end at the conclusion of the Public Comment period during the meeting.

In-Person Public Comment - Register to speak on the public comment sign-up sheet located inside Council Chambers at least 15 minutes prior to the meeting start time. Registration will end at the conclusion of the Public Comment period during the meeting.

Written comments must be submitted prior to 10 a.m. to ensure that they are distributed to Councilmembers prior to the start of the meeting. Comments may be submitted at [Council@seattle.gov](mailto:Council@seattle.gov) or at Seattle City Hall, Attn: Council Public Comment, 600 4th Ave., Floor 2, Seattle, WA 98104. Comments received after 10 a.m. will be distributed after the meeting to Councilmembers and included as part of the public record.

### **A. CALL TO ORDER**

### **B. ROLL CALL**

### **C. PRESENTATIONS**

**D. PUBLIC COMMENT**

*Members of the public may sign up to address the Council for up to 2 minutes on matters on this agenda; Introduction and Referral Calendar; and Council's Work Program; and total time allotted to public comment at this meeting is up to one hour.*

**E. ADOPTION OF INTRODUCTION AND REFERRAL CALENDAR:**

*Introduction and referral to Council committees of Council Bills (CB), Resolutions (Res), Appointments (Appt), and Clerk Files (CF) for committee recommendation.*

[IRC 513](#)

March 3, 2026

**Attachments:** [Introduction and Referral Calendar](#)

**F. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA****G. APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR**

*The Consent Calendar consists of routine items. A Councilmember may request that an item be removed from the Consent Calendar and placed on the regular agenda.*

**Journal:**

1. [Min 557](#) February 24, 2026

**Attachments:** [Minutes](#)

**Bills:**

2. [CB 121172](#) AN ORDINANCE appropriating money to pay certain claims for the week of February 16, 2026, through February 20, 2026, and ordering the payment thereof; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.

**Supporting Documents:** [Summary and Fiscal Note](#)

**H. COMMITTEE REPORTS**

*Discussion and vote on Council Bills (CB), Resolutions (Res), Appointments (Appt), and Clerk Files (CF).*

**PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE:**

1. [Res 32193](#) A RESOLUTION relating to law enforcement officer professionalism and standards; reaffirming Seattle values related to professional law enforcement conduct; condemning recent actions of federal law enforcement agents; articulating expectations for law enforcement conduct within Seattle; and directing Seattle Police Department officers to document and report violations of law enforcement professionalism standards.

**The Committee recommends that City Council adopt the Resolution (Res).**

**In Favor: 5 - Kettle, Saka, Juarez, Lin, Rivera**

**Opposed: None**

**Supporting Documents:** [Summary and Fiscal Note](#)

**HUMAN SERVICES, LABOR, AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE:**

2. [Res 32191](#) A RESOLUTION identifying the opportunity to develop a regional transportation hub adjacent to Westlake Park; creating a vision for how this hub will integrate with the surrounding neighborhoods; and setting forth directions for functionality, safety, and urban compatibility, along with guiding principles for the public projects planned for the area adjacent to Westlake Park.

**The Committee recommends that City Council adopt the Resolution (Res).**

**In Favor: 4 - Rinck, Foster, Hollingsworth, Saka**

**Opposed: None**

**Supporting Documents:** [Summary and Fiscal Note](#)

**I. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR****J. ADOPTION OF OTHER RESOLUTIONS****K. OTHER BUSINESS****L. ADJOURNMENT**



Legislation Text

---

**File #:** IRC 513, **Version:** 1

---

March 3, 2026



## Introduction and Referral Calendar

List of proposed Council Bills (CB), Resolutions (Res), Appointments (Appt) and Clerk Files (CF) to be introduced and referred to a City Council committee

Record No.	Title	Committee Referral
<b><u>By: Strauss</u></b>		
1. <a href="#">CB 121172</a>	AN ORDINANCE appropriating money to pay certain claims for the week of February 16, 2026, through February 20, 2026, and ordering the payment thereof; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.	City Council
<b><u>By: Rinck</u></b>		
2. <a href="#">CB 121174</a>	AN ORDINANCE relating to land use and zoning; adopting a moratorium on the filing, acceptance, processing, or approval of applications for the establishment, expansion, or change of use for detention centers; adding a definition for detention centers; amending Section 23.84A.008 of the Seattle Municipal Code; approving a work plan; declaring an emergency; and establishing an immediate effective date; all by a 3/4 vote of the City Council.	City Council
<b><u>By: Foster</u></b>		
3. <a href="#">Appt 03448</a>	Appointment of Carlin Llorente as member, Burke-Gilman Place Public Development Authority Governing Council, for a term to December 31, 2026.	Housing, Arts, and Civil Rights Committee
<b><u>By: Foster</u></b>		
4. <a href="#">Appt 03449</a>	Reappointment of Avery Barnes as member, Seattle Arts Commission, for a term to December 31, 2027.	Housing, Arts, and Civil Rights Committee
<b><u>By: Foster</u></b>		
5. <a href="#">Appt 03450</a>	Reappointment of Yoon Kang-O'Higgins as member, Seattle Arts Commission, for a term to December 31, 2027.	Housing, Arts, and Civil Rights Committee
<b><u>By: Foster</u></b>		
6. <a href="#">Appt 03451</a>	Reappointment of Yolanda L. Spencer as member, Seattle Arts Commission, for a term to December 31, 2027.	Housing, Arts, and Civil Rights Committee

**By: Rinck**

7. [Appt 03452](#) Appointment of Michael Gaston as member, Seattle Film Commission, for a term to April 23, 2028. Human Services, Labor, and Economic Development Committee

**By: Lin**

8. [CB 121171](#) AN ORDINANCE relating to land use and zoning; repealing Ordinance 127191, which allowed residential use within the Stadium Transition Area Overlay District under Chapter 23.74 of the Seattle Municipal Code. Land Use and Sustainability Committee

**By: Foster**

9. [Res 32194](#) A RESOLUTION reaffirming data and privacy protections for any person seeking or accessing City programs or services; requesting a review of the City's data collection and sharing practices to limit data exposure to the federal government and to other local, regional, and state jurisdictions; and requesting that departments incorporate privacy standards into future contracting requirements. Select Committee on Federal Administration and Policy Changes

**By: Lin**

10. [CB 121173](#) AN ORDINANCE relating to land use and zoning; amending Chapter 23.32 of the Seattle Municipal Code (SMC) at pages 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 98, 99, 100, 103, 104, 105, 106, 112, 113, 114, 119, 121, 124, 125, 133, 136, 137, 138, 139, 143, 144, 149, 150, 151, 152, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 163, 164, 165, 166, 172, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 184, 187, 188, 191, 192, 198, and 208 of the Official Land Use Map; amending Sections 23.30.010, 23.45.510, 23.45.514, 23.45.518, 23.45.530, 23.45.536, 23.84A.048, and 23.86.007 of the Seattle Municipal Code; and repealing Sections 23.45.528, 23.45.586, 23.45.590, and 23.45.595 of the Seattle Municipal Code. Select Committee on the Comprehensive Plan



Legislation Text

---

**File #:** Min 557, **Version:** 1

---

February 24, 2026

# SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL

600 Fourth Ave. 2nd Floor  
Seattle, WA 98104



## Journal of the Proceedings of the Seattle City Council

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

2:00 PM

**Council Chamber, City Hall**

**600 4th Avenue**

**Seattle, WA 98104**

**City Council**

*Joy Hollingsworth, Council President*

*Dionne Foster, Member*

*Debora Juarez, Member*

*Robert Kettle, Member*

*Eddie Lin, Member*

*Alexis Mercedes Rinck, Member*

*Maritza Rivera, Member*

*Rob Saka, Member*

*Dan Strauss, Member*

Chair Info: 206-684-8803; [Joy.Hollingsworth@seattle.gov](mailto:Joy.Hollingsworth@seattle.gov)

**A. CALL TO ORDER**

The City Council of The City of Seattle met in the Council Chamber in City Hall in Seattle, Washington, on February 24, 2026, pursuant to the provisions of the City Charter. The meeting was called to order at 2:01 p.m., with Council President Hollingsworth presiding.

**B. ROLL CALL**

**Present:** 9 - Foster, Hollingsworth, Juarez, Kettle, Lin, Rinck, Rivera, Saka, Strauss

**C. PRESENTATIONS**

There were none.

**D. PUBLIC COMMENT**

The following individuals addressed the Council:

- Nathan Fletcher
- Harsimran Davis
- Charlotte Phillippe
- Gabriel Dias
- Bennett Haselton
- Donnitta Sinclair
- Peter Manning
- Mike Asai
- Jason Thiel
- Gwendolyn Hart
- Long Nguyen
- Aries L.
- Em Smith
- Howard Gale
- Rose Legionaires
- Morgan May
- Yvette Dinish
- Alex Tsimerman
- Keondray Lucas
- Solomon Only1Wizer Prince
- David Haines
- Ruth

**E. ADOPTION OF INTRODUCTION AND REFERRAL CALENDAR:**

[IRC 512](#)      **February 24, 2026**

**By unanimous consent, the Introduction & Referral Calendar (IRC) was adopted.**

**In Favor:** 9 - Foster, Hollingsworth, Juarez, Kettle, Lin, Rinck, Rivera, Saka, Strauss

**Opposed:** None

**F. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

By unanimous consent, the Agenda was adopted.

**G. APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR**

Motion was made by Council President Hollingsworth, duly seconded and carried, to adopt the Consent Calendar.

**Journal:**

1.      [Min 556](#)      **February 17, 2026**

**The Minutes were adopted on the Consent Calendar by the following vote, and the President signed the Minutes (Min):**

**In Favor:** 9 - Foster, Hollingsworth, Juarez, Kettle, Lin, Rinck, Rivera, Saka, Strauss

**Opposed:** None

**Bills:**

2.      [CB 121168](#)      **AN ORDINANCE appropriating money to pay certain claims for the week of February 9, 2026, through February 13, 2026, and ordering the payment thereof; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.**

**The Council Bill (CB) was passed on the Consent Calendar by the following vote, and the President signed the Council Bill (CB):**

**In Favor:** 9 - Foster, Hollingsworth, Juarez, Kettle, Lin, Rinck, Rivera, Saka, Strauss

**Opposed:** None

**H. COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**FINANCE, NATIVE COMMUNITIES, AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS COMMITTEE:**

- 1. [Appt 03442](#) **Appointment of Aly Pennucci as Director, City Budget Office, for a term to December 31, 2029.**

**The Committee recommends that City Council confirm the Appointment (Appt).**

**In Favor: 4 - Strauss, Rivera, Hollingsworth, Saka**

**Opposed: None**

**The Appointment (Appt) was confirmed by the following vote:**

**In Favor: 9 - Foster, Hollingsworth, Juarez, Kettle, Lin, Rinck, Rivera, Saka, Strauss**

**Opposed: None**

The Council President invited Director Pennucci to address the Council.

**I. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR**

There were none.

**J. ADOPTION OF OTHER RESOLUTIONS**

There were none.

**K. OTHER BUSINESS**

There was none.

**L. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned at 3:16 p.m.

---

**Jodee Schwinn, Deputy City Clerk**

**Signed by me in Open Session, upon approval of the Council, on March 3, 2026.**

---

**Joy Hollingsworth, Council President of the City Council**



Legislation Text

---

**File #: CB 121172, Version: 1**

---

**CITY OF SEATTLE**

**ORDINANCE \_\_\_\_\_**

**COUNCIL BILL \_\_\_\_\_**

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money to pay certain claims for the week of February 16, 2026, through February 20, 2026, and ordering the payment thereof; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.  
**BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:**

Section 1. Payment of the sum of \$18,788,444.33 on PeopleSoft 9.2 mechanical warrants numbered 4101008280 - 4101009668 plus manual or cancellation issues for claims, e-payables of \$17,306.04 on PeopleSoft 9.2 9100015988 - 9100015996, and electronic financial transactions (EFT) in the amount of \$101,536,733.09 are presented to the City Council under RCW 42.24.180 and approved consistent with remaining appropriations in the current Budget as amended.

Section 2. RCW 35.32A.090(1) states, “There shall be no orders, authorizations, allowances, contracts or payments made or attempted to be made in excess of the expenditure allowances authorized in the final budget as adopted or modified as provided in this chapter, and any such attempted excess expenditure shall be void and shall never be the foundation of a claim against the city.”

Section 3. Any act consistent with the authority of this ordinance taken prior to its effective date is ratified and confirmed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect as provided by Seattle Municipal Code Sections 1.04.020 and 1.04.070.

Passed by the City Council the 3rd of March, 2026, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this 3rd of March, 2026.

\_\_\_\_\_

President \_\_\_\_\_ of the City Council

Approved / returned unsigned / vetoed this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026.

\_\_\_\_\_

Katie B. Wilson, Mayor

Filed by me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026.

\_\_\_\_\_

Scheereen Dedman, City Clerk

(Seal)

## SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Dept. Contact:</b>	<b>CBO Contact:</b>
Office of City Finance	Kaitlin Klaustermeier	Lorine Cheung

### 1. BILL SUMMARY

**Legislation Title:**

AN ORDINANCE appropriating money to pay certain claims for the week of February 16, 2026, through February 20, 2026, and ordering the payment thereof; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts. Claims include all financial payment obligations for bills and payroll paid out of PeopleSoft for the covered.

**Summary and Background of the Legislation:**

RCW 42.24.180 requires that payment of certain claims be authorized by the City Council. This bill, prepared each week by the City Treasury, authorizes the payments of funds that were previously appropriated by the City Council, so the passage of this bill does not have a direct result on the City's budget.

### 2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?  Yes  No

### 3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation have financial impacts to the City?  Yes  No

This bill authorizes the payments of funds that were previously appropriated by the City Council, so the passage of this bill does not have a direct result on the City's budget.

**If the legislation has costs, but they can be absorbed within existing operations, please describe how those costs can be absorbed. The description should clearly describe if the absorbed costs are achievable because the department had excess resources within their existing budget or if by absorbing these costs the department is deprioritizing other work that would have used these resources.**

**Please describe any financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation.** The legislation authorizes the payment of valid claims. If the City does not pay its legal obligations it could face greater legal and financial liability.

#### 4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- a. **Please describe how this legislation may affect any departments besides the originating department.**

This type of legislation authorizes payment of bill and payroll expenses for all City departments.

- b. **Does this legislation affect a piece of property? If yes, please attach a map and explain any impacts on the property. Please attach any Environmental Impact Statements, Determinations of Non-Significance, or other reports generated for this property.**

No.

- c. **Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative.**

- i. **How does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? How did you arrive at this conclusion? In your response please consider impacts within City government (employees, internal programs) as well as in the broader community.**

N/A

- ii. **Please attach any Racial Equity Toolkits or other racial equity analyses in the development and/or assessment of the legislation.**

N/A

- iii. **What is the Language Access Plan for any communications to the public?**

N/A

- d. **Climate Change Implications**

- i. **Emissions: How is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way? Please attach any studies or other materials that were used to inform this response.**

N/A

- ii. **Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.**

N/A

- e. **If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)? What mechanisms will be used to measure progress towards meeting those goals?**

N/A

## 5. CHECKLIST

- Is a public hearing required?
- Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required?
- If this legislation changes spending and/or revenues for a fund, have you reviewed the relevant fund policies and determined that this legislation complies?
- Does this legislation create a non-utility CIP project that involves a shared financial commitment with a non-City partner agency or organization?

## 6. ATTACHMENTS

**Summary Attachments:**

None.



Legislation Text

---

**File #:** Res 32193, **Version:** 1

---

**CITY OF SEATTLE**

**RESOLUTION \_\_\_\_\_**

A RESOLUTION relating to law enforcement officer professionalism and standards; reaffirming Seattle values related to professional law enforcement conduct; condemning recent actions of federal law enforcement agents; articulating expectations for law enforcement conduct within Seattle; and directing Seattle Police Department officers to document and report violations of law enforcement professionalism standards.

WHEREAS, the City’s Charter is enacted to protect the “health, safety, environment, and general welfare of the people”; and

WHEREAS, the tragic killings by federal law enforcement in Minneapolis were reckless and preventable and the indiscriminate, heavy-handed operations by Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) in Minneapolis impede on First, Fourth, and Fifth Amendment rights and actively undermine local public safety; and

WHEREAS, on January 29, 2026, Mayor Wilson issued a Directive reinforcing Seattle’s commitment to being a Welcoming City and to the protection of safety, privacy, and individual rights while complying with applicable law; and

WHEREAS, law enforcement should act in a guardian ethos and not a warrior ethos, acting to prioritize the safety and well-being of all people; and

WHEREAS, the City seeks to maintain a collaborative approach to stemming the flow of guns and drugs into our region with relevant federal agencies that meet the expected standard of law enforcement professional conduct and competency; and

WHEREAS, recent federal immigration enforcement actions do not demonstrate adherence to professional standards for law enforcement, including use of aggressive tactics, face coverings, and lack of

identification of the agency they represent; and

WHEREAS, seeing a law enforcement officer's face and identification will allow people to distinguish between potential law enforcement and criminal actors; and

