

**SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE\***

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Dept. Contact/Phone:</b>	<b>CBO Contact/Phone:</b>
Seattle City Light	Timothy Croll/206-963-5074	Greg Shiring/206-386-4085

*\* Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.*

**1. BILL SUMMARY**

**Legislation Title:**

AN ORDINANCE relating to the City Light Department and Seattle Public Utilities; transferring partial jurisdiction of a portion of City Light’s Georgetown Steam Plant flume property to Seattle Public Utilities for maintenance, repair, replacement, and operation of public stormwater drainage infrastructure.

**Summary and background of the Legislation:**

A decade ago, City Light (SCL) and Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) collaborated on an environmental cleanup of a portion of the Georgetown Steam Plant flume property to eliminate a source of pollution to the Duwamish River, removing the historic Steam Plant open flume and replacing it with an underground storm drain. This storm drain is still a functioning part of SPU’s drainage infrastructure. SCL and SPU have not, to this date, implemented a partial transfer of jurisdiction from SCL to SPU to allow the continued presence, on-going maintenance, inspection, testing, repair, replacement, operation, and access to the drain.

SCL is planning to request City Council approval of the transfer the jurisdiction of a portion of the Georgetown Steam Plant flume property to the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation (SPR) and to Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) as part of the required public benefit portion of SCL’s petition to vacate a portion of Diagonal Ave South. SPU’s jurisdiction of the storm drain within the flume property should be clarified prior to this transfer of the larger flume property jurisdiction from SCL to SPR and to SDOT.

**2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

**Does this legislation create, fund, or amend a CIP Project?** \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_X\_\_\_ No

**3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

**Does this legislation amend the Adopted Budget?** \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_X\_\_\_ No

**Does the legislation have other financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above, including direct or indirect, short-term or long-term costs?**  
No.

**Is there financial cost or other impacts of *not* implementing the legislation?**

Transferring the property from SCL to SPR and SDOT in the future without first clarifying SPU's access to its storm drain could create confusion about the future rights and responsibilities of SPR, SDOT and SPU.

**4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS**

**a. Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**

The legislation grants rights to SPU to operate, maintain and replace a necessary part of its infrastructure. The legislation will also facilitate the future transfer of property from SCL to SPR and SDOT to allow the development of an off-leash area and a bicycle/pedestrian trail segment. Establishing and clarifying SPU's rights will simplify and make more transparent the subsequent legislation to complete the larger transfer of jurisdiction to SPR and SDOT.

**b. Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**

No.

**c. Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**

No.

**d. Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**

Yes.

**e. Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? What is the Language Access plan for any communications to the public?**

No expected impacts on vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities. No planned outreach or communication to the public for this legislation.

**f. Climate Change Implications**

**1. Emissions: Is this legislation likely to increase or decrease carbon emissions in a material way?**

The legislation will not directly affect carbon emissions, though it will facilitate the development of the off-leash area and trail segment in Georgetown which may help decrease carbon emissions by creating local recreation opportunities.

**2. Resiliency: Will the action(s) proposed by this legislation increase or decrease Seattle's resiliency (or ability to adapt) to climate change in a material way? If so, explain. If it is likely to decrease resiliency in a material way, describe what will or could be done to mitigate the effects.**

No.

- g. If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the specific long-term and measurable goal(s) of the program? How will this legislation help achieve the program's desired goal(s).**

Not applicable.

**List attachments/exhibits below:**

Summary Attachment 1 – Diagram of Vicinity