| Tab | Action | Option | Version |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | 1 | A | 2 |

## Budget Action Title: Seawall Proviso

Has CIP Amendment: No
Has Budget Proviso: Yes

Councilmembers: Burgess; Okamoto; Rasmussen
Staff Analyst: Aaron Pritchard
Council Bill or Resolution:

| Date |  | Total | SB | BH | JO | TR | NL | TB | JG | MO | KS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Abstain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Absent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Budget Action description:

This green sheet would impose the following budget proviso:
"None of the money appropriated in the 2016 budget for the Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT)'s Major Projects BCL may be spent for a construction contract change order to build that portion of the Elliott Bay Seawall Replacement Project between Pine and Virginia until SDOT files a report with the City Clerk providing an update of contract negotiations to fully complete the Elliott Bay Seawall Replacement Project."

## Background

The Elliott Bay Seawall Replacement Project is a large complicated project divided into three seasons.
As the negotiations for a Season 3 contract come to a close, Council wants a report describing the status of the negotiations and the contract to complete the project, including the contract amount and schedule for construction of the Pine St. to Virginia St. segment. This proviso is not intended to impede the timeline on
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negotiations or start of construction of the Pine St. to Virginia St. segment or to interfere with those negotiations.

The proviso requires that SDOT provide a report to Council explaining: the result of the negotiations; the planned contract dollar amount for the Pine St. to Virginia St. segment; and the parameters of the negotiated contract. It is the Council's hope that the contract negotiations will result in an underlying contractual savings for the City, while retaining the full amount of appropriated funds for contingencies.

