

Summary and Fiscal Note

1. Legislation Summary

Department: Neighborhoods

Title:

AN ORDINANCE relating to historic preservation; imposing controls upon the Wilde-Streatfield House, a landmark designated by the Landmarks Preservation Board under Chapter 25.12 of the Seattle Municipal Code, and adding it to the Table of Historical Landmarks contained in Chapter 25.32 of the Seattle Municipal Code.

Background:

The attached legislation acknowledges the designation of the Wilde-Streatfield House as a historic landmark by the Landmarks Preservation Board, imposes controls, grants incentives, and adds the Wilde-Streatfield House to the Table of Historical Landmarks contained in SMC Chapter 25.32. The legislation does not have a financial impact.

The Wilde-Streatfield House was built in 1911. It is an unusual Craftsman home on the steep western slope of Queen Anne, surrounded by a unique woodland garden. A Controls and Incentives Agreement has been signed by the owner and has been approved by the Landmarks Preservation Board. The controls in the agreement apply to the site and the exterior of the house, but do not apply to any in-kind maintenance or repairs of the designated features.

Summary Attachments: Summary Exhibit A – Vicinity Map of Wilde-Streatfield House

2. Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?

☐ Yes

☒ No

3. Summary of Financial Implications

Does this legislation have financial impacts to the City?

☐ Yes

☒ No

The property is privately owned. No adverse effects anticipated.

4. Other Impacts

a. Does this legislation require a public hearing?

☐ Yes

☒ No

b. Does this legislation require a notice to be published in The Daily Journal of Commerce and/or The Seattle Times?

☐ Yes

☒ No

c. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

Yes, see attached map in Exhibit A. This legislation imposes controls upon the property, as outlined in the proposed landmark designation ordinance.

d. Race and Social Justice Initiative impacts:

1. How does this legislation affect vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? How did you come to this conclusion?

Please consider both impacts within City government (like employees and internal programs) and in the broader community.

There are no known negative impacts on vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities.

2. Please attach any Racial Equity Toolkits or other racial equity analyses used to develop or assess this legislation.

This legislation is to codify the Controls & Incentives Agreement between the City Historic Preservation Officer and the owner of this Landmark property. There was no formal equity analysis undertaken for this property related to the language in the agreement, although we work with each property owner to strike a balance between preservation goals and continued operation of a property. Early in the landmarks process, separate from the negotiation of controls, DON Historic Preservation program staff review each landmark nomination application for completeness and provide detailed guidance to the author, to increase representation and accuracy of untold or misrepresented history.

3. What is the Language Access Plan for communicating with the public about this legislation?

A language access plan is not anticipated.

e. Climate change impacts:

1. Emissions: Will this legislation significantly increase or decrease carbon emissions? Attach any studies or materials that inform your answer.

This legislation supports the sustainable practice of preserving historic buildings and their embodied energy. Reuse and restoration of a building or structure reduces the consumption of new natural resources, and the carbon emissions associated with new construction. Preservation also avoids contributing to the ever-growing landfills.

2. Resiliency: Will this legislation make Seattle more or less able to adapt to climate change? If it reduces resiliency, explain what can be done to lessen the impact.

Many historic buildings possess materials and craftsmanship that cannot be duplicated today. When properly maintained and improved, they will benefit future generations, and surpass the longevity of most of today's new construction. They can also support upgraded systems for better energy

performance, and these investments typically support local or regional suppliers, and labor industries.

f. If this legislation creates a new program or expands an existing one, what are the long-term, measurable goals? How will this legislation help achieve those goals? What methods will be used to track progress?

No new initiative or programmatic expansion.

g. Does this legislation create a non-utility CIP that involves shared funding with a non-City partner or organization?

No.