

City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development Applicant Services Center

FILED CITY OF SEATTLE

Land Use Referrals

2015 JUL -1 AM 9. 16

Type of Approvals:

Rezone, SEPA DNS, Design Review

Project Number:

3019001

Site Address 22202200 E UNION ST

Environmental Review Required?

Yes

Citationine ital Neview Nedanca.									
Full Subdivision	PCD/Public Projects	Rezone/Council Cond. Use	Major Inst. Master Plan/PD's	Short Plat/LBA	Shoreline Permit	Other		Selected Agencies	Please Review the attached application and send your response within fourteen (14) days to: LU Routing Coordinator: PRC E-Mail: prc@seattle.gov Fax #: (206) 233-7901 Mail Stop: SMT-21-00 Assigned Planner: Michael Dorcy Email: Michael.dorcy@seattle.gov
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-				-		Addressing
			- Marie				1		Ordinance BPE – TANYA CAPPS KT 22
							1	×	City Clerk (CH 03-10)
							1		City Light Plan Review Team (SMT 3624) -
			20,000,000	***************************************					LBAs, SPs, Full Subs only
									City Light Real Estate (SMT 3012) - Unit Lot Sub and Full Unit Lot Sub only
		-							Department of Natural Resources
							1		Drainage Review
							1		Fire (FM 02-04) ** Fire review not required for
									short ULS when ONLY creating unit lots **
						ļ	_		Geo-technical Engineer
							_		Health (PH-1100)
0.65							_		Metro – Environmental Planning
				<u> </u>					Office of Housing –SMT-57-00
		ļ						<u></u>	Other CDK 04 04)
		·				ļ	_	<u> </u>	Parks & Recreation (PK 01-01)
	ļ			-			_	ļ	SDOT – Street Use (S MT - 3900)
washiyasa (Culada	100					ļ	_		Sign Inspector
						ļ <u>.</u>	_		Water (SMT 49-00)
									WSDOT- To: Local Area Manager PO Box 30310, Seattle, WA 98133-9710
	1	4					4		Zoning Review
	Š					1			

Application Date: 6/16/2015

Date Referred: <u>6/30/2015</u>

2 Week Target Date Click here to enter a date. 4 Week Target Date: Click here to enter a date.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED LAND USE ACTION

Master Use Project # 3019001

Address: 2220 East Union St

Applicant Contact: Jodi Patterson-O'Hare

Phone: (425) 681-4718

DPD IS CONDUCTING AN ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE FOLLOWING PROJECT:

SQ.FT.). PROJECT INCLUDES CONSTRUCTION OF A SIX STORY, 144 UNIT FROM NC2P-40' to NCP 65' (24,449) SQ.FT.) AND NC2-40' TO NC2-65 (4,595 APARTMENT BUILDING WITH 11,641 SQ.FT OF GROUND FLOOR RETAIL. PARKING COUNCIL LAND USE ACTION TO REZONE A 29,044 SQ.FT. PORTION OF LAND. INCLUDES DEMOLITION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES FOR 109 VEHICLES WILL BE LOCATED AT, AND BELOW GRADE. REVIEW

ADDITIONAL APPROVALS REQUIRED: COUNCIL APPROVAL, DESIGN REVIEW

PROJECT LOCATION SPACE FOR MAP

OITY CLERK

Be sure to refer to Master Use Project # 3019001

The comment period ends ___

_ but may be extended to

by written request. All comment letters will be posted to the DPD

(DPD), 700 5th Av Ste 2000, PO Box 34019, Seattle, WA 98124-4019. Contact by phone (206) 684-8467 or email PRC@seattle.gov web site. To submit written comments or to obtain additional information, contact Seattle's Department of Planning and Development

2015 JUL -1 AM 9. 17

OITY OF SEATHE

3019001 - Rezone, SEPA DNS,

Feet

2220 E Union St map 112

Permit Number: 3019001



CITY OF SEATTLE Land Use Permit

Department of Planning and Development 700 Fifth Ave., Suite 2000 P.O. Box 34019 Seattle, WA 98124-4019 (206) 684-8600

APN#:

722850-0571 722850-0585 722850-9999 Site Address: 2220 E UNION ST, SEATTLE, WA

Building ID(s): NONE

Location:

Legal Description: ALL OF LOTS 11-13, BLOCK 8, RENTON HILL ADDITION

Records Filed At: 2220 E UNION ST

OWNER

EAST UNION 22 LLC 2030 DEXTER AVE N SUITE 100 SEATTLE, WA 98104-2754 Ph: (206) 850-6637 APPLICANT

JODI PATTERSON-O'HARE 17479 7TH AVE SW NORMANDY PARK, WA 98166

Ph: (425) 681-4718

Application Date:

06/18/2015

Approved for Issuance: Issued Date:

Issueu Date: Expiration Date:

Fees Paid:

\$17,674.75

As of Print Date:

06/30/2015

Primary Applicant

Description of Project: Council Land Use Action to rezone a 29,044 sq. ft. portion of land, NC2P-40' to NC2P-65' (24,449 sq. ft.) and NC2-40' to NC2-65' (4,595 sq. ft.). Project includes construction of a six story, 144 unit apartment building with 11,641 sq. ft. of ground floor retail. Parking for 109 vehicles will be located at, and below grade. Review includes demolition of existing structures.

