SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL

Legislative Summary

Res 31600

| Record | No.: | Res | 31600 |
|--------|------|-----|-------|
|--------|------|-----|-------|

Type: Resolution (Res)

Status: Adopted

Version: 1

In Control: Full Council

File Created: 06/29/2015

Final Action: 07/13/2015

Title: A RESOLUTION concerning a voter-proposed Initiative Measure that concerns public participation in government, including publicly-financed election campaigns, regulating campaign donations and regulating lobbying activities; authorizing the City Clerk and the Executive Director of the Ethics and Elections Commission to take those actions necessary to enable the proposed Initiative to appear on the November 3, 2015 ballot and the local voters' pamphlet; requesting the King County Elections' Director to place the proposed City Charter amendment on the November 3, 2015 election ballot; and providing for the publication of such proposed amendment.

| | | <u>Date</u> |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Notes: | | Filed with City Clerk: |
| | | Mayor's Signature: |
| Sponsors: | Burgess | Vetoed by Mayor: |
| | | Veto Overridden: |
| | | Veto Sustained: |
| Attachments: | Att A: Proposed Initiative No.122 | |
| Drafter: | patrick.wigren@seattle.gov | |

Filing Requirements/Dept Action:

| listory of Legislative File | | | Legal Notice Published: | | □ No |] No | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Ver- | Acting Body: | | Date: | Action: | Sent To: | Due Date: | Return Date: | Result: |
| 1 | City Clerk | (| 07/01/2015 | sent for review | Council President's Office | | | |
| | Action Text: Notes: | The Resoluti | ion (Res) wa | is sent for reviev | v. to the Council President's Office | • | | |
| 1 | Council President | t's Office | 07/01/2015 | sent for review | Full Council | | | , |
| | Action Text: Notes: | The Resoluti | ion (Res) wa | is sent for reviev | v. to the Full Council | | | |
| 1 | Full Council | (| 07/13/2015 | adopted | | | | Pass |
| | Action Text: | The Resoluti | on (Res) wa | s adopted by the | e following vote and the President | signed the Res | solution: | |

In Favor: 9

Councilmember Bagshaw, Council President Burgess, Councilmember Godden, Councilmember Harrell, Councilmember Licata, Councilmember O'Brien, Councilmember Okamoto, Councilmember Rasmussen,

Councilmember Sawant

Opposed: 0

1 City Clerk

07/13/2015 attested by City

Clerk

Action Text:

The Resolution (Res) was attested by City Clerk.

Notes:

Lish Whitson LEG Initiative 122 RES June 30, 2015 #D1a

1

2

CITY OF SEATTLE RESOLUTION_31600

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

A RESOLUTION concerning a voter-proposed Initiative Measure that concerns public participation in government, including publicly-financed election campaigns, regulating campaign donations and regulating lobbying activities; authorizing the City Clerk and the Executive Director of the Ethics and Elections Commission to take those actions necessary to enable the proposed Initiative to appear on the November 3, 2015 ballot and the local voters' pamphlet; requesting the King County Elections' Director to place the proposed City Charter amendment on the November 3, 2015 election ballot; and providing for the publication of such proposed amendment.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

WHEREAS, proponents of reducing influence of money, ensuring accountability, preventing corruption, and creating a program for public financing of elections have submitted to the Office of the City Clerk a petition bearing a sufficient number of signatures to qualify the proposed Initiative filed in Clerk File 319323 ("City of Seattle Initiative Measure No. 122") for placement on the November 3, 2015 ballot; and

19

20

21

WHEREAS, Article IV of the City Charter specifies that it shall be the duty of the City Council to submit an initiative bearing a sufficient number of signatures to the voters of the City for their ratification or rejection;

22

23

24

WHEREAS, RCW 42.17A.050 states that a local government must submit any proposal for public financing of local political campaigns to voters for their adoption and approval or rejection; NOW, THEREFORE,

Section 1. The City Clerk is authorized and directed to take those actions necessary to

25 26

27

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE THAT:

place City of Seattle Initiative Measure No. 122 filed in Clerk File 319323, a copy of which is 28 attached as attachment A, before the voters at the November 3, 2015 election, including but not 29

Lish Whitson LEG Initiative 122 RES June 30, 2015 #D1a

limited to publishing the proposed initiative measure as provided by state law and the City Charter.

Section 2. The Executive Director of the Ethics and Elections Commission is authorized and requested to take those actions necessary to place information regarding City of Seattle Initiative Measure No. 122 in the November 3, 2015 voters' pamphlet.

Section 3. The Director of Elections of King County, Washington, as ex officio supervisor of elections, is requested to place City of Seattle Initiative Measure No. 122 on the November 3, 2015 ballot, with the following ballot title approved by the Seattle City Attorney:

The City of Seattle Initiative Measure Number 122 concerns public participation in government, including publicly-financed election campaigns, and lobbying.

If enacted, the measure would limit election campaign contributions from entities receiving City contracts totaling \$250,000 or more, or from persons spending \$5,000 or more for lobbying; requiring 24-hour reporting of electronic contributions; require paid signature gather identification; limit lobbying by former City officials; create a voluntary program for public campaign financing through \$100 vouchers issued to registered voters funded by ten years of additional property taxes, with \$3,000,000 (approximately \$0.0194/\$1000 assessed value) collected in 2016.

| | Lish Whitson LEG Initiative 122 RES June 30, 2015 #D1a |
|------|--|
| 1 | Adopted by the City Council the 13 day of 30, 2015, and |
| 2 | signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this 13 Th day |
| 3 | of July , 2015. |
| 5 | Presidentof the City Council |
| 6 | J.h. |
| 7 | Filed by me this day of , 2015. |
| 8 | Q.00 |
| 9 | 1. Mansul |
| 10 | Monica Martinez Simmons, City Clerk |
| 11 | |
| 12 | (Seal) |
| 13 | |
| 14 . | Attachment A: Proposed Initiative No. 122 |

Please return signed petitions to/Contact us at:

Honest Elections Seattle Initiative No. 122

PO Box 20664, Seattle, WA 98102 -- tel.: (206) 436-0292

e mail: info@honestelectionsseattle.org — website: honestelectionsseattle.org
INITIATIVE PETITION FOR SUBMISSION TO THE SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL. To the City Council of The City of Seattle: We, the undersigned registered voters of The City of Seattle, State of Washington, propose and ask for the enactment as an ordinance of the measure known as Initiative Measure No. 122, entitled:
THE CITY OF SEATTLE INITIATIVE MEASURE NUMBER 122

THE CITY OF SEATTLE INITIATIVE MEASURE NUMBER 122

The City of Seattle Initiative Measure Number 122 concerns public participation in government, including publicly-financed election campaigns, and lobbying. If enacted, the measure would limit election campaign contributions from entities receiving City contracts totaling \$250,000 or more, or from persons spending \$5,000 or more for lobbying; require 24-hour reporting of electronic contributions; require paid signature gatherer identification; limit lobbying by former City officials; create a voluntary program for public campaign financing through \$100 vouchers issued to registered voters funded by ten years of additional property taxes, with \$3,000,000 (approximately \$0.0194/\$1000 assessed value) collected in 2016.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

Should this measure be enacted into law?

Those in favor shall vote, "Yes;" those opposed shall mark their ballots "No."
a full, true and correct copy of which is included herein, and we petition the Council to enact said measure as an ordinance, and, if not enacted within forty-five (45) days from the time of receipt thereof by the City Council, then to be submitted to the qualified electors of The City of Seattle for approval or rejection at the next regular election or at a special

time of receipt thereof by the City Council, then to be submitted to the qualified electors of The City of Seattle for approval or rejection at the next regular election or at a special election in accordance with Article IV, Section 1 of the City Charter; and each of us for himself or herself says: I have personally signed this petition; I am a registered voter of The City of Seattle, State of Washington, and my residence address is correctly stated
WARNING: Ordinance 94289 provides as follows: "Section 1. It is unlawful for any person 1. To sign or petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment, or 3. To interfere with or attempt to interfere with the right of any voter to sign or not to sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment, or 4. To sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment or practice; or 4. To sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment makes or practice; or 4. To sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment makes or practice; or 4. To sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment makes or practice; or 4. To sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment makes or practice; or 4. To sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment makes or practice; or 4. To sign a petition for a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment makes or practice; or 4. To sign and patient of a City initiative, referendum, or Charter amendment makes or practice; or 5. To sign and patient or 5. To sign and pa

(*Only Registered Seattle Voters Can Sign This Petition*) Residence Address Street & Petitioner's Signature **Printed Name** Number Signed 10 11 13 14 15 16 18 19 20

AN ACT relating to reducing the influence of money, ensuring accountability, and preventing corruption in City of Seattle government.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE:

ction 1. A new Subchapter VIII titled, "Honest Elections Scattle," is added to the Scattle Municipal Code, Chapter 2.04 - ELECTION CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS, as follows:

NEW SECTION 2.04.600 — Purnose and Authority. (a) Purpose. This people's initiative measure builds honest elections in the City of Seattle ("City" or "Seattle") and prevents corruption, by: giving more people an opportunity to have their voices heard in our democracy; ensuring a fair elections process that holds our elected leaders accountable to us by strengthening voters' control over City government; banning campaign contributions by City contractors and entities using paid lobbyjsts; lowering campaign contribution limits; tightening probablicins on lobbying by former elected officials (the "revolving door" problem; expanding requirements for candidates to disclose their financial holdings and interests; and increasing fines on violators of campaign rules. This measure also creates a Democracy Voucher campaign public finance program ("Democracy Voucher Program" or "Program") to expand the pool of candidates for city offices and to safeguard the people's control of the elections process in Seattle. (b) Authority of the People. The People have vested legislative powers of City in a Mayor and City Council, but reserved to themselves independent of the Mayor and the City Council the power to propose for themselves measures dealing with any matter within the realm of local affairs or municipal business. That power includes the use of an initiative petition to submit to the qualified electors of the city a measure as authorized by RCW 84.55.050 to exceed the limitations of regular property taxes contained in RCW Chapter 84.55, as it now exists or may hereinafter be amended. The authority of the people to adopt this measure is also specifically authorized and reserved to the electors of the City of Seattle by RCW 42.17A.550, which allows a city to use locally derived public funds (whether from taxes, fees, penalties or other sources) to publicly finance local political campaigns, if the proposal to do so is submitted to City of Seattle voters for their adoption and approval, or rejection.

Intercinaler be amended. The authority of the people to adopt this measure is also specifically authorized and reserved to the electors of the City of Scattle by RCW 4.2.17A.550, which allows a city to use locally derived public indied (whether from taxes, fees, penalties or other sources) to publicly finance local political campaigns, if the proposal to do so is submitted to City of Scattle voters for their adoption and approval, or rejection.

REW SECTION 2.04.601 — No Campaign Contributions from City Contractors or their PACs.
No Mayor, City Council member or City Attorney or any candidate for any such position shall knowingly accept any contribution of received more than \$250,000, under a contractual relationship with the City. No Mayor, City Council member or City Attorney or any candidate for any such position shall knowingly societ and any contribution of more than \$250,000, under a contractual relationship with the City. If the first sentence of this section is invalidated then no Mayor, City Council member or City Attorney or any such position shall knowingly accept any contribution of more than \$250,000, under and any entity or person who in the prior two years has carned or received more than \$250,000, under a contractual relationship with the City. If the first sentence of this section is invalidated then no Mayor, City Council member or City Attorney or any such position shall knowingly solicit a contribution of more than \$250,000, under and any entity or person who in the prior two years has carned or received more than \$250,000, under a contractual relationship with the City. If the second sentence of this section is invalidated then no Mayor, City Council member or City Attorney or any candidate for any such position shall knowingly solicit a contribution of more than \$250,000, under a contractual relationship with the City. In all cases such a candidate or office hund, directly or indirectly from any entity or person who in the prior two years has earned or received more than \$250,000 under

section to the maximum extent allowable.

