SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

	Dept. Contact/Phone:	Executive Contact/Phone:
egislative	Lily Rehrmann / 684-5326	N/A
lote that the Summary and endments may not be fully		us introduced; final legislation including
a. Legislation Ti	tle: A RESOLUTION in support of the bid eague of Cities 2021 or 2024 City Summit co	•
the country's or governments, a City Summit w currently seeki	I background of the Legislation: The National Didest, largest, and most representative organ and the City of Seattle is a longtime member which is an education, training, and governant ng bids to host its City Summits in 2021 and	ization serving municipal . Annually, the NLC holds a ce conference. The NLC is
	elected as the host city for one of these confe	•

Project Name:	Project I.D.:	Project Location:	Start Date:	End Date:	Total Project Cost Through 2022:	

If yes, please fill out the table below and attach a new (if creating a project) or marked-up (if amending) CIP Page to the Council Bill. Please include the spending plan as part of the attached CIP Page. If no, please delete the table.

a. Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project? ___ Yes _X__ No

3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget? ___ Yes ___X_ No If there are no changes to appropriations, revenues, or positions, please delete the table below.

Budget program(s) affected:				
	General Fund \$		Other \$	
Appropriation change (\$):	2017	2018	2017	2018
	Revenue to General Fund		Revenue to Other Funds	
Estimated Revenue change (\$):	2017	2018	2017	2018

	No. of P	Positions	Total FTE Change		
Positions affected:	2017	2018	2017	2018	

b. Does the legislation have other financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs? If so, describe the nature of the impacts. This could include increased operating and maintenance costs, for example.

If Seattle is selected to host the 2021 or 2024 City Summit conference, the creation of a Seattle Host Committee is required. The host committee is responsible for fundraising efforts and securing in-kind sponsorships for the conference. Conference planning will also need to occur.

In 2013, the City spent \$80,000 for an event planner and fundraiser, and city staff of various departments planned events. The Office of Intergovernmental Relations devoted 2.5 FTE to planning the conference. In total, the City and its partners raised approximately \$400,000 for the conference.

The City will need to work with Visit Seattle to determine responsibility for planning and fundraising, and to determine any staffing or financial impact to the City.

c. Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?

Estimate the costs to the City of not implementing the legislation, including estimated costs to maintain or expand an existing facility or the cost avoidance due to replacement of an existing facility, potential conflicts with regulatory requirements, or other potential costs or consequences.

If there are no changes to appropriations, revenues, or positions, please delete sections 3.d., 3.e., and 3.f. and answer the questions in Section 4.

3.d. Appropriations

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes appropriations.

If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Revenues/Reimbursements.

Fund Name and number	Dept	Budget Control Level Name/#*	2017 Appropriation Change	2018 Estimated Appropriation Change
TOTAL				

^{*}See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

This table should reflect appropriations that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the project/programs associated with this ordinance had, or will have, appropriations in other legislation please provide details in the Appropriation Notes section below. If the appropriation is not completely supported by revenue/reimbursements listed below, please identify the funding source (e.g. available fund balance) to cover this appropriation in the notes section. Also indicate if the legislation changes appropriations one-time, ongoing, or both.

Is this change one-time or ongoing?

Please explain any complicated scenarios – e.g. three-year funding agreement but not permanent ongoing.

Appropriations Notes:

3.e. Revenues/Reimbursements

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Positions.

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:

Fund Name and Number	Dept	Revenue Source	2017 Revenue	2018 Estimated Revenue
TOTAL				

This table should reflect revenues/reimbursements that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the issues/projects associated with this ordinance/resolution have revenues or reimbursements that were, or will be, received because of previous or future legislation or budget actions, please provide details in the Notes section below. Do the revenue sources have match requirements? If so, what are they?

Is this change one-time or ongoing?

Please explain any complicated scenarios – e.g. three-year funding agreement but not permanent ongoing.

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

3.f. Positions

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes positions.

If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Other Implications.

Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, or Abrogated through this Legislation, Including FTE Impact:

Position # for Existing Positions	Position Title & Department*	Fund Name & #	Program & BCL	PT/FT	2017 Positions	2017 FTE	Does it sunset? (If yes, explain below in Position Notes)
TOTAL							

^{*} List each position separately

This table should only reflect the actual number of positions created by this legislation. In the event that positions have been, or will be, created as a result of previous or future legislation or budget actions, please provide details in the Notes section below.

Position Notes:

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department? If so, please list the affected department(s) and the nature of the impact (financial, operational, etc.).

Yes. If Seattle is successful in being selected as the host city for one of the conferences, Executive departments, including the Office of Intergovernmental Relations, will likely have a large role in planning and coordination efforts.

b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

If yes, what public hearing(s) have been held to date, and/or what public hearing(s) are planned/required in the future?

No.

c. Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?

If yes, please describe the measures taken to comply with RCW 64.06.080.

No.

d. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

For example, legislation related to sale of surplus property, condemnation, or certain capital projects with private partners may require publication of notice. If you aren't sure, please check with your lawyer. If publication of notice is required, describe any steps taken to comply with that requirement.

No.

e. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

If yes, and if a map or other visual representation of the property is not already included as an exhibit or attachment to the legislation itself, then you must include a map and/or other visual representation of the property and its location as an attachment to the fiscal note. Place a note on the map attached to the fiscal note that indicates the map is intended for illustrative or informational purposes only and is not intended to modify anything in the legislation.

No.

f. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?

If yes, please explain how this legislation may impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities. Using the racial equity toolkit is one way to help determine the legislation's impact on certain communities.

No, this legislation should not impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities.

g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s).

This answer should highlight measurable outputs and outcomes.

N/A.

h. Other Issues:

List attachments/exhibits below: