

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Dept. Contact/Phone:	CBO Contact/Phone:
Legislative	Eric McConaghy/2066151071	n/a

** Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: A RESOLUTION approving and ratifying the decision of the Metropolitan King County Council to adopt a revised set of Countywide Planning Policies.

Summary and Background of the Legislation:

This legislation ratifies for Seattle the 2021 amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs) that King County Council approved and ratified on behalf of unincorporated King County on December 14, 2021 via King County Ordinance 19384.

Background

The CPPs, established in 1992, guide how all jurisdictions in King County manage growth as they develop and amend their Comprehensive Plans, as required by the State Growth Management Act. King County and the jurisdictions of King County last ratified and complete update to the CPPs in 2012. For the 2012 update and for the development of the 2021 CPPS, the Growth Management Planning Council (GMPC) guided the work of King County and city staff. The GMPC was established in 1992 by interlocal agreement. It is comprised of local officials, including three representatives from Seattle.

General Policy-1 (G-1) of the current CPPs requires that amendments to CPPs must be ratified within 90 days of King County approval and require affirmation by the county and cities and towns representing at least 70 percent of the county population and 30 percent of those jurisdictions. Ratification is either by an affirmative vote of the city's or town's council or by no action being taken within the ratification period.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please fill out the table below and attach a new (if creating a project) or marked-up (if amending) CIP Page to the Council Bill. Please include the spending plan as part of the attached CIP Page. If no, please delete the table.

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If there are no changes to appropriations, revenues, or positions, please delete the table below.

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?

If so, describe the nature of the impacts. This could include increased operating and maintenance costs, for example.

No.

Are there financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?

Estimate the costs to the City of not implementing the legislation, including estimated costs to maintain or expand an existing facility or the cost avoidance due to replacement of an existing facility, potential conflicts with regulatory requirements, or other potential costs or consequences.

No.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

If so, please list the affected department(s) and the nature of the impact (financial, operational, etc.).

When amending policies of the Seattle's Comprehensive Plan or conducting a major update to the Comprehensive Plan overall, the City must do so consistent with the CPPs. The Office of Planning and Community Development, along with other City departments, will refer to the CPPs during the work underway to update the City's Comprehensive Plan.

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

If yes, what public hearings have been held to date, and/or what public hearings are planned/required in the future?

No.

c. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

For example, legislation related to sale of surplus property, condemnation, or certain capital projects with private partners may require publication of notice. If you aren't sure, please check with your lawyer. If publication of notice is required, describe any steps taken to comply with that requirement.

No.

d. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

If yes, and if a map or other visual representation of the property is not already included as an exhibit or attachment to the legislation itself, then you must include a map and/or other visual representation of the property and its location as an attachment to the fiscal note. Place a note on the map attached to the fiscal note that indicates the map is intended for illustrative or informational purposes only and is not intended to modify anything in the legislation.

No.

e. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?

If yes, please explain how this legislation may impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities. Using the racial equity toolkit is one way to help determine the legislation's impact on certain communities. If any aspect of the legislation involves communication or outreach to the public, please describe the plan for communicating with non-English speakers.

The resolution ratifying the CPPs does not have direct impact on vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities. However, one of the guiding principles for the 2021 updates to the CPPs is "centering equity and health." The updated 2021 policies of the CPPs are intended to lead to improvements to local policies and resource allocation that explicitly counter and

remedy disparities in determinants of equity and are informed by those most affected by these disparities.

f. Climate Change Implications

1. Emissions: Is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way?

Please provide a qualitative response, considering net impacts. Are there potential carbon emissions impacts of not implementing the proposed legislation? Discuss any potential intersections of carbon emissions impacts and race and social justice impacts, if not previously described in Section 4.e.

The environment policies of the 2021 CPPs directly address environmental protection and climate change. Overall, the 2021 CPPs serve to guide the planning of King County jurisdictions in compliance with state Growth Management regulations that are generally purposed to improve the quality of life and to mitigate the downside effects of increased population growth.

2. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.

Describe the potential climate resiliency impacts of implementing or not implementing the proposed legislation. Discuss any potential intersections of climate resiliency and race and social justice impacts, if not previously described in Section 4e.

See above.

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s)? No new initiative.