Exhibit 9.b.

Original MUP Public Notice 11/28/22

PUBLIC COMMENTS

COMMENT SUBMITTED THROUGH 12/26/22.

Public Comment

Condition Name

Public Comment

Applied Date

12/14/2022

Commenter Name

Victor Rini

Additional Information

Anonymous

Prior comments to this project appear to be unavailable. Many cogent points were made. Most concerning is the traffic in the alley between this proposed building and the QFC which also includes the QFC's loading dock. This apparently unaddressed issue means in my view accidents waiting to happen between vehicles proceeding to and from the proposed apartment building, to and from the QFC parking lot and trucks to and from the loading dock. The building is an alienating presence where it is situated, out of character with surrounding structures. I oppose the construction of this project.

Comment submitted on: Wed Dec 14 2022 14:08:55 GMT-0800 (PST)

View as PDF (includes supporting documents if provided)

Type *

Public Comment

Condition Name

Public Comment

Applied Date

12/14/2022

Commenter Name

Victor Rini

Additional Information

Anonymous

And I forget to mention pedestrians. Many people walk to the QFC from an increasingly dense housing mix in the area. I've shopped at the QFC since it opened there nearly 30 years ago. For a pedestrian, dodging drivers in a hurry is getting increasingly challenging.

Comment submitted on: Wed Dec 14 2022 15:57:48 GMT-0800 (PST)

View as PDF (includes supporting documents if provided)

Type *

Public Comment

Condition Name

Public Comment

Applied Date

12/19/2022

Commenter Name

Eliza Parsons

Additional Information

Anonymous

If this is approved it needs drastic pedestrian safety changes before it can happen. Spend just 20 minutes at Patty's Eggnest and you will see how many people utilize this area on foot. The "easement" between the QFC and this project site is already not sufficient for cars, delivery trucks, and pedestrians.

In reading the minutes it sounds like daycare isn't definitely happening, so that sucks that it's being marketed to the neighborhood that it is. If the daycare does happen with the preferred layout, the playground would be in the shade ALL day and would be so close to all the traffic coming in and out of the easement area. Consider having the daycare on the east side of the project closer to the park and away from the easement. This project removes 3-4 curb cuts/entries and exits to the QFC. Think about how that impacts the easement location and the increase in vehicle traffic overall. Think about it for both the daycare and the pedestrians! This design proposal has so much information about "bulk" and "scale compatability" but no research that shows how many pedestrians will be impacted.

Honestly, I love this project in that it is adding low income housing and (potentially) a daycare. However, it removes a very necessary local restaurant that supports elder folks in the area who are already quite isolated. This restaurant allows for easy parking and important socializing for our elders. Where will they go?

Comment submitted on: Mon Dec 19 2022 15:45:21 GMT-0800 (PST)

View as PDF (includes supporting documents if provided)

Type *

Public Comment

Condition Name

Public Comment

Applied Date

12/19/2022

Commenter Name

Tom Johnson

Additional Information

Tom Johnson

What perfect location for some sorely needed housing for ordinary people! I support this project enthusiastically.

Comment submitted on: Mon Dec 19 2022 18:10:22 GMT-0800 (PST)

View as PDF (includes supporting documents if provided)

Type *

Public Comment

Condition Name

Public Comment

Applied Date

12/21/2022

Commenter Name

Victor Rini

Additional Information

Anonymous

One participant here believes it is useful to locate the daycare "close to the park". The "park" has been overrun for years by the homeless and neglected by the parks department despite their occasional efforts. When the park was first established I used to take my kids there to play on the play structure. Then the homeless started showing up spending countless hours hanging about on the park benches and then eventually with nothing to do they vandalized the play structure burning holes in it with their cigarettes and drugs. People had long since stopped bringing their kids there. So the parks department removed the play structure and the place has only gone further downhill ever since. The peak of the homeless crisis may have passed but tents and trash are still a semi-regular appearance there. Locating a daycare "close to the park" is no solution. Between this new building and the park is an unattractive, fenced retention pond. My wife once witnessed a homeless person defecating in the space between the jiffy lube garbage/recycling bins and the retention pond fence. To the developers I say good luck on selling us old timers in the neighborhood on your "daycare".

Comment submitted on: Wed Dec 21 2022 13:14:55 GMT-0800 (PST)

View as PDF (includes supporting documents if provided)

Type *

Public Comment

Condition Name

Public Comment

Applied Date

12/26/2022

Commenter Name

Patrick Benitez

Additional Information

Patrick Benitez

I hope this building can be approved as quickly as possible. After all, it has been under review for a year now, which is really far too long but I'm sure you are constrained. I am glad to see 179 homes planned, all located within walking distance to parks, a grocery store, a department store and several local businesses, several bus lines and the light rail.

Comment submitted on: Mon Dec 26 2022 20:16:35 GMT-0800 (PST)

View as PDF (includes supporting documents if provided)

Type *

Public Comment

Condition Name

Public Comment

Applied Date

12/29/2022

Commenter Name

Donald and Marianne Marshall

Additional Information

Anonymous

Concerns not addressed in the proposal:

1. increased vehicle parking in the area.

There is no study or discussion of how many residents of the proposed building will park in the neighborhood or in the adjacent QFC parking lot. Currently there are times when

there are no parking spaces at the QFC store when we try to shop there. Even if residents do not park in the QFC parking lot, their visitors might. We suspect that 88 parking

spaces for 176 residences are far to few.

2. increased traffic on Northgate Way.

It is common for traffic to be backed up from Lake City Way past 23rd NE, and sometimes as far as 20th NE. How will the addition of this building increase the traffic in the area?

3. Large differences in building heights.

We agreed to the development of the area surrounding the former Northgate Mall which included increased heights. The allowed heights in the area purposely decreased over

several blocks until it reached one story residences. The current proposal seeks to increase the height limit to 65 feet, directly across the street from single family residences.

Comment submitted on: Thu Dec 29 2022 17:39:34 GMT-0800 (PST)

View as PDF (includes supporting documents if provided)

Type *

Public Comment

Condition Name

Public Comment

Applied Date

12/29/2022

Commenter Name

Nora Buettner

Additional Information

Anonymous

As a longtime resident in the Northgate neighborhood I object to the construction of a 7-story building on that site. Why?

The traffic congestion it will cause. In past reports Northgate Way was on of the busiest arterials in Seattle. This new construction has 179 units with 88 parking spaces. In reality people are NOT giving up their cars and many households have two cars. If you drive through neighborhoods where large apartment buildings with few parking spaces have replaced existing homes/buildings the overflow of vehicles is creating a parking nightmare for the neighbors. Sometimes making the streets almost impassable.

Currently there are two large housing construction sites in the Northgate area. One on Roosevelt just north of Northgate Way (previously Costume Supply) and another between 5th and 8th Ave. NE (previous site of Waldo Hospital).I do not know the number of units or parking spaces these projects will have but the streets are already lined with vehicle overflow from the other large apartment buildings constructed in the last few years.

And let's not ignore visibly and pedestrian safety. A project that large on that site is uncharacteristic for the neighborhood.

Comment submitted on: Thu Dec 29 2022 08:36:51 GMT-0800 (PST)

View as PDF (includes supporting documents if provided)