NEW SECTION 2.04.605 — Expedited Reporting of Electronic Contributions. To ensure the Seattle Ethics and Elections Commission ("SEEC") creates an electronic reporting system that increases transparency, does not discriminate against low budget campaigns, and takes advantage of advances in information technology, all candidates for City of Seattle electronal offices shall report to the Seattle City Clerk any campaign contribution made electronically upon deposit into a candidate's account; provided that this provision shall take effect only after SEEC shall have determined that there are two or more electronic payment processing companies that have the capacity to report contributions to the SEEC as soon as the contribution is transferred to the candidate's account. To give campaigns time to prepare for this section, SEEC shall ensure that before a contribution is required to be publicly disclosed as received by a campaign is shall have reasonable opportunity to reject or return undesired or illegal contributions.

NEW SECTION 2.04.606 - Signature Gatherers Must Disclose if Paid for Signatures, Any person or entity that is a compensated or paid signature gatherer for any City of Seattle ballot measure, initiative, referendum, or charter amendment shall disclose to each person from whom a signature is sought, in writing via a conspicuous, legible sign, placard, or badge, stating "PAID SIGNATURE GATHERER."

measure, initiative, referendum, or charter amendment shall disclose to each person from whom a signature is sought, in writing via a conspicuous, tegible sign, placand, or badge, stating "PAID SIGNATURE GATHERER".

NEW SECTION 2.04.607 - Three-vear Ban on Mayor. Councilmember, City Attorney or Top Staff Paid Lobbving. A former Mayor, City Council member, City Attorney, or City Department head or the highest paid aide or employee directly reporting to any of the foregoing, may not, during the period of three years after leaving City office or position, participate in paid lobbying as defined in SMC 2.06.010. If the foregoing sentence is invalidated, then a former Mayor, City Council member, City Attorney, or City Department head or the highest paid aide or employee directly reporting to any of the foregoing, may not, during the period of two years after leaving City office or position, participate in paid lobbying as defined in SMC 2.06.010.

NEW SECTION 2.04.620 - The Right to \$100 in Democracy Vouchers, For Assignment to Qualified Candidates, (a) Democracy Vouchers. Democracy Vouchers are vital to ensure the people of Seattle have equal opportunity to participate in political campaigns and be heard by candidates, to strengthen democracy, fulfill other purposes of this subchapter and prevent corruption. It is a strength of Democracy Vouchers. Democracy Vouchers are vital to ensure the people of Seattle, has been a proposed vouchers of Democracy Vouchers. On the first business day in every municipal election year, SEED Senson who was by the previous November 15°, duly registered to vote in the City of Seattle, at his or her address in the voter registration records, \$100 in vouchers ("Democracy Vouchers") consisting of four Democracy Vouchers of \$25 each, except that SEEC shall regularly issue \$100 in Democracy Vouchers to any person becoming a duly registered City of Seattle voter after the previous November 15°, up until October 1st of the election year. To be consistent with federal law, any adult natural pe

barcode, in substantially the following form:

\$25 1 of 4 **Democracy Voucher for 20xx Election** On [insert date] , 20xx, I, Jane Q. Public, a resident of the City of Seattle, assigned this Democracy Voucher to a candidate for mayor, city attorney or city council named

I attest that I obtained this Democracy Voucher properly and make this assignment freely, voluntarily and without duress or in exchange for any payment of any kind for this assignment, and not for

Jane Q. Public voter ID and bar code Voucher ID #123,456,789

Jane Q. Public voter ID and bar code

Voucher ID #123,456,789

(d) Assignment of Democracy Vouchers. Vouchers are only transferable or assignable as stated herein. Any person properly obtaining and holding a Democracy Voucher may assign it by writing the name of the assignee candidate, and signing the holder's name on and dating the Voucher where indicated thereon, and delivering the signed and dated Voucher to the candidate, or to SEEC, or to any candidate's representative who shall be registered for this purpose with SEEC. Delivery may be by mail, in person they note requests to deliver the voucher), or electronically via a secure SEEC online system. SEEC shall establish a secure online system for delivery of Democracy Vouchers (without prejudice to any eligible person's right to receive Democracy Vouchers in the mail at his or her option) no later than prior to the 2017 election cycle, unless SEEC determines this target date is not practicable; and in any event no later than the 2019 election cycle.

