

Amendment A Version #1 to CB 120969 - Interim HB 1110 Implementation

Sponsor: Councilmember Rivera

Amend CB 120969 to clarify applicability of HB 5571

Effect: This amendment would add recognition of the exception to siding and cladding design standards for historic districts to the topics Council will consider for permanent legislation implementing state-required land use regulations.

[HB 5571](#), which was signed by the Governor on May 13, 2025, precludes local governments from proscribing the use of exterior building cladding materials that otherwise comply with the state building code. Among others, that proscription does not apply to structures in identified communities governed by other state regulations, such as communities with homeowners' associations, nor in local, state, or national historic districts. Seattle has several local, state, and national districts, including historic districts that are partially within NR zones, such as Sand Point Naval Air Station Landmark District and the Harvard-Belmont Landmark District.

Amend Attachment 1 of CB 120969, as follows:

City Council Topics for Permanent Legislation to implement State Land Use Regulations

The City Council understands the long-term importance of permanent legislation to implement Washington State's land use mandates and intends to carefully consider the implications of the legislation on the City's ability to be a welcoming, accessible, affordable, livable and safe city. In pursuit of those goals, the City will consider the following concepts in its review of the Comprehensive Plan and permanent legislation:

1. Supporting measures to reduce displacement pressure, such as:
 - a. Supporting a variety of housing types, to address the needs of households of different sizes, people with different accessibility requirements, and families at different income levels;
 - b. Supporting lot splitting;
 - c. Considering opportunities to support utility connections;
 - d. Incorporating strategies to help protect homeowners from predatory developers; and
 - e. Considering bonuses for community land trusts;
2. Considering whether residential densities should be based on the number of units on a lot or the square footage per unit;

3. Considering whether Accessory Dwelling Units should be counted toward determining the density of development on a lot;
4. Considering consistent and appropriate thresholds for street, alley, driveway, and pedestrian improvements;
5. Clarifying “designated non-disturbance areas in steep slopes” and reviewing density limits and development standards for properties with steep slope critical areas;
6. Considering adjustments to setbacks and amenity area regulations to maximize tree protection and support retention of existing trees during development and support flexibility in design to address neighborhood needs and provide buffers along major thoroughfares;
7. Considering whether to extend the City’s Mandatory Housing Affordability program (Chapter 23.58C Seattle Municipal Code) to Neighborhood Residential zones; such consideration would be informed by information, analyses, and policy proposals that are currently being developed for permanent legislation by the Mayor and Council;
8. Supporting a diversity of housing options near public amenities, goods, and services; ~~and~~
9. Considering the modification of off-street parking requirements to support City goals for neighborhoods accessible by pedestrians, people with disabilities, bicyclists, transit users, and others who do not drive; and
10. Clarifying that the scope of provisions for NR zones do not preclude regulation of cladding materials for qualifying historic districts and landmarks pursuant to HB 5571.