

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact:	CBO Contact:
Seattle Police Department Seattle IT	Heather Marx, SPD Eleonor Bounds, ITD	Andrew Dziedzic Sarah Burtner Geoffrey Detweiler

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE relating to surveillance technology implementation; authorizing approval of uses and accepting the 2023 surveillance impact report and 2023 executive overview for the Seattle Police Department's use of Callyo.

Summary and Background of the Legislation: Per SMC Chapter 14.18 (also known as the Surveillance Ordinance), authorizing the approval of the surveillance impact reports for Seattle Police Department's continued use of Callyo.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ___ Yes X No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? ___ Yes X No

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?
This technology is currently in use by the Seattle Police Department and no additional costs, either direct or indirect, will be incurred based on the continued use of the technology. However, should it be determined, that SPD should cease use of the technology, there would be costs associated with decommissioning the technologies. Additionally, there may be potential financial penalty related to breach of contract with the technology vendors.

Are there financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?
Per the Surveillance Ordinance, the City department may continue use of the technology until legislation is implemented. As such, there are no financial costs or other impacts that would result from not implementing the legislation.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?
This legislation does not affect other departments. The technology under review is used exclusively by the Seattle Police Department.

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

A public hearing is not required for this legislation.

c. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No publication of notice is required for this legislation.

d. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

This legislation does not affect a piece of property.

e. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?

The Surveillance Ordinance in general is designed to address civil liberties and disparate community impacts of surveillance technologies. Each Surveillance Impact Review included in the attachments, as required by the Surveillance Ordinance, include a Racial Equity Toolkit review adapted for this purpose.

f. Climate Change Implications

1. Emissions: Is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way?

No.

2. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.

No.

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)?

There is no new initiative or programmatic expansion associated with this legislation. It approves the continuation of use for the specific technologies under review.

Summary Attachments: