

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact/Phone:	Executive Contact/Phone:
Human Services Department	Andrea Yip/386-0035	Maggie Thompson/684-5896

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

- a. **Legislation Title:** An ORDINANCE relating to the Human Services Department; authorizing the Mayor to execute, for and on behalf of The City of Seattle, an interlocal agreement with King County for the administration of the Seattle-King County Area Agency on Aging as provided for in Title III of the Older Americans Act of 1965; superseding a prior interlocal agreement authorized by Ordinance 102148 and amended by Ordinance 120196; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.
- b. **Summary and background of the Legislation:** The Washington State Unit on Aging designated King County as an official Planning and Service Area for coordination of older adult program and services per Title III of the Older American Act of 1965. In May of 1973, the City, County, and United Way of King County signed an agreement for joint participation and administration of the Area Aging on Aging as the official planning agency. Aging and Disability services, a division within the Human Services Department is the designated Area Agency on Aging for King County. The last update to the agreement was in December 2000. This legislation introduces a revised interlocal agreement that removes United Way of King County from the agreement and re-affirms King County and the City’s commitment to ongoing coordination of planning for older adults and adults with disabilities. In addition, the updated agreement calls out the King County Department of Community and Human Services and Public Health – Seattle & King County as the County’s specific partners to the Seattle Human Services Department and Area Aging on Aging.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

- a. **Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?** ___ Yes ___X___ No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- a. **Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget?** ___ Yes ___X___ No
- b. **Does the legislation have other financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?**
No
- c. **Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?**
No

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- a. **Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**

No

- b. **Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**

No

- c. **Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?**

No

- d. **Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**

No

- e. **Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**

No

- f. **Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?**

Racial disparities in health, well-being and economic security are compounded by age and disability. Among older adults, communities of color are more likely to report being in poorer health than whites. This legislation re-affirms the commitment for the City and County to work collaboratively in promoting healthy aging and ensuring older adults experience stable health and can age in community.

- g. **If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s).**

N/A

- h. **Other Issues:**

List attachments/exhibits below: