Section 4. OIG shall, by the end of the first Inspector General's first full year, conduct a study to ascertain the effectiveness of OPA's mixed sworn and civilian staffing arrangements and issue recommendations as to whether further changes are warranted.

Section 5. CPC shall convene meetings with and lead stakeholders in assessing the feasibility of establishing mechanisms to use investigation and review processes wholly external to SPD for cases involving serious and deadly uses of force, and provide any recommendations adopted by the stakeholder group to policymakers for consideration.

Section 6. CPC shall convene meetings with and lead stakeholders in assessing the need for and developing a complainant appeal process that is consistent with employee due process rights, and provide any recommendations adopted by the stakeholder group to policymakers for consideration. If established under City ordinance or policy, CPC shall periodically review the fairness and effectiveness of such civilian appeal process.

Section 7.

A. Within four months of the hiring of the first Inspector General for OIG, the Chair of the public safety committee shall engage a consultant to convene and facilitate working groups to develop a methodology and scoring tools for (a) evaluation of the effectiveness of CPC, OIG, and OPA in fulfilling the purposes of Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 3.29 and (b) for performance evaluation of the CPC Executive Director, Inspector General, and Director of OPA. The working group members for each of these two issues may be convened separately for each entity or collectively. The working groups shall include representatives from Council, the Mayor's Office, Seattle Department of Human Resources, City Attorney's Office, OPA, OIG, CPC, and SPD, and may include multiple representatives from each as determined by the representatives themselves.

	Amy C. Tsai LEG Police Accountability Companion Provisions RES D3b			
1	B. Methodology recommendations shall include identifying who should participate in the			
2	evaluation process, who should provide input, and time interval between evaluations.			
3	C. Scoring tool recommendations shall include identification of the appropriate			
4	categories of assessment and scoring criteria for each evaluation.			
5	D. The working group shall reconvene annually for regular updating of the methodology			
6	and scoring tool, which may be done with the assistance of an external consultant engaged by the			
7	public safety committee.			
8	E. Costs of any external consultant shall be shared equally between the City Council and			
9	Mayor.			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				

Amy C. LEG Pol	Tsai lice Accountability Companion Provisions RES				
	Adopted by the City Council the	day of	, 2017,		
and si	and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this day of				
	, 2017.				
		President	of the City Council		
	Filed by me this day of		, 2017.		
		Monica Martinez	z Simmons, City Clerk		
			·		
(Seal)					