

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**CM BURGESS TRACKING AMENDMENT 7B**

*This amendment would amend Proposed Amendment 8 to add that CPC staff would be responsible for tracking the status of all recommendations, with the status verified by each entity. The statuses would be updated after quarterly meetings with an opportunity for CPC analysis and comments. It would also add that one of the purposes of quarterly meetings amongst OPA, OIG, CPC, and SPD is to verify their positions on the status of all recommendations. The CPC Commissioner at those meetings would be the co-chairs or their designees.*

\*\*\*

Section 43. A new Subchapter IV, which includes new Sections 3.29.400, 3.29.410, 3.29.420, 3.29.430, 3.29.440, 3.29.450, 3.29.460, 3.29.470, and 3.29.480, is added to Chapter 3.29 of the Seattle Municipal Code as follows:

**Subchapter IV Mechanisms to Support Accountability**

\*\*\*

**3.29.410 Continuous improvement**

A. 1. Following the issuance of SPD and OPA shall confer with and respond in writing within 30 days to any oversight entity issuing written recommendations to SPD by the OPA Director, the Inspector General, or CPC issued pursuant to contained in reports required by this Chapter 3.29, SPD shall confer with and respond in writing to the issuing entity within 30 days following the release of the recommendations. In For any accepted recommendations, the response, SPD shall provide a plan for implementation of accepted recommendations, including a plan for regular timely written progress reports. on progress made in implementing accepted recommendations, and an explanation for those recommendations not accepted or not scheduled for implementation For any recommendations not accepted or not scheduled for implementation, the response shall provide an explanation of why not. If the lead entity responsible for the implementation of any recommendation is a City department or office other than SPD or OPA,

1 the ~~Director of the~~ Mayor’s Office ~~of Policy and Innovation or its successor~~ shall coordinate  
2 providing the necessary information to ~~SPD to~~ include in the response. ~~The issuing agency shall~~  
3 ~~be responsible for tracking the status of its recommendations accepted and not accepted. The~~  
4 ~~OPA Director and the Inspector General shall report quarterly to CPC on the status of SPD’s~~  
5 ~~response to their recommendations regarding SPD and other City departments and agencies.~~

6 ~~B. OPA shall meet and confer with the issuing agency following the issuance of any~~  
7 ~~written report with recommendations by the Inspector General or CPC issued pursuant to this~~  
8 ~~Chapter 3.29 and shall respond in writing to the issuing agency within 30 days following the~~  
9 ~~release of recommendations of the Inspector General or CPC, providing a plan for~~  
10 ~~implementation of accepted recommendations, including regular timely written reports on~~  
11 ~~progress made in implementing accepted recommendations, and a rationale or other explanatory~~  
12 ~~information for those recommendations not accepted or scheduled for implementation. The~~  
13 ~~issuing agency shall be responsible for tracking the status of its recommendations accepted and~~  
14 ~~not accepted. The Inspector General shall report quarterly to CPC on the status of OPA’s~~  
15 ~~response to OIG’s recommendations regarding OPA.~~

16 ~~C.B.2.~~ The OPA Director, Inspector General, ~~and the CPC Commissioner~~ co-chairs, and the  
17 Chief, or their designees, shall meet together at least quarterly to collectively review and verify  
18 their positions on the implementation status of all recommendations.

19 3. CPC shall compile and maintain a database of all recommendations and their status, as  
20 represented to CPC by the issuing entity. Following the quarterly meetings, CPC shall update  
21 the database. CPC may include their analyses and comments.

22 \*\*\*

- 23
- *Renumber sections accordingly.*