Permit Remarks: Central Staff: Ketil Freeman

Use:

 \mathbf{Y}

TRAO Applies:

Ń

Land Use Conditions:

Decision Type:

IV

Approved Uses	Location		Zoning/Overlays:
		l	Neighbor Cmrc12-4

Neighbor Cmrcl2-40 Pedestrian Neighborhood Commercial2-40 Urban Village Overlay

Arterial Within 100ft Arterial Within 100ft

Land Use Component Information

Land Ose Component Information		SOUND OF STREET
Component Type	Component Detail Outcome	
DESIGN REVIEW WITH EDG	OTHER DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS	
COUNCIL ACTION	LU MAP AMEND (CONTRACT REZONE)	
DESIGN REVIEW WITH EDG	STRUCTURE LOCATION	
DESIGN REVIEW WITH EDG	STRUCTURE LOCATION	
DESIGN REVIEW WITH EDG	STRUCTURE LOCATION	
Additional Information on File		

A/P#	Related Cases/Permits	Project Contacts
6442978	Construction and Development Permit	LU Planner
		Zoning Reviewer
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Project Contacts	Name	Phone
LU Planner	MICHAEL DORCY	(206) 615-1393
Zoning Reviewer	BRADLEY WILBURN	(206) 615-0508
		

Applicant Signature:

Date:

This Land Use Permit authorizes the use of the property and/or work described above. Permission is hereby given to develop the site address shown, according to the conditions hereon and according to the specification pertaining thereto, subject to compliance with the Ordinances of the City of Seattle.

Subsequent Demolition, Construction, Site Work, or Mechanical work may require additional permits and may not begin without the appropriate approval. Additional information may be obtained from the Department of Planning and Development at (206) 684-8169.

DPD						LAND USE Application	ì
700 5th Ave S Seattle, WA 9 (206) 684 -86							
Report Date	06/30/2015 08:57 AM		Submitted	Ву		Page 2	2
Special Inspe	ections	,,					
Special Inspec	ction Qualifications						
Principal							
SI Qualification SI Category	ons Suspended S	usp End Dt	Expired		Comments		
There are no	items in this list						
Primary I		Capacity Expire	OTHER	Other	OWNR AG	GT Contact ID AC250233 Foreign	
	PATRICK FOLEY (206)850-6637 x	Eve Phone			Organization	EAST UNION 22 LLC	
Pager	,	PIN# Mobile			Position Profession		
Fax E-Mail		Mobile			Profession		
	2030 DEXTER AVE N, STE 100 SEATTLE, WA 98109						
	No Comments						
Special Inspe	ection Qualifications			٠			
Principal		-					
SI Qualificat Si Category	ions Suspended S	Susp End Dt	Expired		Comments		
There are no	items in this list						
Effective	Y JODI J PATTERSON-O'HARE	Capacity Expire	APPL :			Contact ID AC39752 Foreign	
Name Day Phone	(425)681-4718	Eve Phone			Organization	PERMIT CONSULTANTS NW	
Pager		PIN#	(425)681-4718		Position Profession		
Fax E-Mail		Mobile	(723)001-4110		1 1016331011	•	
	17479 7TH AVE SW NORMANDY PARK, WA 98166						
	No Comments						
	antina Our life antina	÷					
	ection Qualifications						
Principal						•	

SI Qualifications SI Category

There are no items in this list

Susp End Dt

Suspended

Expired

Comments

DPD LAND USE Application 700 5th Ave Ste 2000, PO Box 34019 Seattle, WA 98124-4019 (206) 684-8600 Report Date 06/30/2015 08:57 AM Submitted By Page 1 A/P# 3019001 DISCRETIONARY LAND USE ACTION Applicants/Contacts Primary Ν Capacity OTHER Other **ARCH** Contact ID AC119247 Foreign Effective Expire Name DANIEL GODDARD Day Phone (206)443-8606 x118 **Eve Phone** WEINSTEIN AU LLC Organization Pager PIN# Position Fax (206)443-1218 Mobile Profession E-Mail 2200 WESTERN AVENUE SUITE 301 Address SEATTLE, WA 98121 Comments No Comments Special Inspections **Special Inspection Qualifications** Principal SI Qualifications SI Category Suspended Susp End Dt Expired Comments There are no items in this list Primary Ν Capacity **OWNER** Contact ID AC243941 Foreign Effective Expire EAST UNION 22 LLC Name Day Phone (206)850-6637 x **Eve Phone** Organization Pager PIN# Position Fax Mobile Profession E-Mail Address 2030 DEXTER AVE N SUITE 100 SEATTLE, WA 98104-2754 Comments No Co Special Inspections No Comments **Special Inspection Qualifications** Principal SI Qualifications SI Category Suspended Susp End Dt Expired Comments There are no items in this list Primary Capacity OTHER Other FIN RESP Contact ID AC243941 Foreign **Effective** Expire Name EAST UNION 22 LLC. Day Phone (206)850-6637 x Eve Phone Organization Pager PIN# **Position** Fax Mobile Profession E-Mail

Address

Comments

2030 DEXTER AVE N SUITE 100 SEATTLE, WA 98104-2754

No Comments



	Project Information
Date	February 19, 2015
Permit Number	3019001
Project Address	2220 E Union St
DPD Staff	Bradley Wilburn, Magda Hogness

COMPANY	PHONE	EMATL
DPD	206-615-0508	Bradley.wilburn@seattle.gov
DPD	206-727-8736	Magda.hogness@seattle.gov
Lake Union Partners	206-850-6637	pat@lakeunionpartners.com
Bachman Group	206-499-1489	bachmangroup@gmail.com
Hillis Clark Martin	206-623-1745	Melody.mccutcheon@hcmp.com
Weinstein AU	206-443-8606	danielg@weinsteinau.com
Weinstein AU	206-443-8606	davilap@weinsteinau.com
Weinstein AU	206-443-8606	adamp@weinsteinau.com
Permit Consultants NW	425-681-4718	jodi@permitcnw.com
	DPD DPD Lake Union Partners Bachman Group Hillis Clark Martin Peterson Weinstein AU Weinstein AU Weinstein AU Permit Consultants	DPD 206-615-0508 DPD 206-727-8736 Lake Union Partners 206-850-6637 Bachman Group 206-499-1489 Hillis Clark Martin Peterson 206-623-1745 Weinstein AU 206-443-8606 Weinstein AU 206-443-8606 Weinstein AU 206-443-8606 Permit Consultants 425-681-4718

Project Description: The proposed project will be a six-story, market-rate apartment building approximately 65-feet in height and approximately 162,000-sf including the below grade parking level. The building will contain between 140–150 residential apartment units, resident lobby and amenity spaces, an outdoor, landscaped courtyard and roof terrace, on-site building management and leasing offices, street level commercial space, street-level covered (*center of building, and not visible from the street*) commercial parking accommodating 23–25 vehicles, and a single, belowgrade residential parking level for 85–88 vehicles. The project proposes a contract re-zone from NC2-40 to NC2-65.

	Meeting Notes	
1.	Bradley Wilburn (BW) and Magda Hogness (MH) will research which council staff person is the right one to review this, perhaps the person who is involved with the legislative re-zoning process for this neighborhood already. A separate meeting with such council staff person will be needed. WAU to provide a summary in the front of the EDG packet highlighting the contract rezone request;	
2.	BW recommended noting in the EDG packet/transit page that this is a "frequent transit corridor";	
3.	It was recommended by BW that a rendering of The Central project at 2203	

