

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact/Phone:	CBO Contact/Phone:
Seattle Public Utilities	Sahba Mohandessi/684-7592	Akshay Iyengar/4-0716

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE relating to Seattle Public Utilities; authorizing the General Manager and Chief Executive Officer of Seattle Public Utilities to enter into a stormwater facility construction and maintenance agreement with the State of Washington and grant a non-exclusive easement to the State of Washington for the stormwater facility, upon, under, and across a portion of the south half of the northwest quarter of Section 3, Township 23 North, Range 4 East, W.M., King County, Washington.

Summary and background of the Legislation: The Washington State Department of Transportation and Seattle Public Utilities in 1993 collaborated on constructing drainage facilities for the benefit of both agencies. Under an agreement approved by the parties, the City would purchase property for a joint stormwater facility and WSDOT would build detention ponds, grassy swales and a maintenance access road on the property purchased by the City.

Upon completion of the project, the property was to be transferred to the State. WSDOT built the drainage facilities but the subsequent property transfer did not take place. In 2011 SPU improved City’s drainage system on the property and parties agreed to provide WSDOT an easement for maintenance of its water quality ponds. This ordinance would complete the transfer of real property rights envisioned in the agreement between the parties.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ___ Yes X No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? ___ Yes X No

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?
No

Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?

If the easement is not given to WSDOT it will not have access to its drainage facilities to maintain them, causing them to fail. This would lead to failure of SPU's drainage system, causing flooding of private and public properties

3.b. Revenues/Reimbursements

X This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:

Fund Name and Number	Dept	Revenue Source	2020 Revenue	2021 Estimated Revenue
DWF 44010	SPU	Easement Fee	\$6,100	
TOTAL			\$6,100	

Is this change one-time or ongoing?

One time

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

No

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

Yes

c. Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?

No

d. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No

e. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

Yes

f. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?

No

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)?

NA

List attachments/exhibits below: