

**SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE\***

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Dept. Contact/Phone:</b>	<b>CBO Contact/Phone:</b>
Seattle Department of Transportation	Amy Gray/206-386-4638	Christie Parker/206-684-5211

**1. BILL SUMMARY**

1. **Legislation Title:** AN ORDINANCE vacating the alley in Block 22, Brooklyn Addition and additional adjacent right-of-way, and the alley in Block 23, Brooklyn Addition, on the petition of the University of Washington (Clerk File 310162 and Clerk File 310163).
2. **Summary and background of the Legislation:** This Council Bill completes the process for vacating the alley in Block 22, Brooklyn Addition and additional adjacent right-of-way, and the alley in Block 23, Brooklyn Addition.

The Petition sought the vacation to maximize development efficiency, to create density in the urban center with additional housing in the West Campus area, to enhance pedestrian connections with wider sidewalks and connections through each site, and to create development flexibility for public open spaces in the Elm Plaza as well as sidewalk and median enhancements.

**2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

- a. **Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?**     \_\_\_ Yes X No

**3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

- a. **Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget?**                     \_\_\_ Yes X No
- b. **Does the legislation have other financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?**  
No.

- c. **Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?**  
The vacation petition, already approved by the Seattle City Council, obligates the City to complete the vacation process, provided that the Petitioner meets all the conditions imposed by the City Council. The Petitioner has met all the conditions. By not implementing this legislation, the City could be in violation of its obligations, which could have financial implications.

**4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS**

- a. **Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**  
No. As part of the initial vacation review process, all interested departments were notified of the vacation petition and asked to comment. Any identified issues were resolved prior to the approval of this final legislation.

- b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**  
No.
- c. Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant?**  
No.
- d. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**  
No.
- e. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**  
Yes, it completes the vacation of the right-of-way.
- f. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities?**  
There are no perceived implications for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative.
- g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s).**  
N/A

**List attachments/exhibits below:**

Summary Attachment 1 – UW Street Vacation Area Map