the elevational photographs, in order to provide a clear indication as to the height and massing changes being proposed; MH suggested providing graphic indication of the anticipated legislative rezone heights on the elevational photographs or additional street elevation graphics, to give context for the height proposed on the site. BW suggested need to emphasize pedestrian character on 23 rd , showing lightness in contrast to "heaviness" of the Safeway at 23 rd & Madison; 4. Cappy's building on 22 rd and any other building greater than 50 years old will need an Appendix A approved through the Department of Neighborhoods due to its age over more than 50 years. 5. Provide more graphics illustrating the zoning edge and how the building responds to the SFR zone and mitigates the impacts; MH suggested window-mapping from adjacent single-family house and photos of existing adjacent buildings, even if they are non-conforming windows; MH suggested inclusion of photos of examples of buildings with effective zoning transitions; 6. Street-level units on 22 nd are not technically "townhouses", and so should more accurately be called "two-level apartments"; Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units; 7. Driveways: Provide possible ways to soften driveway at SFR edge and lines of sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;			
MH suggested providing graphic indication of the anticipated legislative rezone heights on the elevational photographs or additional street elevation graphics, to give context for the height proposed on the site. BW suggested need to emphasize pedestrian character on 23 rd , showing lightness in contrast to "heaviness" of the Safeway at 23 rd & Madison; 4. Cappy's building on 22 nd and any other building greater than 50 years old will need an Appendix A approved through the Department of Neighborhoods due to its age over more than 50 years. 5. Provide more graphics illustrating the zoning edge and how the building responds to the SFR zone and mitigates the impacts; MH suggested window-mapping from adjacent single-family house and photos of existing adjacent buildings, even if they are non-conforming windows; MH suggested inclusion of photos of examples of buildings with effective zoning transitions; 6. Street-level units on 22 nd are not technically "townhouses", and so should more accurately be called "two-level apartments"; Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units; 7. Driveways: Provide possible ways to soften driveway at SFR edge and lines of sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;		E. Union St., currently under construction at 65' height be superimposed on the elevational photographs, in order to provide a clear indication as to the	
BW suggested need to emphasize pedestrian character on 23", showing lightness in contrast to "heaviness" of the Safeway at 23" & Madison; 4. Cappy's building on 22" and any other building greater than 50 years old will need an Appendix A approved through the Department of Neighborhoods due to its age over more than 50 years. 5. Provide more graphics illustrating the zoning edge and how the building responds to the SFR zone and mitigates the impacts; MH suggested window-mapping from adjacent single-family house and photos of existing adjacent buildings, even if they are non-conforming windows; MH suggested inclusion of photos of examples of buildings with effective zoning transitions; 6. Street-level units on 22" are not technically "townhouses", and so should more accurately be called "two-level apartments"; Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units; 7. Driveways: Provide possible ways to soften driveway at SFR edge and lines of sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;		MH suggested providing graphic indication of the anticipated legislative rezone heights on the elevational photographs or additional street elevation	
need an Appendix A approved through the Department of Neighborhoods due to its age over more than 50 years. 5. Provide more graphics illustrating the zoning edge and how the building responds to the SFR zone and mitigates the impacts; MH suggested window-mapping from adjacent single-family house and photos of existing adjacent buildings, even if they are non-conforming windows; MH suggested inclusion of photos of examples of buildings with effective zoning transitions; 6. Street-level units on 22 nd are not technically "townhouses", and so should more accurately be called "two-level apartments"; Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units; 7. Driveways: Provide possible ways to soften driveway at SFR edge and lines of sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;	-	RW suggested need to emphasize pedestrian character on 23", showing	
responds to the SFR zone and mitigates the impacts; MH suggested window-mapping from adjacent single-family house and photos of existing adjacent buildings, even if they are non-conforming windows; MH suggested inclusion of photos of examples of buildings with effective zoning transitions; 6. Street-level units on 22 nd are not technically "townhouses", and so should more accurately be called "two-level apartments"; Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units; 7. Driveways: Provide possible ways to soften driveway at SFR edge and lines of sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;	4.	need an Appendix A approved through the Department of Neighborhoods due	
photos of existing adjacent buildings, even if they are non-conforming windows; MH suggested inclusion of photos of examples of buildings with effective zoning transitions; 6. Street-level units on 22 nd are not technically "townhouses", and so should more accurately be called "two-level apartments"; Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units; 7. Driveways: Provide possible ways to soften driveway at SFR edge and lines of sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;	5.	Provide more graphics illustrating the zoning edge and how the building responds to the SFR zone and mitigates the impacts;	
MH suggested inclusion of photos of examples of buildings with effective zoning transitions; 6. Street-level units on 22 nd are not technically "townhouses", and so should more accurately be called "two-level apartments"; Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units; 7. Driveways: Provide possible ways to soften driveway at SFR edge and lines of sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;	· · ·	photos of existing adjacent buildings, even if they are non-conforming	
more accurately be called "two-level apartments"; Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units; 7. Driveways: Provide possible ways to soften driveway at SFR edge and lines of sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;		MH suggested inclusion of photos of examples of buildings with effective	
Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units; 7. Driveways: Provide possible ways to soften driveway at SFR edge and lines of sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;	6.	more accurately be called "two-level apartments";	
sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment. BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;		Show corridor connecting to lobby for these units;	-
BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting; Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;	7.	sight/natural surveillance to create a safe environment.	
Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety when intersecting with bicycles; 8. Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;		BW suggested providing analysis on impacts of curb cut location during the early stages of review to enable timely determination prior Recommendation meeting:	
such as decks (both inward and outward); 9. Departures: Remove "15% slope" since that is a Type 1 Director's Decision, not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;		Provide ideas for sense of security and safety at driveways, plus traffic safety	
not a DRB decision; Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;	8.	Show different modulation options for the façades on Alternates 2 and 3, such as decks (both inward and outward);	
	9.	not a DRB decision:	
The state of the s		Show zoning designation and departures in the massing diagrams;	
10. Draft EDG packet is suitable as-is for scheduling the EDG meeting.	10.	Draft EDG packet is suitable as-is for scheduling the EDG meeting.	

Notes approved by: Bradley Wilburn and Magda Hogness 02/26/2015