(e) Limitations on Assignment. A person may only assign a Voucher to a candidate who has chosen to participation and pledge with SEEC as described below. No Democracy Voucher may be assigned after the last business day in November following the election, or to any candidate filing for participation who then fails to qualify or becomes unqualified for the position sought or for the Program, addidate or registered candidate representative may see assignment in person or through representatives or by assignment or transfer for cash or any consideration is prohibited. Offering to purchase, buy or sell a Democracy Voucher is prohibited. No person may given year. Assignment or transfer for cash or any consideration is prohibited. Offering to purchase, buy or sell a Democracy Voucher is prohibited. No person may given year. Assignment or transfer for cash or any consideration is prohibited. Offering to purchase, buy or sell a Democracy Voucher is prohibited. No person may given year. Assignment or transfer for cash or

spending Lithit (uses released and under dealby), a candidate stand, supparation, copping od, stands to rectain the relation of or otherwise.

NEW SECTION 2.04,630 - Candidates to Qualify By Showing Grass Roots Support and Agreeding to New Campaign and Contribution Limits: Redemption of Democracy Vouchers: New Limits on Use of Funds.

(a) Only Qualified Candidates Redeem Democracy Vouchers. Only a candidate who has filed with SEEC for participation in the Seattle Democracy Voucher Program may receive assignment of a Democracy Voucher. Only a candidate certified as qualified by SEEC may redeem a Democracy Voucher. Only a person eligible for and seeking the office of Mayor, City Attorney or City Council shall be eligible to file for Program participation.

(b) Requirements for Program. To seek qualification, the candidate shall file with SEEC, on or after July 1st the year before an election year and within two weeks after filing a declaration of candidacy, a sworm statement attesting to his or her intent to participate, asserting that the candidate shall timely file or has filed a declaration of candidacy for the office indicated, and that the candidate shall comply with Program requirements and applicable campaign laws. Such Program requirements are that the candidate: shall take part in at least three public debates for primary and general elections each seasonable efforts to participate in debates and similar public events); shall comply with campaign laws and spending and contribution limits; and, the candidate shall not knowingly solicit money for on behalf of any political action committee, political party, or any organization that will make an independent expenditure for or against any City of Seattle candidate within the reme election cycle (for the purposes of this section, appearing as a featured speaker at a fundraising event for a committee or entity shall constitute soliciting money for such committee or entity). Further Program requirements are that a candidate for Mayor shall not solicit or accept

(c) Qualifying Contributions. To qualify for the Democracy Voucher Program, candidates shall show they have received at least the following numbers of "Qualifying Contributions" of at least \$10 but not more than the Program contribution limit for the office sought provided in SMC 2.04.630(b) from individual adust (18 years of age or older), who are human natural persons residing in the City of Seattle, and eligible under federal law to make political contributions: Mayoral candidates, at least 600; City Attorney candidates, at least 150, at-large City Council candidates, at least 100 (of which at least 75 shall be from individuals residing in the district sought to be represented by the candidates. SEEC shall maintain a list of qualified candidates and make it readily accessible to the public, including by publishing in on SEEC's website.

(d) Campaign Spending Limit. Participating candidates shall comply with all campaign laws and not exceed the following "Campaign Spending Limits" (defined as (i) money spent to date (equal to prior expenditures, plus debts and obligations), and the value of any in kind domations reported, plus (ii) cads on hand and (iii) the value of unredeemed Vouchers on hand which the candidates shall have allocated to the primary or general election); Mayor \$400,000 for the primary election, and \$800,000 total, (for both primary and general election); City Attorney, \$75,000 for the primary election, and \$150,000 total, elegated to the primary election and \$150,000 total.

(e) Further Limits on Redemption. A qualified candidate may collect Democracy Vouchers for the general election before the primary election takes place and allocate same to the general election without such Vouchers counting against the Campaign Spending Limit for the primary election, but may not redeem Vouchers for the general election unless such candidate advances to the general election. (c) Qualifying Contributions. To qualify for the Democracy Voucher Program, candidates shall show they have received at least the following numbers of "Qualifying Contributions" of at least \$10

(f) Remedies for Exceeding Campaign Spending Limit, If a qualified candidate demonstrates to SEEC that he or she has an opponent (whether or not participating in the Program) whose campaign (I) Remedies for Exceeding Campaign Spending Limit. If a qualified candidate demonstrates to Size. Under or since has an opponent (whether or not participating in the Program) whose campaign spending has exceeded the Campaign Spending Limit for the position sought is indicated above, where SEEC deems the excess material it shall allow such candidate to choose to be released from the Campaign Spending Limit and campaign contribution limits for the Program, in which case SEEC shall allow such candidate to redeem his or her Democracy Vouchers received theretofore or thereafter up to the amount of the Campaign Spending Limit to the, then allow such candidate to engage in campaign fundraising without regard to any Program requirements. SEEC shall also release a qualified from the Campaign Spending Limit to the extent that it is shown (on application of a Seattle candidate or citizen) that said qualified candidate faces independent expenditures as defined in SMC 2.04.010 adverse to the candidate or in favor of an opponent and the sum of such independent expenditures plus said candidate's opponent's campaign spending materially exceeds the Campaign Spending Limit to the office.

specific per excueded tits. Capaging Specifies; Land in the approach or submitted and sever a some tits. And allow and conduction colorous to the cleaned from the Capaging Specifies; and of a capaging condition to the first Propose. A vision and the conduction and the approach of the capaging colorous to the conduction and the capaging colorous to the capaging colorous

Section 2. Funding; Lift of Levy Lids for Regular Property Taxes – Submittal and Amount.

To allow funding of the Seattle Democracy Voucher Program, provided in Section 1 above, the qualified electors of the City of Seattle hereby resolve to allow funding through a levy lift under RCW 84,55,050 and resolve that the City's legislative authority may fund the Program pursuant to that authorization or alternatively through the general fund or any other havful source of funds of its choosing. The taxes authorized in this section may be levied for collection in 2016 through 2025, raising up to \$33,000,000 (in aggregate over a period of up to ten years. The City shall not levy more than \$3,000,000 (about 2.5 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value) for this purpose in the first year, and in each subsequent year, in addition to the maximum amount of regular property taxes it would have been limited to by RCW 84,55.010 in the absence of voter approval under this ordinance, plus other authorized lid lifts. In 2016, total City regular property taxes collected would not exceed \$3.60 per

\$1,000 of assessed value. Proceeds from the tax authorized in this section shall be used only to fund the Seattle Democracy Vouchers Program as provided in Section 1 of this measure, and any amendments thereto adopted by future Council ordinance. Pursuant to RCW 84,55.09(5), the maximum regular property taxes that may be levied in 2025 for collection in 2026 and in later years shall be computed as if the levy lift in RCW 84,55.0010 had not been lifted under this ordinance. The tax authorized in his section shall not be collected to the extent the City allocates funds sufficient to establish and pay for the Program from other sources. Program funds including but not limited to any proceeds from the levy authorized herein, interest or earnings thereon, any amounts returned from candidates, and other funds allocated for the Program, shall be used for purposes of this ordinance and Program funds may be temporarily deposited or invested in such manner as may be lawful for the investment of City money, and interest and other earnings shall be used for the same purposes as the proceeds.

Section 3. SMC 2.06.130 - Civil Remedies and Sanctions, is amended as follows:

Section 3. Sive 2. 20,150 - Criti rendered and satisfaction, is animated as follows. Upon determining pursuant to SMC 2.04.090 through SMC 2.04.090 that a violation of this chapter has occurred, the Commission may issue an order requiring the party to take particular action in order to comply with the law, and in addition, or alternatively, may impose sanctions up to Five Thousand Dollars (\$5.000) per violation. Any person who fails to file a properly completed registration or report within the time required by this chapter may also be subject to a civil penalty of Fen-Dollars (\$40) Seventy Five Dollars (\$52) per day for each day each such delinquency continues except that during the last 30 days before any election such fine shall be at least Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250) per day and up to \$1.000 per day in the discretion of SEEC, for each day such definquency

Section 4. SMC 2.04.165 - Reports of personal financial affairs, is amended as follows:

A. The following shall file a statement of financial affairs:

1. Every candidate shall within two weeks of becoming a candidate file with the City Clerk a statement of financial affairs for the preceding twelve months.