WHEREAS, existing City laws and Seattle Police Department policies require Seattle police officers to be clearly identifiable when engaged in public safety activities, including wearing department emblems, name tags, and badges with officer-specific numbers, and to identify themselves when requested, with limited exceptions; and

WHEREAS, federal law enforcement officers should be held to the same professional standards as Seattle's own Police Department, NOW, THEREFORE,

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE THAT:**

Section 1. Expectations for law enforcement conduct within Seattle, regardless of agency of origin or jurisdiction

A. "Law enforcement officer" means any person elected, appointed, or employed by a law enforcement agency empowered to detect and apprehend persons violating federal, state, or local laws.

B. Law enforcement officers operating in Seattle are expected to conduct themselves with a level of professionalism meeting or exceeding the standards and policies applicable to the Seattle Police Department (SPD).

C. Law enforcement officers performing enforcement duties in Seattle should be clearly identifiable, including wearing department emblems, name tags, and badges with officer-specific numbers, and to identify themselves when requested, with limited exceptions in narrow and specific situations where operational necessity precludes such identification, such as those described in current SPD policy.

D. Law enforcement officers operating in Seattle should not wear any face coverings, except in circumstances that reasonably require their use, such as those described in current SPD policy.

E. Investigatory stops or detentions carried out by law enforcement officers in Seattle should be carried

out in a manner that is legally compliant, professional, and in service of preserving and enhancing the safety of all residents and visitors.

F. In situations where members of the public are injured, law enforcement officers operating in Seattle should attempt to render any necessary appropriate medical aid as soon as reasonably possible, including initiating emergency medical services (EMS) if needed.

Section 2. If SPD officers observe violations of the expectations in Section 1 of this resolution, they should document and report them to a supervisor per current SPD policies and directives, to include those relating to immigration enforcement, interactions with federal agents, unverified or unidentified law enforcement activity, and foreign nationals.

Adopted by the City Council the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026.

\_\_\_\_\_

President \_\_\_\_\_ of the City Council

Filed by me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026.

\_\_\_\_\_

Scheereen Dedman, City Clerk

(Seal)

## SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Dept. Contact:</b>	<b>CBO Contact:</b>
Legislative	Tamaso Johnson	N/A

### 1. BILL SUMMARY

**Legislation Title:** A RESOLUTION relating to law enforcement officer professionalism and standards; reaffirming Seattle values related to professional law enforcement conduct; condemning recent actions of federal law enforcement agents; articulating expectations for law enforcement conduct within Seattle; and directing Seattle Police Department officers to document and report violations of law enforcement professionalism standards.

**Summary and Background of the Legislation:** This resolution articulates the desire of the City to have any non-City law enforcement activities occurring within Seattle adhere to legal and professional standards in line with City values and policies that govern the Seattle Police Department (SPD). Specific law enforcement standards mentioned include: use of face coverings, visible identification, rendering medical aid, and procedures and standards for stops and detentions. The resolution further affirms existing SPD policies and directives on how SPD officers should handle situations related to immigration enforcement, purported federal agents, and foreign nationals. The resolution also denounces recent federal immigration enforcement activities in Minneapolis, Minnesota and resultant loss of life.

### 2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?  Yes  No

### 3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation have financial impacts to the City?  Yes  No

#### 3.d. Other Impacts

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle, including direct or indirect, one-time or ongoing costs, that are not included in Sections 3.a through 3.c? If so, please describe these financial impacts.

No.

**If the legislation has costs, but they can be absorbed within existing operations, please describe how those costs can be absorbed. The description should clearly describe if the absorbed costs are achievable because the department had excess resources within their existing budget or if by absorbing these costs the department is deprioritizing other work that would have used these resources.**

**Please describe any financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation.**  
This resolution is a statement of values related to how the City expects to see federal and other non-Seattle law enforcement agencies operate in the City.

**Please describe how this legislation may affect any City departments other than the originating department.**

This resolution calls for SPD to follow existing departmental policies and directives.

#### **4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS**

**a. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**

No.

**b. Is publication of notice with The Daily Journal of Commerce and/or The Seattle Times required for this legislation?**

No.

**c. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**

No.

**d. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative.**

**i. How does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? How did you arrive at this conclusion? In your response please consider impacts within City government (employees, internal programs) as well as in the broader community.**

**ii. Please attach any Racial Equity Toolkits or other racial equity analyses in the development and/or assessment of the legislation.**

N/A

**iii. What is the Language Access Plan for any communications to the public?**

N/A

**e. Climate Change Implications**

**i. Emissions: How is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way? Please attach any studies or other materials that were used to inform this response.**

N/A

**ii. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle’s resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.**

No.

**f. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program’s desired goal(s)? What mechanisms will be used to measure progress towards meeting those goals?**

N/A

**g. Does this legislation create a non-utility CIP project that involves a shared financial commitment with a non-City partner agency or organization?**

No.

## **5. ATTACHMENTS**

**Summary Attachments:** None.



Legislation Text

---

**File #:** Res 32191, **Version:** 1

---

**CITY OF SEATTLE**

**RESOLUTION \_\_\_\_\_**

A RESOLUTION identifying the opportunity to develop a regional transportation hub adjacent to Westlake Park; creating a vision for how this hub will integrate with the surrounding neighborhoods; and setting forth directions for functionality, safety, and urban compatibility, along with guiding principles for the public projects planned for the area adjacent to Westlake Park.

WHEREAS, Westlake Park and its surrounding area have long served as a civic center, a place at the intersection of social gatherings, park use, urban living, retail shopping, and a transit hub; and

WHEREAS, there are three public projects scheduled for the area surrounding Westlake Park, including the Westlake Park Renovation Phase 1, which is currently underway and scheduled for completion by Spring 2026, the Sound Transit Westlake Station expansion to support the Ballard Link light rail extension, and future planning for Monorail station upgrades to improve safety, reliability, and passenger capacity; and

WHEREAS, these public projects, along with Metro transit routes that serve the Westlake area, will create a comprehensive multi-modal transportation hub that is essential for a connected and efficient regional transportation system, as well as public spaces that are welcoming, lively, and safe, contributing to the livability and economic vitality of the downtown core; and

WHEREAS, the City convened a Westlake Civic Committee in 2025 to review projects underway and establish a shared planning framework for the area around Westlake Park and establish guiding principles to inform planning and the integration of the public projects that will impact this area; and

WHEREAS, the members of the Westlake Civic Committee included the following individuals who represent residents and businesses immediately adjacent to Westlake Park, along with others with a historical

perspective and understanding:

Mari Horita, Co-Chair (Downtown Seattle Association, Seattle Kraken)

Matt Griffin, Co-Chair (Downtown Advocate, Resident)

Gina Klem (Amazon)

Gordon McHenry, Jr. (United Way of King County)

Holly Golden (Hillis, Clark, Martin & Peterson P.S.)

Janel Jensen (BH Properties, owners of Pacific Place)

Joe Olujic (Muckleshoot Development Corporation)

Jon Scholes (Downtown Seattle Association)

Josh LaBelle (Seattle Theatre Group)

Laura Best (Nordstrom)

Laurie Black (Nordstrom, former director Boys & Girls Club King County)

Rebecca Bear (Seattle Parks Foundation)

Stanley McCammon (Joshua Green Corporation); and

WHEREAS, as the Westlake Park area changes and evolves in the coming years, the City of Seattle wishes to take advantage of this unique opportunity to create a revitalized urban center that enhances downtown and benefits all those who live, work, play, visit, and commute through Westlake; NOW, THEREFORE,

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE, THE MAYOR  
CONCURRING, THAT:**

Section 1. Consistent with the recommendations of the Westlake Civic Committee, the City finds and declares that:

A. The area adjacent to Westlake Park will become a multi-modal transportation hub connecting the region to downtown Seattle with the completion of current and planned public projects, including Phase 1 of the Westlake Park renovation, scheduled for 2026, the Westlake Monorail station improvements, and the Sound

Transit Westlake Station expansion for the Ballard Link extension.

B. These public projects offer a unique chance to create a retail center, celebrated for its design and usability, that serves as a welcoming “front door” to the city’s lively urban core, meets the needs of current and future residents, and that is closely connected to and fully compatible with the transportation hub.

C. All planning, design, and development of public projects in the Westlake area, as well as any private projects that may occur, should advance the following foundational elements regarding functionality, safety, and urban compatibility:

1. An efficient and effective transportation hub that provides users an enjoyable and pleasant experience.
2. A clean, safe, well-lit, and attractive experience for everyone who passes through the hub.
3. A functional urban destination with interesting sidewalks, retail, and services, including overhead weather protection.
4. Comfortable, accessible, and easy wayfinding, enabling users of all abilities to easily and efficiently navigate through the hub to reach their destinations.
5. An economic catalyst for the retail core, creating interest and desirability for nearby shopping and attractions.
6. A unique, vibrant, and livable urban neighborhood with amenities that enable people and families to meet their needs and thrive.

D. The following guiding principles are adopted for all public projects underway or planned for the Westlake transportation hub:

1. Celebrate and represent the city’s diverse culture and heritage, innovative spirit, and natural beauty through natural materials, technology, historic elements, art, and design.
2. Act as an inviting gateway to Downtown, the Pike-Pine neighborhood, and provide easily accessible connections to the Pike Place Market, Waterfront Park, Seattle Convention Center, Historic Theater

District, and Seattle Center.

3. Provide high-quality and seamlessly interconnected public spaces that create a cohesive pedestrian and transportation experience for everyone using Light Rail, Metro Transit, bicycles, and other mobility options.

E. Subsequent efforts by the City departments engaged in projects underway or planned for the area will endeavor to fully incorporate these guiding principles into their work, and to build partnerships with the downtown community and other key agencies and stakeholders to advance a cohesive vision for the Westlake area based on these principles.

Adopted by the City Council the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026.

\_\_\_\_\_  
President \_\_\_\_\_ of the City Council

The Mayor concurred the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Katie B. Wilson, Mayor

Filed by me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Scheereen Dedman, City Clerk

(Seal)

## SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Dept. Contact:</b>	<b>CBO Contact:</b>
LEG	Jasmine Marwaha	

### 1. BILL SUMMARY

**Legislation Title:** A RESOLUTION identifying the opportunity to develop a regional transportation hub adjacent to Westlake Park, creating a vision for how this hub will integrate with the surrounding neighborhoods, and setting forth directions for functionality, safety, and urban compatibility, along with guiding principles for the public projects planned for the area adjacent to Westlake Park.

**Summary and Background of the Legislation:** The legislation would affirm the vision and planning framework adopted by the Westlake Civic Committee, including: foundational elements regarding functionality, safety, and urban compatibility; guiding principles all public projects underway or planned for the Westlake transportation hub; and a commitment that efforts by the City departments engaged in projects underway or planned for the area will endeavor to fully incorporate these guiding principles into their work, and to build partnerships with the downtown community and other key agencies and stakeholders to advance a cohesive vision for the Westlake area based on these principles.

### 2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?  Yes  No

### 3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation have financial impacts to the City?  Yes  No

#### 3.d. Other Impacts

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle, including direct or indirect, one-time or ongoing costs, that are not included in Sections 3.a through 3.c? If so, please describe these financial impacts.

N/A

**If the legislation has costs, but they can be absorbed within existing operations, please describe how those costs can be absorbed. The description should clearly describe if the absorbed costs are achievable because the department had excess resources within their existing budget or if by absorbing these costs the department is deprioritizing other work that would have used these resources.**

N/A

**Please describe any financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation.**

**Please describe how this legislation may affect any City departments other than the originating department.**

It will provide guiding principles for departments to refer to in working to advance public projects adjacent to Westlake Park.

#### **4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS**

- a. **Is a public hearing required for this legislation?** No
- b. **Is publication of notice with The Daily Journal of Commerce and/or The Seattle Times required for this legislation?** No
- c. **Does this legislation affect a piece of property?** No
- d. **Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative.**
  - i. **How does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? How did you arrive at this conclusion? In your response please consider impacts within City government (employees, internal programs) as well as in the broader community.**
  - ii. **Please attach any Racial Equity Toolkits or other racial equity analyses in the development and/or assessment of the legislation.** N/A
  - iii. **What is the Language Access Plan for any communications to the public?**  
N/A
- e. **Climate Change Implications**
  - i. **Emissions: How is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way? Please attach any studies or other materials that were used to inform this response.** N/A
  - ii. **Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.** N/A

- f. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program’s desired goal(s)? What mechanisms will be used to measure progress towards meeting those goals? N/A**
  
- g. Does this legislation create a non-utility CIP project that involves a shared financial commitment with a non-City partner agency or organization? N/A**

**5. ATTACHMENTS**

**Summary Attachments:**