

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE

Department:	Dept. Contact:	CBO Contact:
Seattle Department of Transportation	Amy Gray	Christie Parker

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE vacating the alley in Block 52, A. A. Denny's Extension to the Terry's 1st Addition, in the First Hill neighborhood, and accepting a Property Use and Development Agreement and acknowledging the Seattle City Light Easement, on the petition of North Block Spring Street Development LLC (Clerk File 314364).

Summary and Background of the Legislation:

This Council Bill completes the vacation process for the alley in Block 52, A. A. Denny's Extension to the Terry's 1st Addition, in the First Hill neighborhood, on the petition of North Block Spring Street Development LLC.

The Petitioner sought the vacation for the development of a new residential building. The vacation enables efficient parking below grade, allows the two residential towers to function as a single building, limits vehicular access, creates a space inviting to pedestrians, creates a new community venue for gatherings or public enjoyment, and promotes pedestrian connections between First Hill, Downtown, Denny Triangle, and South Lake Union. Following a March 6, 2018, public hearing on the petition, the City Council conditionally granted the petition.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?

☐ Yes ☒ No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation have financial impacts to the City?

☐ Yes ☒ No

3.d. Other Impacts

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle, including direct or indirect, one-time or ongoing costs, that are not included in Sections 3.a through 3.c? If so, please describe these financial impacts.

The petitioner paid a vacation fee of \$2,500,000 on March 24, 2020.

If the legislation has costs, but they can be absorbed within existing operations, please describe how those costs can be absorbed. The description should clearly describe if the absorbed costs are achievable because the department had excess resources within their existing budget or if by absorbing these costs the department is deprioritizing other work that would have used these resources.

N/A

Please describe any financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation.

This legislation will complete the vacation process. The Petitioner has met all the conditions imposed by the City Council. By not implementing this legislation, the City could be in violation of its obligations, which could have financial implications.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Please describe how this legislation may affect any departments besides the originating department.

N/A

b. Does this legislation affect a piece of property? If yes, please attach a map and explain any impacts on the property. Please attach any Environmental Impact Statements, Determinations of Non-Significance, or other reports generated for this property.

Yes, the property legally described in Section 1 of the Council Bill.

c. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative.

i. How does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? How did you arrive at this conclusion? In your response please consider impacts within City government (employees, internal programs) as well as in the broader community.

This legislation does not impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities.

ii. Please attach any Racial Equity Toolkits or other racial equity analyses in the development and/or assessment of the legislation.

N/A

iii. What is the Language Access Plan for any communications to the public?

N/A

d. Climate Change Implications

i. Emissions: How is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way? Please attach any studies or other materials that were used to inform this response.

This legislation is unlikely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way.

- ii. **Resiliency:** Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle’s resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.

This legislation will not increase or decrease Seattle’s ability to adapt to climate change in a material way.

- e. **If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion:** What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program’s desired goal(s)? What mechanisms will be used to measure progress towards meeting those goals?

N/A

5. CHECKLIST

- ☐ Is a public hearing required?
- ☐ Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required?
- ☐ If this legislation changes spending and/or revenues for a fund, have you reviewed the relevant fund policies and determined that this legislation complies?
- ☐ Does this legislation create a non-utility CIP project that involves a shared financial commitment with a non-City partner agency or organization?

6. ATTACHMENTS

Summary Attachments:

Summary Attachment A – Block 52 North Block Vacation Area Map