2. Every elected official and every candidate for a future election shall after January 1st and before April 15th of each year file with the City Clerk a statement of financial affairs for the preceding calendar year, unless a statement for that same twelve month period has already been filed with the City Clerk. Any elected official whose term of office expires immediately after December 31st shall file the statement required to be filed by this section for the year that ended on that December 31st.

3. Every person appointed to a vacancy in an elective office shall within two weeks of being so appointed file with the City Clerk a statement of financial affairs for the preceding twelve

- 3. Every person appointed to a vacancy in an elective office shall within two weeks of being so appointed file with the City Clerk a statement of financial affairs for the preceding twelve months.

 4. A statement of a candidate or appointed filed during the period from January Ist to April 15th shall cover the period from January Ist of the preceding calendar year of the filing of the statement would relieve the individual of a prior obligation to file a statement covering the entire preceding calendar year.

 5. Both statement of financial affairs reported by this chapter shall disclose for the reporting individual and each member of his or her immediate family:

 1. The statement of financial affairs required by this chapter shall disclose for the reporting individual and each member of his or her immediate family:

 1. The statement of financial affairs required by this chapter shall disclose for the reporting individual and each member of his or her immediate family:

 1. The statement of financial affairs required by this chapter shall disclose for the reporting individual and each member of his or her immediate family:

 1. The statement of financial affairs report shall contain the following:

 1. The statement of financial affairs report shall contain the following:

 1. The statement of financial affairs report shall contain the following:

 1. The statement of financial affairs report shall contain the following:

 1. The statement of financial affairs report shall contain the following:

 2. Each bank or savings account or insurance policy in which any such person or persons womed a direct financial interest the receded \$500 during the reporting period, each other item of intaingable personal property in which any such person or persons womed a direct financial interest the receded \$500 during the reporting period, the name, address, and nature of the entity; and the nature and highest value of each such delta or now reason served that the control of the entity in the period of the entity in which any such per
- i. A list, including legal or other sufficient descriptions as prescribed by the Commission, of all real property in The State Of Washington, the assessed valuation of which exceeds \$2,500 in which any direct financial interest was divested during the preceding calendar year, and a statement of the amount and nature of the consideration received in exchange for that interest, and the name and address of the person furnishing the consideration; and
- address of the person furnishing the consideration; and
 j. A list, including legal or other sufficient descriptions as prescribed by the Commission, of all real property in The State of Washington, the assessed valuation of which exceeds \$2,500 in which a direct financial interest was held; provided, that if a description of the property has been included in a report previously filed, the property may be listed, for purposes of this provision, by reference to the previously filed report; and
 k. A list, including legal or other sufficient descriptions as prescribed by the Commission, of all real property in The State of Washington, the assessed valuation of which exceeds \$5,000, in which a corporation, partnership, firm, enterprise, or other entity had a direct financial interest, in which corporation, partnership, firm, or enterprise a ten (10) percent or greater ownership interest was beld; and
- - I. A list of each occasion, specifying date, donor, and amount, at which food and beverage in excess of \$50 was accepted from a source other than the City provided all or portion; and m. A list of each occasion, specifying date, donor, and amount, at a source other than the City paid for or otherwise provided all or a portion of the travel or seminars, educational programs or
- other training; and

 a. Such other information as the Commission may deem necessary in order to properly carry out the purposes and policies of this chapter, as the Commission shall prescribe by rule.

 2. Where an amount is required to be reported under subsections B1 a through m of this section, it shall be sufficient to comply with the requirement to report whether the amount is less than \$1,000, at least \$1,000 but less than \$1,000, at least \$1,000 but less than \$1,000, at least \$1,000, but less than \$2,000, or related \$1,000, at least \$1,000, at least \$1,000, 000, but less than \$1,000, at least \$1,000,000, but less than \$1,000,000, at least \$1,000,000, but less than \$2,000,000 but less than \$1,000,000, at least \$1,000,000, but less than \$2,000,000 but less than \$2,000,000 but less than \$2,000,000 but less than \$2,000,000, but less tha

Section 5. SMC 2.04.370 - Mandatory limitations on contributions, is amended as follows:

A. No person shall make a contribution to any candidate for Mayor, member of the City Council, or City Attorney of the City, except in the election cycle for that candidate as defined in Section

No person shall contribute more than \$500,700 to any candidate for Mayor, member of the City Council, or City Attorney of the City, in any election cycle.

