

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE

Department:	Dept. Contact:	CBO Contact:
LEG	Henry/ 572-5281	NA

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title:

A RESOLUTION modifying the October 30, 2025 Mayoral Civil Emergency Proclamation regarding the federal government shutdown and the disruption to the SNAP benefits for Seattleites.

Summary and Background of the Legislation:

This Resolution follows the Mayor's Proclamation declaring a civil emergency on October 30, 2025 regarding the federal government shutdown and its impacts to SNAP benefits. The Mayor's proclamation notes that at least 50,000 Seattleites receive SNAP benefits, totaling approximately \$16 million per month. An even brief disruption to SNAP benefits could result in severe hardship for those families and individuals that rely on SNAP to access food in Seattle. While food banks throughout the city will certainly be a lifeline to many, Seattle also has a diverse food distribution network among other established nonprofit and community organizations.

This Resolution modifying the Mayor's Proclamation will make two changes:

- (1) Commits the Mayor to using Seattle's funds not just on food banks but other community food providers to address the emergency comprehensively; and
- (2) Commits the Mayor to update Council with his plan and available funding sources.

The Resolution affirms the Council's role as a separate branch of government to review the Mayor's Proclamation under SMC 10.02.010.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please fill out the table below and attach a new (if creating a project) or marked-up (if amending) CIP Page to the Council Bill.
Please include the spending plan as part of the attached CIP Page. If no, please delete the table.

Project Name:	Master Project I.D.:	Project Location:	Start Date:	End Date:	Total Project Cost Through 2030:

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation have financial impacts to the City? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If there are no projected changes to expenditures, revenues, or positions, please delete the table below.

Expenditure Change (\$);	2025	2026 est.	2027 est.	2028 est.	2029 est.
General Fund					

Expenditure Change (\$); Other Funds	2025	2026 est.	2027 est.	2028 est.	2029 est.

Revenue Change (\$); General Fund	2025	2026 est.	2027 est.	2028 est.	2029 est.
Revenue Change (\$); Other Funds	2025	2026 est.	2027 est.	2028 est.	2029 est.

Number of Positions	2025	2026 est.	2027 est.	2028 est.	2029 est.
Total FTE Change	2025	2026 est.	2027 est.	2028 est.	2029 est.

If there are no changes to expenditures, revenues, or positions, please delete Sections 3.a, 3.b, and 3.c and answer the questions in Section 4.

3.a. Appropriations

☐ This legislation adds, changes, or deletes appropriations.

Fund Name / Number	Dept	Budget Control Level Name/Number*	2025 Appropriation Change	2026 Estimated Appropriation Change
TOTAL				

*See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

Appropriations Notes:

3.b. Revenues/Reimbursements

☐ This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from This Legislation:

Fund Name / Number	Dept	Revenue Source	2025 Revenue	2026 Revenue Est.
TOTAL				

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

3.c. Positions

☐ This legislation adds, changes, or deletes positions.

Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, or Abrogated through This Legislation, Including FTE Impact:

Position # for Existing Positions	Position Title & Department*	Fund Name & Number	Program & BCL	PT/FT	2025 Positions	2025 FTE	Does it sunset? (If yes, explain below in Position Notes)
TOTAL							

* List each position separately.

Position Notes:

3.d. Other Impacts

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle, including direct or indirect, one-time or ongoing costs, that are not included in Sections 3.a through 3.c? If so, please describe these financial impacts.

If the legislation has costs, but they can be absorbed within existing operations, please describe how those costs can be absorbed. The description should clearly describe if the absorbed costs are achievable because the department had excess resources within their existing budget or if by absorbing these costs the department is deprioritizing other work that would have used these resources.

Please describe any financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation.

If this legislation is not adopted, the food access funds and other resources may not be delivered to the full spectrum of food delivery systems in Seattle, impacting the availability of food for Seattle's most vulnerable residents.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Please describe how this legislation may affect any departments besides the originating department.

N/A

b. Does this legislation affect a piece of property? If yes, please attach a map and explain any impacts on the property. Please attach any Environmental Impact Statements, Determinations of Non-Significance, or other reports generated for this property.

No

c. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative.

- i. How does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? How did you arrive at this conclusion? In your response please consider impacts within City government (employees, internal programs) as well as in the broader community.**
- ii. Please attach any Racial Equity Toolkits or other racial equity analyses in the development and/or assessment of the legislation.**

iii. What is the Language Access Plan for any communications to the public?
NA

d. Climate Change Implications

- i. Emissions: How is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way? Please attach any studies or other materials that were used to inform this response.**
- ii. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.**

e. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)? What mechanisms will be used to measure progress towards meeting those goals?

5. CHECKLIST

Please click the appropriate box if any of these questions apply to this legislation.

- ☐ **Is a public hearing required? No**
- ☐ **Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required? No**
- ☐ **If this legislation changes spending and/or revenues for a fund, have you reviewed the relevant fund policies and determined that this legislation complies? N/A**
- ☐ **Does this legislation create a non-utility CIP project that involves a shared financial commitment with a non-City partner agency or organization? N/A**
If yes, please review requirements in Resolution 31203 for applicability and complete and attach "Additional risk analysis and fiscal analysis for non-utility partner projects" form.

6. ATTACHMENTS

Summary Attachments:

