Glowacki/Baxendale DPD Shoreline Master Program RPT November 19, 2014 Version #2

City of Seattle Shoreline Master Program Update

Addendum to the August 28, 2012, Director's Report

This Addendum to the SMP Update August 28, 2012, Director's Report explains the changes to Ordinance 124105 and the source of the changes.

INTRODUCTION

The City Council adopted Ordinance 124105 in January 2013 updating the City's Shoreline Master Program (SMP). The SMP is a requirement under the State of Washington's Shoreline Management Act (SMA), created by citizen referendum in 1972. The SMA establishes policy goals for the management of shorelines, and the state's SMP guidelines establish the requirements on how to achieve the policy goals.

In 2003, the state required an update of the SMP. The City Council adopted the update in January of 2013 with Ordinance 124105. For additional background information on the SMP update requirements see the August 2012 Director's Report prepared for the SMP update:

http://www.seattle.gov/dpd/codesrules/changestocode/shorelineupdate/documents/default.htm

After the adoption of the updated Shoreline Master Program by the City Council and Mayor, the state Department of Ecology (DOE) is required to review the regulations for compliance with the Shoreline Master Program Guidelines, take public comment for a minimum of 30 days, and hold a public hearing. DOE concluded this process and issued a Conditional Approval of the Shoreline Master Program on June 5, 2014. The Conditional Approval contains three main components:

- 1. Assessment of DPD's update process and final ordinance meeting the requirements of WAC 173-26 (Shoreline Master Program Guidelines). DOE's assessment is that DPD met all of the requirements (Attachment A).
- 2. DOE identified specific changes to the January 2013 adopted SMP ordinance required to make the proposal approvable (Attachment B).
- 3. Recommended changes to the January 2013 adopted SMP ordinance (Attachment C). These changes include DOE and DPD recommendations.

These three documents, and the ordinance that responds to the conditional approval, can be found on DPD's website:

http://www.seattle.gov/dpd/codesrules/changestocode/shorelineupdate/whatwhy/default.htm

Glowacki/Baxendale DPD Shoreline Master Program RPT November 19, 2014 Version #2

In response to DOE's required and recommended changes, as well as additional changes, DPD is proposing legislation amending Ordinance 124105. After City Council adoption, the Mayor's approval, and DOE final concurrence, the SMP update process will conclude as described in the next section of this report.

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY ADOPTION PROCESS

After City Council adopts and the Mayor signs the amended SMP and 30 days have expired, DPD will submit the final amendments to DOE for their final review and approval. Changes that are made to DOE's June 2014 Conditional Approval are required to be consistent with the Conditional Approval, and these changes must include an explanation of how they are consistent with DOE's Conditional Approval. If DOE determines that the proposed amendments are consistent, the SMP will become effective 14 days after DOE's final determination. If DOE determines that Seattle's SMP is inconsistent with their June 2014 Conditional Approval, DOE will require Seattle to re-submit their SMP for another review and approval process.

SUMMARY OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION - since Council Adoption, January 2013

In addition to earlier opportunities for public input during the eight-year process to arrive at this point, the Shoreline Master Program Guidelines requirements were met as follows: the Department of Ecology's comment period extended from September 3, 2013, to November 4, 2013, for a total of 62 days and DOE's public hearing was held on September 11, 2013. DPD also continued to meet with the Lake Union Liveaboard Association, the Floating Home Association, Shilshole Bay Marina and Washington State Liveaboard Associations, marina owners, and maritime industrial stakeholders including the Port of Seattle and the North Seattle Industrial Association throughout 2013 and 2014 including the following dates:

Date	Topic Discussed	Participants
		(in addition to City of Seattle
		Executive staff)
March 18, April 8, April 22 &	On-water residence	Lake Union Liveaboard
May 21, 2013	provisions in general	Association (LULA)
April 18, 2013	Enforcement of on-water	LULA
	residence provisions	
June 3, 2013	Marina provisions	Marina owner representative
July 8, 2013	On-water residence	LULA
	provisions in general	
July 10, 2013	City Council Planning, Land	PLUS members, LULA
	Use and Sustainability	
	(PLUS) Committee	
	discussion on-water residence	
	provisions in general	

July 16, 2013	On-water residence	Vessel stakeholders and
	provisions in general	LULA
July 24, 2013	PLUS Committee discussion	City Council PLUS members,
	of vessels and on-water	LULA
	residence provisions in	
	general	
August 22, 2013	On-water residence	LULA
	provisions in general	
October 1, 2013	DPD public meeting on	LULA, various stakeholders
	vessels and on-water	
	residences	
October 11, 2013	Marina provisions	Marina owner representative
October 28, 2013	On-water residence	LULA
	provisions in general	
January 15, 2014	On-water residence	LULA
	provisions in general	
March 24, 2014	On-water residence	LULA, DOE
	provisions in general	
April 2, 2014	On-water residence	LULA
	provisions in general	
April 14, 2014	SMP update in general	Shilshole Bay Marina and
		Washington State Liveaboard
		Associations
June 30, 2014	DPD and DOE informational	Various stakeholders
	public meeting - DPD draft	
	response to DOE's	
	recommended and required	
	changes and next steps in the	
	approval process	
June 30 to August 14, 2014	Public comment period	Comments received from
		various stakeholders
August 4, 2014	Maritime and industrial	Maritime and industrial
	provisions and	representative, including Port
	Environmentally Critical	of Seattle and North Seattle
	Areas regulations	Industrial Association
September 4, 2014	Floating home regulations	Floating Home Association
September 15, 2014	Provisions applicable to	Representatives of WA state
	Coleman Dock	ferries

Additional meetings and/or correspondence continued up until DPD made recommendations to the Mayor for an ordinance to send to the City Council to respond to the DOE Conditional Approval.

DOE'S REQUIRED CHANGES

The 29 required changes to the January 2013 SMP adopted ordinance are grouped by general topic and location in DPD's proposed ordinance as follows:

Summary of Required Changes	DOE	DPD Proposed Ordinance
	Item(s) from	Section (and sections and
	Table B	subsections of the SMC)
Include a policy change to allow	1-B	Section 1 (Comprehensive Plan
water-dependent and water related-		Policy LU255)
uses to be located in the migratory		
habitat of aquatic species without		
providing an analysis that no feasible alternative location exists.		
Remove temporary use regulations	2-B, 4-B	Sections 2, 5 (Subsection
that are not consistent with state	,	23.60A.020.C.17 and Section
law.		23.60A.092)
Include floating on-water residences	3-B, 9-B, 10-B,	Sections 4, 18, 20, 22, 64
regulations in accordance with 2014	11-B,	(Subsections 23.60A.090.A.1 -4,
Senate Bill 6450.	30-B	23.60A.200.B; and Sections
		23.60A.203, 23.60A.206 and
		23.60A.912)
Clarify the Archaeological &	5-B	Section 7
Historic Preservation Development		(Section 23.60A.154)
Standards.		
Clarify the Environmentally Critical Areas definitions.	6-B	Section 9 (Section 23.60A.156)
Include additional management and	7-B,	Sections 16, 17 (Subsections
protection of native vegetation.	8-B	23.60A.190.D.4 and
		23.60A.193.B)
Apply standards to minimize	12-B	Section 23 (Subsections
impacts to the aquatic environment		23.60A.214.E and F)
from vessels containing a dwelling		
when moored at a marina. Include a requirement to allow	13-B, 15-B, 17-B,	Sections 26, 29, 31, 58
aquaculture in all but the	13-В, 13-В, 17-В,	(Subsections 23.60A.224.A,
Conservancy Preservation shoreline	21-0	23.60A.282.A, 23.60A.310.A,
environment as a Conditional Use.		and 23.60A.540.A)
Add an additional standard for	14-B	Section 26 (Subsection
nonwater-oriented uses in the	14-0	Section 20 (Subsection
nonacci offence abes in the	I	

Conservancy Management environment to comply with use requirements.		23.60A.224.B.1)
Decrease the distance needed to trigger the requirement for public access for multi-family residential development.	16-B, 20-B, 29-B	Sections 30, 38, 60 (Subsections 23.60A.294.A.1, 23.60A.392.A.1.a, and 23.60A.578.A.1)
Include lists of the uses that are allowed on upland lots in the Urban Commercial (UC), Urban General (UG), Urban Industrial (UI), and the Urban Maritime (UM) shoreline environments.	18-B,19-B, 21-B, 23-B, 24-B, 25-B, 26-B	Sections 32, 33, 40, 46, 47, 51, 52 (Sections 23.60A.382, 23.60A.383, 23.60A.402, 23.60A.482, 23.60A.483, 23.60A.502, and 23.60A.503)
Increase the setback required from the ordinary high water mark in the UG environment to meet no net loss of ecological function, to be consistent with DOE Guideline requirements including the science and technical information described in WAC 173-26-201(2)(a).	22-B	Section 41 (Subsection 23.60A.410.A)
Include additional setback standards for the Urban Residential shoreline environment to meet the state's requirement for no net loss of ecological function.	28-B	Section 59 (Subsection 23.60A.575.A)

DOE'S RECOMMENDED CHANGES

The 109 recommended changes to the January 2013 SMP adopted ordinance are primarily minor non-substantive to add clarity, correct typos, and improve internal consistency. The City requested some of these edits, and some are recommended by DOE in response to comments received. The recommended changes are grouped by general topic and location in DPD's proposed ordinance as follows:

Type of Clarifying Change	DOE Items From	DPD Proposed Ordinance Section
	Table C	(and sections and subsections of
		the SMC)
Correct grammar or typos - changing	3-C, 8-C, 28-C,	Throughout the ordinance
"&" to "and" adding a word such as	41-C, 54-C, 59-C,	
"In" or "the" or "if."	60-C, 77-C, 84-C,	
	87-C,	

Clarifications made in response to comments and provide more consistency between sections for the same standards, such as the verification process for various types of living over water.	2-C, 10-C, 11-C, 12-C, 17-C, 18-C, 20-C, 21-C, 25C, 26-C, 27-C, 92-C, 94-C, 96-C, 98-C, 99-C, 100-C,	Sections 1, 8, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23, 62, 64, 66, 69, 70, 71 (Comprehensive Plan Policy LU258; Sections 23.60A.155, 23.60A.164, 23.60A.167, 23.60A.190, 23.60A.200, 23.60A.202, 23.60A.204, 23.60A.214, 23.60A.916, 23.60A.912, 23.60A.916, 23.60A.926, 23.60A.930)
Clarifications include: Change words "and" to "or"; "in" to "part of"; "an area equal to" to "a minimum of"; "surface of the" to "dry land area of the". Add details on measurements, including where a measurement should be taken from and what part of a lot should be measured.	4-C, 22-C, 28-C, 29-C, 37-C, 38-C 39-C, 40-C, 41-C, 43-C, 44-C, 45-C, 48-C, 55-C, 56-C, 57-C, 61-C, 63-C, 64-C, 65-C, 66-C, 67-C, 68-C, 72-C, 76-C, 78-C, 79-C, 80-C, 85-C, 86-C, 87-C, 88-C, 105-C	Throughout the ordinance
Clarify what a plan shoreline permit is, and the permit process for this type of permit.	5-C, 6-C, 7-C, 29- C, 34-C, 35-C, 70- C, 71-C, 94-C	Throughout the ordinance
Clarify the general development standards and correct typos.	9-C	Section 6 (Section 23.60A.152)
Clarify of the meaning of "lot" and "site" and "area."	22-C, 43-C, 48-C, 49-C, 52-C, 54-C, 55-C, 56-C, 57-C, 64-C, 65-C, 66-C, 67-C, 68-C, 72-C, 79-C, 80-C, 83-C, 89-C, 91-C, 92-C, 93-C, 98-C, 102- C, 105-C, 107-C	Throughout the ordinance
Provide more flexibility to where mitigation and ecological restoration can occur.	10-C, 12-C, 30-C, 31-C, 32-C, 33-C, 36-C, 38-C, 42-C, 45-C, 47-C, 49-C, 50-C, 52-C 53-C, 54-C, 55-C, 57-C,	Throughout the ordinance

	59-C, 66-C, 67-C, 69-C, 74-C, 75-C,	
	81-C, 82-C, 86-C,	
	89-C, 90-C,	
Consolidate Best Management	10-C, 15-C, 24-C,	Sections 8, 15, 19, 21 (Sections
Practice (BMP) provisions in a new	25-C	23.60A.155, 23.60A.187,
Section 23.60A.155 in response to		23.60A.202, 23.60A.204)
comments. The use of Section		
23.60A.155 for BMPs is a different		
use of this Section from that proposed		
by DOE in its recommendation in 10-		
C, see the description of new Section		
23.60A.159 in the next table below.		
Respond to Senate Bill 6450 by	19-C, 25-C, 101-C	Sections 18, 21, 72 (Sections
adding a definition of "remodel" for		23.60A.200, 23.60A.204,
on-water floating residences.		23.60A.934)
Respond to floating homes related	20-C, 21-C, 22-C,	Section 19 (Section 23.60A.202)
comments on regulations for existing	23-C	
floating homes.		
Clarify the boundaries of upland lots	46-C	Section 35 (Section 23.60A.386)
for application of height limits in the		
UC Environment.		
Add a height standard in the Urban	58-C	Section 43 (Section 23.60A.446)
Harborfront Environment in response		
to a comment.		
Clarify use regulations on upland lots	62-C	Section 46 (Section 23.60A.482)
in the Urban Industrial (UI)		
Environment in response to		
comments.		
Correct an inadvertent change that	73-C	Section 50 (Section 23.60A.490)
was made by staff to the shoreline		
setback for uses that are not water-		
dependent in the UI Environment.		
This 60-ft setback is a requirement in		
the existing SMP and was proposed		
to remain in the new SMP. The		
inadvertent change occurred during		
the Council review process Therefore,		
this change restores the original and		
long-standing setback of 60-ft.		
Clarify criteria for allowing non-	67-C, 83-C	Sections 46, 51 (Sections
water oriented uses on waterfront lots		23.60A.482, 23.60A.502)
in the UI and UM Environments.		
Clarify and add definitions, delete an	92-C, 93-C, 94-C,	Sections 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 69,

irrelevant definition, move a	95-C, 96-C, 97-C,	70, 71, 73, 74, 76 (Sections
definition to correct alphabetical	98-C, 99-C, 100-	23.60A.906, 23.60A.908,
order, and delete a duplicate	C, 102-C, 103-C,	23.60A.912, 23.60A.914,
definition and correct references.	104-C, 106-C	23.60A.916, 23.60A.918,
		23.60A.926, 23.60A.928,
		23.60A.930, 23.60A.936,
		23.60A.938, 23.60A.944)
Add detail regarding what is covered	108-C	Section 80 (Section 23.91.002)
under enforcement of the SMP and		
add a reference to the required		
standard for review.		
Clarify that Environmentally Critical	109-C	Section 82 (Section 25.09.020)
Areas are designated in that Code		
(SMC 25.09) and are regulated within		
shoreline areas under the SMP.		
These changes are in response to		
comments and are consistent with the		
Growth Management Act and with		
the SMA policy to accommodate uses		
(RCW 98.58.020).		

ADDITIONAL DPD CHANGES

In addition to the changes as a result of DOE's Conditional Approval, DPD is proposing revisions related to additional comments received, after DOE's Conditional Approval, as well as revisions that are primarily clarifications, formatting and corrections. As noted certain changes will be considered as an alternate proposal to what was reviewed by DOE earlier this year. The changes are described in the following table.

Changes after DOE's Conditional Approval

Summary of Changes after DOE's Conditional Approval	DPD Proposed Ordinance Section (and sections and subsections of the SMC)	Explanation of changes. Alternatives to DOE's Conditional Approval are noted.
Add a reference to flood plain area identification as adopted by the City Council in Ordinance 124447, which became effective in April 2014.	Section 9 (Section 23.60A.156)	This is a clarifying reference. These floodplain provisions were adopted in response to federal government requirements.
Add a new Section 23.60A.159 to accommodate DOE's	Section 11 (Section	This is in direct response to a DOE recommendation, but the

recommendation 10-C to provide	23.60A.159)	City is proposing an alternate
criteria used to guide administration	·	location in the SMP.
of provisions for ecological		
restoration and off-site mitigation.		
Clarify provisions for existing	Section 19	The proposed changes are in
floating homes:	(Section	response to comments received
• Allow five (rather than two)	23.60A.202)	from the Floating Home
floating home moorages or sites to		Association after DOE's
be added in the UC Environment;		Conditional Approval. These
 Allow modifications to the 		changes are consistent with the
setback and float separation		purpose and intent of the changes
requirements when moorage in		originally submitted by DPD and
other locations is lost, consistent		with the policy of RCW 90.58.020
with fire and life safety codes		and the applicable Ecology
('Safe Harbor' provision);		guidelines for existing floating
• Provide flexibility to reconfigure		homes. These proposed changes
an existing floating home		are intended to better implement
moorage to accommodate floating		existing Safe Harbor provisions
homes when moorage in other		and to clarify measurements,
locations is lost ('Safe Harbor'		requirements and procedures for
provision);		existing floating homes.
 Clarify that a City determination 		A procedural provision is added
does not convey compliance with		that allows for an appeal of the
other state or federal requirements		Director's decision for registering
on waters managed by		floating homes consistent with
Washington State Department of		Ecology approved provisions for
Natural Resources;		similar decisions.
 Provide flexibility for 		
reconfiguring floating home sites		
that extend into rights-of-way		
(ROW) as long as the amount of		
the extension into the ROW is not		
increased overall;		
• Clarify that replacement of		
existing Styrofoam floats is		
required only to the extent of any		
proposed repair or replacement		
work;		
• Make the deck of the structure (up		
to 3 feet above the surface of the		
water) the starting point for the		
application of height limits,		
consistent with the approach		
proposed for floating on-water		

rasidances; and		
residences; and		
Provide an appeal to the hearing avaminar if an applicant disputes		
examiner if an applicant disputes the Director's denial of		
registration of a floating home. Clarify provisions for floating on-	Section 20	These changes are an alternative
water residences:	(Section	to DOE's Conditional Approval
• Structures and additions shall not	23.60A.203)	and clarify nonconformity, height
exceed the height limit;	23.00A.203)	limits, and the City's enforcement
• Floating on-water residences may		responsibilities when there is no
be replaced to the same size and		appeal of the Director's decision.
configuration as a verified		
existing residence and is		
considered conforming to		
regulations even if it exceeds the		
height limit; and		
• The City is not precluded from		
carrying out its enforcement		
responsibilities related to the		
verification process whether or		
not a decision of the Director of		
DPD is appealed to the Hearing		
Examiner.	C4' 21	There is a second of the second
Clarify for floating structures (that	Section 21	These changes are an alternative
are not floating on water residences	(Section	to DOE's conditional approval
or floating homes) and house	23.60A.204)	and clarify height limits and the
barges:		City's enforcement responsibilities when there is no
• Structures and additions shall not exceed the height limit; and		appeal of the Director's decision.
• The City is not precluded from		
carrying out its enforcement		
responsibilities related to the		
existing City required verification		
process if a decision of the		
Director of DPD is not appealed		
to the Hearing Examiner.		
Clarify the City's enforcement	Section 23	These changes are an alternative
responsibilities related to the	(Subsection	to DOE's conditional approval
verification process if a decision of	23.60A.214.D)	and clarify the original provision
the Director of DPD is not appealed		approved by DOE regarding
to the Hearing Examiner.		enforcement when there is no
		appeal for a vessel with a
		dwelling unit.

Glowacki/Baxendale DPD Shoreline Master Program RPT November 19, 2014 Version #2

Clarify uses that are allowed and prohibited.	Sections 34, 48, 53 (Sections	These changes do not change the intent of the regulations submitted
	23.60A.384,	to DOE.
	23.60A.484,	
	23.60A.504)	
This change reverts to the original	Section 75	These changes are an alternative
City and WAC definition of vessel	(Section	to DOE's conditional approval.
and adds reference to existing	23.60A.942)	The changes eliminate the
definition of house barge as a		proposed procedural provision
vessel.		requiring certification by a naval
		architect and reiterate that the
		original house barges are vessels.
		Definition is consistent with
		WAC definition.
Changes indicated in items 1-C, 13-	N/A	After additional comment and
C, 14-C, 16-C, and portions of 17-C		review DPD determined the
of DOE's Table C were not needed.		changes were not needed.

RECOMMENDATION

The proposal would amend the Shoreline Management Program (SMP) as adopted in Ordinance 124105 in 2013. The topics addressed include: the SMP Regulations, the Shoreline Goals and Policies in the Seattle Comprehensive Plan, the Shoreline Restoration and Enhancement Plan and Environmental Critical Areas regulations for critical areas within the Shoreline District. These provisions together will manage the most sensitive, fragile and valuable areas of Seattle. The Shoreline District is valuable for economically productive industrial, commercial, recreational and navigational uses, residential amenity, scientific research, education and for providing habitat for terrestrial and aquatic species. Shorelines are sensitive and fragile because they depend upon balanced physical, biological, and chemical systems that may be adversely altered by natural forces (landslides, storms, floods) and human conduct (industrial, commercial, residential, recreation, navigational).

The policy goals of the SMP relate both to the use and protection of the extremely valuable and vulnerable shoreline resources. The process of updating and amending Seattle's SMP included the use of scientific and technical information to determine the appropriate measures to protect existing ecological functions and the participation of Seattle residents and business owners and operators that have an interest in achieving the goals of the Washington State Shoreline Management Act (SMA). DPD recommends adoption of the proposed amendments to achieve the goals of the SMA.