

**SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE\***

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Contact Person/Phone:</b>	<b>Executive Contact/Phone:</b>
Seattle Department of Transportation	Amy Gray/6-4638	Christie Parker/4-5211

**1. BILL SUMMARY**

**Legislation Title:**

AN ORDINANCE vacating the south 168 feet of the alley adjacent to Lots 17 through 24, lying between Block 55 and Block 56, Boston Company's Plat of West Seattle, near the Alaska Junction of the West Seattle neighborhood of Seattle, on the petition of Fauntleroy Place LLC (Clerk File 308171); and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.

**Summary and background of the Legislation:**

This Council Bill completes the vacation process for vacating the south 168 feet of the alley adjacent to Lots 17 through 24, lying between Block 55 and Block 56, Boston Company's Plat of West Seattle.

The Petitioner sought the vacation in order to facilitate the utilization of the site for commercial development and pedestrian-oriented retail frontage along SW Alaska Street. Without the vacation, the site would have been developed as separate commercial buildings on either side of the alley and a residential component would have not been included.

**2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

This legislation creates, funds, or amends a CIP Project.

**3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

This legislation does not have direct financial implications.

**4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS**

a) **Does the legislation have indirect or long-term financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above?**

No.

b) **Is there financial cost or other impacts of not implementing the legislation?**

The vacation petition, already approved by the Seattle City Council, obligates the City to complete the vacation process, provided that the Petitioner meets all the conditions imposed by the City Council. The Petitioner has met all the conditions. By not

implementing this legislation, the City could be in violation of its obligations, which could have financial implications.

- c) **Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**  
No. As part of the initial vacation review process, all interested departments were notified of the vacation petition and asked to comment. Any identified issues were resolved prior to the approval of this final legislation.
- d) **Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**  
No.
- e) **Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**  
No.
- f) **Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**  
Yes, it completes the vacation of the right-of-way.
- g) **Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?**  
There are no perceived RSJI implications for this piece of legislation.
- h) **If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the long-term and measurable goals of the program? Please describe how this legislation would help achieve the program's desired goals.**  
This legislation is not a new initiative nor a major programmatic expansion.
- i) **Other Issues:** N/A

**List attachments/exhibits below:**

Attachment A - Street Vacation Area Map