

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	Executive Contact/Phone:
Legislative Department	Calvin Chow 4-4652	n/a

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: A RESOLUTION endorsing recommendations for improving the City of Seattle’s traffic incident management response; affirming the City’s commitment to traffic safety and mobility; recognizing the application of the state’s “Steer It Clear It” law, RCW 46.52.020(2)(a), and “Hold Harmless” law, RCW 46.52.020(2)(b), to drivers and police officers in the City; and directing the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to pursue an extension of the “Hold Harmless” protection to Seattle Department of Transportation traffic incident response personnel.

Summary and background of the Legislation: The Resolution endorses the Traffic Incident Management report, which contains a number of best practice recommendations to improve the quality and effectiveness of the City of Seattle’s response to traffic incidents, and directs SDOT and SPD to present an implementation plan to City Council by January 2016. The Resolution also highlights elements of existing state law and directs SDOT and SPD to implement programs, policies and procedures to promote awareness of the law. The Resolution identifies legal protection for SDOT representatives under the State’s “Hold Harmless” as an issue for the City’s next State Legislative Agenda.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

This legislation creates, funds, or amends a CIP Project.

(If box is checked, please attach a new (if creating a project) or marked-up (if amending) CIP Page to the Council Bill. Please include the spending plan as part of the attached CIP Page.)

This legislation does not impact the CIP.

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Please check one:

This legislation has direct financial implications. (If the legislation has direct fiscal impacts (appropriations, revenue, positions), fill out the relevant sections below. If the financial implications are indirect or longer-term, describe them in narrative in the “Other Implications” section.)

This legislation does not have direct financial implications.
(Please skip to “Other Implications” section at the end of the document and answer questions a-i.)

Implementing the recommendations of the Traffic Incident Management report may have future budget implications, which will need to be identified by the departments developing the

implantation plan. Separate legislation may be necessary in the future to address financial impacts.

3.a. Appropriations

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes appropriations.

(If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Revenues)

Appropriations Notes:

This legislation does not impact appropriations

3.b. Revenues/Reimbursements

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

(If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Positions)

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

This legislation does not impact revenues.

3.c. Positions

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes positions.

(If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Other Implications)

Position Notes:

This legislation does not impact positions.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- a) **Does the legislation have indirect or long-term financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above?**

Implementing the recommendations of the Traffic Incident Management report may have future budget implications, which will need to be identified by the departments developing the implantation plan. Separate legislation may be necessary in the future to address financial impacts.

- b) **Is there financial cost or other impacts of not implementing the legislation?**

No.

- c) **Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**

This legislation provides direction to SDOT and SPD.

- d) **Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**

No

- e) **Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**

No

f) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

(If yes, and if a map or other visual representation of the property is not already included as an exhibit or attachment to the legislation itself, then you must include a map and/or other visual representation of the property and its location as an attachment to the fiscal note. Place a note on the map attached to the fiscal note that indicates the map is intended for illustrative or informational purposes only and is not intended to modify anything in the legislation.)

No

g) Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?

(If yes, please explain how this legislation may impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities. Using the racial equity toolkit is one way to help determine the legislation's impact on certain communities.)

This legislation is not anticipated to disproportionately impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities. The implementation plan will also need to be evaluated for potential impacts.

h) If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the long-term and measurable goals of the program? Please describe how this legislation would help achieve the program's desired goals.

(This answer should highlight measureable outputs and outcomes.)

The recommendations of the Traffic Incident Management report are intended to reduce traffic delays resulting from traffic accidents.

i) Other Issues:

List attachments/exhibits below: