

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE

Department:	Dept. Contact:	CBO Contact:
Legislative	Ketil Freeman	N/A

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE relating to land use and zoning; adopting a new Major Institution Master Plan for the University of Washington Medical Center – Northwest Hospital; and amending Chapter 23.32 of the Seattle Municipal Code at Page 14 of the Official Land Use Map, to modify height limits and rezone property within the Major Institution Overlay (Project Number 3040282-LU, Clerk File 314511).

Summary and Background of the Legislation:

This bill:

- Adopts the University of Washington Medical Center – Northwest Hospital Final Major Institution Master Plan (MIMP) with conditions recommended by the Hearing Examiner and directs the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (SDCI) to compile those conditions into a final MIMP that will be filed in Clerk File (CF) 314511 and
- Amends the Official Land Use Map to rezone the boundaries and height designations of Major Institution Overlay zone applicable to the MIMP.

The Council Findings, Conclusions, and Decision on the MIMP and evidentiary record upon which that decision is based is contained in C.F. 314511.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? Yes No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Does this legislation have financial impacts to the City? Yes No

3.d. Other Impacts

Does the legislation have other financial impacts to The City of Seattle, including direct or indirect, one-time or ongoing costs, that are not included in Sections 3.a through 3.c? If so, please describe these financial impacts.

MIMP approval allows the University of Washington Medical Center to apply for building permits consistent with the development standards and program in the approved MIMP. Overtime this could lead to increase permit fee revenue to SDCI and capital improvements to City-owned transportation facilities

If the legislation has costs, but they can be absorbed within existing operations, please describe how those costs can be absorbed. The description should clearly describe if the absorbed costs are achievable because the department had excess resources within their existing budget or if by absorbing these costs the department is deprioritizing other work that would have used these resources.

Not applicable

Please describe any financial costs or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation.
See above.

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Please describe how this legislation may affect any departments besides the originating department.

The Department of Neighborhoods, the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections, and the Seattle Department of Transportation have been involved reviewing the application and will participate in ongoing MIMP implementation.

b. Does this legislation affect a piece of property? If yes, please attach a map and explain any impacts on the property. Please attach any Environmental Impact Statements, Determinations of Non-Significance, or other reports generated for this property.

Yes, see map in Summary Attachment 1.

c. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative.

i. How does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? How did you arrive at this conclusion? In your response please consider impacts within City government (employees, internal programs) as well as in the broader community.

The hospital service area and patient population includes members of vulnerable and historically disadvantaged communities. The MIMP anticipates development of patient care space for those populations, with an emphasis on growth among persons 65 years and older.

ii. Please attach any Racial Equity Toolkits or other racial equity analyses in the development and/or assessment of the legislation.

Not applicable.

iii. What is the Language Access Plan for any communications to the public?

Not applicable

d. Climate Change Implications

i. Emissions: How is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way? Please attach any studies or other materials that were used to inform this response.

ii. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle’s resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.

No.

e. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program’s desired goal(s)? What mechanisms will be used to measure progress towards meeting those goals?

Not applicable

5. CHECKLIST

Please click the appropriate box if any of these questions apply to this legislation.

- Is a public hearing required?**
The Seattle Hearing Examiner held an open record public hearing on this proposal.
- Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required?**
- If this legislation changes spending and/or revenues for a fund, have you reviewed the relevant fund policies and determined that this legislation complies?**
- Does this legislation create a non-utility CIP project that involves a shared financial commitment with a non-City partner agency or organization?**

6. ATTACHMENTS

Summary Attachments:

Summary Attachment 1 – Map of University of Washington Medical Center – Northwest Hospital Campus