A candidate for Mayor, member of the City Council, or City Attorney of the City, may only accept or receive a campaign contribution during an election cycle as defined in Section 2.04.010

No candidate for Mayor, member of the City council or City Attorney of the City shall solicit or receive campaign contributions of more than \$500,700 from any person in any election cycle.

The limitations imposed by this section 2.04.370 shall not apply to:

1. A candidate's contributions of his or her own resources to his or her own campaign or contributions to the candidate's campaign by the candidate or the candidate's spouse or state registered domestic partner of their jointly owned assets;

Independent expenditures as defined by this Chapter 2.04
 The value of in-kind labor, and

tel.: (206) 436-0292

3. The value of in-kind labor, and
4. Contributions consisting of the rendering of clerical or computer services on behalf of a candidate or an authorized political committee, to the extent that the services are for the purpose of ensuring compliance with City, county, or state election or public disclosure laws.

F. The limitations imposed by this section shall apply to contributions of the candidate's spouse's or state registered domestic partner's separate property.

G. The limitations in this section shall be adjusted commencing before the 2019 election cycle, and prior to each election cycle thereafter, by SEEC to account for inflation or deflation using the consumer price index for urban wave camers and elected workers. CFI-W, or a successor index. For the period since the effective date of this measure or the prior adjustment, as calculated by the United States Department of Labor. The declaration of the Washington State Department of Labor and Industries each September 30 regarding the rate by which Washington State's minimum wage rate is to be increased effective the following January 1, shall be the authoritative determination of the rate or percentage of increase or decrease to be adjusted, except that SEEC may round the new figure up or down, to the nearest \$5 or \$10 increment, as it deems proper.

Section 6. The provisions of Seattle Municipal Code sections 2.04.400, 2.04.410, 2.04.420, 2.04.430, 2.04.440, 2.04.50, 2.04.460 and 2.04.470 are repealed

Sponsor Information: Honest Elections Seattle Initiative PO Box 20664, Seattle, WA 98102

e mail: info@honestelectionsseattle.org website: honestelectionsseattle.org

[1" x 2" bar code here] XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX union bug recycle bug

STATE OF WASHINGTON -- KING COUNTY

--ss.

326942

No. 31600,31595,31588

CITY OF SEATTLE, CLERKS OFFICE

Affidavit of Publication

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Seattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12th day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.

The notice in the exact form annexed, was published in regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below stated period. The annexed notice, a

CT:TITLE ONLY RESOLUTION

was published on

07/31/15

The amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is the sum of \$69.75 which amount has been paid in fail.

ware to the

-

ibscribed and sworn to before me on

07/31/2015

Notary public for the State of Washington, residing in Seattle

Affidavit of Publication

State of Washington, King County

City of Seattle

The full text of the following legislation, passed by the City Council on July 13, 2015, and published below by title only, will be mailed upon request, or can be accessed at http://clerk.seattle.gov/ For information on procoming meetings of the Seattle City Council, please visit http://www.seattle.gov/council/calendar.

Contact: Office of the City Clerk at (206) 684-8344.

Resolution 31600

Resolution 31600

A RESOLUTION concerning a voter-proposed Initiative Measure that concerns public participation in government, including publicly-financed election campaigns, regulating campaign donations and regulating lob-bying activities; authorizing the City Clerk and the Executive Director of the Ethics and Elections Commission to take those actions necessary to enable the proposed Initiative to appear on the November 3, 2015 ballot and the local voters' pamphlet; requesting the King County Elections Director to place the proposed City Charter amendment on the November 3, 2015 election ballot; and providing for the publication of such proposed amendment.

Resolution 31595

Resolution 31595

A RESOLUTION concerning the creation of enforcement priorities for city departments regarding non-state-licensed marijuana establishments.

Resolution 31588

A RESOLUTION supporting Executive Order 2015-02: Workforce Equity Initiative, which addresses gender and race/ethnic wage equity for City employees; and requesting the

development of metrics and reporting pro-cesses to track the achievement of objectives listed in the Executive Order.

Date of publication in the Seattle Daily Journal of Commerce, July 31, 2015.
7/31(326942)